

EXISTENCE OF NONOSCILLATORY SOLUTION OF SECOND ORDER NEUTRAL DIFFERENTIAL EQUATION

BY

GONG WEIMING, CHENG JINFANG AND CHU YUMING

Abstract

Consider the neutral delay differential equation with positive and negative coefficients:

$$(r(t)(x(t) + px(t - \tau))' + Q_1(t)x(t - \sigma_1) - Q_2(t)x(t - \sigma_2) = 0,$$

where $p \in R$ and $\tau \in (0, \infty)$, $\sigma_1, \sigma_2 \in [0, \infty)$ and $Q_1(t), Q_2(t), r(t) \in C([t_0, \infty), R^+)$. Some sufficient conditions for the existence of a nonoscillatory solution of the above equation in terms of $\int^\infty R(s)Q_i ds < \infty, i = 1, 2$ are obtained.

1. Introduction

Consider the neutral delay differential equation of second order with positive and negative coefficients:

$$(r(t)(x(t) + px(t - \tau))' + Q_1(t)x(t - \sigma_1) - Q_2(t)x(t - \sigma_2) = 0, \quad (1)$$

where $p \in R$ and

$$\tau \in (0, \infty), \sigma_1, \sigma_2 \in [0, \infty) \quad \text{and} \quad Q_1(t), Q_2(t), r(t) \in C([t_0, \infty), R^+). \quad (2)$$

Received June 12, 2006 and in revised form February 12, 2007.

AMS Subject Classification: 39A10, 34K11, 34K40.

Key words and phrases: Nonoscillatory solution, delay, Neutral differential equation.

Research supported by the 973 Project(2006CB708304), the NSF of China(10471039) and Foundation of the Educational Committee of Zhejiang Province(20060306).

$$\int^{\infty} R(s)Q_i ds < \infty, i = 1, 2, \quad (3)$$

where $R(t) = \int^t r(s)ds$.

When $r(t) = 1$, the equation (1) has been reduced to the following equation:

$$\frac{d^2}{dt^2}[x(t) + px(t - \tau)] + Q_1(t)x(t - \sigma_1) - Q_2(t)x(t - \sigma_2) = 0. \quad (4)$$

When $q(t) = 0$, the Eq.(4) has been investigated by many authors, see [1-7] and the reference therein.

For Eq.(4), Recently M. R. S. Kulenović and S.Hadžiomerspahić in [1] obtained the following result:

Theorem A. *Consider the Eq.(1), if condition (2) holds, and*

$$\int^{\infty} sQ_i ds < \infty, i = 1, 2, \quad (5)$$

$$aQ_1(t) - Q_2(t) \geq 0, \quad \text{for every } t \geq T_1 \quad \text{and } a > 0, \quad (6)$$

where $p \neq \pm 1$, and T_1 is large enough, then Eq.(1) has a nonoscillatory solution.

So far, this is the first global result (with respect to p) in the nonconstant coefficient case, which is a sufficient condition for the existence of a nonoscillatory solution for all values of p .

But, condition (6) is too restrictive. In [6] the first author of this paper deleted the strong condition (6), and permitting $p=1$, obtained the following global sufficient condition (with respect to p) for the existence of a nonoscillatory solution for equation (1):

Theorem B. *Consider equation (4), if condition (2), (5) hold, where $p \neq -1$, then equation (1) has a nonoscillatory solution.*

For Eq(1), only in special case, for example when $p = 0$, $Q_2(t) = 0$, Hooker and Patula in [7] had investigated the existence of positive solution.

However results for the existence of nonoscillatory solution of Eq.(1) are relatives scarce. Motived by the paper [6] and [7], the purpose of this paper will investigate the existence of nonoscillatory solution of Eq.(1).

Our main result is the following.

Theorem. *Consider equation (1), if condition (2), (3) hold, where $p \neq \pm 1$, then equation (1) has a nonoscillatory solution.*

This result extends the relevant result in [6] for $p \neq -1$.

2. The Proof of Theorem

The proof of theorem will be divided into four claims, depending on the four different ranges of the parameter p .

Claim 1. $p \in (0, 1)$. Choose $t_1 > t_0$ large enough such that

$$t_1 \geq t_0 + \sigma, \sigma = \max\{\tau, \sigma_1, \sigma_2\},$$

$$\int_{t_1}^{\infty} R(s)[Q_1(s) + Q_2(s)]ds < 1 - p,$$

$$\int_{t_1}^{\infty} R(s)Q_1(s)ds \leq \frac{p - (1 - M_1)}{M_1}$$

and

$$\int_{t_1}^{\infty} R(s)Q_2(s)ds \leq \frac{1 - p - pM_2 - M_1}{M_2}$$

hold, where M_1 and M_2 are positive constants which satisfy

$$1 - M_2 < p < \frac{1 - M_1}{1 - M_2}.$$

Let X be the set of all continuous and bounded functions on $[t_0, \infty)$ with the sup norm. Set

$$A = \{x \in X : M_1 \leq x(t) \leq M_2, t \geq t_0\}.$$

Define mapping $T : A \rightarrow X$ as follows:

$$(Tx)(t) = \begin{cases} 1 - p - px(t - \tau) \\ \quad + R(t) \int_t^\infty [Q_1(s)x(s - \sigma_1) - Q_2(s)x(s - \sigma_2)] ds \\ \quad + \int_{t_1}^t R(s)[Q_1(s)x(s - \sigma_1) - Q_2(s)x(s - \sigma_2)] ds, & t \geq t_1; \\ (Tx)(t_1), & t_0 \leq t \leq t_1. \end{cases}$$

We have

$$\begin{aligned} (Tx)(t) &\leq 1 - p + R(t) \int_t^\infty M_2 Q_1(s) ds + \int_{t_1}^t R(s) M_2 Q_1(s) ds \\ &\leq 1 - p + M_2 \int_t^\infty R(s) Q_1(s) ds \leq M_2 \end{aligned}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} (Tx)(t) &\geq 1 - p - pM_2 - R(t) \int_t^\infty Q_2(s)x(s - \sigma_2) ds \\ &\quad - \int_{t_1}^t R(s)[Q_2(s)x(s - \sigma_2)] ds \\ &\geq 1 - p - pM_2 - M_2 \int_t^\infty R(s) Q_2(s) ds \geq M_1, \end{aligned}$$

so $TA \subseteq A$.

Now for $x_1, x_2 \in A$ and $t \geq t_1$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} |(Tx_1)(t) - (Tx_2)(t)| &\leq p|x_1(t - \tau) - x_2(t - \tau)| \\ &\quad + R(t) \int_t^\infty Q_1(s)|x_1(s - \sigma_1) - x_2(s - \sigma_1)| ds \\ &\quad + R(t) \int_t^\infty Q_2(s)|x_1(s - \sigma_2) - x_2(s - \sigma_2)| ds \\ &\quad + \int_{t_1}^t R(s) Q_1(s)|x_1(s - \sigma_1) - x_2(s - \sigma_1)| ds \\ &\quad + \int_{t_1}^t R(s) Q_2(s)|x_1(s - \sigma_2) - x_2(s - \sigma_2)| ds \\ &\leq p\|x_1 - x_2\| + \|x_1 - x_2\| \left\{ \int_t^\infty R(s)[Q_1(s) + Q_2(s)] ds \right. \\ &\quad \left. + \int_{t_1}^t R(s)[Q_1(s) + Q_2(s)] ds \right\} \\ &= \|x_1 - x_2\| \left\{ p + \int_{t_1}^\infty R(s)[Q_1(s) + Q_2(s)] ds \right\} \end{aligned}$$

$$= q_1 \|x_1 - x_2\|, \quad q_1 < 1.$$

Thus we know that T is a contraction mapping. Consequently T has the unique fixed point x , which is obviously a positive solution of Eq.(1). This completes the proof of Claim 1.

Claim 2. $p \in (1, \infty)$. Choose $t_1 \geq t_0$ large enough such that

$$\int_{t_1}^{\infty} R(s)[Q_1(s) + Q_2(s)]ds < p - 1,$$

$$\int_{t_1}^{\infty} R(s)Q_1(s)ds \leq \frac{1 - p(1 - N_1)}{N_1}$$

and

$$\int_{t_1}^{\infty} R(s)Q_2(s)ds \leq \frac{(1 - N_1)p - (1 + N_2)}{N_2}, \quad (7)$$

where N_1, N_2 are positive constants which satisfy

$$(1 - N_1)p \geq 1 + N_2 \quad \text{and} \quad p(1 - N_2) < 1.$$

Let X be the same set as in Claim 1. Set

$$A = \{x \in X : N_1 \leq x(t) \leq N_2, t \geq t_0\}.$$

Define mapping $T : A \rightarrow X$ as follows:

$$(Tx)(t) = \begin{cases} 1 - \frac{1}{p} - \frac{1}{p}x(t + \tau) \\ \quad + \frac{R(t+\tau)}{p} \int_{t+\tau}^{\infty} [Q_1(s)x(s - \sigma_1) - Q_2(s)x(s - \sigma_2)]ds \\ \quad + \frac{1}{p} \int_{t_1}^{t+\tau} R(s)[Q_1(s)x(s - \sigma_1) - Q_2(s)x(s - \sigma_2)]ds, & t \geq t_1; \\ (Tx)(t_1), & t_0 \leq t \leq t_1. \end{cases}$$

Clearly, Tx is continuous. For every $x \in A$ and $t \geq t_1$, we get

$$\begin{aligned} (Tx)(t) &\leq 1 - \frac{1}{p} + \frac{R(t + \tau)}{p} \int_{t+\tau}^{\infty} N_2 Q_1(s)ds + \frac{1}{p} \int_{t_1}^{\infty} N_2 R(s)Q_1(s)ds \\ &\leq 1 - \frac{1}{p} + \frac{N_2}{p} \int_{t_1}^{\infty} R(s)Q_1(s)ds \leq N_2 \end{aligned}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned}
 (Tx)(t) &\geq 1 - \frac{1}{p} - \frac{N_2}{p} + \frac{R(t+\tau)}{p} \int_{t+\tau}^{\infty} (-N_2 Q_2(s)) ds \\
 &\quad + \frac{1}{p} \int_{t_1}^{t+\tau} (-N_2 R(s) Q_2(s)) ds \\
 &\geq 1 - \frac{1}{p} - \frac{N_2}{p} - \frac{N_2}{p} \int_{t_1}^{\infty} R(s) Q_2(s) ds \geq N_1.
 \end{aligned}$$

Thus we know that $TA \subset A$. Since A is a bounded, closed, and convex subset of X , hence we can prove that T is a contraction mapping on A by the contraction principle.

In fact, for $x_1, x_2 \in A$, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
 &|(Tx_1)(t) - (Tx_2)(t)| \\
 &\leq \frac{1}{p} |x_1(t+\tau) - x_2(t+\tau)| \\
 &\quad + \frac{R(t+\tau)}{p} \left[\int_{t+\tau}^{\infty} Q_1(s) |x_1(s-\sigma_1) - x_2(s-\sigma_2)| ds \right. \\
 &\quad \left. + \int_{t+\tau}^{\infty} Q_2(s) |x_1(s-\sigma_2) - x_2(s-\sigma_2)| ds \right] \\
 &\quad + \frac{1}{p} \left[\int_{t_1}^{t+\tau} R(s) Q_1(s) |x_1(s-\sigma_1) - x_2(s-\sigma_1)| ds \right. \\
 &\quad \left. + \int_{t_1}^{t+\tau} R(s) Q_1(s) |x_1(s-\sigma_2) - x_2(s-\sigma_2)| ds \right] \\
 &\leq \frac{1}{p} \|x_1 - x_2\| + \frac{1}{p} \|x_1 - x_2\| \left\{ \int_{t+\tau}^{\infty} R(s) [Q_1(s) + Q_2(s)] ds \right. \\
 &\quad \left. + \int_{t_1}^{t+\tau} R(s) [Q_1(s) + Q_2(s)] ds \right\} \\
 &= \frac{1}{p} \|x_1 - x_2\| \left\{ 1 + \int_{t_1}^{\infty} R(s) [Q_1(s) + Q_2(s)] ds \right\} \\
 &= q_2 \|x_1 - x_2\|, \quad q_2 < 1.
 \end{aligned}$$

This implies that

$$\|Tx_1 - Tx_2\| \leq q_2 \|x_1 - x_2\|.$$

Thus we know that T is a contraction mapping. Consequently T has the unique fixed point x , which is obviously a positive solution of Eq.(1). This completes the proof of Claim 2.

Claim 3. $p \in (-1, 0)$. Choose $t_1 > t_0$ large enough such that the inequalities

$$\int_{t_1}^{\infty} R(s)[Q_1(s) + Q_2(s)]ds < p + 1,$$

$$0 \leq \int_{t_1}^{\infty} R(s)Q_1(s)ds \leq \frac{M_3(1+p) - (1+p)}{M_3}$$

and

$$\int_{t_1}^{\infty} R(s)Q_2(s)ds \leq \frac{(1+p) - M_3(1+p)}{M_4}. \quad (8)$$

hold, where the positive constants M_3 and M_4 satisfy

$$0 < M_3 < 1 < M_4.$$

Let X be the same set as in Claim 1. Set

$$A = \{x \in X : M_3 \leq x(t) \leq M_4, t \geq t_0\}. \quad (9)$$

Define mapping $T : A \rightarrow X$ as follows:

$$(Tx)(t) = \begin{cases} 1 + p - px(t - \tau) \\ \quad + R(t) \int_t^{\infty} [Q_1(s)x(s - \sigma_1) - Q_2(s)x(s - \sigma_2)]ds \\ \quad + \int_{t_1}^t R(s)[Q_1(s)x(s - \sigma_1) - Q_2(s)x(s - \sigma_2)]ds, & t \geq t_1; \\ (Tx)(t_1), & t_0 \leq t \leq t_1. \end{cases}$$

Clearly, Tx is continuous. For every $x \in A$ and $t \geq t_1$, by (8) we get

$$\begin{aligned} (Tx)(t) &\leq 1 + p - pM_4 + R(t) \int_t^{\infty} M_4Q_1(s)ds + \int_{t_1}^t R(s)M_4Q_1(s)ds \\ &\leq 1 + p - pM_4 + M_4 \int_{t_1}^{\infty} R(s)Q_1(s)ds \leq M_4 \end{aligned}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} (Tx)(t) &\geq 1 + p - pM_3 - R(t) \int_t^\infty M_4 Q_2(s) ds - \int_{t_1}^t R(s) M_4 Q_2(s) ds \\ &= 1 + p - pM_3 - M_4 \int_t^\infty R(s) Q_2(s) ds \geq M_3. \end{aligned}$$

Thus we know that $TA \subset A$. Since A is a bounded, closed, and convex subset of X , hence we can prove that T is a contraction mapping on A by the contraction principle.

In fact, for $x_1, x_2 \in A$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} |(Tx_1)(t) - (Tx_2)(t)| &\leq -p|x_1(t - \tau) - x_2(t - \tau)| \\ &\quad + R(t) \int_t^\infty Q_1(s) |x_1(s - \sigma_2) - x_2(s - \sigma_2)| ds \\ &\quad + R(t) \int_t^\infty Q_2(s) |x_1(s - \sigma_2) - x_2(s - \sigma_2)| ds \\ &\quad + \int_{t_1}^t R(s) Q_1(s) |x_1(s - \sigma_1) - x_2(s - \sigma_1)| ds \\ &\quad + \int_{t_1}^t R(s) Q_2(s) |x_1(s - \sigma_2) - x_2(s - \sigma_2)| ds \\ &\leq -p\|x_1 - x_2\| + \|x_1 - x_2\| \left(\int_t^\infty R(s) [Q_1(s) + Q_2(s)] ds \right) \\ &= \|x_1 - x_2\| \left\{ -p + \int_{t_1}^\infty R(s) [Q_1(s) + Q_2(s)] ds \right\} \\ &= q_3 \|x_1 - x_2\|, \quad q_3 < 1. \end{aligned}$$

This implies that

$$\|Tx_1 - Tx_2\| \leq q_3 \|x_1 - x_2\|.$$

Thus we know that T is a contraction mapping. Consequently T has the unique fixed point x , which is obviously a positive solution of Eq.(1). This completes the proof of Claim 3.

Claim 4. $p \in (-\infty, -1)$. Choose $t_1 > t_0$ large enough such that the

inequalities

$$\int_{t_1}^{\infty} R(s) [Q_1(s) + Q_2(s)] ds < -(p+1), \quad (10)$$

$$\int_{t_1}^{\infty} R(s) Q_2(s) ds < \frac{-(p+1)(N_3-1)}{N_3} \quad (11)$$

and

$$\int_{t_1}^{\infty} R(s) Q_1(s) ds < \frac{-(1+p)(1-N_3)}{N_4} \quad (12)$$

hold, where the positive constants N_3 and N_4 satisfy

$$0 < N_3 < 1 < N_4.$$

Let X be the same set as in Claim 1. Set

$$A = \{x \in X : N_3 \leq x(t) \leq N_4, t \geq t_0\}. \quad (13)$$

Define mapping $T : A \rightarrow X$ as follows:

$$(Tx)(t) = \begin{cases} 1 + \frac{1}{p} - \frac{1}{p}x(t+\tau) \\ \quad + \frac{R(t+\tau)}{p} \int_{t+\tau}^{\infty} [Q_1(s)x(s-\sigma_1) - Q_2(s)x(s-\sigma_2)] ds \\ \quad + \frac{1}{p} \int_{t_1}^{t+\tau} R(s) [Q_1(s)x(s-\sigma_1) - Q_2(s)x(s-\sigma_2)] ds, & t \geq t_1; \\ (Tx)(t_1), & t_0 \leq t \leq t_1. \end{cases}$$

Clearly, Tx is continuous. For every $x \in A$ and $t \geq t_1$, using (10) and (12) we get

$$(Tx)(t) \leq 1 + \frac{1}{p} - \frac{N_4}{p} - \frac{N_4}{p} \int_t^{\infty} R(s) Q_2(s) ds \leq N_4.$$

Furthermore, in view of (11) and (12) we have

$$(Tx)(t) \geq 1 + \frac{1}{p} - \frac{N_3}{p} + \frac{N_4}{p} \int_t^{\infty} R(s) Q_1(s) ds \geq N_3.$$

Thus we know that $TA \subset A$. Since A is a bounded, closed, and convex subset of X , hence we can prove that T is a contraction mapping on A by the contraction principle.

In fact, for $x_1, x_2 \in A$, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
& |(Tx_1)(t) - (Tx_2)(t)| \\
& \leq -\frac{1}{p}|x_1(t+\tau) - x_2(t+\tau)| \\
& \quad - \frac{R(t+\tau)}{p} \left[\int_{t+\tau}^{\infty} Q_1(s)|x_1(s-\sigma_1) - x_2(s-\sigma_1)|ds \right. \\
& \quad \left. + \int_{t+\tau}^{\infty} Q_2(s)|x_1(s-\sigma_2) - x_2(s-\sigma_2)|ds \right] \\
& \quad - \frac{1}{p} \left[\int_{t_1}^{t+\tau} R(s)Q_1(s)|x_1(s-\sigma_1) - x_2(s-\sigma_1)|ds \right. \\
& \quad \left. + \int_{t_1}^{t+\tau} R(s)Q_2(s)|x_1(s-\sigma_2) - x_2(s-\sigma_2)|ds \right] \\
& \leq -\frac{1}{p}\|x_1 - x_2\| - \frac{1}{p}\|x_1 - x_2\| \int_{t+\tau}^{\infty} R(s)[Q_1(s) + Q_2(s)]ds \\
& \quad + \int_{t_1}^{t+\tau} R(s)[Q_1(s) + Q_2(s)]ds \\
& = -\frac{1}{p}\|x_1 - x_2\| \left\{ 1 + \int_{t_1}^{\infty} R(s)[Q_1(s) + Q_2(s)]ds \right\} \\
& = q_4\|x_1 - x_2\|, \quad q_4 < 1.
\end{aligned}$$

This implies that

$$\|Tx_1 - Tx_2\| \leq q_4\|x_1 - x_2\|.$$

Thus we know that T is a contraction mapping. Consequently T has the unique fixed point x , which is obviously a positive solution of Eq.(1). This completes the proof of Claim 4.

References

1. M. R. S. Kulenović and S.Hadžiomerspahić, Existence of nonoscillatory solution of second order linear neutral delay equation, *J. Math. Anal. Appl.*, **228**(1998), no.2, 436-448.
2. I. Györi and G. Ladas, *Oscillation Theory of Delay Differential Equations*, Oxford Univ. Press, New York, 1991.
3. D. D. Bañnov and D. P. Mishev, *Oscillation Theory for Neutral Differential Equations with Delay*, Adam Hilger, Bristol, 1991.

4. K. Gopalsamy, *Stability and Oscillations in Delay Differential Equations of Population Dynamics*, Kluwer Academic, Dordrecht, 1992.
5. B. G. Zhang and J. S. Yu, Existence of positive solutions for neutral differential equations, *Sci. China Ser. A*, **35**(1992), no.11, 1306-1313.
6. J. F. Cheng, Existence of nonoscillatory solution of second order linear neutral difference equation, *Appl. Math. Lett.*, **20**(2007), no.8, 892-899.
7. J. W. Hooker and W. T. Patula, A second-order nonlinear difference equation: oscillation and asymptotic behavior, *J. Math. Anal. Appl.*, **91**(1983), no.1, 9-29.

Department of mathematics, Hunan City University, Yiyang 413000, P.R. China.

E-mail: gwm@mail.hncul.net

Department of mathematics, Xiamen University, Xiamen 361005, P.R. China.

E-mail: jfcheng@xmu.edu.cn

Department of mathematics, Huzhou Teachers College, Huzhou 313000, P.R. China.

E-mail: chuyuming@hutc.zj.cn