

REMARKS ON AFFINE SPRINGER FIBRES

G. LUSZTIG

Department of Mathematics, MIT, Cambridge MA 02139, USA.
E-mail: gyuri@math.mit.edu

Abstract

Let h be a regular semisimple element in a complex simple Lie algebra \mathfrak{g} . Let \mathfrak{t} be an indeterminate. We consider the “variety” of Iwahori subalgebras of \mathfrak{g} tensored with the power series in \mathfrak{t} which contain \mathfrak{t} times h . This variety admits a free action of a free abelian group of rank equal to the rank of \mathfrak{g} .

We describe a fundamental domain for this action.

Let G be a simply connected almost simple algebraic group over \mathbf{C} and let \mathfrak{g} be the Lie algebra of G . Let B be a Borel subgroup of G , let T be a maximal torus of B and let $\mathfrak{t}, \mathfrak{b}$ be the Lie algebras of T, B . Let \mathcal{B} be the variety of Borel subalgebras of \mathfrak{g} . For any nilpotent element $N \in \mathfrak{g}$ we set $\mathcal{B}_N = \{\mathfrak{b} \in \mathcal{B}; N \in \mathfrak{b}\}$ (a Springer fibre). In [1] an affine analogue of \mathcal{B}_N (“affine Springer fibre”) was introduced. Let $F = \mathbf{C}[[\epsilon]]$, $A = \mathbf{C}[[\epsilon]]$, where ϵ is an indeterminate and let $\mathfrak{g}(F) = F \otimes \mathfrak{g}$ (a Lie algebra over F), $L = A \otimes \mathfrak{g}$ (a Lie algebra over A). An element $\xi \in \mathfrak{g}(F)$ is said to be topologically nilpotent if $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \text{ad}(\xi)^n = 0$ in $\text{End}_F(\mathfrak{g}(F))$. Let \tilde{X} be the set of all Iwahori subalgebras of $\mathfrak{g}(F)$; this is an increasing union of projective varieties over \mathbf{C} . According to [1], for any regular semisimple, topologically nilpotent element $\xi \in \mathfrak{g}(F)$, the set $\tilde{X}_\xi = \{I \in \tilde{X}; \xi \in I\}$ is a nonempty, locally finite union of projective varieties all of the same dimension, say b_ξ . Let $[\tilde{X}_\xi]$ be the set of irreducible components of \tilde{X}_ξ , a finite or countable set.

Received November 17, 2019.

AMS Subject Classification: 20G99.

Key words and phrases: Simple Lie algebra, Iwahori subalgebra, fundamental domain.

Supported by NSF grant DMS-1855773.

In the remainder of this paper, h denotes a fixed regular element in \mathfrak{t} . Then $\epsilon h \in \mathfrak{g}(F)$ is regular semisimple, topologically nilpotent so that the affine Springer fibre $\tilde{X}_{\epsilon h} = \{I \in \tilde{X}; \epsilon h \in I\}$ is defined. From [1, §5] we see that $b_{\epsilon h} = \nu$ where $\nu = \dim \mathcal{B}$. As in [1, §3], there is a free abelian group Λ (see Sec. 2) of rank equal to the rank of \mathfrak{g} which acts freely on $\tilde{X}_{\epsilon h}$ in such a way that the induced Λ -action on $[\tilde{X}_{\epsilon h}]$ is also free and has only finitely many orbits. In this paper we will describe a fundamental domain for the Λ -action on $\tilde{X}_{\epsilon h}$. Namely, let \mathfrak{S}' be the Steinberg variety of triples $(E, \mathfrak{b}_1, \mathfrak{b}_2)$ where $\mathfrak{b}_1 \in \mathcal{B}, \mathfrak{b}_2 \in \mathcal{B}$ and $E \in \mathfrak{b}_1 \cap \mathfrak{b}_2$ is nilpotent. Let \mathfrak{S} be the fibre at \mathfrak{b} of the projection $\mathfrak{S}' \rightarrow \mathcal{B}, (E, \mathfrak{b}_1, \mathfrak{b}_2) \mapsto \mathfrak{b}_2$. We can identify \mathfrak{S} with $\{(E, \mathfrak{b}_1); \mathfrak{b}_1 \in \mathcal{B}, E \in \mathfrak{n} \cap \mathfrak{b}_1\}$. We state the following result.

Theorem 1. *There is a locally closed subvariety $\tilde{\Omega}$ of $\tilde{X}_{\epsilon h}$ which is a fundamental domain for the Λ -action on $\tilde{X}_{\epsilon h}$ such that $\tilde{\Omega}$ is isomorphic to \mathfrak{S} .*

From the theorem one can deduce some information on the representation of the affine Weyl group on the vector space $\mathbf{C}[\tilde{X}_{\epsilon h}]$ with basis $[\tilde{X}_{\epsilon h}]$ defined in [3], see Section 6.

I thank Peng Shan and Zhiwei Yun for discussions.

2. Let U be the unipotent radical of B . Let \mathfrak{n} be the Lie algebra of U . Let $G(F), U(F), T(F)$ be the group of F -points of G, U, F respectively. Let $G(F)$ be the group of F -points of G . Note that $G(F)$ acts naturally on $\mathfrak{g}(F)$ by the adjoint representation $g : x \mapsto \text{Ad}(g)(x)$. Let Λ be the subgroup of $T(F)$ consisting of the elements $\chi(\epsilon)$ where χ runs over the one parameter subgroups $\mathbf{C}^* \rightarrow T$ (viewed as homomorphisms $F^* \rightarrow T(F)$). Let X be the set of A -Lie subalgebras of $\mathfrak{g}(F)$ of the form $\text{Ad}(g)(L)$ for some $g \in G(F)$. We shall regard X as an increasing union of projective algebraic varieties over \mathbf{C} as in [2, §11]. For each $L' \in X$, $L'/\epsilon L'$ inherits from L' a bracket operation and becomes a simple Lie algebra over \mathbf{C} . Let $\pi_{L'} : L' \rightarrow L'/\epsilon L'$ be the obvious map. Let $\mathcal{B}_{L'}$ be the set of Borel subalgebras of $L'/\epsilon L'$. Now \tilde{X} consists of all \mathbf{C} -Lie subalgebra of $\mathfrak{g}(F)$ of the form $\pi_{L'}^{-1}(\mathfrak{b}')$ for some $L' \in X$ and some $\mathfrak{b}' \in \mathcal{B}_{L'}$. We define $\pi : \tilde{X} \rightarrow X$ by $I \mapsto L'$ where $I \subset L'$. Note that $g : I \mapsto \text{Ad}(g)I$ is a well defined action of $G(F)$ on \tilde{X} which is transitive. According to [1], $t : I \mapsto \text{Ad}(t)I$ defines a free action of Λ on

$\tilde{X}_{\epsilon h} = \{I \in \tilde{X}; \epsilon h \in I\}$ inducing a free action of Λ with finitely many orbits on $[\tilde{X}_{\epsilon h}]$. Let $X_{\epsilon h} = \{L' \in X; \epsilon h \in L'\}$.

If $\xi \in \mathfrak{n}(F) := F \otimes \mathfrak{n}$ then $\exp(\xi) \in U(F)$ is well defined. Let $\mathfrak{n}(F)' = \bigoplus_{i \in \mathbf{Z}; i < 0} \epsilon^i \mathfrak{n} \subset \mathfrak{n}(F)$. Let $U(F)' = \{\exp(\xi); \xi \in \mathfrak{n}(F)'\} \subset U(F)$. It is well known that any $L' \in X$ can be written in the form $\text{Ad}(t)\text{Ad}(u)L$ where $t \in \Lambda$, $u \in U(F)'$ are uniquely determined. Hence we have a partition $X_{\epsilon h} = \sqcup_{t \in \Lambda} X_{\epsilon h, t}$ where $X_{\epsilon h, t} = \{\text{Ad}(t)\text{Ad}(u)L; u \in U(F)', \epsilon h \in \text{Ad}(u)L\}$ is a locally closed subset of $X_{\epsilon h}$. Let $\tilde{X}_{\epsilon h, t} = \pi^{-1}(X_{\epsilon h, t})$. This is a locally closed subset of $\tilde{X}_{\epsilon h}$. Let $\Omega = X_{\epsilon h, 1}$, $\tilde{\Omega} = \tilde{X}_{\epsilon h, 1} = \pi^{-1}(\Omega)$. Note that

$$(a) \quad \tilde{X}_{\epsilon h} = \sqcup_{t \in \Lambda} \text{Ad}(t)\tilde{\Omega}$$

as a set. Thus, $\tilde{\Omega}$ is a fundamental domain for the Λ -action on $\tilde{X}_{\epsilon h}$. Let $\omega = \{\mathbf{E} \in \mathfrak{n}(F)'; \text{Ad}(\exp(\mathbf{E}))(\epsilon h) \in L\}$. In preparation for the proof of the theorem we will prove the following result.

Lemma 3. *The map $\mathbf{E} = \epsilon^{-1}E_1 + \epsilon^{-2}E_2 + \epsilon^{-3}E_3 + \dots \rightarrow E_1$ is a bijection $\phi: \omega \xrightarrow{\sim} \mathfrak{n}$. (Here E_1, E_2, E_3, \dots is a sequence of elements of \mathfrak{n} with $E_i = 0$ for large i .)*

The equation defining ω is $\exp(\text{ad}(\tilde{\mathbf{E}}))(\epsilon h) \in L$ that is

$$\begin{aligned} \epsilon h + \sum_{i \geq 1} \epsilon^{-i+1} [E_i, h] + (1/2) \sum_{i, j \geq 1} \epsilon^{-i-j+1} [E_i, [E_j, h]] \\ + (1/6) \sum_{i, j, k \geq 1} \epsilon^{-i-j-k+1} [E_i, [E_j, [E_k, h]]] + \dots \in L, \end{aligned}$$

that is

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{i \geq 2} \epsilon^{-i+1} [E_i, h] + (1/2) \sum_{i, j \geq 1} \epsilon^{-i-j+1} [E_i, [E_j, h]] \\ + (1/6) \sum_{i, j, k \geq 1} \epsilon^{-i-j-k+1} [E_i, [E_j, [E_k, h]]] + \dots \in L, \end{aligned}$$

that is

$$[E_r, h] = -(1/2) \sum_{i, j \geq 1, i+j=r} [E_i, [E_j, h]]$$

$$(a) \quad -(1/6) \sum_{i,j,k \geq 1, i+j+k=r} [E_i, [E_j, [E_k, h]]] + \dots$$

for $r = 2, 3, \dots$. In the right hand side we have $i < r, j < r, k < r$, etc. Hence if $E_{r'}$ is known for $r' < r$ then $[E_r, h]$ is a well defined element of \mathfrak{n} . Hence E_r is a well defined element of \mathfrak{n} . (Note that $E \mapsto [E, h]$ is a vector space isomorphism $\mathfrak{n} \xrightarrow{\sim} \mathfrak{n}$.)

It remains to show that $E_r = 0$ for large r . For $r \geq 1$ let \mathfrak{n}^r be the subspace of \mathfrak{n} spanned by all iterated brackets of r elements of \mathfrak{n} . (Thus, $\mathfrak{n}^1 = \mathfrak{n}$, \mathfrak{n}^2 is spanned by $[a, b]$ with a, b in \mathfrak{n} , \mathfrak{n}^3 is spanned by $[[a, b], c]$ with a, b, c in \mathfrak{n} , etc.) Note that

$$(b) \quad E \mapsto [E, h] \text{ is an isomorphism } \mathfrak{n}^r \rightarrow \mathfrak{n}^r \text{ for any } r \geq 1.$$

We show by induction on r that

$$(c) \quad E_r \in \mathfrak{n}^r \text{ for } r = 1, 2, \dots$$

For $r = 1$ this is clear. Assume now that $r \geq 2$. From (a) and the induction hypothesis we deduce that $[E_r, h] \in \mathfrak{n}^r$. Using (b) we see that for some $E' \in \mathfrak{n}^r$ we have $[E_r, h] = [E', h]$, hence $[E_r - E', h] = 0$, hence $E_r = E'$. Thus $E_r \in \mathfrak{n}^r$, proving (c). Since $\mathfrak{n}^r = 0$ for large r we see that $E_r = 0$ for large r . This completes the proof of the lemma.

4. For $E \in \mathfrak{n}$ we set $u_E = \exp(\mathbf{E}) \in U(F)'$ where $\mathbf{E} = \phi^{-1}(E)$ (see Lemma 3). Note that $\text{Ad}(u_E)(\epsilon h) \in L$. Now $\mathbf{E} \mapsto \text{Ad}(\exp(-\mathbf{E}))L$ is a bijection $\psi : \omega \xrightarrow{\sim} \Omega$. Hence $\psi' := \psi\phi^{-1} : \mathfrak{n} \rightarrow \Omega$ is a bijection. We have $\psi'(E) = \text{Ad}(u_E^{-1})L$. We show:

$$(a) \quad \text{Let } E \in \mathfrak{n} \text{ and let } L_E = \text{Ad}(u_E^{-1})L \in X. \text{ Note that } \epsilon h \in L_E. \text{ Then } \pi_{L_E}(\epsilon h) \in L_E/\epsilon L_E \text{ and } \pi_L(-[E, h]) \in L/\epsilon L \text{ correspond to each other under the Lie algebra isomorphism } \tau_E : L/\epsilon L \xrightarrow{\sim} L_E/\epsilon L_E \text{ induced by } \text{Ad}(u_E^{-1}) : L \xrightarrow{\sim} L_E.$$

We must show that $\text{Ad}(u_E)(\epsilon h) = -[E, h] \bmod \epsilon L$ or that $\text{Ad}(\exp(\mathbf{E}))(\epsilon h) = -[E, h] \bmod \epsilon L$ where $\mathbf{E} = \epsilon^{-1}E_1 + \epsilon^{-2}E_2 + \epsilon^{-3}E_3 + \dots$ corresponds to

$E = E_1$ as in Lemma 3. Thus we must show that

$$\begin{aligned} & \epsilon h + \sum_{i \geq 1} \epsilon^{-i+1} [E_i, h] + (1/2) \sum_{i, j \geq 1} \epsilon^{-i-j+1} [E_i, [E_j, h]] \\ & + (1/6) \sum_{i, j, k \geq 1} \epsilon^{-i-j-k+1} [E_i, [E_j, [E_k, h]]] + = -[E_1, h] \bmod \epsilon L, \end{aligned}$$

or that

$$\begin{aligned} & \sum_{i \geq 2} \epsilon^{-i+1} [E_i, h] + (1/2) \sum_{i, j \geq 1} \epsilon^{-i-j+1} [E_i, [E_j, h]] \\ & + (1/6) \sum_{i, j, k \geq 1} \epsilon^{-i-j-k+1} [E_i, [E_j, [E_k, h]]] + \dots \in \epsilon L. \end{aligned}$$

But the left hand side is actually zero, by the proof of Lemma 3. This proves (a).

From (a) we deduce:

(b) *the map $\beta \mapsto \tau_E(\beta)$ is a bijection $\{\beta \in \mathcal{B}_L; \pi_L(-[E, h]) \in \beta\} \rightarrow \{\beta' \in \mathcal{B}_{L_E}; \pi_{L_E}(\epsilon h) \in \beta'\}$.*

Taking union over all $E \in \mathfrak{n}$ and using the bijection $\psi' : \mathfrak{n} \rightarrow \Omega$ we deduce

(c) *the map $(E, \beta) \mapsto \pi_{L_E}^{-1}(\tau_E(\beta))$ is a bijection $\{(E, \beta) \in \mathfrak{n} \times \mathcal{B}_L; \pi_L(-[E, h]) \in \beta\} \xrightarrow{\sim} \tilde{\Omega}$.*

We consider the bijection

(d) $\{(E, \beta) \in \mathfrak{n} \times \mathcal{B}_L; \pi_L(-[E, h]) \in \beta\} \rightarrow \mathfrak{S}$

given by $(E, \beta) \mapsto (-[E, h], \mathfrak{b}_1)$ where $\mathfrak{b}_1 \in \mathcal{B}$ is defined by $\pi_L(\mathfrak{b}_1) = \beta$. The composition of the inverse of (d) with the bijection (c) is a bijection

(e) $\mathfrak{S} \xrightarrow{\sim} \tilde{\Omega}$.

From the proof we see that the bijection (e) is an isomorphism of algebraic varieties. This proves the theorem.

5. Let NT be the normalizer of T in G and let $W = NT/T$ be the Weyl group. For any $w \in W$ let \mathcal{B}_w be the variety consisting of all $\mathfrak{b}_1 \in \mathcal{B}$ such that $(\mathfrak{b}, \mathfrak{b}_1)$ are in relative position w . Note that \mathcal{B}_w is isomorphic to $\mathbf{C}^{|w|}$

where $|w| \in \mathbf{N}$ is the length of w . Let $\mathfrak{S}_w = \{(E, \mathfrak{b}_1) \in \mathfrak{S}; \mathfrak{b}_1 \in \mathcal{B}_w\}$. The second projection $\mathfrak{S}_w \rightarrow \mathcal{B}_w$ makes \mathfrak{S}_w into a vector bundle with fibres of dimension $\nu - |w|$. Hence \mathfrak{S}_w is isomorphic to \mathbf{C}^ν as an algebraic variety. We have a partition $\mathfrak{S} = \sqcup_{w \in W} \mathfrak{S}_w$ (as a set) with \mathfrak{S}_w locally closed in \mathfrak{S} (the closure of \mathfrak{S}_w in \mathfrak{S} is denoted by $\overline{\mathfrak{S}_w}$). Hence we have a partition $\tilde{\Omega} = \sqcup_{w \in W} \tilde{\Omega}_w$ (as a set) where $\tilde{\Omega}_w$ corresponds to \mathfrak{S}_w under 4(e). Note that $\tilde{\Omega}_w$ is isomorphic to \mathbf{C}^ν as an algebraic variety and that $\tilde{\Omega}_w$ is locally closed in $\tilde{\Omega}$. For $w \in W, t \in \Lambda$ we set $\tilde{\Omega}_{w,t} = \text{Ad}(t)\tilde{\Omega}_w$. Using 2(a) we see that

$$(a) \quad \tilde{X}_{eh} = \sqcup_{(w,t) \in W \times \Lambda} \tilde{\Omega}_{w,t}$$

as a set, where $\tilde{\Omega}_{w,t}$ is locally closed in \tilde{X}_{eh} and is isomorphic to \mathbf{C}^ν . Let $\overline{\tilde{\Omega}_{w,t}}$ be the closure of $\tilde{\Omega}_{w,t}$ in \tilde{X}_{eh} . Note that $\tilde{\Omega}_{w,t}$ is open dense in $\overline{\tilde{\Omega}_{w,t}}$. Since \tilde{X}_{eh} is of pure dimension ν , we see that

$$(b) \quad (w, t) \mapsto \overline{\tilde{\Omega}_{w,t}} \text{ is a bijection } W \times \Lambda \xrightarrow{\sim} [\tilde{X}_{eh}].$$

In particular,

$$(c) \quad \text{The number of } \Lambda\text{-orbits on } [\tilde{X}_{eh}] \text{ is equal to the order of } W.$$

A result closely related to (c) (but not (c) itself) appears in [4].

6. Let $[\mathfrak{S}]$ be the set of irreducible components of \mathfrak{S} (a finite set naturally indexed by W by $w \mapsto \overline{\mathfrak{S}_w}$). The bijection 5(b) gives rise to an imbedding $[\mathfrak{S}] \rightarrow [\tilde{X}_{eh}]$, $\overline{\mathfrak{S}_w} \mapsto \overline{\tilde{\Omega}_{w,1}}$ hence to an imbedding of vector spaces

$$(a) \quad \mathbf{C}[\mathfrak{S}] \rightarrow \mathbf{C}[\tilde{X}_{eh}]$$

with bases $[\mathfrak{S}], [\tilde{X}_{eh}]$. Springer has shown that W acts naturally on $\mathbf{C}[\mathfrak{S}]$ (this is known to be the regular representation of W in a nonstandard basis). In [3] it is shown that the affine Weyl group of G acts naturally on $\mathbf{C}[\tilde{X}_{eh}]$. Hence, by restriction, W acts on $\mathbf{C}[\tilde{X}_{eh}]$. From the definitions we see that the imbedding (a) is compatible with the W -actions.

References

1. D. Kazhdan and G. Lusztig, Fixed point varieties on affine flag manifolds, *Isr. J. Math.*, **162** (1988), 129-168.

2. G. Lusztig, Singularities, character formulas and a q -analog of weight multiplicities, *Astérisque*, **101-102** (1983), 208-229.
3. G. Lusztig, Affine Weyl groups and conjugacy classes in Weyl groups, *Transform. Groups*, (1996), 83-97.
4. C. C. Tsai, Components of affine Springer fibres, arxiv:1609.05176.