

## THE LOGARITHMIC COEFFICIENTS OF CLOSE-TO-CONVEX FUNCTIONS

BY

ZHONGQIU YE

### Abstract

Abstract. We prove that if  $n \geq 2$  for each close-to-convex functions in  $S$  whose  $n$ -th logarithmic coefficients  $\gamma_n$  satisfies  $|\gamma_n| \leq A \log n/n$ , where  $A$  is an absolute constant.

### 1. Introduction and Statement of Result

Let  $S$  be the class of functions  $f$  analytic and univalent in the unit disk  $D = \{z \in \mathbb{C} : |z| < 1\}$  with  $f(0) = 0$ ,  $f'(0) = 1$ . Let  $S^*$  denote the subset of  $S$  consisting of those functions  $f \in S$  for which  $f(D)$  is starlike with respect to 0. It is well known that if  $f \in S^*$ , then  $\operatorname{Re}\{zf'(z)/f(z)\} > 0$ , for all  $z \in D$ . Finally, we let  $S_c$  denote the set of those functions  $f \in S$  for which there exists a function  $g \in S^*$  such that  $\operatorname{Re}\{zf'(z)/g(z)\} > 0$ , for all  $z \in D$ . The elements of  $S_c$  are called close-to-convex functions. Clearly,  $S^* \subset S_c$ .

Associated with each  $f \in S$  is well defined logarithmic function

$$\log \frac{f(z)}{z} = 2 \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \gamma_n z^n, \quad (1)$$

$z \in D$ . The numbers  $\gamma_n$  are called the logarithmic coefficients of  $f$ . Thus the Koebe function  $k(z) = z(1-z)^{-2}$  has logarithmic coefficients  $\gamma_n = 1/n$ . It is clear that  $|\gamma_1| \leq 1$  for each  $f \in S$ . The estimate of the logarithmic

---

Received September 19, 2006 and in revised form September 19, 2007.

AMS Subject Classification: Primary 30C45.

Key words and phrases: Close-to-convex functions, logarithmic coefficients, starlike functions.

coefficients is an important problem in the theory of univalent functions. The inequality  $|\gamma_n| \leq 1/n$  holds for functions  $f \in S^*$ , but is false for the full class  $S$ , even in order of magnitude. Indeed, there exists a bounded function  $f \in S$  with logarithmic coefficients  $\gamma_n \neq O(n^{-0.83})$  (see [1] p.242). In a recent paper [2], it is presented that inequality  $|\gamma_n| \leq 1/n$  holds also for close-to-convex functions. However, it is pointed out in [3] that there are some errors in the proof and, hence, the result is not substantiated. It is proved in [4] that there exists a function  $f \in S_c$  such that  $|\gamma_n| > 1/n$ . In this paper, we will prove the following theorem.

**Theorem 1.** *Suppose  $f \in S_c$  and that  $f$  has logarithmic coefficients  $\{\gamma_n\}_{n=1}^\infty$ . Then for  $n = 2, 3, \dots$*

$$|\gamma_n| \leq A \frac{\log n}{n}$$

where  $A$  is an absolute constant.

## 2. Preliminary Lemmas

First, we prove some lemmas for the proof of Theorem.

**Lemma 1.** *Let  $f \in S$ ,  $z = re^{i\theta}$ ,  $\frac{1}{2} \leq r < 1$ . Then*

$$J_r = \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_0^{2\pi} \left| \frac{zf'(z)}{f(z)} \right|^2 d\theta \leq 1 + 4 \frac{1}{1-r} \log \frac{1}{1-\sqrt{r}},$$

$$I_r = \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_{\frac{1}{2}}^r \int_0^{2\pi} \left| \frac{zf'(z)}{f(z)} \right|^2 d\theta dr \leq 1 + 2 \log \frac{1}{1-r}.$$

*Proof.* It is clear that

$$\frac{zf'(z)}{f(z)} = 1 + z \left( \log \frac{f(z)}{z} \right)' = 1 + \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} 2k\gamma_k z^k.$$

Lebedev proved (see [5]) that if  $f \in S$  then

$$\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} k|\gamma_k|^2 r^{2k} \leq \log \frac{1}{1-r}.$$

Since  $kr^k < 1/(1-r)$ , we obtain that

$$\begin{aligned} J_r &= 1 + 4 \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} k^2 |\gamma_k|^2 r^{2k} \leq 1 + \frac{4}{1-r} \log \frac{1}{1-\sqrt{r}}, \\ I_r &= \int_{\frac{1}{2}}^r \left( 1 + 4 \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} k^2 |\gamma_k|^2 r^{2k} \right) dr < 1 + 4 \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \frac{k}{2k+1} k |\gamma_k|^2 r^{2k+1} \\ &\leq 1 + 2 \log \frac{1}{1-r}. \quad \square \end{aligned}$$

**Lemma 2.** Let  $f \in S_c$  and  $g \in S^*$  such that  $\operatorname{Re}\{zf'(z)/g(z)\} > 0$ . Let

$z = re^{i\theta}$ ,  $0 \leq r < 1$ . Write

$$\frac{zf'(z)}{f(z)} = u(re^{i\theta}) + iv(re^{i\theta}). \quad (2)$$

Then

$$I_1 = \frac{1}{2\pi} \left| \int_0^{2\pi} u(re^{i\theta}) e^{i \arg \frac{f(z)}{g(z)}} d\theta \right| \leq 3.$$

*Proof.* It is clear that

$$\frac{zf'(z)}{f(z)} = \frac{1}{i} \frac{\partial}{\partial \theta} \log \frac{f(z)}{z} + 1. \quad (3)$$

It follows that

$$u(re^{i\theta}) = \operatorname{Im} \left\{ \frac{\partial}{\partial \theta} \log \frac{f(z)}{z} \right\} + 1 = \frac{\partial}{\partial \theta} \arg \frac{f(z)}{z} + 1. \quad (4)$$

We obtain from (4) that

$$I_1 \leq \frac{1}{2\pi} \left| \int_0^{2\pi} e^{i \arg \frac{f(z)}{g(z)}} d\theta \right| + \frac{1}{2\pi} \left| \int_0^{2\pi} \frac{\partial}{\partial \theta} \arg \frac{f(z)}{z} e^{i \arg \frac{f(z)}{g(z)}} d\theta \right| = I_{11} + I_{12}. \quad (5)$$

It is clear that

$$I_{11} \leq \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_0^{2\pi} d\theta = 1. \quad (6)$$

By the part of integration, we obtain that

$$\begin{aligned}
 I_{12} &= \frac{1}{2\pi} \left| \int_0^{2\pi} \frac{\partial}{\partial \theta} (e^{i \arg \frac{f(z)}{z}}) e^{-i \arg \frac{g(z)}{z}} d\theta \right| \\
 &= \frac{1}{2\pi} \left| \int_0^{2\pi} e^{i \arg \frac{f(z)}{g(z)}} \frac{\partial}{\partial \theta} \left( \arg \frac{g(z)}{z} \right) d\theta \right| \\
 &\leq \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_0^{2\pi} \left( \left| \frac{\partial}{\partial \theta} \arg g(z) \right| + \left| \frac{\partial z}{\partial \theta} \right| \right) d\theta.
 \end{aligned} \tag{7}$$

Since  $g \in S^*$ , it follows that  $\frac{\partial \arg g(z)}{\partial \theta} > 0$ . The right-hand of (7) is

$$= \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_0^{2\pi} d\theta \arg g(z) + \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_0^{2\pi} r d\theta = 1 + r \leq 2$$

Thus, we have proved Lemma. □

**Lemma 3.** *Let  $f \in S_c$  and  $g \in S^*$  such that  $\operatorname{Re}\{zf'(z)/g(z)\} > 0$ . Let  $z = re^{i\theta}$ ,  $\frac{1}{2} \leq r < 1$ . The function  $v(re^{i\theta})$  is defined in (2). Then*

$$I_2 = \frac{1}{2\pi} \left| \int_0^{2\pi} v(re^{i\theta}) e^{i \arg \frac{f(z)}{g(z)}} d\theta \right| \leq 7 + 8 \log \frac{1}{1-r}.$$

*Proof.* By the Cauchy-Riemann condition, we obtain for  $0 < r_0 < r < 1$  that

$$v(re^{i\theta}) - v(r_0e^{i\theta}) = \int_{r_0}^r \frac{\partial v(re^{i\theta})}{\partial r} dr = - \int_{r_0}^r \frac{1}{r} \frac{\partial u(re^{i\theta})}{\partial \theta} dr. \tag{8}$$

By (8), it follows that

$$\begin{aligned}
 I_2 &\leq \frac{1}{2\pi} \left| \int_0^{2\pi} v(r_0e^{i\theta}) e^{i \arg \frac{f(z)}{g(z)}} d\theta \right| + \frac{1}{2\pi} \left| \int_0^{2\pi} \int_{r_0}^r \frac{1}{r} \frac{\partial u(re^{i\theta})}{\partial \theta} e^{i \arg \frac{f(z)}{g(z)}} dr d\theta \right| \\
 &= I_{21} + I_{22}.
 \end{aligned} \tag{9}$$

Taking  $r_0 = \frac{1}{2}$ , it follows that

$$I_{21} \leq \max_{\theta \in [0, 2\pi]} |v(r_0e^{i\theta})| \leq \max_{\theta \in [0, 2\pi]} \left| \frac{r_0 f'(r_0e^{i\theta})}{f'(r_0e^{i\theta})} \right| \leq \frac{1+r_0}{1-r_0} = 3. \tag{10}$$

Now, we estimate  $I_{22}$ . By the part of integration, it follows that

$$I_{22} = \frac{1}{2\pi} \left| \int_{r_0}^r \int_0^{2\pi} \frac{1}{r} u(re^{i\theta}) e^{i \arg \frac{f(z)}{g(z)}} \left( \frac{\partial \arg \frac{f(z)}{z}}{\partial \theta} - \frac{\partial \arg \frac{g(z)}{z}}{\partial \theta} \right) d\theta dr \right|.$$

By (4), it follows that

$$\left| \frac{\partial \arg \frac{f(z)}{z}}{\partial \theta} - \frac{\partial \arg \frac{g(z)}{z}}{\partial \theta} \right| = \left| \operatorname{Re} \frac{zf'(z)}{f(z)} - \operatorname{Re} \frac{zg'(z)}{g(z)} \right| \leq \left| \frac{zf'(z)}{f(z)} \right| + \left| \frac{zg'(z)}{g(z)} \right|.$$

By Schwartz inequality and Lemma 2.1, we obtain that

$$\begin{aligned} I_{22} &\leq \frac{2}{2\pi} \int_{r_0}^r \int_0^{2\pi} \left[ \left| \frac{zf'(z)}{f(z)} \right|^2 + \left| \frac{zf'(z)}{f(z)} \right| \left| \frac{zg'(z)}{g(z)} \right| \right] d\theta dr \\ &\leq \frac{1}{\pi} \left[ \int_{r_0}^r \int_0^{2\pi} \left| \frac{zf'(z)}{f(z)} \right|^2 d\theta dr \right. \\ &\quad \left. + \left( \int_{r_0}^r \int_0^{2\pi} \left| \frac{zf'(z)}{f(z)} \right|^2 d\theta dr \int_{r_0}^r \int_0^{2\pi} \left| \frac{zg'(z)}{g(z)} \right|^2 d\theta dr \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \right] \\ &\leq 4 \left( 1 + 2 \log \frac{1}{1-r} \right). \end{aligned} \tag{11}$$

Thus, we have proved Lemma by (9), (10) and (11). □

**Lemma 4.** *Let  $f \in S_c$  and  $g \in S^*$  such that  $\operatorname{Re}\{zf'(z)/g(z)\} > 0$ . Let*

*$z = re^{i\theta}$ ,  $0 \leq r < 1$ . Then for  $n = 2, 3, \dots$*

$$\begin{aligned} I_3 &= \frac{1}{2\pi} \left| \int_0^{2\pi} \frac{zf'(z)}{f(z)} e^{i2 \arg \frac{f(z)}{g(z)}} e^{in\theta} d\theta \right| \\ &\leq 4 \left( \frac{1}{n^2} + \frac{4}{n} \log \frac{1}{1-r} \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \left( 1 + \frac{4}{1-r} \log \frac{1}{1-\sqrt{r}} \right)^{\frac{1}{2}}. \end{aligned}$$

*Proof.* From (1) we have

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{zf(z)}{f(z)} e^{in\theta} &= e^{in\theta} \left( 1 + \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} 2k\gamma_k z^k \right) = e^{in\theta} + \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} 2k\gamma_k r^k e^{i(n+k)\theta} \\ &= \frac{1}{i} \frac{\partial}{\partial \theta} \left( \frac{e^{in\theta}}{n} + \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \frac{2k\gamma_k r^k e^{i(n+k)\theta}}{n+k} \right) = \frac{\partial}{\partial \theta} F(z). \end{aligned} \tag{12}$$

By the part of integration, we obtain that

$$I_3 = \frac{1}{\pi} \left| \int_0^{2\pi} F(z) e^{i2 \arg \frac{f(z)}{g(z)}} \left( \frac{\partial \arg \frac{f(z)}{z}}{\partial \theta} - \frac{\partial \arg \frac{g(z)}{z}}{\partial \theta} \right) d\theta \right|. \tag{13}$$

By (4) and Schwartz inequality, it follows from (13) that

$$I_3 \leq 2 \left( \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_0^{2\pi} |F(z)|^2 d\theta \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \left( \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_0^{2\pi} \left( \left| \frac{zf'(z)}{f(z)} \right| + \left| \frac{zg'(z)}{g(z)} \right| \right)^2 d\theta \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} = 2(J_1 J_2)^{\frac{1}{2}}. \tag{14}$$

By the definition of  $F(z)$  in (12), we obtain from Lebedev inequality that

$$\begin{aligned} J_1 &= \frac{1}{n^2} + 4 \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \frac{k^2 |\gamma_k|^2 r^{2k}}{(n+k)^2} \\ &\leq \frac{1}{n^2} + \frac{4}{n} \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} k |\gamma_k|^2 r^{2k} \leq \frac{1}{n^2} + \frac{4}{n} \log \frac{1}{(1-r)}. \end{aligned} \tag{15}$$

By Lemma 2.1, it follows that

$$J_2 \leq 4 \left( 1 + \frac{4}{1-r} \log \frac{1}{1-\sqrt{r}} \right). \tag{16}$$

Combining (15), (16) and (14), we have proved Lemma 2.4. □

### 3. Proof of Theorem

*Proof.* If  $f \in S_c$  then there exists  $g \in S^*$  such that  $Re\{zf'(z)/g(z)\} > 0$ . Write  $zf'(z)/g(z) = h(z)$ , then  $Reh(z) > 0$ . It is clear that

$$h(z) = 2Reh(z) - \overline{h(z)}.$$

From (1), we obtain for  $z = re^{i\theta}$  ( $0 < r < 1$ ) and  $n = 2, 3, \dots$  that

$$2n\gamma_n = \frac{1}{2i\pi} \int_{|z|=r} \frac{zf'(z)}{f(z)} z^{-n-1} dz.$$

Hence, we obtain that

$$|2n\gamma_n r^n| = \frac{1}{2\pi} \left| \int_0^{2\pi} \frac{zf'(z)}{f(z)} e^{-in\theta} d\theta \right| = \frac{1}{2\pi} \left| \int_0^{2\pi} \frac{h(z)g(z)}{f(z)} e^{-in\theta} d\theta \right|$$

$$\begin{aligned} &\leq \frac{1}{2\pi} \left| \int_0^{2\pi} 2\operatorname{Re}h(z) \frac{g(z)}{f(z)} e^{-in\theta} d\theta \right| + \frac{1}{2\pi} \left| \int_0^{2\pi} \overline{h(z)} \frac{g(z)}{f(z)} e^{-in\theta} d\theta \right| \\ &= P_1 + P_2. \end{aligned} \tag{17}$$

By Lemma 2.2 and Lemma 2.3, we obtain that

$$\begin{aligned} P_1 &\leq \frac{1}{\pi} \int_0^{2\pi} \operatorname{Re}h(z) \left| \frac{g(z)}{f(z)} \right| d\theta \leq \frac{1}{\pi} \left| \int_0^{2\pi} h(z) \left| \frac{g(z)}{f(z)} \right| d\theta \right| \\ &= \frac{1}{\pi} \left| \int_0^{2\pi} \frac{zf'(z)}{f(z)} e^{i \arg \frac{f(z)}{g(z)}} d\theta \right| \\ &\leq \frac{1}{\pi} \left| \int_0^{2\pi} u(re^{i\theta}) e^{i \arg \frac{f(z)}{g(z)}} d\theta \right| + \frac{1}{\pi} \left| \int_0^{2\pi} v(re^{i\theta}) e^{i \arg \frac{f(z)}{g(z)}} d\theta \right| \\ &\leq 20 + 16 \log \frac{1}{1-r}. \end{aligned} \tag{18}$$

By Lemma 2.4, we obtain that

$$\begin{aligned} P_2 &= \frac{1}{2\pi} \left| \int_0^{2\pi} h(z) \overline{\left( \frac{g(z)}{f(z)} \right)} e^{in\theta} d\theta \right| = \frac{1}{2\pi} \left| \int_0^{2\pi} \frac{zf'(z)}{f(z)} e^{i2 \arg \frac{f(z)}{g(z)}} e^{in\theta} d\theta \right| \\ &\leq 4 \left( \frac{1}{n^2} + \frac{4}{n} \log \frac{1}{1-r} \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \left( 1 + \frac{4}{1-r} \log \frac{1}{1-\sqrt{r}} \right)^{\frac{1}{2}}. \end{aligned} \tag{19}$$

Set  $r = 1 - 1/n$  ( $n = 2, 3, \dots$ ). We obtain from (17), (18) and (19) that for  $n = 2, 3, \dots$

$$\begin{aligned} |\gamma_n| &\leq \frac{1}{2n} \left( 1 - \frac{1}{n} \right)^{-n} \left[ \left( 20 + 16 \log \frac{1}{1-r} \right) + 4 \left( \frac{1}{n^2} + \frac{4 \log n}{n} \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \left( 1 + 8n \log n \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \right] \\ &\leq A \frac{\log n}{n}. \end{aligned}$$

Thus, we have proved Theorem.  $\square$

## References

1. P. L. Duren, *Univalent Functions*, Springer-Verlag New York, 1983.
2. M. M. Elhosh, On the logarithmic Coefficients of Close-to-convex Functions, *J. Austral. Math. Soc. Ser. A*, **60**(1996), 1-6.
3. K. Pearce, Review of [2], *Math. Reviews*, info Review no. 96j:30013, 1996.
4. D. Girela, Logarithmic Coefficients of Univalent Functions, *Annals Academiae Scientiarum Feunicæ Mathematica*, Volumen 25, 2000, 337-350.

5. N. A. Lebedev, Applications of Area Principle to Problems on Nonoverlapping Domains, *Trudy Mat. Inst Steklov*, **60**(1961), 211-231, (Russian).

6. Ch. Pommerenke, *Univalent Functions*, Vandenhoeck und Ruprecht, Göttingen, 1975.

7. I. M. Milin, *Univalent Functions and Orthonormal Systems*, (Russian), Moscow, 1971.

Department of Mathematics, JiangXi Normal University, Nanchang 330027, P.R. China.

E-mail: yezhqi@sina.com