

A GENERALIZATION OF COLMEZ-GREENBERG-STEVEN'S FORMULA

BINGYONG XIE

Department of Mathematics, East China Normal University, Shanghai, China.
E-mail: byxie@math.ecnu.edu.cn

Abstract

In this paper we study the derivatives of Frobenius and the derivatives of Hodge-Tate weights for families of Galois representations with triangulations. We give a generalization of the Fontaine-Mazur \mathcal{L} -invariant and use it to build a formula which is a generalization of the Colmez-Greenberg-Stevens formula.

1. Introduction

In their remarkable paper [10], Mazur, Tate and Teitelbaum proposed a conjectural formula for the derivative at $s = 1$ of the p -adic L -function of an elliptic curve E over \mathbf{Q} when p is a prime of split multiplicative reduction. An important quantity in this formula is the so called \mathcal{L} -invariant, namely $\mathcal{L}(E) = \log_p(q_E)/v_p(q_E)$ where $q_E \in \mathbf{Q}_p^\times$ is the Tate period for E . This conjectural formula was proved by Greenberg and Stevens [8] using Hida's families. Indeed, for the weight 2 newform f attached to E , there exists a family of p -adic ordinary Hecke eigenforms containing f . A key formula they proved is

$$\mathcal{L}(E) = -2 \frac{\alpha'(f)}{\alpha(f)} \tag{1.1}$$

where α is the function of U_p -eigenvalues of the eigenforms in the Hida family. On the other hand, they showed that $-2 \frac{\alpha'(f)}{\alpha(f)}$ is equal to $\frac{L'_p(f,1)}{L(f,1)}$. Combining

Received November 23, 2020 and in revised form December 16, 2020.

AMS Subject Classification: 11F80, 11F85.

Key words and phrases: Frobenius, Hodge-Tate weight, \mathcal{L} -invariant.

This paper is supported by Science and Technology Commission of Shanghai Municipality (grant no. 13dz2260400) and the National Natural Science Foundation of China (grant no. 11671137).

these two facts they obtained the conjectural formula.

In this paper we will focus on (1.1) which was later generalized by Colmez [6] to the non-ordinary setting. We state Colmez’s result below.

Theorem 1.1 ([6]). *Suppose that, at each closed point z of $\text{Max}(S)$ one of the Hodge-Tate weight of \mathcal{V}_z is 0, and there exists $\alpha \in S$ such that $(\mathbf{B}_{\text{cris},S}^{\varphi=\alpha} \widehat{\otimes}_S \mathcal{V})^{G_{\mathbf{Q}_p}}$ is locally free of rank 1 over S . Suppose z_0 is a closed point of $\text{Max}(S)$ such that \mathcal{V}_{z_0} is semistable with Hodge-Tate weights¹ 0 and $k \geq 1$. Then the differential*

$$\frac{d\alpha}{\alpha} - \frac{1}{2}\mathcal{L}d\kappa + \frac{1}{2}d\delta$$

is zero at z_0 , where \mathcal{L} is the Fontaine-Mazur \mathcal{L} -invariant of \mathcal{V}_{z_0} .

See [6] for the precise meanings of κ and δ . Roughly speaking, $d\delta$ is the derivative of Frobenius, and $d\kappa$ is the derivative of Hodge-Tate weights.

The condition that “ $(\mathbf{B}_{\text{cris},S}^{\varphi=\alpha} \widehat{\otimes}_S \mathcal{V})^{G_{\mathbf{Q}_p}}$ is locally free of rank 1 over S ” in Theorem 1.1 is equivalent to that \mathcal{V} admits a triangulation [5]. So, Theorem 1.1 means that the derivatives of Frobenius and the derivatives of Hodge-Tate weights of a family of 2-dimensional representations of $G_{\mathbf{Q}_p}$ with a triangulation satisfy a non-trivial relation at each semistable (but non-crystalline) point.

Colmez’s theorem was generalized by Zhang [14] for families of 2- dimensional Galois representations of G_K (K a finite extension of \mathbf{Q}_p) and Pottharst [12] who considered families of (not necessarily étale) (φ, Γ) -modules of rank 2 instead of families of 2-dimensional Galois representations.

In this paper we give a generalization of Colmez’s theorem which includes the above generalizations as special cases.

Fix a finite extension K of \mathbf{Q}_p . What we work with is a family of K - B -pair (called S - B -pair in our context) that is locally triangulable. We will provide conditions for Fontaine-Mazur \mathcal{L} -invariant to be defined. Note that, the \mathcal{L} -invariant is now a vector with component number equal to $[K : \mathbf{Q}_p]$.

¹In this paper, the Hodge-Tate weights are defined to be minus the generalized eigenvalues of Sen’s operators. In particular the Hodge-Tate weight of the cyclotomic character χ_{cyc} is -1 .

Theorem 1.2. *Let W be an S - B -pair that is semistable at a point $z \in \text{Max}(S)$. Suppose that W is locally triangulable at z with the local triangulation parameters $(\delta_1, \dots, \delta_n)$. Assume that for D_z , the filtered E - (φ, N) -module attached to W_z , the Fontaine-Mazur \mathcal{L} -invariant $\vec{\mathcal{L}}_{s,t}$ (see Definition 6.5) can be defined for $s, t \in \{1, 2, \dots, n\}$. Then*

$$\frac{1}{[K : \mathbf{Q}_p]} \left(\frac{d\delta_t(p)}{\delta_t(p)} - \frac{d\delta_s(p)}{\delta_s(p)} \right) + \vec{\mathcal{L}}_{s,t} \cdot (d\vec{w}(\delta_t) - d\vec{w}(\delta_s)) = 0.$$

Here, $\vec{w}(\delta_i)$ is the Hodge-Tate weight of the character δ_i .

In [13] we proved Theorem 1.2 for a special case, where we consider the case of $K = \mathbf{Q}_p$ and demand that the Frobenius is semisimple at z . The motivation and some potential applications of our theorem was also discussed in [13].

Our paper is organized as follows. In Section 2 we recall the theory of B -pairs built by Berger. Then in Section 3 we extend a part of this theory to families of B -pairs, and discuss the relation between triangulations of semistable B -pairs and refinements of their associated filtered (φ, N) -modules. In Section 4 we compare cohomology groups of (φ, Γ) -modules and those of B -pairs, and then attach a 1-cocycle to each infinitesimal deformation of a B -pair. In Section 5 we use the reciprocity law to build an auxiliary formula for L -invariants. The L -invariant is defined in Section 6. In Section 7 we prove a formula called “projection vanishing property” for the above 1-cocycle. Finally in Section 8 we use the auxiliary formula in Section 5 and the projection vanishing property to deduce Theorem 1.2.

Notations

Let K be a finite extension of \mathbf{Q}_p , G_K the absolute Galois group $\text{Gal}(\overline{K}/K)$. Let K_0 be the maximal absolutely unramified subfield of K . Let G_K^{ab} denote the maximal abelian quotient of G_K .

Let χ_{cyc} be the cyclotomic character of G_K , H_K the kernel of χ_{cyc} and Γ_K the quotient G_K/H_K . Then χ_{cyc} induces an isomorphism from Γ_K onto an open subgroup of \mathbf{Z}_p^\times .

Let E be a finite extension of K such that all embeddings of K into an algebraic closure of E are contained in E , $\text{Emb}(K, E)$ the set of embeddings

of K into E . We consider E as a coefficient field and let G_K acts trivially on E .

Let rec_K be the reciprocity map of local class field theory such that $\text{rec}_K(\pi_K)$ is a lifting of the inverse of q th power Frobenius of k , where π_K is a uniformizing element of K and k is the residue field of K with cardinal number q . Note that the image of rec_K coincides with the image of the Weil group $W_K \subset G_K$ by the quotient map $G_K \rightarrow G_K^{\text{ab}}$. Let $\text{rec}_K^{-1} : W_K \rightarrow K^\times$ be the converse map of rec_K .

2. (φ, Γ_K) -modules and B -pairs

2.1. Fontaine's rings

We recall the construction of Fontaine's period rings. Please consult [7, 2] for more details.

Let \mathbf{C}_p be a completed algebraic closure of \mathbf{Q}_p with valuation subring $\mathfrak{o}_{\mathbf{C}_p}$ and p -adic valuation v_p normalized such that $v_p(p) = 1$.

Let $\tilde{\mathbf{E}}$ be $\{(x^{(i)})_{i \geq 0} \mid x^{(i)} \in \mathbf{C}_p, (x^{(i+1)})^p = x^{(i)} \forall i \in \mathbf{N}\}$, and let $\tilde{\mathbf{E}}^+$ be the subset of $\tilde{\mathbf{E}}$ such that $x^{(0)} \in \mathfrak{o}_{\mathbf{C}_p}$. If $x, y \in \tilde{\mathbf{E}}$, we define $x + y$ and xy by

$$(x + y)^{(i)} = \lim_{j \rightarrow \infty} (x^{(i+j)} + y^{(i+j)})^{p^j}, \quad (xy)^{(i)} = x^{(i)}y^{(i)}.$$

Then $\tilde{\mathbf{E}}$ is a field of characteristic p . Define a function $v_{\mathbf{E}} : \tilde{\mathbf{E}} \rightarrow \mathbf{R} \cup \{+\infty\}$ by putting $v_{\mathbf{E}}((x^{(n)})) = v_p(x^{(0)})$. This is a valuation for which $\tilde{\mathbf{E}}$ is complete and $\tilde{\mathbf{E}}^+$ is the ring of integers in $\tilde{\mathbf{E}}$. If we let $\varepsilon = (\varepsilon^{(n)})$ be an element of $\tilde{\mathbf{E}}^+$ with $\varepsilon^{(0)} = 1$ and $\varepsilon^{(1)} \neq 1$, then $\tilde{\mathbf{E}}$ is a completed algebraic closure of $\mathbf{F}_p((\varepsilon - 1))$. Put $\omega = [\varepsilon] - 1$. Let \tilde{p} be an element of $\tilde{\mathbf{E}}$ such that $\tilde{p}^{(0)} = p$.

Let $\tilde{\mathbf{A}}^+$ be the ring $\mathbf{W}(\tilde{\mathbf{E}}^+)$ of Witt vectors with coefficients in $\tilde{\mathbf{E}}^+$, $\tilde{\mathbf{A}}$ the ring of Witt vectors $\mathbf{W}(\tilde{\mathbf{E}})$, and $\tilde{\mathbf{B}}^+ = \tilde{\mathbf{A}}[1/p]$. The map

$$\theta : \tilde{\mathbf{B}}^+ \rightarrow \mathbf{C}_p, \quad \sum_{n \gg -\infty} p^k [x_k] \mapsto \sum_{n \gg -\infty} p^k x_k^{(0)}$$

is surjective. Let \mathbf{B}_{dR}^+ be the $\ker(\theta)$ -adic completion of $\tilde{\mathbf{B}}^+$. Then $t_{\text{cyc}} = \log[\varepsilon]$ is an element of \mathbf{B}_{dR}^+ , and put $\mathbf{B}_{\text{dR}} = \mathbf{B}_{\text{dR}}^+[1/t_{\text{cyc}}]$. There is a filtration Fil^\bullet on \mathbf{B}_{dR} such that $\text{Fil}^i \mathbf{B}_{\text{dR}} = \bigoplus_{j \geq i} \mathbf{B}_{\text{dR}}^+ t_{\text{cyc}}^j$.

Let \mathbf{B}_{\max}^+ be the subring of $\tilde{\mathbf{B}}^+$ consisting of elements of the form $\sum_{n \geq 0} b_n([\tilde{p}]/p)^n$, where $b_n \in \tilde{\mathbf{B}}^+$ and $b_n \rightarrow 0$ when $n \rightarrow +\infty$. Put $\mathbf{B}_{\max} = \mathbf{B}_{\max}^+[1/t_{\text{cyc}}]$; \mathbf{B}_{\max} is equipped with a φ -action. Put $\mathbf{B}_{\log} = \mathbf{B}_{\max}[\log[\tilde{p}]]$; \mathbf{B}_{\log} is equipped with a φ -action and a monodromy N ; $\mathbf{B}_{\log}^{N=0} = \mathbf{B}_{\max}$; \mathbf{B}_{\log} is a subring of \mathbf{B}_{dR} . Put $\mathbf{B}_e = \mathbf{B}_{\max}^{\varphi=1}$. We have the following fundamental exact sequence

$$0 \longrightarrow \mathbf{Q}_p \longrightarrow \mathbf{B}_e \longrightarrow \mathbf{B}_{\text{dR}}/\mathbf{B}_{\text{dR}}^+ \longrightarrow 0.$$

If r and s are two elements in $\mathbf{N}[1/p] \cup \{+\infty\}$, we put $\tilde{\mathbf{A}}^{[r,s]} = \tilde{\mathbf{A}}^+ \{ \frac{p}{[\tilde{\omega}^r]}, \frac{[\tilde{\omega}^s]}{p} \}$ and $\tilde{\mathbf{B}}^{[r,s]} = \tilde{\mathbf{A}}^{[r,s]}[1/p]$ with the convention that $p/[\tilde{\omega}^{+\infty}] = 1/[\tilde{\omega}]$ and $[\tilde{\omega}^{+\infty}]/p = 0$. We equip these rings with the p -adic topology. There are natural continuous G_K -actions on $\tilde{\mathbf{A}}_{[r,s]}$ and $\tilde{\mathbf{B}}_{[r,s]}$. Frobenius induces isomorphisms $\varphi : \tilde{\mathbf{A}}_{[r,s]} \xrightarrow{\sim} \tilde{\mathbf{A}}_{[pr,ps]}$ and $\varphi : \tilde{\mathbf{B}}_{[r,s]} \xrightarrow{\sim} \tilde{\mathbf{B}}_{[pr,ps]}$. If $r \leq r_0 \leq s_0 \leq s$, then we have the G_K -equivariant injective natural map $\tilde{\mathbf{A}}_{[r,s]} \hookrightarrow \tilde{\mathbf{A}}_{[r_0,s_0]}$. For $r > 0$ we put $\tilde{\mathbf{B}}_{\text{rig}}^{\dagger,r} = \bigcap_{s \in [r, +\infty)} \tilde{\mathbf{B}}_{[r,s]}$ (equipped with certain Frechet topology) and $\tilde{\mathbf{B}}_{\text{rig}}^{\dagger} = \bigcup_{r > 0} \tilde{\mathbf{B}}_{\text{rig}}^{\dagger,r}$ (equipped with the inductive limit topology). Frobenius induces isomorphisms $\varphi : \tilde{\mathbf{B}}_{\text{rig}}^{\dagger,r} \xrightarrow{\sim} \tilde{\mathbf{B}}_{\text{rig}}^{\dagger,pr}$ and $\varphi : \tilde{\mathbf{B}}_{\text{rig}}^{\dagger} \xrightarrow{\sim} \tilde{\mathbf{B}}_{\text{rig}}^{\dagger}$.

Put

$$A_{K'_0} = \left\{ \sum_{k \geq -\infty}^{+\infty} a_k \omega^k \mid a_k \in \mathfrak{o}_{K'_0}, a_k \rightarrow 0 \text{ when } k \rightarrow -\infty \right\}$$

and $B_{K'_0} = A_{K'_0}[1/p]$. Here K'_0 is the maximal absolutely unramified subfield of $K_\infty = K(\mu_{p^\infty})$. Then $A_{K'_0}$ is a complete discrete valuation ring with p as a prime element, and $B_{K'_0}$ is the fractional field of $A_{K'_0}$. The G_K -action and φ preserve $A_{K'_0}$: $\varphi(\omega) = (1 + \omega)^p - 1$ and $g(\omega) = (1 + \omega)^{\chi_{\text{cyc}}(g)} - 1$. Let \mathbf{A} be the p -adic completion of the maximal unramified extension of $A_{K'_0}$ in $\tilde{\mathbf{A}}$, \mathbf{B} its fractional field. Then φ and the G_K -action preserve \mathbf{A} and \mathbf{B} .

We put $\mathbf{B}_K = \mathbf{B}^{H_K}$ and $\mathbf{B}_K^{\dagger,r} = \mathbf{B}_K \cap \tilde{\mathbf{B}}^{\dagger,r}$. Let $\mathbf{B}_{\text{rig},K}^{\dagger,r}$ be the Frechet completion of $\mathbf{B}_K^{\dagger,r}$ for the topology induced from that on $\tilde{\mathbf{B}}_{\text{rig}}^{\dagger,r}$, and put $\mathbf{B}_{\text{rig},K}^{\dagger} = \bigcup_{r > 0} \mathbf{B}_{\text{rig},K}^{\dagger,r}$ equipped with the inductive limit topology. Frobenius induces injections $\mathbf{B}_{\text{rig},K}^{\dagger,r} \hookrightarrow \mathbf{B}_{\text{rig},K}^{\dagger,pr}$ and $\mathbf{B}_{\text{rig},K}^{\dagger} \hookrightarrow \mathbf{B}_{\text{rig},K}^{\dagger}$; there are continuous Γ_K -actions on $\mathbf{B}_{\text{rig},K}^{\dagger,r}$ and $\mathbf{B}_{\text{rig},K}^{\dagger}$.

We end this subsection by the definition of E - (φ, Γ_K) -modules [11].

Definition 2.1. An E - (φ, Γ_K) -module is a finite $\mathbf{B}_{\text{rig},K}^\dagger \otimes_{\mathbf{Q}_p} E$ -module M equipped with a Frobenius semilinear action φ_M and a continuous semilinear Γ_K -action such that M is free as a $\mathbf{B}_{\text{rig},K}^\dagger$ -module, that $\text{id}_{\mathbf{B}_{\text{rig},K}^\dagger} \otimes \varphi_M : \mathbf{B}_{\text{rig},K}^\dagger \otimes_{\varphi, \mathbf{B}_{\text{rig},K}^\dagger} M \rightarrow M$ is an isomorphism, and that φ_M and the Γ_K -action commute with each other.

By [11, Lemma 1.30] if M is an E - (φ, Γ_K) -module, then M is free over $\mathbf{B}_{\text{rig},K}^\dagger \otimes_{\mathbf{Q}_p} E$.

2.2. B -pairs

We recall the theory of E - B -pairs [3, 11].

Put $\mathbf{B}_{e,E} = \mathbf{B}_e \otimes_{\mathbf{Q}_p} E$, $\mathbf{B}_{\text{dR},E}^+ = \mathbf{B}_{\text{dR}}^+ \otimes_{\mathbf{Q}_p} E$ and $\mathbf{B}_{\text{dR},E} = \mathbf{B}_{\text{dR}} \otimes_{\mathbf{Q}_p} E$. We extend the G_K -actions E -linearly to these rings.

Definition 2.2. An E - B -pair of G_K is a couple $W = (W_e, W_{\text{dR}}^+)$ such that

- W_e is a finite $\mathbf{B}_{e,E}$ -module with a continuous semilinear action G_K -action which is free as a \mathbf{B}_e -module.
- $W_{\text{dR}}^+ \subset W_{\text{dR}} = \mathbf{B}_{\text{dR}} \otimes_{\mathbf{B}_e} W_e$ is a G_K -stable $\mathbf{B}_{\text{dR},E}^+$ -lattice.

By [11, Remark 1.3] W_e is free over $\mathbf{B}_{e,E}$ and W_{dR}^+ is free over $\mathbf{B}_{\text{dR},E}^+$.

If V is an E -representation of G_K , then $W(V) = (\mathbf{B}_{e,E} \otimes_E V, \mathbf{B}_{\text{dR},E}^+ \otimes_E V)$ is an E - B -pair, called the E - B -pair attached to V .

If S is a Banach E -algebra, we can define S - B -pairs similarly; to each S -representation V of G_K is associated an S - B -pair $W(V) = (\mathbf{B}_{e,E} \otimes_E V, \mathbf{B}_{\text{dR},E}^+ \otimes_E V)$.

If $W_1 = (W_{1,e}, W_{1,\text{dR}}^+)$ and $W_2 = (W_{2,e}, W_{2,\text{dR}}^+)$ are two E - B -pairs, we define $W_1 \otimes W_2$ to be

$$(W_{1,e} \otimes_{\mathbf{B}_{e,E}} W_{2,e}, W_{1,\text{dR}}^+ \otimes_{\mathbf{B}_{\text{dR},E}^+} W_{2,\text{dR}}^+).$$

Here, $W_{1,e} \otimes_{\mathbf{B}_{e,E}} W_{2,e}$ is equipped with the diagonal G_K -action, and $W_{1,\text{dR}}^+ \otimes_{\mathbf{B}_{\text{dR},E}^+} W_{2,\text{dR}}^+$

$W_{2,\text{dR}}^+$ is naturally considered as a G_K -stable $\mathbf{B}_{\text{dR},E}^+$ -lattice of

$$\mathbf{B}_{\text{dR}} \otimes_{\mathbf{B}_e} (W_{1,e} \bigotimes_{\mathbf{B}_{e,E}} W_{2,e}) = W_{1,\text{dR}} \bigotimes_{\mathbf{B}_{\text{dR},E}} W_{2,\text{dR}},$$

where $W_{1,\text{dR}} = \mathbf{B}_{\text{dR}} \otimes_{\mathbf{B}_e} W_{1,e}$ and $W_{2,\text{dR}} = \mathbf{B}_{\text{dR}} \otimes_{\mathbf{B}_e} W_{2,e}$.

If $W = (W_e, W_{\text{dR}}^+)$ is an E - B -pair with $W_{\text{dR}} = \mathbf{B}_{\text{dR}} \otimes_{\mathbf{B}_e} W_e$, we define the dual of W to be $W^* = (W_e^*, W_{\text{dR}}^{*,+})$, where W_e^* is $\text{Hom}_{\mathbf{B}_e}(W, \mathbf{B}_e)$ equipped with the natural G_K -action, and $W_{\text{dR}}^{*,+}$ is the G_K -stable lattice of $\mathbf{B}_{\text{dR}} \otimes_{\mathbf{B}_e} W_e^* \cong \text{Hom}_{\mathbf{B}_{\text{dR}}}(W_{\text{dR}}, \mathbf{B}_{\text{dR}})$ defined by

$$\{\ell \in \text{Hom}_{\mathbf{B}_{\text{dR}}}(W_{\text{dR}}, \mathbf{B}_{\text{dR}}) : \ell(x) \in \mathbf{B}_{\text{dR}}^+ \text{ for all } x \in W_{\text{dR}}^+\}.$$

The relation between (φ, Γ_K) -modules and B -pairs is built by Berger [3]. We recall Berger’s construction below.

Let M be a (φ, Γ_K) -module of rank d over the Robba ring $\mathbf{B}_{\text{rig},K}^\dagger$. Berger [3] showed that

$$W_e(M) := (\widetilde{\mathbf{B}}_{\text{rig}}^\dagger[1/t] \otimes_{\mathbf{B}_{\text{rig},K}^\dagger} M)^{\varphi=1}$$

is a free \mathbf{B}_e -module of rank d and equipped with a continuous semilinear G_K -action.

For sufficiently large $r_0 > 0$ we can take a unique Γ_K -stable finite free $\mathbf{B}_{\text{rig},K}^{\dagger,r}$ -submodule $M^r \subset M$ such that

$$\mathbf{B}_{\text{rig},K}^\dagger \otimes_{\mathbf{B}_{\text{rig},K}^{\dagger,r}} M^r = M$$

and

$$\text{id}_{\mathbf{B}_{\text{rig},K}^{\dagger,pr}} \otimes \varphi_M : \mathbf{B}_{\text{rig},K}^{\dagger,pr} \otimes_{\mathbf{B}_{\text{rig},K}^{\dagger,r}} M^r \xrightarrow{\sim} M^{pr}$$

for any $r \geq r_0$. Berger [3] showed that the \mathbf{B}_{dR}^+ -module

$$W_{\text{dR}}^+(M) := \mathbf{B}_{\text{dR}}^+ \otimes_{i_n, \mathbf{B}_{\text{rig},K}^{\dagger,(p-1)p^{n-1}}} M^{(p-1)p^{n-1}}$$

is independent of any n such that $(p-1)p^{n-1} \geq r_0$, and showed that there is a canonical G_K -equivariant isomorphism $\mathbf{B}_{\text{dR}} \otimes_{\mathbf{B}_e} W_e(M) \xrightarrow{\sim} \mathbf{B}_{\text{dR}} \otimes_{\mathbf{B}_{\text{dR}}^+} W_{\text{dR}}^+(M)$.

Put $W(M) = (W_e(M), W_{\text{dR}}^+(M))$. This is an E - B -pair of rank $d = \text{rank}_{\mathbf{B}_{\text{rig},K}^\dagger} M$.

The following is a variant version of Berger’s result [3, Theorem 2.2.7].

Proposition 2.3 ([11], Theorem 1.36). *The functor $M \mapsto W(M)$ is an exact functor and this gives an equivalence of categories between the category of E - (φ, Γ_K) -modules and the category of E - B -pairs of G_K .*

Proposition 2.4. *The functor $M \mapsto W(M)$ respects the tensor products and duals.*

Proof. Let M_1 and M_2 be two E - (φ, Γ_K) -modules. By taking φ -invariants, the isomorphism

$$\begin{aligned} (\widetilde{\mathbf{B}}_{\text{rig}}^\dagger[1/t] \otimes_{\widetilde{\mathbf{B}}_{\text{rig},K}^{\dagger,r}} M_1) \otimes_{\widetilde{\mathbf{B}}_{\text{rig}}^\dagger \otimes_{\mathbf{Q}_p} E[1/t]} (\widetilde{\mathbf{B}}_{\text{rig}}^\dagger[1/t] \otimes_{\widetilde{\mathbf{B}}_{\text{rig},K}^{\dagger,r}} M_2) \\ \xrightarrow{\sim} \widetilde{\mathbf{B}}_{\text{rig}}^\dagger[1/t] \otimes_{\widetilde{\mathbf{B}}_{\text{rig},K}^\dagger} (M_1 \otimes M_2) \end{aligned}$$

induces a G_K -equivariant injective map

$$W_e(M_1) \otimes_{\mathbf{B}_{e,E}} W_e(M_2) \rightarrow W_e(M_1 \otimes M_2).$$

Here, $M_1 \otimes M_2$ denotes the E - (φ, Γ_K) -module $M_1 \otimes_{\mathbf{B}_{\text{rig},K}^\dagger \otimes_{\mathbf{Q}_p} E} M_2$. Comparing dimensions and using [11, Lemma 1.10] we see that this map is in fact an isomorphism. From the above Berger’s construction we see that the natural map

$$W_{\text{dR}}^+(M_1) \otimes_{\mathbf{B}_{\text{dR}}^+ \otimes_{\mathbf{Q}_p} E} W_{\text{dR}}^+(M_2) \rightarrow W_{\text{dR}}^+(M_1 \otimes M_2)$$

is an isomorphism. This proves that the functor $M \mapsto W(M)$ respects tensor products. The proof of that it respects duals is similar. \square

2.3. Semistable E - B -pairs

Definition 2.5. An E - (φ, N) -module over K is a $K_0 \otimes_{\mathbf{Q}_p} E$ -module D with a $\varphi \otimes 1$ -semilinear isomorphism $\varphi_D : D \rightarrow D$, and a $K_0 \otimes_{\mathbf{Q}_p} E$ -linear map $N_D : D \rightarrow D$ such that $N_D \varphi_D = p \varphi_D N_D$. A filtered E - (φ, N) -module over K is an E - (φ, N) -module with an exhaustive \mathbf{Z} -indexed descending filtration Fil^\bullet on $K \otimes_{K_0} D$.

We have an isomorphism of rings

$$K \otimes_{\mathbf{Q}_p} E \xrightarrow{\sim} \bigoplus_{\tau \in \text{Emb}(K, E)} E_\tau, \quad a \otimes b \mapsto (\tau(a)b)_\tau, \tag{2.1}$$

where E_τ is a copy of E for each $\tau \in \text{Emb}(K, E)$. Let e_τ be the unity of E_τ . Then $1 = \sum_\tau e_\tau$. Put $D_\tau = e_\tau(K \otimes_{K_0} D)$. Then $K \otimes_{K_0} D = \bigoplus_{\tau \in \text{Emb}(K, E)} D_\tau$.

Let Fil_τ denote the induced filtration on D_τ .

Definition 2.6. Let $W = (W_e, W_{\text{dR}}^+)$ be an E - B -pair. We define $\mathbf{D}_{\text{cris}}(W) = (\mathbf{B}_{\text{max}} \otimes_{\mathbf{B}_e} W_e)^{G_K}$, $\mathbf{D}_{\text{st}}(W) = (\mathbf{B}_{\text{log}} \otimes_{\mathbf{B}_e} W_e)^{G_K}$ and $\mathbf{D}_{\text{dR}}(W) = (\mathbf{B}_{\text{dR}} \otimes_{\mathbf{B}_e} W_e)^{G_K}$. Then we have $\dim_{K_0}(\mathbf{D}_?(W)) \leq \text{rank}_{\mathbf{B}_e} W_e$ for $? = \text{cris, st}$, and $\dim_K(\mathbf{D}_{\text{dR}}(W)) \leq \text{rank}_{\mathbf{B}_e} W_e$. We say that W is *crystalline* (resp. *semistable*) if $\dim_{K_0}(\mathbf{D}_?(W)) := \text{rank}_{\mathbf{B}_e} W_e$ for $? = \text{cris}$ (resp. st).

If W is a semistable E - B -pair, we attach to W a filtered E - (φ, N) -module as follows. The underlying E - (φ, N) -module is $\mathbf{D}_{\text{st}}(W)$; the filtration on $\mathbf{D}_{\text{dR}}(W) = K \otimes_{K_0} \mathbf{D}_{\text{st}}(W)$ is given by $\text{Fil}^i \mathbf{D}_{\text{dR}}(W) = t^i W_{\text{dR}}^+ \cap \mathbf{D}_{\text{dR}}(W)$.

Proposition 2.7.

- (a) *The functor $W \mapsto \mathbf{D}_{\text{st}}(W)$ realizes an equivalence of categories between the category of semistable E - B -pairs of G_K and the category of filtered E - (φ, N) -modules over K .*
- (b) *If W_1 and W_2 are semistable, then so is $W_1 \otimes W_2$.*
- (c) *The functor $W \mapsto \mathbf{D}_{\text{st}}(W)$ respects the tensor products and duals.*
- (d) *If*

$$0 \longrightarrow W_1 \longrightarrow W \longrightarrow W_2 \longrightarrow 0$$

is a short exact sequence of E - B -pairs, and W is semistable, then W_1 and W_2 are semistable.

- (e) *The functor $W \mapsto \mathbf{D}_{\text{st}}(W)$ is exact.*

Proof. Assertion (a) follows from [3, Proposition 2.3.4]. See also [11, Theorem 1.18 (2)].

Let W_1 and W_2 be two E - B -pairs. The isomorphism

$$(\mathbf{B}_{\text{log}} \otimes_{\mathbf{B}_e} W_1) \otimes_{\mathbf{B}_{\text{log}} \otimes_{\mathbf{Q}_p} E} (\mathbf{B}_{\text{log}} \otimes_{\mathbf{B}_e} W_2) \xrightarrow{\sim} \mathbf{B}_{\text{log}} \otimes_{\mathbf{B}_e} (W_1 \otimes W_2)$$

induces an injective map

$$\mathbf{D}_{\text{st}}(W_1) \otimes_{K_0 \otimes_{\mathbf{Q}_p} E} \mathbf{D}_{\text{st}}(W_2) \rightarrow \mathbf{D}_{\text{st}}(W_1 \otimes W_2). \tag{2.2}$$

When W_1 and W_2 are semistable, the dimension of the source over K_0 is $\frac{\text{rank}_{\mathbf{B}_e} W_1 \text{rank}_{\mathbf{B}_e} W_2}{[E:\mathbf{Q}_p]}$. The dimension of the target over K_0 is always equal to or less than $\text{rank}_{\mathbf{B}_e}(W_1 \otimes W_2) = \frac{\text{rank}_{\mathbf{B}_e} W_1 \text{rank}_{\mathbf{B}_e} W_2}{[E:\mathbf{Q}_p]}$. Hence, (2.2) is an isomorphism, and so $W_1 \otimes W_2$ is semistable. This proves (b). Similarly, the isomorphism

$$(\mathbf{B}_{\text{dR}} \otimes_{\mathbf{B}_e} W_1) \otimes_{\mathbf{B}_{\text{dR}} \otimes_{\mathbf{Q}_p} E} (\mathbf{B}_{\text{dR}} \otimes_{\mathbf{B}_e} W_2) \xrightarrow{\sim} \mathbf{B}_{\text{dR}} \otimes_{\mathbf{B}_e} (W_1 \otimes W_2) \tag{2.3}$$

induces an isomorphism

$$\mathbf{D}_{\text{dR}}(W_1) \otimes_{K \otimes_{\mathbf{Q}_p} E} \mathbf{D}_{\text{dR}}(W_2) \rightarrow \mathbf{D}_{\text{dR}}(W_1 \otimes W_2).$$

Via the isomorphism (2.3) the filtration on $(\mathbf{B}_{\text{dR}} \otimes_{\mathbf{B}_e} W_1) \otimes_{\mathbf{B}_{\text{dR}} \otimes_{\mathbf{Q}_p} E} (\mathbf{B}_{\text{dR}} \otimes_{\mathbf{B}_e} W_2)$ coincides with that on $\mathbf{B}_{\text{dR}} \otimes_{\mathbf{B}_e} (W_1 \otimes W_2)$. Therefore, the filtration on $\mathbf{D}_{\text{dR}}(W_1) \otimes_{K \otimes_{\mathbf{Q}_p} E} \mathbf{D}_{\text{dR}}(W_2)$ and that on $\mathbf{D}_{\text{dR}}(W_1 \otimes W_2)$ coincide. Indeed, they are the restrictions of the filtrations on $(\mathbf{B}_{\text{dR}} \otimes_{\mathbf{B}_e} W_1) \otimes_{\mathbf{B}_{\text{dR}} \otimes_{\mathbf{Q}_p} E} (\mathbf{B}_{\text{dR}} \otimes_{\mathbf{B}_e} W_2)$ and $\mathbf{B}_{\text{dR}} \otimes_{\mathbf{B}_e} (W_1 \otimes W_2)$ respectively. Similarly we can show that $W \mapsto \mathbf{D}_{\text{st}}(W)$ respects duals. This proves (c).

For (d) we have the following exact sequence

$$0 \longrightarrow \mathbf{D}_{\text{st}}(W_1) \longrightarrow \mathbf{D}_{\text{st}}(W) \longrightarrow \mathbf{D}_{\text{st}}(W_2). \tag{2.4}$$

So (d) follows from a dimension argument. Furthermore, when W is semistable, $\mathbf{D}_{\text{st}}(W) \rightarrow \mathbf{D}_{\text{st}}(W_2)$ is surjective. For any $i \in \mathbf{Z}$ we write $d_i(W)$ for $\dim_K \text{Fil}^i \mathbf{D}_{\text{st}}(W)$. As the maps in the exact sequence (2.4) respect filtrations, we have $d_i(W) \leq d_i(W_1) + d_i(W_2)$. Similarly, we have $d_{1-i}(W^*) \leq d_{1-i}(W_1^*) + d_{1-i}(W_2^*)$. As $W \mapsto \mathbf{D}_{\text{st}}(W)$ respects duals, we have $d_i(W) = \dim_K(\mathbf{D}_{\text{dR}}(W)) - d_{1-i}(W^*)$. Then

$$\begin{aligned} d_i(W) &= \dim_K(\mathbf{D}_{\text{dR}}(W)) - d_{1-i}(W^*) \\ &\geq (\dim_K(\mathbf{D}_{\text{dR}}(W_1)) - d_{1-i}(W_1^*)) + \dim_K(\mathbf{D}_{\text{dR}}(W_2)) - d_{1-i}(W_2^*) \\ &= d_i(W_1) + d_i(W_2). \end{aligned}$$

Thus we must have $d_i(W) = d_i(W_1) + d_i(W_2)$ for all $i \in \mathbf{Z}$. In other words, the maps in (2.4) are strict for the filtrations, which shows (e). \square

By [3, Proposition 2.3.4] the quasi-inverse of the functor \mathbf{D}_{st} is given by

$$\mathbf{D}_B(D) = ((\mathbf{B}_{\log} \otimes_{K_0} D)^{\varphi=1, N=0}, \text{Fil}^0(\mathbf{B}_{\text{dR}} \otimes_{K_0} D)). \tag{2.5}$$

For a filtered E - (φ, N) -module D we put

$$\mathbf{X}_{\log}(D) = (\mathbf{B}_{\log} \otimes_{K_0} D)^{\varphi=1, N=0} \text{ and } \mathbf{X}_{\text{dR}}(D) = \mathbf{B}_{\text{dR}} \otimes_{K_0} D / \text{Fil}^0(\mathbf{B}_{\text{dR}} \otimes_{K_0} D).$$

If $\mathbf{D}_B(D) = (W_e, W_{\text{dR}}^+)$, then $\mathbf{X}_{\log}(D) = W_e$ and $\mathbf{X}_{\text{dR}}(D) = (\mathbf{B}_{\text{dR}} \otimes_{\mathbf{B}_e} W_e) / W_{\text{dR}}^+$.

3. S - B -pairs of Rank 1 and Triangulations

3.1. S - B -pairs of rank 1

Let S be a Banach E -algebra.

For any $a \in S^\times$ we define a filtered S - φ -module D_a as follows. As a $K_0 \otimes_{\mathbf{Q}_p} S$ -module,

$$D_a = K_0 \otimes_{\mathbf{Q}_p} S = \bigoplus_{\tau: K_0 \hookrightarrow E} S e_\tau;$$

the $\varphi \otimes 1$ -semilinear action φ on D_a satisfies

$$\varphi(e_{\text{id}}) = e_{\varphi^{-1}}, \varphi(e_{\varphi^{-1}}) = e_{\varphi^{-2}}, \dots, \varphi(e_{\varphi^{1-f}}) = a e_{\text{id}};$$

the descending filtration on $D_{a,K} = K \otimes_{\mathbf{Q}_p} S$ is given by $\text{Fil}^0 D_{a,K} = D_{a,K}$ and $\text{Fil}^1 D_{a,K} = 0$.

Lemma 3.1. *If $a \in S$ satisfies that $a-1$ is topologically nilpotent, then there exists a unit $u_0 \in \mathbf{B}_{\max} \widehat{\otimes}_{K_0} S$ such that $\varphi^{[K_0: \mathbf{Q}_p]}(u_0) = a u_0$. Consequently*

$$\{x \in \mathbf{B}_{\max} \widehat{\otimes}_{K_0} S : \varphi^{[K_0: \mathbf{Q}_p]}(x) = a x\} = (\mathbf{B}_{e, K_0} \widehat{\otimes}_{K_0} S) u_0.$$

Proof. Let \mathbf{Q}_p^{ur} be the completed unramified extension of \mathbf{Q}_p . Then there exists an inclusion $\mathbf{Q}_p^{\text{ur}} \hookrightarrow \mathbf{B}_{\max}$ that is compatible with φ .

As $\varphi^{[K_0:\mathbf{Q}_p]} - 1$ is surjective on \mathbf{Q}_p^{ur} , there exists a sequence $c_0 = 1, c_1, \dots$ of elements in \mathbf{Q}_p^{ur} such that

$$(\varphi^{[K_0:\mathbf{Q}_p]} - 1)c_i = c_{i-1}$$

for $i \geq 1$. The image of c_i by the map

$$\mathbf{Q}_p^{\text{ur}} \hookrightarrow \mathbf{B}_{\max} \rightarrow \mathbf{B}_{\max} \widehat{\otimes}_{K_0} S$$

is again denoted by c_i . Put

$$u_0 = \sum_{i=0}^{\infty} c_i (a - 1)^i.$$

Then u_0 is a unit and we have $\varphi^{[K_0:\mathbf{Q}_p]} u_0 = a u_0$. □

Proposition 3.2. *If $a \in S$ satisfies that $a - 1$ is topologically nilpotent, then $\mathbf{D}_B(D_a)$ is an S - B -pair of rank 1. Here \mathbf{D}_B is the functor defined by (2.5).*

Proof. For each $z \in \mathbf{B}_{\max} \widehat{\otimes}_{\mathbf{Q}_p} D_a$ we write $z = \sum c_{\tau} e_{\tau}$ with $c_{\tau} \in \mathbf{B}_{\max} \widehat{\otimes}_{K_0, \tau} S$. Then $\varphi(z) = z$ if and only if $\varphi(c_{\varphi^i}) = c_{\varphi^{i-1}}$ ($i = 1, \dots, [K_0 : \mathbf{Q}_p]$) and $\varphi^{[K_0:\mathbf{Q}_p]}(c_{\text{id}}) = a c_{\text{id}}$. Our assertion follows from Lemma 3.1. □

For any $a \in S^{\times}$, let $\delta_a : K^{\times} \rightarrow S^{\times}$ denote the character such that $\delta_a(\pi_K) = a$ and $\delta_a|_{\mathfrak{o}_K^{\times}} = 1$.

Remark 3.3. In the case of $S = E$, for any $u \in E^{\times}$, $\mathbf{D}_B(D_u)$ coincides with the E - B -pair $W(\delta_u)$ defined in [11] (see [11, §1.4]). From now on the base change of $W(\delta_u)$ from E to S is again denoted by $W(\delta_u)$.

Let $\delta : K^{\times} \rightarrow S^{\times}$ be a continuous character such that $\delta(\pi_K)$ is of the form $\delta(\pi_K) = au$, where $u \in E^{\times}$ and $a \in S$ satisfies that $a - 1$ is topologically nilpotent. We call such a character a *good character*. Let W_a be the resulting S - B -pair in Proposition 3.2. Let δ' be the unitary continuous character $K^{\times} \rightarrow E^{\times}$ such that $\delta'|_{\mathfrak{o}_K^{\times}} = \delta|_{\mathfrak{o}_K^{\times}}$ and $\delta'(\pi_K) = 1$. By local class field theory, this induces a continuous character $\widetilde{\delta}' : G_K \rightarrow S^{\times}$ such that $\widetilde{\delta}' \circ \text{rec}_K = \delta'$. Then we put

$$W(\delta) = W(S(\widetilde{\delta}')) \otimes W(\delta_u) \otimes W_a,$$

where $W(S(\widetilde{\delta}'))$ is the S - B -pair attached to the Galois representation $S(\widetilde{\delta}')$.

If δ is a continuous character $\delta : K^\times \rightarrow S^\times$, we write $\log(\delta)$ for the logarithmic of $\delta|_{\mathfrak{o}_K^\times}$, which is a \mathbf{Z}_p -linear homomorphism $\log(\delta) : K \rightarrow S$.

For any $\tau \in \text{Emb}(K, E)$ we use the same notation τ to denote the composition of $\tau : K \hookrightarrow E$ and $E \hookrightarrow S$. Then $\{\tau : K \hookrightarrow S\}$ is a basis of $\text{Hom}_{\mathbf{Z}_p}(E, S)$ over S . Write $\log(\delta) = \sum_\tau k_\tau \tau$, $k_\tau \in S$. We call $(k_\tau)_\tau$ the *weight vector* of δ and denote it by $\vec{w}(\delta)$. We use $w_\tau(\delta)$ to denote k_τ .

Remark 3.4. Let S be an affinoid algebra over E . For any continuous character $\delta : K^\times \rightarrow S^\times$ and any point z_0 of $\text{Max}(S)$, there exists an affinoid neighborhood $U = \text{Max}(S')$ of z_0 in $\text{Max}(S)$ such that the restriction of δ to U is good.

Lemma 3.5. *Let δ be a character of K^\times with values in $S = E[Z]/(Z^2)$, $\bar{\delta}$ the character of K^\times with values in E obtained from δ modulo (Z) . Write $\delta = \bar{\delta}_S(1 + Z\epsilon)$, where $\bar{\delta}_S$ is the character $K^\times \xrightarrow{\bar{\delta}} E^\times \hookrightarrow S^\times$. Let ϵ' be the additive character of G_K such that $\epsilon' \circ \text{rec}_K(p) = 0$ and $\epsilon' \circ \text{rec}_K|_{\mathfrak{o}_K^\times} = \epsilon|_{\mathfrak{o}_K^\times}$.*

Assume that $W(\bar{\delta})$ is crystalline and $\varphi^{[K_0:\mathbf{Q}_p]}$ acts on $\mathbf{D}_{\text{cris}}(W(\bar{\delta}))$ by α . Then there is a nonzero element

$$x \in (\mathbf{B}_{\text{max},E} \otimes_{\mathbf{B}_{e,E}} W(\delta)_e)^{\varphi^{[K_0:\mathbf{Q}_p]} = \alpha(1 + Zv_p(\pi_K)\epsilon(p)), G_K = (1 + Z\epsilon')}$$

whose reduction modulo Z is a basis of $\mathbf{D}_{\text{st}}(W(\bar{\delta}))$ over $K \otimes_{\mathbf{Q}_p} E$.

Proof. This follows from the fact that $W(\delta) = W(\bar{\delta}_S) \otimes W_{\delta_{1+Zv_p(\pi_K)\epsilon(p)}} \otimes W(1 + Z\epsilon')$. □

3.2. Triangulations and refinements

Now let S be an affinoid algebra over E . For any open affinoid subset U of S and any S - B -pair W let W_U denote the restriction to U of W .

Definition 3.6. Let W be an S - B -pair of rank n , z_0 a point of $\text{Max}(S)$. If there is

- an affinoid neighborhood $U = \text{Max}(S_U)$ of z_0 ,
- a strictly increasing filtration

$$\{0\} = \text{Fil}_0 W_U \subset \text{Fil}_1 W_U \subset \dots \subset \text{Fil}_n W_U = W_U$$

of saturated free sub- S_U - B -pairs, and

- n good continuous characters $\delta_i : \mathbf{Q}_p^\times \rightarrow S_U^\times$

such that for any $i = 1, \dots, n$,

$$\text{Fil}_i W_U / \text{Fil}_{i-1} W_U \simeq W(\delta_i),$$

we say that W is *locally triangulable* at z_0 ; we call Fil_\bullet a *local triangulation* of W at z_0 , and call $(\delta_1, \dots, \delta_n)$ the *local triangulation parameters* attached to Fil_\bullet .

Please consult [6, 4] for more knowledge on triangulations.

To discuss the relation between triangulations and refinements, we restrict ourselves to the case of $S = E$.

Let D be a filtered E - (φ, N) -module of rank n . The operator $\varphi^{[K_0:\mathbf{Q}_p]}$ on D is $K_0 \otimes_{\mathbf{Q}_p} E$ -linear. We assume that the eigenvalues of $\varphi^{[K_0:\mathbf{Q}_p]} : D \rightarrow D$ are all in $K_0 \otimes_{\mathbf{Q}_p} E$, i.e. there exists a basis of D over $K_0 \otimes_{\mathbf{Q}_p} E$ such the matrix of $\varphi^{[K_0:\mathbf{Q}_p]}$ with respect to this basis is upper-triangular.

Following Mazur [9] we define a *refinement* of D to be a filtration on D

$$0 = \mathcal{F}_0 D \subset \mathcal{F}_1 D \subset \dots \subset \mathcal{F}_n D = D$$

by E -subspaces stable by φ_D and N_D , such that each factor $\text{gr}_i^{\mathcal{F}} D = \mathcal{F}_i D / \mathcal{F}_{i-1} D$ ($i = 1, \dots, n$) is of rank 1 over $K_0 \otimes_{\mathbf{Q}_p} E$. Any refinement fixes an ordering $\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_n$ of eigenvalues of $\varphi^{[K_0:\mathbf{Q}_p]}$ and an ordering $\vec{k}_1, \dots, \vec{k}_n$ of Hodge-Tate weights of $K \otimes_{K_0} D$ taken with multiplicities such that the eigenvalue of $\varphi^{[K_0:\mathbf{Q}_p]}$ on $\text{gr}_i^{\mathcal{F}} D$ is α_i and the Hodge-Tate weight of $\text{gr}_i^{\mathcal{F}} D$ is \vec{k}_i .

We have the following analogue of [1, Proposition 1.3.2].

Proposition 3.7. *Let W be a semistable E - B -pair, $D = \mathbf{D}_{\text{st}}(W)$.*

- (a) *The equivalence of categories between the category of semistable E - B -pairs and the category of filtered E - (φ, N) -modules induces a bijection between the set of triangulations on W and the set of refinements on D .*

- (b) If $(\text{Fil}_i W)$ is a triangulation of W with triangulation parameters $(\delta_1, \dots, \delta_n)$ that correspond to a refinement $\mathcal{F}_\bullet D$ of D with the ordering of Hodge-Tate weights being $\vec{k}_1, \dots, \vec{k}_n$, then $\delta_i = \tilde{\delta}_i \prod_{\tau \in \text{Emb}(K, E)} \tau(x)^{k_i, \tau}$, where $\tilde{\delta}_i$ is a smooth character.

Proof. Assertion (a) follows from the fact that \mathbf{D}_{st} is an exact. Assertion (b) follows from [11, Lemma 4.1]. □

4. Cohomology Theory

4.1. Cohomology of (φ, Γ_K) -modules and cohomology of B -pairs

Let M be a (φ, Γ_K) -module. Assume that Γ_K has a topological generator γ . Define the cohomology $H_{\Phi\Gamma}^\bullet(M)$ by the complex $C^\bullet(M)$ defined by

$$C^0(M) = M \xrightarrow{(\gamma-1, \varphi-1)} C^1(M) = M \oplus M \rightarrow C^2(M) = M,$$

where the map $C^1(M) \rightarrow C^2(M)$ is given by $(x, y) \mapsto (\varphi - 1)x - (\gamma - 1)y$. Denote the kernel of $C^1(M) \rightarrow C^2(M)$ by $Z^1(M)$.

There is a one-to-one correspondence between $H^1(M)$ and the set of extensions of M_0 by M in the category of (φ, Γ_K) -modules, where $M_0 = \mathbf{B}_{\text{rig}, K}^\dagger e_0$ is the trivial (φ, Γ_K) -module with $\varphi(e_0) = \gamma(e_0) = e_0$. Let \tilde{M} be an extension of M_0 by M , and let \tilde{e} be any lifting of e_0 in \tilde{M} . Then the element in $H^1(M)$ corresponding to the extension \tilde{M} is the class of $((\gamma - 1)\tilde{e}, (\varphi - 1)\tilde{e}) \in Z^1(M)$.

In [11] Nakamura introduced a cohomology for B -pairs and use it to compute the cohomology of (φ, Γ_K) -modules.

If $W = (W_e, W_{\text{dR}}^+)$ is an E - B -pair, let $C^\bullet(W)$ be the complex of G_K -modules defined by

$$C^0(W) := W_e \rightarrow C^1(W) := W_{\text{dR}}/W_{\text{dR}}^+.$$

Here, $W_e \rightarrow W_{\text{dR}}/W_{\text{dR}}^+$ is the natural map.

Definition 4.1. Let $W = (W_e, W_{\text{dR}}^+)$ be an E - B -pair. We define the *Galois cohomology* of W by $H_B^i(W) := H^i(G_K, C^\bullet(W))$.

By definition there is a long exact sequence

$$\cdots \rightarrow H_B^i(W) \rightarrow H^i(G_K, W_e) \rightarrow H^i(G_K, W_{\text{dR}}/W_{\text{dR}}^+) \rightarrow \cdots \quad (4.1)$$

For a G_K -module M put $C^0(M) = M$ and let $C^i(M)$ be the space of continuous functions from $(G_K)^{\times i}$ to M . Let $\delta_0 : C^0(M) \rightarrow C^1(M)$ be the map $x \mapsto (g \mapsto g(x) - x)$ and let $\delta_1 : C^1(M) \rightarrow C^2(M)$ be the map $f \mapsto ((g_1, g_2) \mapsto f(g_1g_2) - f(g_1) - g_1f(g_2))$.

Nakamura [11] showed that $H_B^1(W)$ is isomorphic to $\ker(\tilde{\delta}_1)/\text{im}(\tilde{\delta}_0)$, where $\tilde{\delta}_0$ and $\tilde{\delta}_1$ are defined by

$$\begin{aligned} \tilde{\delta}_0 : C^0(W_e) \oplus C^0(W_{\text{dR}}^+) &\rightarrow C^1(W_e) \oplus C^1(W_{\text{dR}}^+) \oplus C^0(W_{\text{dR}}) : \\ &(x, y) \mapsto (\delta_0(x), \delta_0(y), x - y), \\ \tilde{\delta}_1 : C^1(W_e) \oplus C^1(W_{\text{dR}}^+) \oplus C^0(W_{\text{dR}}) &\rightarrow C^2(W_e) \oplus C^2(W_{\text{dR}}^+) \oplus C^1(W_{\text{dR}}) : \\ &(f_1, f_2, x) \mapsto (\delta_1(f_1), \delta_1(f_2), f_1 - f_2 - \delta_0(x)). \end{aligned}$$

The map $H_B^1(W) \rightarrow H^1(G_K, W_e)$ is induced by the forgetful map

$$C^1(W_e) \oplus C^1(W_{\text{dR}}^+) \oplus C^0(W_{\text{dR}}) \rightarrow C^1(W_e).$$

There is a one-to-one correspondence between $H^1(G_K, W)$ and the set of extensions of W_0 by W in the category of E - B -pairs. Here, $W_0 = (\mathbf{B}_e \otimes_{\mathbf{Q}_p} E, \mathbf{B}_{\text{dR}}^+ \otimes_{\mathbf{Q}_p} E)$ is the trivial E - B -pair. Let $\tilde{W} = (\tilde{W}_e, \tilde{W}_{\text{dR}}^+)$ be an extension of W_0 by W . Let $(\tilde{w}_e, \tilde{w}_{\text{dR}}^+)$ be a lifting in \tilde{W} of $(1, 1) \in W_0$. Then the element in $H_B^1(W)$ corresponding to the extension \tilde{W} is just the class of $((\sigma \mapsto (\sigma - 1)\tilde{w}_e), (\sigma \mapsto (\sigma - 1)\tilde{w}_{\text{dR}}^+), \tilde{w}_e - \tilde{w}_{\text{dR}}^+) \in \ker(\tilde{\delta}_1)$.

By Proposition 2.3 there is a one-to-one correspondence between $\text{Ext}(M_0, M)$ and $\text{Ext}(W_0, W(M))$. It induces a natural isomorphism

$$i_M : H_{\Phi\Gamma}^1(M) \rightarrow H_B^1(W(M)).$$

4.2. 1-cocycles from infinitesimal deformations

Let S be the E -algebra $E[Z]/(Z^2)$, \tilde{M} an S - (φ, Γ_K) -module. Let $\{e_1, \dots, e_n\}$ be an S -basis of \tilde{M} , $\{e_1^*, \dots, e_n^*\}$ the dual basis of \tilde{M}^* . Put $M = \tilde{M} \otimes_S E$

and $M^* = \tilde{M}^* \otimes_S E$. Let $e_{i,z}$ denote $e_i \bmod Z$, and $e_{j,z}^*$ denote $e_j^* \bmod Z$. Then $\{e_{1,z}, \dots, e_{n,z}\}$ is an E -basis of M , and $\{e_{1,z}^*, \dots, e_{n,z}^*\}$ is an E -basis of M^* .

The matrices of φ and γ with respect to $\{e_1, \dots, e_n\}$ are denote by \tilde{A}_φ and \tilde{A}_γ respectively, so that $\varphi(e_j) = \sum_i (\tilde{A}_\varphi)_{ij} e_i$ and $\gamma(e_j) = \sum_i (\tilde{A}_\gamma)_{ij} e_i$. Write $\tilde{A}_\varphi = (I_n + ZU_\varphi)A_\varphi$ and $\tilde{A}_\gamma = (I_n + ZU_\gamma)A_\gamma$. Put

$$c_{\Phi\Gamma}(\tilde{M}) = \left(\sum_{i,j} (U_\varphi)_{i,j} e_{j,z}^* \otimes e_{i,z}, \sum_{i,j} (U_\gamma)_{i,j} e_{j,z}^* \otimes e_{i,z} \right).$$

Write $\mathbf{D}_B(\tilde{M}) = (\tilde{W}_e, \tilde{W}_{\text{dR}}^+)$, $\mathbf{D}_B(M) = W$ and $\mathbf{D}_B(M^*) = W^*$.

Let f_1, \dots, f_n be a basis of \tilde{W}_e over $\mathbf{B}_{e,E}$, and let g_1, \dots, g_n be a basis of \tilde{W}_{dR}^+ over $\mathbf{B}_{\text{dR},E}^+$. We write the matrix of $\sigma \in G_K$ with respect to the basis $\{f_1, \dots, f_n\}$ by $(I_n + ZU_{e,\sigma})A_{e,\sigma}$, and the matrix of σ with respect to the basis $\{g_1, \dots, g_n\}$ by $(I_n + ZU_{\text{dR},\sigma}^+)A_{\text{dR},\sigma}^+$. Here,

$$U_{e,\sigma} \in M_n(\mathbf{B}_{e,E}), U_{\text{dR},\sigma}^+ \in M_n(\mathbf{B}_{\text{dR},E}^+), A_{e,\sigma} \in \text{GL}_n(\mathbf{B}_{e,E}),$$

and

$$A_{\text{dR},\sigma}^+ \in \text{GL}_n(\mathbf{B}_{\text{dR},E}^+).$$

Write $(f_1, \dots, f_n) = (g_1, \dots, g_n)(I_n + ZU_{\text{dR}})A_{\text{dR}}$ and put

$$c_B(\tilde{M}) = \left((\sigma \mapsto \sum_{i,j} (U_{e,\sigma})_{ij} f_{j,z}^* \otimes f_{i,z}), (\sigma \mapsto \sum_{i,j} (U_{\text{dR},\sigma}^+)_{ij} g_{j,z}^* \otimes g_{i,z}), \sum_{i,j} (U_{\text{dR}})_{ij} g_{j,z}^* \otimes g_{i,z} \right).$$

Proposition 4.2.

- (a) $c_{\Phi\Gamma}(\tilde{M})$ is in $Z^1(M^* \otimes M)$.
- (b) $c_B(\tilde{M})$ is in $\ker(\tilde{\delta}_{1,W^* \otimes W})$.
- (c) We have $i_M([c_{\Phi\Gamma}(\tilde{M})]) = [c_B(\tilde{M})]$.

Proof. It is easy to verify (a) and (b).

Put $M_S^* = M^* \otimes_E S$. We consider $M_S^* \otimes_S \tilde{M}$ as an extension of $M^* \otimes_E M$ by itself, and form the following commutative diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc}
 & & & & M_0 & & \\
 & & & & \downarrow & & \\
 0 & \longrightarrow & M^* \otimes_E M & \longrightarrow & M_S^* \otimes_S \tilde{M} & \longrightarrow & M^* \otimes_E M \longrightarrow 0,
 \end{array}$$

where the vertical map $M_0 \rightarrow M^* \otimes_E M$ is given by $1 \mapsto \sum_{i=1}^n e_{i,z}^* \otimes e_{i,z}$, which does not depend on the choice of the basis $\{e_1, \dots, e_n\}$. Pulling back $M_S^* \otimes_S \tilde{M}$ via $M_0 \rightarrow M^* \otimes_E M$ we obtain an extension of M_0 by $M^* \otimes_E M$. Let \mathcal{M} denote the resulting extension. Then \mathcal{M} is a sub- E - B -pair of $M_S^* \otimes_S \tilde{M}$. Put $\mathbf{D}_B(\mathcal{M}) = (\mathcal{W}_e, \mathcal{W}_{\text{dR}}^+)$.

A lifting of 1 in \mathcal{W}_e is $\sum_j f_{j,z}^* \otimes f_j$, and a lifting of 1 in $\mathcal{W}_{\text{dR}}^+$ is $\sum_j g_{j,z}^* \otimes g_j$. We have

$$\begin{aligned} (\sigma-1) \sum_j f_{j,z}^* \otimes f_j &= \sigma(f_{1,z}^*, \dots, f_{n,z}^*) \otimes \sigma \begin{pmatrix} f_1 \\ f_2 \\ \vdots \\ f_n \end{pmatrix} - (f_{1,z}^*, \dots, f_{n,z}^*) \otimes \begin{pmatrix} f_1 \\ f_2 \\ \vdots \\ f_n \end{pmatrix} \\ &= (f_{1,z}^*, \dots, f_{n,z}^*) (A_{e,\sigma}^t)^{-1} \otimes A_{e,\sigma}^t (1 + zU_{e,\sigma}^t) \\ &= (f_{1,z}^*, \dots, f_{n,z}^*) \otimes U_{e,\sigma}^t z \begin{pmatrix} f_1 \\ f_2 \\ \vdots \\ f_n \end{pmatrix}. \end{aligned}$$

Similarly,

$$(\sigma-1) \sum_j g_{j,z}^* \otimes g_j = (g_{1,z}^*, \dots, g_{n,z}^*) \otimes (U_{\text{dR},\sigma}^+)^t z \begin{pmatrix} g_1 \\ g_2 \\ \vdots \\ g_n \end{pmatrix},$$

and

$$\sum_j f_{j,z}^* \otimes f_j - \sum_j g_{j,z}^* \otimes g_j = (g_{1,z}^*, \dots, g_{n,z}^*) \otimes U_{\text{dR}}^t z \begin{pmatrix} g_1 \\ g_2 \\ \vdots \\ g_n \end{pmatrix}.$$

Hence the element in $H_B^1(\mathbf{D}_B(M^* \otimes_E M))$ attached to the extension $\mathbf{D}_B(\mathcal{M})$ is $[c_B(\tilde{M})]$.

A similar computation shows that the element in $H_{\Phi\Gamma}^1(M^* \otimes_E M)$ attached to the extension \mathcal{M} is $[c_{\Phi\Gamma}(\tilde{M})]$. Now (c) follows. \square

5. The Reciprocity Law and an Application

5.1. Reciprocity law

In [14, Section 2] using local class field theory Zhang precisely described the perfect pairing

$$H^1(G_K, E) \times H^1(G_K, E(1)) \rightarrow H^2(G_K, E(1)).$$

We recall it below.

The Kummer theory gives us a canonical isomorphism so called the Kummer map

$$\begin{aligned} \varprojlim_n (K^\times / (K^\times)^{p^n}) \otimes_{\mathbf{Z}_p} E &\rightarrow H^1(G_K, E(1)) \\ \sum_i \alpha_i \otimes a_i &\mapsto \sum_i a_i [(\alpha_i)]. \end{aligned}$$

Here (α) is the 1-cocycle such that

$$\frac{g({}^p\sqrt{\alpha})}{\alpha} = \varepsilon_n^{(\alpha_g)}$$

for $\alpha \in K^\times$ and $g \in G_K$, where $({}^p\sqrt{\alpha})^p = \alpha$. Combining the Kummer map and the exponent map

$$\exp : p\mathfrak{o}_K \rightarrow K^\times$$

and extending it by linearity we obtain an embedding from $K \otimes_{\mathbf{Q}_p} E$ to $H^1(G_K, E(1))$, again denoted by \exp . Then we have

$$H^1(G_K, E(1)) = \exp(K \otimes_{\mathbf{Q}_p} E) \oplus E \cdot [(p)].$$

Let $\text{Hom}(G_K, E)$ be the group of additive characters of G_K with values in E . As the action of G_K on E is trivial, $H^1(G_K, E)$ is naturally isomorphic to $\text{Hom}(G_K, E)$. Let $\psi_0 : G_K \rightarrow E$ be the additive character that vanishes on the inertial subgroup of G_K and maps the geometrical Frobenius to $[K_0 : \mathbf{Q}_p]$. For any $\tau \in \text{Emb}(K, E)$ let ψ_τ be the composition $\tau \circ \log \circ \text{rec}_K^{-1,2}$, where \log

²Since the character ψ_τ of the Weil group W_K sends any lifting of the q th power Frobenius to 0, it can be extended to a character of G_K which is again denoted by ψ_τ

is normalized such that $\log(p) = 0$. Then $\{\psi_0, \psi_\tau : \tau \in \text{Emb}(K, E)\}$ is an E -basis of $H^1(G_K, E)$.

Lemma 5.1 (Zhang, Proposition 2.1). *The cup product of $a_0\psi_0 + \sum_{\tau \in \text{Emb}(K, E)} a_\tau\psi_\tau$ ($a_0, a_\tau \in E$) and $b_0[(p)] + \exp(b)$ ($b_0 \in E, b \in K \otimes_{\mathbf{Q}_p} E$) is*

$$\left(a_0b_0 - \text{tr}_{K/\mathbf{Q}_p}((a_\tau)_\tau \cdot b)\right)(\psi_0 \cup [(p)]).$$

Here, $(a_\tau)_\tau$ is considered as an element in $K \otimes_{\mathbf{Q}_p} E$ via the isomorphism (2.1).

Lemma 5.2. *For $\lambda_0, \lambda_\tau \in E$ ($\tau \in \text{Emb}(K, E)$), the extension of E (as a trivial G_K -module) by E corresponding to the cocycle $\lambda_0\psi_0 + \sum_{\tau \in \text{Emb}(K, E)} \lambda_\tau\psi_\tau$ is de Rham if and only if $\lambda_\tau = 0$ for each τ .*

Proof. By [11, Lemma 4.3], the subspace of extensions of E by E that are de Rham is 1-dimensional, and so consists of those corresponding to the cocycles $\lambda_0\psi_0$ ($\lambda_0 \in E$). □

5.2. An auxiliary formula

Let $\vec{\mathcal{L}} = (\mathcal{L}_\sigma)_{\sigma:K \hookrightarrow E}$ be a vector. We consider $\vec{\mathcal{L}}$ as an element of $K \otimes_{\mathbf{Q}_p} E$ via the isomorphism (2.1).

Let D be a filtered E - (φ, N) -module: the underlying E - (φ, N) -module D is a $(K_0 \otimes_{\mathbf{Q}_p} E)$ -module with a basis $\{f_1, f_2, f_3\}$ such that

$$\varphi^{[K_0:\mathbf{Q}_p]}f_1 = p^{-[K_0:\mathbf{Q}_p]}f_1, \varphi^{[K_0:\mathbf{Q}_p]}f_2 = f_2, \varphi^{[K_0:\mathbf{Q}_p]}f_3 = f_3,$$

and

$$N(f_1) = 0, N(f_2) = -f_1, N(f_3) = f_1;$$

the filtration on

$$K \otimes_{K_0} D = (K \otimes_{\mathbf{Q}_p} E)f_1 \oplus (K \otimes_{\mathbf{Q}_p} E)f_2 \oplus (K \otimes_{\mathbf{Q}_p} E)f_3$$

satisfies

$$\text{Fil}^i D = \begin{cases} (K \otimes_{\mathbf{Q}_p} E)(f_2 - \vec{\mathcal{L}}f_1) \oplus (K \otimes_{\mathbf{Q}_p} E)(f_3 + \vec{\mathcal{L}}f_1) & \text{if } i = 0, \\ 0 & \text{if } i > 0. \end{cases}$$

Let π_i be the projection map

$$\mathbf{X}_{\log}(D) \rightarrow \mathbf{B}_{\log,E}, \quad \sum_{j=1}^3 a_j f_j \mapsto a_i.$$

Lemma 5.3. *Let $c : G_K \rightarrow \mathbf{X}_{\log}(D)$ be a 1-cocycle whose class in $H^1(G_K, \mathbf{X}_{\log}(D))$ belongs to $\ker(H^1(G_K, \mathbf{X}_{\log}(D)) \rightarrow H^1(G_K, \mathbf{X}_{\mathrm{dR}}(D)))$. Then there exist*

$$\gamma_{2,0}, \gamma_{2,\tau}, \gamma_{3,0}, \gamma_{3,\tau} \in E$$

($\tau \in \mathrm{Emb}(K, E)$) such that

$$\pi_2(c) = \gamma_{2,0}\psi_0 + \sum_{\tau \in \mathrm{Emb}(K,E)} \gamma_{2,\tau}\psi_\tau$$

and

$$\pi_3(c) = \gamma_{3,0}\psi_0 + \sum_{\tau \in \mathrm{Emb}(K,E)} \gamma_{3,\tau}\psi_\tau.$$

Furthermore,

$$\gamma_{2,0} - \gamma_{3,0} = \sum_{\tau \in \mathrm{Emb}(K,E)} \mathcal{L}_\tau(\gamma_{2,\tau} - \gamma_{3,\tau}).$$

In our proof of Lemma 5.3 we need the following

Lemma 5.4. *Let D be an E - (φ, N) -module. If Fil_1 and Fil_2 are two filtrations on $K \otimes_{K_0} D$ such that $\mathrm{Fil}_1^0(K \otimes_{K_0} D) = \mathrm{Fil}_2^0(K \otimes_{K_0} D)$, then the kernel of*

$$H^1(G_K, \mathbf{X}_{\log}(D)) \rightarrow H^1(G_K, \mathbf{X}_{\mathrm{dR}}(D, \mathrm{Fil}_1))$$

coincides with the kernel of

$$H^1(G_K, \mathbf{X}_{\log}(D)) \rightarrow H^1(G_K, \mathbf{X}_{\mathrm{dR}}(D, \mathrm{Fil}_2)).$$

Proof. The proof is similar to that of [13, Proposition 2.5] □

Proof of Lemma 5.3. The argument is similar to the proof of [13, Lemma 5.1]. We only give a sketch.

Write $c_\sigma = \lambda_{1,\sigma}f_1 + \lambda_{2,\sigma}f_2 + \lambda_{3,\sigma}f_3$. As c takes values in $\mathbf{X}_{\log}(D)$, we have $\lambda_{2,\sigma}, \lambda_{3,\sigma} \in E$. This ensures the existence of $\gamma_{2,0}, \gamma_{2,\tau}, \gamma_{3,0}, \gamma_{3,\tau}$.

Let Fil be the filtration on D such that $Fil^{-1}D = D$ and $Fil^i D = Fil^i D$ if $i \geq 0$. Then (D, Fil) is admissible. Let V be the semistable E -representation of G_K attached to $D_V = (D, Fil)$. By Lemma 5.4, $[c]$ is in the kernel of $H^1(G_K, \mathbf{X}_{\log}(D_V)) \rightarrow H^1(G_K, \mathbf{X}_{\mathrm{dR}}(D_V))$ and so there exists a 1-cocycle $c^{(1)} : G_K \rightarrow V$ such that the image of $[c^{(1)}]$ by $H^1(G_K, V) \rightarrow H^1(G_K, \mathbf{X}_{\log}(D_V))$ is $[c]$.

We form the following commutative diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccccccccc}
 & & & & V' & & & & (5.1) \\
 & & & & \downarrow & & & & \\
 0 & \longrightarrow & V_0 & \longrightarrow & V & \longrightarrow & T & \longrightarrow & 0 \\
 & & \parallel & & \downarrow \pi_{V, V_1} & & \downarrow & & \\
 0 & \longrightarrow & V_0 & \longrightarrow & V_1 & \longrightarrow & T_1 & \longrightarrow & 0
 \end{array}$$

with the horizontal lines being exact, where V_0 (resp. V') is the subrepresentation of V corresponding to the filtered E - (φ, N) -submodule of D_V generated by f_1 (resp. by $f_2 + f_3$) which is admissible. From (5.1) we obtain the following commutative diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccccc}
 H^1(G_K, V) & \longrightarrow & H^1(G_K, T) & \longrightarrow & H^2(G_K, V_0) \\
 \downarrow \pi_{V, V_1} & & \downarrow & & \parallel \\
 H^1(G_K, V_1) & \longrightarrow & H^1(G_K, T_1) & \longrightarrow & H^2(G_K, V_0),
 \end{array}$$

where the horizontal lines are exact.

Write $c^{(2)}$ for the 1-cocycle $G_K \xrightarrow{c^{(1)}} V \rightarrow T \rightarrow T_1$. By a simple computation we obtain

$$[c^{(2)}] = \left[\left((\gamma_{2,0} - \gamma_{3,0})\psi_0 + \sum_{\tau \in \mathrm{Emb}(K, E)} (\gamma_{2,\tau} - \gamma_{3,\tau})\psi_\tau \right) \bar{f}_2 \right],$$

where \bar{f}_2 is the image of $f_2 \in V$ in T_1 . Note that T_1 is isomorphic to E , and V_0 is isomorphic to $E(1)$. Being the image of $[\pi_{V, V_1}(c^{(1)})]$ in $H^1(T_1)$, $[c^{(2)}]$ lies in the kernel of $H^1(G_K, T_1) \rightarrow H^2(G_K, V_0)$. By [14, Lemma 5.5], as an extension of E by $E(1)$, V_1 corresponds to the element $[(p)] + \exp(\vec{\mathcal{L}})$. Now Lemma 5.1 yields our second assertion. □

6. *L*-invariants

Let D be a filtered E - (φ, N) -module of rank n . Fix a refinement \mathcal{F} of D . Then \mathcal{F} fixes an ordering $\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_n$ of the eigenvalues of $\varphi^{[K_0:\mathbf{Q}_p]}$ and an ordering $\vec{k}_1, \dots, \vec{k}_n$ of the Hodge-Tate weights.

6.1. The operator $N_{\mathcal{F}}$

The operator φ induces a $K_0 \otimes_{\mathbf{Q}_p} E$ -semilinear operator $\varphi_{\mathcal{F}}$ on $\text{gr}_{\bullet}^{\mathcal{F}} D = \bigoplus_{i=1}^n \mathcal{F}_i D / \mathcal{F}_{i-1} D$.

We define a $K_0 \otimes_{\mathbf{Q}_p} E$ -linear operator $N_{\mathcal{F}}$ on $\text{gr}_{\bullet}^{\mathcal{F}} D$. The definition is similar to the one defined in [13], so we omit some details.

For any $i \in \{1, \dots, n\}$, if $N(\mathcal{F}_i D) = N(\mathcal{F}_{i-1} D)$, we demand that $N_{\mathcal{F}}$ maps $\text{gr}_i^{\mathcal{F}} D$ to zero.

Now we assume that $N(\mathcal{F}_i D) \supsetneq N(\mathcal{F}_{i-1} D)$. Let j be the minimal integer such that

$$N(\mathcal{F}_i D) \subseteq N(\mathcal{F}_{i-1} D) + \mathcal{F}_j D.$$

Proposition 6.1. $N(\mathcal{F}_{i-1} D) \cap \mathcal{F}_j D = N(\mathcal{F}_{i-1} D) \cap \mathcal{F}_{j-1} D$.

Proof. Note that $\mathcal{F}_j D, \mathcal{F}_{j-1} D, N(\mathcal{F}_{i-1} D) + \mathcal{F}_j D$ and $N(\mathcal{F}_{i-1} D) + \mathcal{F}_{j-1} D$ are stable by φ . Thus $(N(\mathcal{F}_{i-1} D) + \mathcal{F}_j D) / (N(\mathcal{F}_{i-1} D) + \mathcal{F}_{j-1} D)$ is a φ -module, and so must be free over $K_0 \otimes_{\mathbf{Q}_p} E$. Hence the map

$$\mathcal{F}_j D / \mathcal{F}_{j-1} D \rightarrow (N(\mathcal{F}_{i-1} D) + \mathcal{F}_j D) / (N(\mathcal{F}_{i-1} D) + \mathcal{F}_{j-1} D) \tag{6.1}$$

is an isomorphism. It follows that $N(\mathcal{F}_{i-1} D) \cap \mathcal{F}_j D = N(\mathcal{F}_{i-1} D) \cap \mathcal{F}_{j-1} D$. \square

The operator N induces a $K_0 \otimes_{\mathbf{Q}_p} E$ -linear map

$$\mathcal{F}_i D / \mathcal{F}_{i-1} D \rightarrow (N(\mathcal{F}_{i-1} D) + \mathcal{F}_j D) / (N(\mathcal{F}_{i-1} D) + \mathcal{F}_{j-1} D).$$

We define the map $N_{\mathcal{F}} : \text{gr}_i^{\mathcal{F}} D \rightarrow \text{gr}_j^{\mathcal{F}} D$ to be the composition of this map and the inverse of (6.1).

Finally we extend $N_{\mathcal{F}}$ to the whole $\text{gr}_{\bullet}^{\mathcal{F}} D$ by $K_0 \otimes_{\mathbf{Q}_p} E$ -linearity. Note that $N_{\mathcal{F}} \varphi_{\mathcal{F}} = p \varphi_{\mathcal{F}} N_{\mathcal{F}}$. By definition, for any i we have either $N(\text{gr}_i^{\mathcal{F}} D) = 0$ or $N(\text{gr}_i^{\mathcal{F}} D) = \text{gr}_j^{\mathcal{F}} D$ for some j .

Definition 6.2. For $j \in \{1, \dots, n-1\}$ we say that j is *marked* (or a *marked index*) for \mathcal{F} if there is some $i \in \{2, \dots, n\}$ such that $N_{\mathcal{F}}(\text{gr}_i^{\mathcal{F}} D) = \text{gr}_j^{\mathcal{F}} D$.

Note that i and j in the above definition are determined by each other. We write $i = t_{\mathcal{F}}(j)$ and $j = s_{\mathcal{F}}(i)$.

Proposition 6.3. *The following two assertions are equivalent:*

- (a) s is marked and $t = t_{\mathcal{F}}(s)$.
- (b) $N_{\mathcal{F}_{t-1}} D \cap \mathcal{F}_s D = N_{\mathcal{F}_{t-1}} D \cap \mathcal{F}_{s-1} D$ and $N_{\mathcal{F}_t} D \cap \mathcal{F}_s D \supsetneq N_{\mathcal{F}_t} D \cap \mathcal{F}_{s-1} D$.

Proof. We have already seen that, if (a) holds, then (b) holds. Conversely, we assume that (b) holds. Then $N_{\mathcal{F}_t} D \cap \mathcal{F}_s D \supsetneq N_{\mathcal{F}_{t-1}} D \cap \mathcal{F}_s D$. Thus $N_{\mathcal{F}_t} D \supsetneq N_{\mathcal{F}_{t-1}} D$.

We show that $N_{\mathcal{F}_t} D \not\subseteq N_{\mathcal{F}_{t-1}} D + \mathcal{F}_{s-1} D$. If it is not true, then there exists $y \in \mathcal{F}_t D \setminus \mathcal{F}_{t-1} D$ which is a lifting of a basis of $\text{gr}_t^{\mathcal{F}} D$ over $K_0 \otimes_{\mathbf{Q}_p} E$ such that $N(y) \in \mathcal{F}_{s-1} D$. For any $z \in \mathcal{F}_t D$, write $z = w + \lambda y$ with $w \in \mathcal{F}_{t-1} D$ and $\lambda \in K_0 \otimes_{\mathbf{Q}_p} E$. If $N(z)$ is in $\mathcal{F}_s D$, then $N(w)$ is also in $\mathcal{F}_s D$. But $N_{\mathcal{F}_{t-1}} D \cap \mathcal{F}_s D = N_{\mathcal{F}_{t-1}} D \cap \mathcal{F}_{s-1} D$. Thus $N(w)$ is in $\mathcal{F}_{s-1} D$, which implies that $N(z) = N(w) + \lambda N(y)$ is also in $\mathcal{F}_{s-1} D$. So, $N_{\mathcal{F}_t} D \cap \mathcal{F}_s D = N_{\mathcal{F}_t} D \cap \mathcal{F}_{s-1} D$, a contradiction.

From $N_{\mathcal{F}_t} D \cap \mathcal{F}_s D \supsetneq N_{\mathcal{F}_{t-1}} D \cap \mathcal{F}_s D$ we see that there is $x \in \mathcal{F}_t D \setminus \mathcal{F}_{t-1} D$ such that $N(x) \in \mathcal{F}_s D$. We must have $N_{\mathcal{F}_t} D \subseteq N_{\mathcal{F}_{t-1}} D + \mathcal{F}_s D$. Otherwise, let j be the smallest integer such that $N_{\mathcal{F}_t} D \subseteq N_{\mathcal{F}_{t-1}} D + \mathcal{F}_j D$ and assume that $j > s$. Then $N_{\mathcal{F}}(x + \mathcal{F}_{t-1} D) = 0$, which contradicts the fact that $N_{\mathcal{F}} : \text{gr}_t^{\mathcal{F}} D \rightarrow \text{gr}_j^{\mathcal{F}} D$ is an isomorphism. \square

6.2. Strongly marked indices and \mathcal{L} -invariants

Assume that s is marked for \mathcal{F} and $t = t_{\mathcal{F}}(s)$. We consider the decompositions

$$\mathcal{F}_t D / \mathcal{F}_{s-1} D = (K_0 \otimes_{\mathbf{Q}_p} E) \cdot \bar{e}_s \oplus L \oplus (K_0 \otimes_{\mathbf{Q}_p} E) \bar{e}_t$$

that satisfy the following conditions:

- $\overline{\mathcal{F}}_1(\mathcal{F}_t D / \mathcal{F}_{s-1} D) = (K_0 \otimes_{\mathbf{Q}_p} E) \bar{e}_s$ and $\overline{\mathcal{F}}_{t-s}(\mathcal{F}_t D / \mathcal{F}_{s-1} D) = (K_0 \otimes_{\mathbf{Q}_p} E) \bar{e}_s \oplus L$, where $\overline{\mathcal{F}}$ is the refinement on $\mathcal{F}_t D / \mathcal{F}_{s-1} D$ induced by \mathcal{F} .

- Both L and $(K_0 \otimes_{\mathbf{Q}_p} E)\bar{e}_s \oplus (K_0 \otimes_{\mathbf{Q}_p} E)\bar{e}_t$ are stable by φ and N ; $\varphi^{[K_0:\mathbf{Q}_p]}(\bar{e}_t) = \alpha_t \bar{e}_t$ and $N(\bar{e}_t) = \bar{e}_s$.

Such a decomposition is called an *s-decomposition*.

Remark 6.4. *s*-decompositions may be not exist. However, if φ is semisimple, then *s*-decompositions always exist (see [13]).

Let *dec* denote an *s*-decomposition $\mathcal{F}_t D / \mathcal{F}_{s-1} D = E\bar{e}_s \oplus L \oplus E\bar{e}_t$.

There is a natural isomorphism $E\bar{e}_s \oplus E\bar{e}_t \rightarrow (\mathcal{F}_t D / \mathcal{F}_{s-1} D) / L$ of (φ, N) -modules. Usually the filtration on the filtered E - (φ, N) -submodule $E\bar{e}_s \oplus E\bar{e}_t$ and that on $(\mathcal{F}_t D / \mathcal{F}_{s-1} D) / L$ are different.

When these two filtrations satisfy certain compatible condition, we say the decomposition *dec* is perfect. Precisely, we say that *dec* is *perfect* if for any $\tau : K \hookrightarrow E$ we have $k_{s,\tau} < k_{t,\tau}$, and if there exist $k'_{s,\tau}, k'_{t,\tau}$ and $\mathcal{L}_{\text{dec},\tau} \in E$ satisfying $k_{s,\tau} \leq k'_{s,\tau} < k'_{t,\tau} \leq k_{t,\tau}$ such that the following conditions hold.

- The filtration on the filtered E - (φ, N) -submodule $E\bar{e}_s \oplus E\bar{e}_t$ satisfies

$$\text{Fil}_\tau^i(E\bar{e}_s \oplus E\bar{e}_t) = \begin{cases} E\bar{e}_{s,\tau} \oplus E\bar{e}_{t,\tau} & \text{if } i \leq k_{s,\tau}, \\ E(\bar{e}_{t,\tau} + \mathcal{L}_{\text{dec},\tau}\bar{e}_{s,\tau}) & \text{if } k_{s,\tau} < i \leq k'_{t,\tau}, \\ 0 & \text{if } i > k'_{t,\tau}, \end{cases}$$

- The filtration on the quotient of $\mathcal{F}_t D / \mathcal{F}_{s-1} D$ by L satisfies

$$\text{Fil}_\tau^i \mathcal{F}_t D / \mathcal{F}_{s-1} D = \begin{cases} E\bar{e}_{s,\tau} \oplus E\bar{e}_{t,\tau} & \text{if } i \leq k'_{s,\tau}, \\ E(\bar{e}_t + \mathcal{L}_{\text{dec},\tau}\bar{e}_s) & \text{if } k'_{s,\tau} < i \leq k_{t,\tau}, \\ 0 & \text{if } i > k_{t,\tau}, \end{cases}$$

where the images of \bar{e}_s and \bar{e}_t in $\mathcal{F}_t D / \mathcal{F}_{s-1} D$ are again denoted by \bar{e}_s and \bar{e}_t .

Definition 6.5. If there exists a perfect *s*-decomposition, we say that *s* is *strongly marked* (or a *strongly marked index*). In this case we attached to each pair (s, t) with $t = t_{\mathcal{F}}(s)$ an invariant $\vec{\mathcal{L}}_{\mathcal{F},s,t} = (\mathcal{L}_{\text{dec},\tau})_\tau$, where *dec* is a perfect *s*-decomposition. Proposition 6.6 below tells us that $\vec{\mathcal{L}}_{\mathcal{F},s,t}$ is independent of the choice of perfect *s*-decompositions. We call $\vec{\mathcal{L}}_{\mathcal{F},s,t}$ the *Fontaine-Mazur \mathcal{L} -invariant* associated to (\mathcal{F}, s, t) , and denote $\mathcal{L}_{\text{dec},\tau}$ by $\mathcal{L}_{\mathcal{F},s,t,\tau}$.

In the case of $t = s + 1$, s is strongly marked if and only if $k_{s,\tau} < k_{t,\tau}$ for all τ .

Proposition 6.6. *If dec_1 and dec_2 are two perfect s -decompositions, then $\mathcal{L}_{\text{dec}_1,\tau} = \mathcal{L}_{\text{dec}_2,\tau}$ for any τ .*

Proof. The argument is similar to the proof of [13, Proposition 4.9]. □

Let D^* be the filtered E - (φ, N) -module that is the dual of D . Let $\check{\mathcal{F}}$ be the refinement on D^* such that

$$\check{\mathcal{F}}_i D^* := (\mathcal{F}_{n-i} D)^\perp = \{y \in D^* : \langle y, x \rangle = 0 \text{ for all } x \in \mathcal{F}_{n-i} D\}.$$

We call $\check{\mathcal{F}}$ the *dual refinement* of \mathcal{F} .

If $L \subset M$ are submodules of D , then $M^\perp \subset L^\perp$. The pairing $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle : L^\perp \times M$ induces a non-degenerate pairing on $L^\perp/M^\perp \times M/L$, so that we can identify L^\perp/M^\perp with the dual of M/L naturally. In particular, $\text{gr}_i^{\check{\mathcal{F}}} D^*$ is naturally isomorphic to the dual of $\text{gr}_{n+1-i}^{\mathcal{F}} D$. Thus $\text{gr}_\bullet^{\check{\mathcal{F}}} D^*$ is naturally isomorphic to the dual of $\text{gr}_\bullet^{\mathcal{F}} D$.

Proposition 6.7.

- (a) $N_{\check{\mathcal{F}}}$ is dual to $-N_{\mathcal{F}}$.
- (b) s is marked for \mathcal{F} if and only if $n + 1 - t_{\mathcal{F}}(s)$ is marked for $\check{\mathcal{F}}$.
- (c) s is strongly marked for \mathcal{F} if and only if $n + 1 - t_{\mathcal{F}}(s)$ is strongly marked for $\check{\mathcal{F}}$.

Proof. The proof of (a) is similar to that of [13, Proposition 4.14]. The proof of (b) is similar to that of [13, Proposition 4.13]. The proof of (c) is similar to that of [13, Proposition 4.15 (a)]. □

7. Projection Vanishing Property

Put $S = E[Z]/(Z^2)$. Let z be the closed point defined by the maximal ideal (Z) of S .

Let $W = (W_e, W_{\text{dR}}^+)$ be an S - B -pair. Let $\{w_1, \dots, w_n\}$ be a $\mathbf{B}_{e,S}$ -basis of W_e . Suppose that W admits a triangulation Fil_\bullet . Let $(\delta_1, \dots, \delta_n)$ be the corresponding triangulation parameters. Then for each $i = 1, \dots, n$ there

exists a continuous additive character ϵ_i of K^\times with values in E such that $\delta_i = \delta_{i,z}(1 + Z\epsilon_i)$.

Suppose that W_z , the evaluation of W at z , is semistable, and let D_z be the filtered E - (φ, N) -module attached to W_z . Let \mathcal{F} be the refinement of D_z corresponding to the induced triangulation of W_z , and let $\{e_{1,z}, e_{2,z}, \dots, e_{n,z}\}$ be a $(K_0 \otimes_{\mathbf{Q}_p} E)$ -basis of D_z that is compatible with \mathcal{F} i.e. $\mathcal{F}_i D = (K_0 \otimes_{\mathbf{Q}_p} E)e_{1,z} \oplus \dots \oplus (K_0 \otimes_{\mathbf{Q}_p} E)e_{i,z}$. Let $\alpha_{i,z} \in E$ be such that $\varphi^{[K_0:\mathbf{Q}_p]}(e_{i,z}) = \alpha_{i,z}e_{i,z} \bmod \mathcal{F}_{i-1}$.

Let $x_{ij} \in \mathbf{B}_{\log,E}$ ($i, j = 1, \dots, n$) be such that

$$e_{i,z} = x_{1i}w_{1,z} + \dots + x_{ni}w_{n,z}. \tag{7.1}$$

Then $X = (x_{ij})$ is in $\mathrm{GL}_n(\mathbf{B}_{\log,E})$. Write the matrix of $\sigma \in G_K$ with respect to the basis $\{w_1, \dots, w_n\}$ by $(I_n + ZU_{e,\sigma})A_{e,\sigma}$. As $e_{1,z}, \dots, e_{n,z}$ are fixed by G_K , we have $X^{-1}A_{e,\sigma} \sigma(X) = I_n$ for all $\sigma \in G_K$.

For $i = 1, \dots, n$ put $e_i = x_{1i}w_1 + \dots + x_{ni}w_n$. Then $\{e_1, \dots, e_n\}$ is a basis of $\mathbf{B}_{\log,S} \otimes_S W_e$ over $\mathbf{B}_{\log,S}$.

Lemma 7.1. *If T is the matrix of φ_{D_z} for the basis $\{e_{1,z}, \dots, e_{n,z}\}$, then T is also the matrix of $\varphi_{\mathbf{B}_{\log,S} \otimes_S W_e}$ for the basis $\{e_1, \dots, e_n\}$.*

Proof. The assertion follows from the definition of $\{e_1, \dots, e_n\}$ and the fact that $w_{1,z}, \dots, w_{n,z}, w_1, \dots, w_n$ are fixed by φ . □

In Section 4.1 we attach to W an element $c_B(W)$ in $H^1_B(W_z^* \otimes W_z)$. Consider the composition

$$H^1_B(W_z^* \otimes W_z) \rightarrow H^1(G_K, W_{e,z}^* \otimes_{\mathbf{B}_{e,E}} W_{e,z}) \rightarrow H^1(G_K, \mathbf{B}_{\log,E} \otimes_E (D_z^* \otimes D_z)).$$

As the matrix of $\sigma \in G_K$ for the basis $\{e_1, \dots, e_n\}$ is $I_n + ZX^{-1}U_{e,\sigma}X$, from the discussion in Section 4 we see that the image of c_B in $H^1(G_K, \mathbf{B}_{\log,E} \otimes_E (D_z^* \otimes D_z))$ is the class of the 1-cocycle

$$(U_{e,\sigma})_{ij} w_{j,z}^* \otimes w_{i,z} = (X^{-1}U_{e,\sigma}X)_{ij} e_{j,z}^* \otimes e_{i,z}.$$

Let π_{hl} be the projection

$$\mathbf{B}_{\log,E} \otimes_E (D_z^* \otimes D_z) \rightarrow \mathbf{B}_{\log,E}, \quad \sum_{j,i} b_{ji} e_{j,z}^* \otimes e_{i,z} \mapsto b_{hl}. \tag{7.2}$$

For $h = 1, \dots, n$, let ϵ'_h be the additive character of G_K such that $\epsilon'_h \circ \text{rec}_K(p) = 0$ and $\epsilon'_h \circ \text{rec}_K|_{\mathfrak{o}_K^\times} = \epsilon_h|_{\mathfrak{o}_K^\times}$.

Theorem 7.2.

- (a) For any pair of integers (h, ℓ) such that $h < \ell$ we have $\pi_{h\ell}([c]) = 0$.
- (b) For any $h = 1, \dots, n$, $\pi_{h,h}([c])$ coincides with the image of $[\epsilon'_h]$ in $H^1(G_K, \mathbf{B}_{\log, E})$.

We call (a) the *projection vanishing property*.

Proof. The filtered E - (φ, N) -module attached to $W_z/\text{Fil}_{h-1}W_z$ is $D_z/\mathcal{F}_{h-1}D_z$. We denote the image of $e_{\ell,z}$ ($\ell \geq h$) in $D_z/\mathcal{F}_{h-1}D_z$ again by $e_{\ell,z}$.

Let δ'_h be the character of G_K such that $\delta'_h = 1 + Z\epsilon'_h$. By Lemma 3.5 there exists an element

$$x \in (\mathbf{B}_{\max, E} \otimes_{\mathbf{B}_{e, E}} (W/\text{Fil}_{h-1}W)_e)^{G_K = \delta'_h, \varphi^{[K_0: \mathbf{Q}_p]} = \alpha_{i, z}(1 + Zv_p(\pi_K)\epsilon_h(p))}$$

whose image in $D_z/\mathcal{F}_{h-1}D_z$ is $e_{h,z}$. Write $x = e_h + Z \sum_{\ell \geq h} \lambda_\ell e_\ell$ with $\lambda_\ell \in \mathbf{B}_{\log, E}$.

As the matrix of $\sigma \in G_K$ for the basis $\{e_1, \dots, e_n\}$ is $I_n + ZX^{-1}U_{e, \sigma}X$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} [1 + Z\epsilon'_h(\sigma)]x &= [1 + Z\epsilon'_h(\sigma)](e_h + Z \sum_{\ell \geq h} \lambda_\ell e_\ell) \\ &= \sigma(x) = e_h + Z \sum_{\ell \geq h} (X^{-1}U_{e, \sigma}X)_{\ell h} e_\ell + Z \sum_{\ell \geq h} \sigma(\lambda_\ell) e_\ell. \end{aligned}$$

For $\ell > h$, comparing the coefficients of e_ℓ we obtain

$$(X^{-1}U_{e, \sigma}X)_{\ell h} = (1 - \sigma)\lambda_\ell,$$

which shows (a). Similarly, comparing coefficients of e_h we obtain

$$(X^{-1}U_{e, \sigma}X)_{hh} - \epsilon'_h(\sigma) = (1 - \sigma)\lambda_h, \tag{7.3}$$

which implies (b). □

8. The proof of Theorem 1.2

We will need the following lemmas.

Lemma 8.1. *The inclusion $E \hookrightarrow \mathbf{B}_{e,E}$ induces an isomorphism*

$$H^1(G_K, E) \xrightarrow{\sim} \ker(N : H^1(G_K, \mathbf{B}_{e,E}) \rightarrow H^1(G_K, \mathbf{B}_{\log,E})).$$

Proof. The proof is identical to that of [13, Corollary 1.4]. □

Lemma 8.2. *The map $N : \mathbf{B}_{\log,E}^{\varphi=p} \rightarrow \mathbf{B}_{\log,E}^{\varphi=1}$ is surjective.*

Proof. The proof is identical to that of [13, Lemma 1.2]. □

For the proof of Theorem 1.2 we may assume that $S = E[Z]/(Z^2)$, and z is the closed point defined by the maximal ideal (Z) . Let W be as in Theorem 1.2. Replacing W by the E - B -pair $\mathcal{F}_t W / \mathcal{F}_{s-1} W$ and replacing \mathcal{F} by the induced refinement on $\mathcal{F}_t W / \mathcal{F}_{s-1} W$, we may assume that $s = 1$ and $t = n = \text{rank}_{\mathbf{B}_{e,E}}(W_e)$. Let $e_{1,z}, e_{2,z}, \dots, e_{n,z}$ be a $K_0 \otimes_{\mathbf{Q}_p} E$ -basis of D_z such that

$$(K_0 \otimes_{\mathbf{Q}_p} E)e_{1,z} \bigoplus L \bigoplus (K_0 \otimes_{\mathbf{Q}_p} E)e_{n,z} \tag{8.1}$$

with $L = \bigoplus_{i=2}^{n-1} (K_0 \otimes_{\mathbf{Q}_p} E)e_{i,z}$ a perfect 1-decomposition of D_z for \mathcal{F} (see §6.2 for the meaning of perfect decompositions). Let $e_{1,z}^*, e_{2,z}^*, \dots, e_{n,z}^*$ be the dual basis of D_z^* over $K_0 \otimes_{\mathbf{Q}_p} E$.

Let D_1 be the quotient of D_z by L , D_2^* the quotient of D_z^* by $\bigoplus_{i=2}^{n-1} (K_0 \otimes_{\mathbf{Q}_p} E)e_{i,z}^*$. Put $\mathcal{D} = D_2^* \otimes D_1$. The images of $e_{1,z}$ and $e_{n,z}$ in D_1 are again denoted by $e_{1,z}$ and $e_{n,z}$, and the images of $e_{1,z}^*$ and $e_{n,z}^*$ in D_2^* are again denoted by $e_{1,z}^*$ and $e_{n,z}^*$ respectively. So $e_{1,z}^* \otimes e_{1,z}, e_{1,z}^* \otimes e_{n,z}, e_{n,z}^* \otimes e_{1,z}, e_{n,z}^* \otimes e_{n,z}$ form a $K_0 \otimes_{\mathbf{Q}_p} E$ -basis of \mathcal{D} . Let \mathcal{D}_0 be the filtered E - (φ, N) -submodule of \mathcal{D} with a $K_0 \otimes_{\mathbf{Q}_p} E$ -basis $\{e_{1,z}^* \otimes e_{1,z}, e_{n,z}^* \otimes e_{1,z}, e_{n,z}^* \otimes e_{n,z}\}$. Let $\mathcal{W} = (\mathcal{W}_e, \mathcal{W}_{\text{dR}}^+)$ (resp. \mathcal{W}_0) be the E - B -pair attached to \mathcal{D} (resp. \mathcal{D}_0). Note that

$$\varphi^{[K_0:\mathbf{Q}_p]}(e_{1,z}^* \otimes e_{1,z}) = e_{1,z}^* \otimes e_{1,z}, \quad \varphi^{[K_0:\mathbf{Q}_p]}(e_{n,z}^* \otimes e_{n,z}) = e_{n,z}^* \otimes e_{n,z},$$

$$\varphi^{[K_0:\mathbf{Q}_p]}(e_{n,z}^* \otimes e_{1,z}) = p^{-[K_0:\mathbf{Q}_p]} e_{n,z}^* \otimes e_{1,z},$$

and

$$-N(e_{1,z}^* \otimes e_{1,z}) = N(e_{n,z}^* \otimes e_{n,z}) = e_{n,z}^* \otimes e_{1,z}, \quad N(e_{n,z}^* \otimes e_{1,z}) = 0$$

Let $\vec{\mathcal{L}}_{\mathcal{F}} = \vec{\mathcal{L}}_{\mathcal{F},s,t}$ be the \mathcal{L} -invariant defined in Definition 6.5. As (8.1) is a perfect decomposition, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \mathrm{Fil}^0(K \otimes_{K_0} \mathcal{D}) &= Ee_{n,z}^* \otimes (e_{n,z} + \vec{\mathcal{L}}_{\mathcal{F}}e_{1,z}) \oplus E(e_{1,z}^* - \vec{\mathcal{L}}_{\mathcal{F}}e_{n,z}^*) \otimes e_{1,z} \\ &\quad \oplus E(e_{1,z}^* - \vec{\mathcal{L}}_{\mathcal{F}}e_{n,z}^*) \otimes (e_{n,z} + \vec{\mathcal{L}}_{\mathcal{F}}e_{1,z}). \end{aligned}$$

and

$$\mathrm{Fil}^0(K \otimes_{K_0} \mathcal{D}_0) = Ee_{n,z}^* \otimes (e_{n,z} + \vec{\mathcal{L}}_{\mathcal{F}}e_{1,z}) \oplus E(e_{1,z}^* - \vec{\mathcal{L}}_{\mathcal{F}}e_{n,z}^*) \otimes e_{1,z}.$$

Consider W as an infinitesimal deformation of W_z . In Section 4.2 we attach to this infinitesimal deformation an element $c_B(W)$ in $H_B^1(W_z^* \otimes W_z)$. Let $[c]$ be the image of $c_B(W)$ by the composition

$$H_B^1(W_z^* \otimes W_z) \rightarrow H^1(G_K, W_{e,z}^* \otimes_{\mathbf{B}_{e,E}} W_{e,z}) \rightarrow H^1(G_K, \mathbf{B}_{\log,E} \otimes_{K_0 \otimes_{\mathbf{Q}_p} E} (D_z^* \otimes D_z)),$$

and choose a 1-cocycle c representing $[c]$. Write c in the form

$$c = \sum_{j,i} c_{j,i} e_{j,z}^* \otimes e_{i,z}$$

with $c_{i,j}$ being a 1-cocycle of G_K with values in $\mathbf{B}_{\log,E}$. By the projection vanishing property (Theorem 7.2 (a)) we have $[c_{1,n}] = 0$.

Lemma 8.3. *There exist $\xi_1, \xi_n \in \mathbf{B}_{e,E}$ and $\gamma_{1,0}, \gamma_{1,\tau}, \gamma_{n,0}, \gamma_{n,\tau}$ ($\tau \in \mathrm{Emb}(K, E)$) such that*

$$c_{1,1}(\sigma) = (\sigma - 1)\xi_1 + \gamma_{1,0}\psi_0(\sigma) + \sum_{\tau \in \mathrm{Emb}(K,E)} \gamma_{1,\tau}\psi_{\tau}(\sigma)$$

and

$$c_{n,n}(\sigma) = (\sigma - 1)\xi_n + \gamma_{n,0}\psi_0(\sigma) + \sum_{\tau \in \mathrm{Emb}(K,E)} \gamma_{n,\tau}\psi_{\tau}(\sigma)$$

for any $\sigma \in G_K$.

Proof. Let \bar{c}_B be the image of c_B in $H_B^1(\mathcal{W})$, and let \bar{c} be the 1-cocycle

$$\bar{c} = \sum_{j,i \in \{1,n\}} c_{j,i} e_{j,z}^* \otimes e_{i,z}$$

of G_K with values in $\mathbf{B}_{\log,E} \otimes_{K_0 \otimes_{\mathbf{Q}_p}} E \mathcal{D}$. Then the image of \bar{c}_B in

$$H^1(G_K, \mathbf{B}_{\log,E} \otimes_{K_0 \otimes_{\mathbf{Q}_p}} E \mathcal{D})$$

is $[\bar{c}]$.

Note that \bar{c} has values in $\mathcal{W}_e = (\mathbf{B}_{\log,E} \otimes_{K_0 \otimes_{\mathbf{Q}_p}} E \mathcal{D})^{\varphi=1, N=0}$. So, in particular $c_{1,1}$ and $c_{n,n}$ have values in $\mathbf{B}_{e,E}$. As $N\bar{c} = 0$, we have

$$N(c_{n,1}) = c_{1,1} - c_{n,n}, \quad -N(c_{1,1}) = N(c_{n,n}) = c_{1,n}.$$

As $[c_{1,n}] = 0$, the statement follows from Lemma 8.1. □

Write $\delta_i = \delta_{i,z}(1 + Z\epsilon_i)$. Let ϵ'_i be the additive character of G_K with values in E such that $\epsilon'_i \circ \text{rec}_K(p) = 0$ and $\epsilon'_i \circ \text{rec}_K|_{\mathfrak{o}_K^\times} = \epsilon_i|_{\mathfrak{o}_K^\times}$. Then there are $\epsilon_{i,\tau}$ ($\tau \in \text{Emb}(K, E)$) such that $\epsilon'_i = \sum_{\tau \in \text{Emb}(K, E)} \epsilon_{i,\tau} \psi_\tau$.

Lemma 8.4. *For $h = 1, n$ we have $[K_0 : \mathbf{Q}_p] \gamma_{h,0} = -v_p(\pi_K) \epsilon_h(p)$ and $\gamma_{h,\tau} = \epsilon_{h,\tau}$.*

Proof. We keep to use notations in the proof of Theorem 7.2. By (7.3) and Lemma 8.3 we have

$$\begin{aligned} (\sigma - 1)(\lambda_h) &= -(X^{-1}U_\sigma X)_{hh} + \sum_{\tau \in \text{Emb}(K, E)} \epsilon_{h,\tau} \psi_\tau(\sigma) \\ &= -(\sigma - 1)\xi_h - \gamma_{h,0} \psi_0(\sigma) + \sum_{\tau \in \text{Emb}(K, E)} (\epsilon_{h,\tau} - \gamma_{h,\tau}) \psi_\tau(\sigma). \end{aligned}$$

Note that there exists $\omega \in W(\overline{\mathbf{F}}_p)$ such that $\varphi(\omega) - \omega = 1$, where $W(\overline{\mathbf{F}}_p)$ is the ring of Witt vectors with coefficients in the algebraic closure of \mathbf{F}_p . Then $(\sigma - 1)\omega = \psi_0(\sigma)$. Hence

$$\sum_{\tau \in \text{Emb}(K, E)} (\epsilon_{h,\tau} - \gamma_{h,\tau}) \psi_\tau(\sigma) = (\sigma - 1)(\lambda_h + \xi_h + \gamma_{h,0}\omega).$$

In other words, the cocycle $\sum_{\tau \in \text{Emb}(K, E)} (\epsilon_{h,\tau} - \gamma_{h,\tau}) \psi_\tau(\sigma)$ is de Rham. By Lemma 5.2 we have $\gamma_{h,\tau} = \epsilon_{h,\tau}$ and $\lambda_h + \xi_h + \gamma_{h,0}\omega \in E$. Then

$$(\varphi^{[K_0:\mathbf{Q}_p]} - 1)\lambda_h = -(\varphi - 1)\xi_h - \gamma_{h,0}(\varphi^{[K_0:\mathbf{Q}_p]} - 1)\omega = -[K_0 : \mathbf{Q}_p] \gamma_{h,0}. \tag{8.2}$$

By our choice of the basis $\{e_{1,z}, \dots, e_{n,z}\}$, $Y_1 = \bigoplus_{i=2}^n Z e_{i,z}$ is stable by φ . Put $Y_n = 0$. Let x be as in the proof of Theorem 7.2. By Lemma 7.1 we have $\varphi^{[K_0:\mathbf{Q}_p]} e_{h,z} = \alpha_{h,z} e_{h,z}$. Thus for $h = 1, n$ we have

$$\varphi^{[K_0:\mathbf{Q}_p]}(x) = (1 + Z\varphi^{[K_0:\mathbf{Q}_p]}(\lambda_h))\alpha_{h,z}e_h \pmod{Y_h}.$$

On the other hand,

$$\begin{aligned} \varphi^{[K_0:\mathbf{Q}_p]}(x) &= (1 + Zv_p(\pi_K)\epsilon_h(p))\alpha_{h,z}x \\ &= (1 + Zv_p(\pi_K)\epsilon_h(p))\alpha_{h,z}(1 + Z\lambda_h)e_h \pmod{Y_h}. \end{aligned}$$

Hence we obtain

$$(\varphi^{[K_0:\mathbf{Q}_p]} - 1)\lambda_h = v_p(\pi_K)\epsilon_h(p). \tag{8.3}$$

By (8.2) and (8.3) we have

$$[K_0 : \mathbf{Q}_p]\gamma_{h,0} = -(\varphi^{[K_0:\mathbf{Q}_p]} - 1)\lambda_h = -v_p(\pi_K)\epsilon_h(p),$$

as wanted. □

By Lemma 8.2 there exists some $y \in \mathbf{B}_{\log,E}^{\varphi=p}$ such that $N(y) = \xi_1 - \xi_n$. Let \bar{c}' be the 1-cocycle of G_K with values in $\mathbf{B}_{\log,E} \otimes_{K_0 \otimes \mathbf{Q}_p} E \mathcal{D}_0$ such that

$$\bar{c}' = c'_{1,1}e_{1,z}^* \otimes e_{1,z} + c'_{n,n}e_{n,z}^* \otimes e_{n,z} + c'_{n,1}e_{n,z}^* \otimes e_{1,z}$$

with

$$c'_{1,1} = \gamma_{1,0}\psi_0 + \sum_{\tau \in \text{Emb}(K,E)} \gamma_{1,\tau}\psi_\tau, \quad c'_{n,n} = \gamma_{n,0}\psi_0 + \sum_{\tau \in \text{Emb}(K,E)} \gamma_{n,\tau}\psi_\tau$$

and

$$c'_{n,1}(\sigma) = c_{n,1}(\sigma) - (\sigma - 1)y, \quad \sigma \in G_K.$$

It is easy to check that $\varphi(\bar{c}') = \bar{c}'$ and $N(\bar{c}') = 0$. Hence \bar{c}' is a 1-cocycle of G_K with values in $\mathbf{X}_{\log}(\mathcal{D}_0)$.

Proposition 8.5. *The image of $[\bar{c}']$ in $H^1(G_K, \mathbf{X}_{\log}(\mathcal{D}_0))$ belongs to the kernel of*

$$H^1(G_K, \mathbf{X}_{\log}(\mathcal{D}_0)) \rightarrow H^1(G_K, \mathbf{X}_{\text{dR}}(\mathcal{D}_0)).$$

Proof. Consider the following commutative diagram

$$\begin{CD} H^1(G_K, \mathbf{X}_{\log}(\mathcal{D}_0)) @>>> H^1(G_K, \mathbf{X}_{\mathrm{dR}}(\mathcal{D}_0)) \\ @VVV @VVV \\ H^1(G_K, \mathbf{X}_{\log}(\mathcal{D})) @>>> H^1(G_K, \mathbf{X}_{\mathrm{dR}}(\mathcal{D})). \end{CD}$$

The right vertical arrow in the above diagram is injective (see [13, Corollary 2.4]). So we only need to show that the image of $[\bar{c}']$ in $H^1(G_K, \mathbf{X}_{\mathrm{dR}}(\mathcal{D}))$ is zero. Note that

$$[\bar{c}'] = [\bar{c}] - [c_{1,n}e_{1,z}^* \otimes e_{n,z}] = -[c_{1,n}e_{1,z}^* \otimes e_{n,z}]$$

in $H^1(G_K, \mathbf{X}_{\mathrm{dR}}(\mathcal{D}))$. As the image of $[c_{1,n}]$ in $H^1(G_K, \mathbf{B}_{\log,E})$ is zero, so is its image in $H^1(G_K, \mathbf{B}_{\mathrm{dR},E}/\mathrm{Fil}^f \mathbf{B}_{\mathrm{dR},E})$, where f is the smallest integer such that $e_{1,z}^* \otimes e_{n,z} \in \mathrm{Fil}^{-f} \mathcal{D}_K$. Hence, the image of $[\bar{c}']$ in $H^1(G_K, \mathbf{X}_{\mathrm{dR}}(\mathcal{D}))$ is zero. \square

Now, applying Lemma 5.3 to \mathcal{D}_0 with $f_1 = e_{n,z}^* \otimes e_{1,z}$, $f_2 = e_{1,z}^* \otimes e_{1,z}$ and $f_3 = e_{n,z}^* \otimes e_{n,z}$, we get

$$\gamma_{n,0} - \gamma_{1,0} = \sum_{\tau \in \mathrm{Emb}(K,E)} \mathcal{L}_\tau(\gamma_{n,\tau} - \gamma_{1,\tau}).$$

Hence, by Lemma 8.4 we have

$$\frac{v_p(\pi_K)}{[K_0 : \mathbf{Q}_p]}(\epsilon_n(p) - \epsilon_1(p)) + \sum_{\tau \in \mathrm{Emb}(K,E)} \mathcal{L}_\tau(\epsilon_{n,\tau} - \epsilon_{1,\tau}) = 0.$$

As $\frac{d\delta_h(p)}{\delta_h(p)} = \epsilon_h(p)dZ$ and $d\vec{w}(\epsilon_h) = (\epsilon_{h,\tau}dZ)_\tau$, we obtain

$$\frac{1}{[K : \mathbf{Q}_p]} \left(\frac{d\delta_n(p)}{\delta_n(p)} - \frac{d\delta_1(p)}{\delta_1(p)} \right) + \vec{\mathcal{L}}_{\mathcal{F}} \cdot (d\vec{w}(\delta_n) - d\vec{w}(\delta_1)) = 0,$$

as desired. This finishes the proof of Theorem 1.2.

References

1. D. Benois, A generalization of Greenberg’s \mathcal{L} -invariant, *Amer. J. Math.*, **133** (2011), 1573-1632.

2. L. Berger, Représentations p -adiques et équations différentielles, *Invent. Math.*, **148** (2002), 219-284.
3. L. Berger, Construction de (φ, Γ) -modules: représentations p -adiques et B -paires, *Algebra Number Theory*, **2** (2008), 91-120.
4. L. Berger, Trianguline representations, *Bull. Lond. Math. Soc.*, **43** (2011), no. 4, 619-635.
5. P. Colmez, Représentations triangulines de dimension 2. *Astérisque*, **319** (2008), 213-258.
6. P. Colmez, Invariants \mathcal{L} et dérivées de valeurs propres de Frobenius. *Astérisque*, **331** (2010), 13-28.
7. J.-M. Fontaine, Le corps des périodes p -adiques, *Astérisque*, **223** (1994), 59-111.
8. R. Greenberg, G. Steven, p -adic L-functions and p -adic periods of modular forms, *Invent. Math.*, **111** (1993), 407-447.
9. B. Mazur, The theme of p -adic variation, Mathematics: frontiers and perspectives, *Amer. Math. Soc.*, Providence 2000, 433-459.
10. B. Mazur, J. Tate, J. Teitelbaum, On p -adic analogs of the conjectures of Birch and Swinnerton-Dyer, *Invent. Math.*, **84** (1986), 1-48.
11. K. Nakamura, Classification of two-dimensional split trianguline representations of p -adic fields, *Compos. Math.*, **145** (2009), 865-914.
12. J. Pottharst, The \mathcal{L} -invariant, the dual \mathcal{L} -invariant, and families, *Ann. Math. Qué.*, **40** (2016), 159-165.
13. B. Xie, Derivatives of Frobenius and Derivatives of Hodge-Tate weights. To appear in *Acta Mathematica Sinica*, a special issue on Arithmetic Algebraic Geometry, edited by Fu, Liu, Tian and Xu.
14. Y. Zhang, \mathcal{L} -invariants and logarithmic derivatives of eigenvalues of Frobenius, *Science China Mathematics*, **57** (2014), 1587-1604.