

## POSITIVE CONJUGACY CLASSES IN WEYL GROUPS

GEORGE LUSZTIG

Department of Mathematics, M.I.T., Cambridge, MA 02139, USA.  
E-mail: gyuri@math.mit.edu

**1.** Let  $W$  be a Weyl group. In this paper we introduce the notion of positive conjugacy class of  $W$ . This generalizes the notion of elliptic regular conjugacy class in the sense of Springer [9].

Let  $w \mapsto |w|$  be the length function on  $W$ . Let  $S = \{s \in W; |s| = 1\}$ .

Let  $v$  be an indeterminate. Recall that the Iwahori-Hecke algebra of  $W$  is the associative  $\mathbf{Q}(v)$ -algebra  $H$  which, as a  $\mathbf{Q}(v)$ -vector space has basis  $\{T_w; w \in W\}$  and has multiplication given by  $T_w T_{w'} = T_{ww'}$  if  $|ww'| = |w| + |w'|$  and  $(T_s + 1)(T_s - v^2) = 0$  if  $s \in S$ ; note that  $T_1$  is the unit element of  $H$ . This is a split semisimple algebra. Let  $\mathbf{q} = v^2$ .

For  $w, w'$  in  $W$  let  $N^{w,w'}$  be the trace of the  $\mathbf{Q}(v)$ -linear map  $H \rightarrow H$ ,  $h \mapsto T_w h T_{w'}^{-1}$ . We have  $N^{w,w'} \in \mathbf{Z}[\mathbf{q}]$  and

$$(a) \quad N^{w,w'} = \sum_{E \in \text{Irr}W} \text{tr}(T_w, E_v) \text{tr}(T_{w'}, E_v)$$

where  $\text{Irr}W$  is the set of irreducible  $\mathbf{Q}[W]$ -modules up to isomorphism and for  $E \in \text{Irr}W$ ,  $E_v$  denotes the corresponding simple  $H$ -module (which in [8, 3.3] is denoted by  $E(v^2)$ ). Note that when  $v$  is specialized to 1,  $H$  becomes the group algebra  $\mathbf{Q}[W]$  of  $W$  and  $N^{w,w'}$  specializes to  $N^{w,w'}(1)$ , the number of elements  $y \in W$  such that  $wy = yw'$ . In particular, if  $w \in W$ ,  $N^{w,w}$  specializes to  $n^w$ , the order of the centralizer of  $w$  in  $W$ ; thus the polynomial  $N^{w,w}$  can be viewed as a  $\mathbf{q}$ -analogue of the number  $n^w$ .

---

Received October 5, 2020 and in revised form December 22, 2020.

AMS Subject Classification: 20G99.

Key words and phrases: Weyl group, Hecke algebra, conjugacy class.

Supported by NSF grant DMS-1566618.

If  $C$  is a conjugacy class in  $W$  we denote by  $C_{min}$  the set of all  $y \in C$  such that  $C \rightarrow \mathbf{N}$ ,  $w \mapsto |w|$ , reaches its minimum at  $y$ . By a result of Geck and Pfeiffer [4, 3.2.9], for any  $E \in \text{Irr}W$ ,  $w \mapsto \text{tr}(T_w, E_v)$  is constant on  $C_{min}$ . Using this and (a) we see that  $w \mapsto N^{w,w}$  is constant on  $C_{min}$ . We say that  $C$  is *positive* if  $C \neq \{1\}$  and for some/any  $w \in C_{min}$  we have  $N^{w,w} \in \mathbf{N}[\mathbf{q}]$ . (We then also say that any element  $w \in C_{min}$  is positive.)

**2.** For any  $f \in \mathbf{Z}[\mathbf{q}]$  we write  $f = \sum_{i \geq 0} f_i \mathbf{q}^i$  where  $f_i \in \mathbf{Z}$ . For  $w \in W$  let  $S_w$  be the set of all  $s \in S$  such that  $s$  appears in some/any reduced expression for  $w$ . Let  $\mathcal{L}_w = \{s \in S; |sw| < |w|\}$ ,  $\mathcal{R}_w = \{s \in S; |ws| < |w|\}$ . For  $a, a'$  in  $W$  we write  $T_a T_{a'} = \sum_{b \in W} \phi(a, a', b) T_b$  where  $\phi(a, a', b) \in \mathbf{Z}[\mathbf{q}]$ . We show:

(a)  $\phi(a, a', b)_{|a|} \geq 0$ ,  $\phi(a, a', b)_i = 0$  for  $i > |a|$ . If  $\phi(a, a', b)_{|a|} \neq 0$  then either  $a' = b$ ,  $S_a \subset \mathcal{L}_{a'}$  or  $|b| < |a'|$ . If  $a' = b$ ,  $S_a \subset \mathcal{L}_{a'}$  then  $\phi(a, a', b)_{|a|} = 1$ .

We argue by induction on  $|a|$ . When  $|a| = 0$  the result is obvious. Assume now that  $|a| \geq 1$ . We write  $a = a_1 s$  where  $s \in S$ ,  $|a_1| = |a| - 1$ . If  $|sa'| = |a'| + 1$  then  $\phi(a, a', b) = \phi(a_1, sa', b)$  and the induction hypothesis shows that  $\phi(a, a', b)_i = 0$  for  $i \geq |a|$ . Since  $s \in S_a$ ,  $s \notin \mathcal{L}_{a'}$ , we see that the desired result holds. Next we assume that  $|sa'| = |a'| - 1$ . Then  $\phi(a, a', b) = \mathbf{q}\phi(a_1, sa', b) + (\mathbf{q} - 1)\phi(a_1, a', b)$ . From the induction hypothesis we see that  $\phi(a, a', b)_i = 0$  if  $i > |a|$ , that  $\phi(a, a', b)_{|a|} = \phi(a_1, sa', b)_{|a_1|} + \phi(a_1, a', b)_{|a_1|} \geq 0$  and that if  $\phi(a, a', b)_{|a|} \neq 0$  then either  $\phi(a_1, sa', b)_{|a_1|} \neq 0$  or  $\phi(a_1, a', b)_{|a_1|} \neq 0$ , so that we are in one of the cases (i)-(iv) below.

- (i)  $sa' = b$ ,
- (ii)  $|b| < |sa'|$ ;
- (iii)  $a' = b$ ,  $S_{a_1} \subset \mathcal{L}_{a'}$ ;
- (iv)  $|b| < |a'|$ .

In case (i), (ii), (iii) we have  $|b| < |a'|$ ; in case (iii) have  $a' = b$  and  $S_a \subset \mathcal{L}_{a'}$  (since  $S_a = S_{a_1} \cup \{s\}$ ), as desired. Now assume that  $a' = b$ ,  $S_a \subset \mathcal{L}_{a'}$ . It remains to show that  $\phi(a_1, sa', b)_{|a_1|} + \phi(a_1, a', b)_{|a_1|} = 1$ . By the induction hypothesis we have  $\phi(a_1, a', b)_{|a_1|} = 1$  (since  $S_{a_1} \subset \mathcal{L}_{a'}$ )  $\phi(a_1, sa', b)_{|a_1|} = 0$  (since  $sa' \neq b$  and  $|b| \not< |sa'|$ ). This completes the proof.

The following result is proved in the same way as (a).

(b)  $\phi(a, a', b)_{|a'|} \geq 0$ ,  $\phi(a, a', b)_i = 0$  for  $i > |a'|$ . If  $\phi(a, a', b)_{|a'|} \neq 0$  then either  $a=b$ ,  $S_{a'} \subset \mathcal{R}_{a'}$  or  $|b| < |a|$ . If  $a=b$ ,  $S_{a'} \subset \mathcal{R}_{a'}$  then  $\phi(a, a', b)_{|a'|} = 1$ . For  $a, a', a''$  in  $W$  we have  $T_a T_{a'} T_{a''} = \sum_{b \in W} f(a, a', a'', b) T_b$  where  $f(a, a', a'', b) \in \mathbf{Z}[\mathbf{q}]$ . Let  $n = |a| + |a''|$ . We show:

(c)  $f(a, a', a'', a')_n \geq 0$ ,  $f(a, a', a'', a')_i = 0$  for  $i > n$ . If  $f(a, a', a'', a')_n \neq 0$  then  $S_a \subset \mathcal{L}_{a'}$  and  $S_{a''} \subset \mathcal{R}_{a'}$ . Conversely, if  $S_a \subset \mathcal{L}_{a'}$  and  $S_{a''} \subset \mathcal{R}_{a'}$  then  $f(a, a', a'', a')_n = 1$ .

We have  $f(a, a', a'', a') = \sum_{c \in W} \phi(a, a', c) \phi(c, a'', a')$ . Hence for  $i \geq 0$  we have  $f(a, a', a'', a')_i = \sum_{c \in W; j \geq 0, j' \geq 0, j+j'=i} \phi(a, a', c)_j \phi(c, a'', a')_{j'}$ . Using (a) and (b) in the last sum we can take  $j \geq |a|$ ,  $j' \geq |a''|$ . Hence if  $i > n = |a| + |a''|$  then  $f(a, a', a'', a')_i = 0$  and  $f(a, a', a'', a')_n = \sum_{c \in W} \phi(a, a', c)_{|a|} \phi(c, a'', a')_{|a''|} \geq 0$ . Assume now that  $f(a, a', a'', a')_n \neq 0$ . Then in the last sum we can assume that

$$c = a', S_a \subset \mathcal{L}_{a'} \text{ or } |c| < |a'| \text{ and } a' = c, S_{a''} \subset \mathcal{R}_c \text{ or } |a'| < |c|$$

Thus we can assume that  $c = a'$ ,  $S_a \subset \mathcal{L}_{a'}$  and  $S_{a''} \subset \mathcal{R}_{a'}$  and using again (a), (b) we have  $f(a, a', a'', a')_n = 1$ .

For  $w, w'$  in  $W$  we set  $n = |w| + |w'|$ ; we show:

(d)  $N_n^{w, w'} = \#(a' \in W; S_w \subset \mathcal{L}_{a'}, S_{w'} \subset \mathcal{R}_{a'}) > 0$ ,  $N_i^{w, w'} = 0$  for  $i > n$ .

We have  $N_n^{w, w'} = \sum_{a' \in W} f(w, a', w', a')$  and the result follows from (c). (We use that if  $a' = w_0$ , the longest element of  $W$  then  $S_w \subset \mathcal{L}_{a'} = S$ ,  $S_{w'} \subset \mathcal{R}_{a'} = S$ .)

From (d) we deduce:

(e) Let  $w, w', n$  be as in (d). Assume that either  $S_w = S$  or  $S_{w'} = S$ . then  $N_n^{w, w'} = 1$ .

Indeed, if  $a' \in W$  satisfies  $S \subset \mathcal{L}_{a'}$  or  $S \subset \mathcal{R}_{a'}$  then  $a' = w_0$ .

We state the following result.

(f) Let  $w, w', n$  be as in (d). For  $i=0, 1, \dots, n$  we have  $N_i^{w, w'} = (-1)^n N_{n-i}^{w, w'}$ . Let  $\bar{\cdot} : \mathbf{Q}(v) \rightarrow \mathbf{Q}(v)$  be the field automorphism such that  $\bar{v} = v^{-1}$ . For  $E \in \text{Irr}W$  let  $E^\dagger \in \text{Irr}W$  be the tensor product of  $E$  with the sign representation of  $W$ . It is known that for  $w \in W$  we have

$$\text{tr}(T_w, E_v^\dagger) = (-v^2)^{|w|} \text{tr}(T_{w^{-1}}, E_v) = (-v^2)^{|w|} \overline{\text{tr}(T_w, E_v)}.$$

It follows that

$$\begin{aligned}
 N^{w,w'} &= \sum_{E \in \text{Irr}W} \text{tr}(T_w, E_v^\dagger) \text{tr}(T_{w'}, E_v^\dagger) \\
 &= \sum_{E \in \text{Irr}W} (-v^2)^{|w|} \overline{\text{tr}(T_w, E_v)} (-v^2)^{|w'|} \overline{\text{tr}(T_{w'}, E_v)} \\
 &= \sum_{E \in \text{Irr}W} (-v^2)^n \overline{\text{tr}(T_w, E_v) \text{tr}(T_{w'}, E_v)}.
 \end{aligned}$$

We see that

$$N^{w,w'} = (-\mathbf{q})^n \overline{N^{w,w'}}$$

and (f) follows.

**3.** We now assume that  $W$  is irreducible. Let  $\nu = |w_0|$  where  $w_0$  is the longest element of  $W$ . An element  $w \in W$  (or its conjugacy class) is said to be *elliptic* if its eigenvalues in the reflection representation of  $W$  are all  $\neq 1$ . For any  $d \in \{2, 3, 4, \dots\}$  let  $C^d$  be the set of all elliptic elements  $w \in W$  which have order  $d$  and are regular in the sense of Springer [9]. It is known [9] that  $C^d$  is either empty or a single conjugacy class in  $W$ . Let  $\mathcal{D} = \{d \in \{2, 3, \dots\}; C^d \neq \emptyset\}$ . It is known [9] that if  $d \in \mathcal{D}$  and  $w \in C_{min}^d$  then  $|w| = 2\nu/d$ . Let  $h$  be the Coxeter number of  $W$ . We have  $h \in \mathcal{D}$ .

According to [9], the set  $\mathcal{D}$  is as follows:

Type  $A_n$  ( $n \geq 1$ ):  $\mathcal{D} = \{n + 1\}$ .

Type  $B_n$  ( $n \geq 2$ ):  $\mathcal{D} = \{d \in \{2, 4, 6, \dots\}; 2n/d = \text{integer}\}$ .

Type  $D_n$  ( $n$  even,  $n \geq 4$ ):

$\mathcal{D} = \{d \in \{2, 4, 6, \dots\}; (2n - 2)/d = \text{odd integer or } 2n/d = \text{integer}\}$ .

Type  $D_n$  ( $n$  odd,  $n \geq 5$ ):  $\mathcal{D} = \{d \in \{2, 4, 6, \dots\}; (2n - 2)/d = \text{odd integer}\}$ .

Type  $E_6$ :  $\mathcal{D} = \{3, 6, 9, 12\}$ .

Type  $E_7$ :  $\mathcal{D} = \{2, 6, 14, 18\}$ .

Type  $E_8$ :  $\mathcal{D} = \{2, 3, 4, 5, 6, 8, 10, 12, 15, 20, 24, 30\}$ .

Type  $F_4$ :  $\mathcal{D} = \{2, 3, 4, 6, 8, 12\}$ .

Type  $G_2$ :  $\mathcal{D} = \{2, 3, 6\}$ .

We note the following properties:

- (a) If  $2 \in \mathcal{D}$ ,  $d \in \mathcal{D}$  is even and  $w \in C_{min}^d$  then  $w^{d/2} = w_0$ ,  $(d/2)|w| = |w_0|$  hence  $T_w^{d/2} = T_{w_0}$ .
- (b) If  $d = h$ ,  $w \in C_{min}^d$  then  $T_w^d = T_{w_0}^2$ .
- (c) If  $d \in \mathcal{D}$ ,  $h/d \in \mathbf{N}$  and  $y \in C_{min}^h$  then  $y^{h/d} \in C_{min}^d$  and  $(h/2)|y| = |y^{h/d}|$  hence  $T_y^{h/d} = T_{y^{h/d}}$ .

The equation  $w^{d/2} = w_0$  in (a) holds by examining the characteristic polynomial of  $w$  and  $w^{d/2}$  in the reflection representation of  $W$ ; then (a) follows. The equality in (b) can be deduced from [1, Ch.V,§6, Ex.2]. The equation  $w^{h/d} \in C_{min}^d$  in (c) holds by examining the characteristic polynomial of  $w$  and  $w^{h/d}$  in the reflection representation of  $W$ ; then (c) follows.

For any  $E \in \text{Irr}W$  we define  $a_E \in \mathbf{N}$  as in [8, 4.1]. Let  $\tilde{a}_E = \nu - a_E + a_{E^\dagger}$ .

- (d)  $T_{w_0}^2 = v^{2\tilde{a}_E} 1 : E_v \rightarrow E_v$ .

This can be deduced from [8, (5.12.2)]; a closely related statement was first proved by Springer, see [4, 9.2.2].

We show:

- (e) *Let  $E \in \text{Irr}W$  and let  $d \in \mathcal{D}$ ,  $w \in C_{min}^d$ . Then all eigenvalues of  $T_w : E_v \rightarrow E_v$  (in an algebraic closure of  $\mathbf{Q}(v)$ ) are roots of 1 times  $v^{2\tilde{a}_E/d}$ .*

If  $d$  is as in (a) then the result follows from (a) and (d). If  $d = h$  then the result follows from (b) and (d). If  $d, y$  are as in (c) then the result follows from (c) and the previous sentence. From the description of  $\mathcal{D}$  for various types we see that if  $d \in \mathcal{D}$  is not as in (a) then it is as in (c). This proves (e). (A closely related result can be found in [4, 9.2.5].)

From (e) we deduce:

- (f) *In the setup of (e),  $\text{tr}(T_w, E_v)$  equals  $v^{2\tilde{a}_E/d} \text{tr}(w, E)$ ; this is 0 if  $2\tilde{a}_E/d \notin \mathbf{Z}$ .*

(The idea of the proof leading to (f) appeared in [8, p.320].) Using (f) and 1(a) we deduce:

- (g) *If  $d \in \mathcal{D}$ ,  $w \in C_{min}^d$ , then*

$$N^{w,w} = \sum_{E \in \text{Irr}W} \mathbf{q}^{2\tilde{a}_E/d} \text{tr}(w, E)^2.$$

In particular, we have  $N^{w,w} \in \mathbf{N}[\mathbf{q}]$  and  $w$  is positive.

4. Using 1(a) and the CHEVIE package [5] one can find a list of positive conjugacy classes in  $W$  (assumed to be irreducible of low rank). I thank Gongqin Li for help with programming in GAP. The list of positive conjugacy classes in  $W$  which are not regular elliptic for  $W$  of type  $E_6, E_7, E_8, F_4, G_2, B_5, B_6$  is as follows. (We specify a conjugacy class by the characteristic polynomial of one of its elements in the reflection representation. We denote by  $\Phi_k$  the  $k$ -th cyclotomic polynomial; thus  $\Phi_2 = \mathbf{q} + 1$ ,  $\Phi_3 = \mathbf{q}^2 + \mathbf{q} + 1$ , etc.)

Type  $E_6$ : none.

Type  $E_7$ :  $\Phi_{12}\Phi_6\Phi_2, \Phi_{10}\Phi_6\Phi_2, \Phi_{10}\Phi_2^3, \Phi_8\Phi_4\Phi_2, \Phi_4^2\Phi_2^3$ .

Type  $E_8$ :  $\Phi_{18}\Phi_6, \Phi_{18}\Phi_2^2, \Phi_9\Phi_3, \Phi_{14}\Phi_2^2$ .

Type  $F_4$ : none.

Type  $G_2$ : none.

Type  $B_5$ :  $\Phi_8\Phi_2, \Phi_6\Phi_2^2, \Phi_4^2\Phi_2, \Phi_2\Phi_4\Phi_6$ .

Type  $B_6$ :  $\Phi_{10}\Phi_2^2, \Phi_8\Phi_4, \Phi_8\Phi_2^2, \Phi_6\Phi_2^3$ .

In each of these examples any positive element of  $W$  is elliptic; we expect this to be true in general. The example of  $B_6$  suggests that if  $W$  is of type  $B_n$  with  $2n = 4 + 8 + \cdots + 4k$ , then an element of  $W$  with cycle type  $(4)(8)\dots(4k)$  might be positive.

**Remark.** In a first version of this paper, the fourth conjugacy class listed above for type  $B_5$  was omitted by mistake. I thank Jean Michel for pointing this out.

5. Let  $\mathbf{k}$  be an algebraic closure of the finite field  $F_q$  with  $q$  elements. Let  $G$  be a connected reductive group over  $\mathbf{k}$  with a fixed  $F_q$ -split rational structure and whose Weyl group is  $W$ . Let  $F : G \rightarrow G$  be the corresponding Frobenius map. For  $w \in W$  let  $X_w$  be the variety of Borel subgroups  $B$  of  $G$  such that  $B$  and  $F(B)$  are in relative position  $w$ , see [2, 1.3]. The finite group  $G^F = \{g \in G; F(g) = g\}$  acts on  $X_w$  by conjugation. For  $w, w'$  in  $W$  we denote by  $X_{w,w'} = G^F \backslash (X_w \times X_{w'})$  the space of  $G^F$ -orbits for the

diagonal action of  $G^F$  on  $X_w \times X_{w'}$ . Now  $(B, B') \mapsto (F(B), F(B'))$  induces a map  $X_{w,w'} \rightarrow X_{w,w'}$  (denoted again by  $F$ ) which is the Frobenius map for an  $F_q$ -rational structure on  $X_{w,w'}$ . By [7, 3.8] for any integer  $e \geq 1$  we have

$$(a) \quad \sharp(\xi \in X_{w,w'}; F^e(\xi) = \xi) = N^{w,w'}(q^e).$$

**6.** In the remainder of this paper we assume that  $G$  in no.5 is simply connected and  $W$  is irreducible. In the case where  $w$  is a Coxeter element of minimal length of  $W$ , the left hand side of 5(a) (with  $w = w'$ ) has been computed in [6, p.158]. This gives the following formulas for  $N^{w,w}$ .

$$\text{Type } A_n(n \geq 1): \mathbf{q}^{2n} + \mathbf{q}^{2n-2} + \dots + \mathbf{q}^2 + 1.$$

$$\text{Type } B_n(n \geq 2): \mathbf{q}^{2n} + 2\mathbf{q}^{2n-2} + 2\mathbf{q}^{2n-4} + \dots + 2\mathbf{q}^2 + 1.$$

$$\text{Type } D_n(n \geq 4): \mathbf{q}^{2n} + \mathbf{q}^{2n-2} + 2\mathbf{q}^{2n-4} + 2\mathbf{q}^{n-6} + \dots + 2\mathbf{q}^4 + \mathbf{q}^2 + 1.$$

$$\text{Type } E_6: \mathbf{q}^{12} + \mathbf{q}^{10} + 2\mathbf{q}^8 + 4\mathbf{q}^6 + 2\mathbf{q}^4 + \mathbf{q}^2 + 1.$$

$$\text{Type } E_7: \mathbf{q}^{14} + \mathbf{q}^{12} + 2\mathbf{q}^{10} + 4\mathbf{q}^8 + 2\mathbf{q}^7 + 4\mathbf{q}^6 + 2\mathbf{q}^4 + \mathbf{q}^2 + 1.$$

$$\text{Type } E_8: \mathbf{q}^{16} + \mathbf{q}^{14} + 2\mathbf{q}^{12} + 4\mathbf{q}^{10} + 2\mathbf{q}^9 + 10\mathbf{q}^8 + 2\mathbf{q}^7 + 4\mathbf{q}^6 + 2\mathbf{q}^4 + \mathbf{q}^2 + 1.$$

$$\text{Type } F_4: \mathbf{q}^8 + 2\mathbf{q}^6 + 6\mathbf{q}^4 + 2\mathbf{q}^2 + 1.$$

$$\text{Type } G_2: \mathbf{q}^4 + 4\mathbf{q}^2 + 1.$$

Let  $\mathcal{N}_G$  be the variety consisting of all pairs  $(g, g')$  where  $g$  runs through the standard Steinberg cross section of the set of regular elements of  $G$  and  $g'$  is an element in the centralizer of  $g$  in  $G$  modulo the centre of  $G$ . (This variety, introduced in [6, p.158], makes sense even if  $\mathbf{k}$  is replaced by the complex numbers. It plays a role in [3] where it is called the *universal centralizer*.) According to [6, p.158], the number of  $F_q$ -rational points of  $\mathcal{N}_G$  is equal to  $N^{w,w}(q)$  hence it is given by the formulas above with  $\mathbf{q} = q$ .

**7.** Let  $C$  be a conjugacy class of  $W$ . For  $w \in C$ , the part of weight  $j$  of the  $i$ -th  $l$ -adic cohomology space with compact support  $H_c^i(X_w, \bar{\mathbf{Q}}_l)$  is a direct sum  $\bigoplus_{\rho} V_{\rho,j}^i \otimes \rho$  where  $\rho$  runs over the unipotent representations of  $G^F$  (up to isomorphism) and  $V_{\rho,j}^i$  are finite dimensional  $\bar{\mathbf{Q}}_l$ -vector spaces in such a way that the  $G^F$ -action is only through the action on  $\rho$  and the Frobenius action is only through an action on  $V_{\rho,j}^i$  (where it is multiplication by  $q^{j/2}\lambda_{\rho}$  with

$\lambda_\rho$  a root of 1 independent of  $w, i, j$ , and the parity of  $j$  is independent of  $w, i$ , see [7, 3.9], [8]). Using the Grothendieck-Lefschetz fixed point formula, from 5(a) we deduce for any  $e \geq 1$ :

$$N^{w,w}(q^e) = \sum_{i,i',j,j',\rho} (-1)^{i+i'} \dim(V_{\rho,j}^i) \dim(V_{\rho^*,j'}^{i'}) q^{je/2} q^{j'e/2}$$

where  $\rho^*$  is the dual of  $\rho$  and we have used that  $\lambda_{\rho^*} = \lambda_\rho^{-1}$ . This implies

$$(a) \quad N^{w,w} = \sum_{i,i',j,j',\rho} (-1)^{i+i'} \dim(V_{\rho,j}^i) \dim(V_{\rho^*,j'}^{i'}) v^{j+j'}.$$

If we assume that

(b) the  $G^F$ -modules  $H_c^i(X_w, \bar{\mathbf{Q}}_l)$ , dual of  $H_c^{i'}(X_w, \bar{\mathbf{Q}}_l)$  are disjoint for any  $i, i'$  such that  $i \neq i' \pmod 2$

then from (a) we could deduce that  $N^{w,w} \in \mathbf{N}[\mathbf{q}]$ . Hence if we assume further that  $w \in C_{min}, C \neq \{1\}$  it would follow that  $C$  is positive.

We conjecture that, conversely, if  $C$  is positive and  $w \in C_{min}$  then (b) holds. It is also likely that in this case,

(c) the  $G^F$ -modules  $H_c^i(X_w, \bar{\mathbf{Q}}_l)$ ,  $H_c^{i'}(X_w, \bar{\mathbf{Q}}_l)$  are disjoint for any  $i, i'$  such that  $i \neq i' \pmod 2$ .

This disjointness property holds when  $w$  is as in §6, see [6].

## References

1. N. Bourbaki, *Groupes et algèbres de Lie*, Hermann, Paris, 1968.
2. P. Deligne and G. Lusztig, Representations of reductive groups over finite fields, *Ann. Math.*, **103** (1976), 103-161.
3. M. Finkelberg and A. Tsymbaliuk, Multiplicative slices, relativistic Toda lattice and shifted quantum affine algebras, arxiv:1708.01795.
4. M. Geck and G. Pfeiffer, Characters of finite Coxeter groups and Iwahori-Hecke algebras, Clarendon Pres, Oxford, 2000.
5. M. Geck, G. Hiss, F. Lübeck, G. Malle and G. Pfeiffer, *A system for computing and processing generic character tables for finite groups of Lie type*, Weyl groups and Hecke algebras, *Appl. Algebra Engrg. Comm. Comput.*, **7** (1996), 115-1210.
6. G. Lusztig, Coxeter orbits and eigenspaces of Frobenius, *Invent. Math.*, **38** (1976), 101-159.



7. G. Lusztig, Representations of finite Chevalley groups, *Regional Conf. Ser. in Math.*, **39**, Amer. Math. Soc. 1978.
8. G. Lusztig, Characters of reductive groups over a finite field, *Ann. Math. Studies*, **107**, Princeton Univ. Press, 1984.
9. T. A. Springer, Regular elements of finite reflection groups, *Invent. Math.*, **25** (1974), 159-193.