

SOME MULTIVALENT STARLIKENESS CONDITIONS FOR ANALYTIC FUNCTIONS

BY

DINGGONG YANG (楊定恭)

Abstract. Let $A_p(n)$ ($p, n \in N$) be the class of functions $f(z) = z^p + a_{p+n}z^{p+n} + \dots$ which are analytic in the unit disk. By using the method of differential subordination, we investigate the multivalent starlikeness of certain analytic functions in $A_p(n)$ and their convolutions. Several interesting consequences are also given.

1. Introduction. Let $A_p(n)$ ($p, n \in N = \{1, 2, 3, \dots\}$) be the class of functions of the form

$$f(z) = z^p + \sum_{k=n}^{\infty} a_{p+k}z^{p+k}$$

which are analytic in the unit disk $E = \{z : |z| < 1\}$. A function $f(z) \in A_p(n)$ is called p -valently starlike in E if it satisfies

$$\operatorname{Re} \frac{zf'(z)}{f(z)} > 0 \quad (z \in E).$$

We denote by $S_p(n)$ the subclass of $A_p(n)$ consisting of functions $f(z)$ which are p -valently starlike in E . Also, we write $A_1(1) = A$ and $S_1(1) = S$.

Let $f(z)$ and $g(z)$ be analytic in E . Then we say that $f(z)$ is subordinate

Received by the editors June 1, 2000 and in revised version April 21, 2003.

AMS Subject Classification: 30C45.

Key words and phrases: Analytic, p -valently starlike, subordination, convolution.

to $g(z)$, denoted by $f(z) \prec g(z)$, if there exists an analytic function $\omega(z)$ in E such that $\omega(0) = 0$, $|\omega(z)| < 1$ and $f(z) = g(\omega(z))$ for $z \in E$. If $g(z)$ is univalent in E , then $f(z) \prec g(z)$ if and only if $f(0) = g(0)$ and $f(E) \subset g(E)$.

A function $f(z) \in A_p(n)$ is said to be in the class $B_p(n, \alpha, \lambda)$ ($\alpha, \lambda > 0$) if it satisfies

$$(1) \quad \left| \frac{zf'(z)}{pf(z)} \left(\frac{f(z)}{z^p} \right)^\alpha - 1 \right| < \lambda \quad (z \in E).$$

Note that $B_1(1, \alpha, \lambda)$ ($0 < \lambda \leq 1$) is a subclass of Bazilevic functions in E and each function in $B_1(1, \alpha, \lambda)$ is univalent in E .

The celebrated Polya-Schoenberg conjecture proved by Ruscheweyh and Sheil-Small [4] states that if $f(z), g(z) \in S$, then

$$\int_0^z \frac{(f * g)(t)}{t} dt \in S,$$

where $*$ stands for the Hadamard product or convolution. It is also known that $(f * g)(z)$ need not even be univalent in E for $f(z), g(z) \in S$.

There are many papers in which various sufficient conditions for multivalent starlikeness were obtained. The object of the present paper is to investigate the multivalent starlikeness for certain functions in $A_p(n)$ and their convolutions.

2. Preliminaries. We shall use the following lemmas to prove our results.

Lemma 1. ([2]) *Let $h(z)$ be a convex function in E (i.e. $h(z)$ is analytic and univalent in E and $h(E)$ is a convex domain), $h(0) = 1$, and let $g(z) = 1 + b_n z^n + b_{n+1} z^{n+1} + \dots$ be analytic in E . If $g(z) + \frac{1}{c} z g'(z) \prec h(z)$, then*

$$g(z) \prec \frac{c}{n} z^{-c/n} \int_0^z t^{c/n-1} h(t) dt,$$

where $c \neq 0$ and $Rec \geq 0$.

Lemma 2. Let $f(z) = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} a_n z^n$ and $g(z) = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} b_n z^n$ be analytic in E . If $f(z) \prec g(z)$, then

$$\sum_{k=1}^n |a_k|^2 \leq \sum_{k=1}^n |b_k|^2 \quad (n \in \mathbb{N}).$$

This lemma is due to Rogosinski (see [1, p.192]).

3. Main results.

Theorem 1. If $f(z) \in B_p(n, \alpha, \lambda)$ with

$$(2) \quad \lambda = \frac{p\alpha + n}{[(p\alpha)^2 + (p\alpha + n)^2]^{1/2}},$$

then $f(z) \in S_p(n)$.

Proof. We use a technique in [5]. Since $f(z) \in A_p(n)$ satisfies (1), we can write

$$(3) \quad \frac{zf'(z)}{pf(z)} \left(\frac{f(z)}{z^p} \right)^\alpha \prec 1 + \lambda z,$$

where λ is given by (2).

Let $g(z) = (f(z)/z^p)^\alpha$. Then $g(z) = 1 + b_n z^n + b_{n+1} z^{n+1} + \dots$ is analytic in E and

$$\frac{zf'(z)}{pf(z)} \left(\frac{f(z)}{z^p} \right)^\alpha = g(z) + \frac{1}{p\alpha} z g'(z).$$

Therefore, it follows from (3) that

$$g(z) + \frac{1}{p\alpha} z g'(z) \prec 1 + \lambda z,$$

and an application of Lemma 1 with $h(z) = 1 + \lambda z$ yields

$$g(z) \prec 1 + \frac{p\alpha\lambda}{p\alpha + n}z$$

or, equivalently,

$$(4) \quad \left| \left(\frac{f(z)}{z^p} \right)^\alpha - 1 \right| < \frac{p\alpha\lambda}{p\alpha + n} \quad (z \in E).$$

From (1) and (4), we easily have

$$\begin{aligned} \left| \arg \left\{ \frac{zf'(z)}{pf(z)} \left(\frac{f(z)}{z^p} \right)^\alpha \right\} \right| &< \arcsin \lambda, \\ \left| \arg \left(\frac{f(z)}{z^p} \right)^\alpha \right| &< \arcsin \frac{p\alpha\lambda}{p\alpha + n}, \end{aligned}$$

and hence

$$\begin{aligned} \left| \arg \frac{zf'(z)}{pf(z)} \right| &\leq \left| \arg \left\{ \frac{zf'(z)}{pf(z)} \left(\frac{f(z)}{z^p} \right)^\alpha \right\} \right| + \left| \arg \left(\frac{f(z)}{z^p} \right)^\alpha \right| \\ (5) \quad &< \arcsin \lambda + \arcsin \frac{p\alpha\lambda}{p\alpha + n} \\ &= \frac{\pi}{2} \quad (z \in E), \end{aligned}$$

which implies that $f(z) \in S_p(n)$. This completes the proof of the theorem.

For $\alpha = 1$, our theorem gives

Corollary 1. *If $f(z) \in B_p(n, 1, \lambda)$ with*

$$\lambda = \frac{p+n}{[p^2 + (p+n)^2]^{1/2}},$$

then $f(z) \in S_p(n)$.

Remark 1. For $p = n = 1$, Mocanu [3] proved the corollary by another method.

Putting $\alpha = 2$ in Theorem 1, we have

Corollary 2. *If $f(z) \in B_p(n, 2, \lambda)$ with*

$$\lambda = \frac{2p + n}{[4p^2 + (2p + n)^2]^{1/2}},$$

then $f(z) \in S_p(n)$.

Theorem 2. *Let $Re c > -p\alpha$. If $f(z) \in B_p(n, \alpha, \lambda)$ with*

$$(6) \quad \lambda = \frac{|c + p\alpha + n|(p\alpha + n)}{|c + p\alpha| [(p\alpha)^2 + (p\alpha + n)^2]^{1/2}},$$

then the function $F(z)$ defined by

$$F(z) = \left[\frac{c + p\alpha}{z^c} \int_0^z t^{c-1} (f(t))^\alpha dt \right]^{1/\alpha}$$

belongs to $S_p(n)$.

Proof. It is clear that the function $F(z)$ is in $A_p(n)$. Differentiating both sides of the equality

$$z^c (F(z))^\alpha = (c + p\alpha) \int_0^z t^{c-1} (f(t))^\alpha dt,$$

we have

$$(7) \quad c(F(z))^{\alpha-1} F'(z) + (z(F(z))^{\alpha-1} F'(z))' = (c + p\alpha)(f(z))^{\alpha-1} f'(z).$$

Letting

$$G(z) = \frac{zF'(z)}{pF(z)} \left(\frac{F(z)}{z^p} \right)^\alpha = 1 + b_n z^n + \dots,$$

then (7) becomes

$$(8) \quad G(z) + \frac{zG'(z)}{c + p\alpha} = \frac{zf'(z)}{pf(z)} \left(\frac{f(z)}{z^p} \right)^\alpha.$$

It follows from (1) and (8) that

$$G(z) + \frac{zG'(z)}{c + p\alpha} \prec 1 + \lambda z,$$

where λ is given by (6).

Now, an application of Lemma 1 yields

$$G(z) \prec 1 + \frac{\lambda(c + p\alpha)}{c + p\alpha + n} z,$$

and hence

$$(9) \quad \left| \frac{zF'(z)}{pF(z)} \left(\frac{F(z)}{z^p} \right)^\alpha - 1 \right| < \frac{p\alpha + n}{[(p\alpha)^2 + (p\alpha + n)^2]^{1/2}}$$

for $z \in E$. By replacing $f(z)$ by $F(z)$ in Theorem 1, it follows from (9) that $F(z) \in S_p(n)$.

Taking $\alpha = 1$ in Theorem 2, we obtain

Corollary 3. *If $Rec > -p$ and $f(z) \in B_p(n, 1, \lambda)$ with*

$$\lambda = \frac{|c + p + n|(p + n)}{|c + p| [p^2 + (p + n)^2]^{1/2}},$$

then

$$\frac{c + p}{z^c} \int_0^z t^{c-1} f(t) dt \in S_p(n).$$

Remark 2. For $p = n = 1$ and $c = Rec > -1$, Corollary 3 was proved by Mocanu [3].

Theorem 3. *Let $Re\beta \geq 0$, $\beta \neq 0$, and $0 < \lambda < |p + n\beta|/p$. If $f(z)$ in $A_p(n)$ satisfies*

$$(10) \quad \left| (1 - \beta) \frac{f(z)}{z^p} + \beta \frac{f'(z)}{pz^{p-1}} - 1 \right| < \lambda \quad (z \in E),$$

then

$$(11) \quad \left| \frac{zf'(z)}{pf(z)} - 1 \right| < \frac{\lambda(p + |p + n\beta|)}{|\beta|(|p + n\beta| - p\lambda)} \quad (z \in E).$$

Proof. The function $g(z) = f(z)/z^p = 1 + b_n z^n + \dots$ is analytic in E and it follows from (10) that

$$(1 - \beta) \frac{f(z)}{z^p} + \beta \frac{f'(z)}{pz^{p-1}} = g(z) + \frac{\beta}{p} z g'(z) \prec 1 + \lambda z.$$

By Lemma 1, we have

$$(12) \quad \frac{f(z)}{z^p} \prec 1 + \frac{p\lambda}{p + n\beta} z,$$

which implies that

$$(13) \quad \left| \frac{f(z)}{z^p} - 1 \right| < \frac{p\lambda}{|p + n\beta|}, \quad \left| \frac{f(z)}{z^p} \right| > 1 - \frac{p\lambda}{|p + n\beta|} > 0 \quad \text{for } z \in E.$$

Making use of (10) and (13), we deduce that

$$\begin{aligned} |\beta| \left| \frac{f'(z)}{pz^{p-1}} - \frac{f(z)}{z^p} \right| &\leq \left| \frac{f(z)}{z^p} - 1 + \beta \left(\frac{f'(z)}{pz^{p-1}} - \frac{f(z)}{z^p} \right) \right| + \left| \frac{f(z)}{z^p} - 1 \right| \\ &< \frac{\lambda(p + |p + n\beta|)}{|p + n\beta| - p\lambda} \left(1 - \frac{p\lambda}{|p + n\beta|} \right) \\ &< \frac{\lambda(p + |p + n\beta|)}{|p + n\beta| - p\lambda} \left| \frac{f(z)}{z^p} \right| \quad (z \in E), \end{aligned}$$

which yields (11) and the proof is complete.

From Theorem 3, we easily have

Corollary 4. *If $\operatorname{Re}\beta \geq 0$, $\beta \neq 0$, and $f(z)$ in $A_p(n)$ satisfies*

$$\left| (1 - \beta) \frac{f(z)}{z^p} + \beta \frac{f'(z)}{pz^{p-1}} - 1 \right| < \frac{|\beta(p + n\beta)|}{p(1 + |\beta|) + |p + n\beta|} \quad (z \in E),$$

then $f(z) \in S_p(n)$ and $|zf'(z)/(pf(z)) - 1| < 1$ ($z \in E$).

For $p = n = \beta = 1$, Theorem 3 reduces to

Corollary 5. *If $0 < \lambda < 2$ and $f(z)$ in A satisfies $|f'(z) - 1| < \lambda$ ($z \in E$), then*

$$\left| \frac{zf'(z)}{f(z)} - 1 \right| < \frac{3\lambda}{2 - \lambda} \quad (z \in E).$$

Theorem 4. *Let $\operatorname{Re}\beta_1 \geq 0$, $\operatorname{Re}\beta_2 \geq 0$, $\beta_1\beta_2 \neq 0$, and let $f(z), g(z) \in A_p(n)$ satisfy*

$$(14) \quad \left| (1 - \beta_1) \frac{f(z)}{z^p} + \beta_1 \frac{f'(z)}{pz^{p-1}} - 1 \right| < \lambda_1,$$

$$(15) \quad \left| (1 - \beta_2) \frac{g(z)}{z^p} + \beta_2 \frac{g'(z)}{pz^{p-1}} - 1 \right| < \lambda_2$$

for $z \in E$, where $\lambda_1 > 0$, $\lambda_2 > 0$, and

$$(16) \quad \lambda_1\lambda_2 \leq \left| \left(1 + \frac{n\beta_1}{p}\right) \left(1 + \frac{n\beta_2}{p}\right) \right|.$$

Then

$$(17) \quad \varphi(z) = p \int_0^z \frac{(f * g)(t)}{t} dt \in S_p(n)$$

and

$$(18) \quad \left| \frac{z\varphi'(z)}{p\varphi(z)} - 1 \right| < 1 \quad (z \in E).$$

The bound of $\lambda_1\lambda_2$ in (16) is the best possible.

Proof. Let $f(z) = z^p + \sum_{k=n}^{\infty} a_{p+k}z^{p+k} \in A_p(n)$ satisfy (14). Then, by (12) in the proof of Theorem 3, we have

$$\frac{f(z)}{z^p} = 1 + \sum_{k=n}^{\infty} a_{p+k}z^k < 1 + \frac{p\lambda_1}{p + n\beta_1}z.$$

Thus an application of Lemma 2 yields

$$\sum_{k=n}^{\infty} |a_{p+k}|^2 \leq \left(\frac{p\lambda_1}{|p+n\beta_1|} \right)^2.$$

Similarly, if $g(z) = z^p + \sum_{k=n}^{\infty} b_{p+k}z^{p+k} \in A_p(n)$ satisfies (15), then

$$\sum_{k=n}^{\infty} |b_{p+k}|^2 \leq \left(\frac{p\lambda_2}{|p+n\beta_2|} \right)^2.$$

Therefore, by the Cauchy-Schwarz inequality and (16), we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{k=n}^{\infty} |a_{p+k}b_{p+k}| &\leq \left(\sum_{k=n}^{\infty} |a_{p+k}|^2 \right)^{1/2} \left(\sum_{k=n}^{\infty} |b_{p+k}|^2 \right)^{1/2} \\ (19) \qquad \qquad \qquad &\leq \frac{p^2\lambda_1\lambda_2}{|(p+n\beta_1)(p+n\beta_2)|} \leq 1. \end{aligned}$$

Since the function $\varphi(z)$ in (17) can be expressed by

$$\varphi(z) = z^p + \sum_{k=n}^{\infty} \frac{p}{p+k} a_{p+k}b_{p+k}z^{p+k},$$

it follows from (19) that

$$\begin{aligned} \left| \frac{z\varphi'(z)}{p\varphi(z)} - 1 \right| &= \left| \frac{\sum_{k=n}^{\infty} \frac{k}{p+k} a_{p+k}b_{p+k}z^k}{1 + \sum_{k=n}^{\infty} \frac{p}{p+k} a_{p+k}b_{p+k}z^k} \right| \\ &< \frac{\sum_{k=n}^{\infty} \frac{k}{p+k} |a_{p+k}b_{p+k}|}{1 - \sum_{k=n}^{\infty} \frac{p}{p+k} |a_{p+k}b_{p+k}|} \\ &\leq 1 \quad (z \in E). \end{aligned}$$

This proves (18).

By considering the functions

$$f(z) = z^p + \frac{p\lambda_1}{p+n\beta_1}z^{p+n}, \quad g(z) = z^p + \frac{p\lambda_2}{p+n\beta_2}z^{p+n},$$

it is easy to verify that the bound of $\lambda_1\lambda_2$ in (16) is sharp. The proof is now complete.

For $p = n = \beta_1 = \beta_2 = 1$, Theorem 4 gives the following

Corollary 6. *Let $f(z), g(z) \in A$ satisfy $|f'(z)-1| < \lambda_1$, $|g'(z)-1| < \lambda_2$ ($z \in E$), where $\lambda_1 > 0$, $\lambda_2 > 0$, and $\lambda_1\lambda_2 \leq 4$, then*

$$\varphi(z) = \int_0^z \frac{(f * g)(t)}{t} dt \in S$$

and $|z\varphi'(z)/\varphi(z) - 1| < 1$ for $z \in E$. The bound 4 of $\lambda_1\lambda_2$ is sharp.

Theorem 5. *Let $f(z), g(z) \in A_p(n)$ satisfy*

$$(20) \quad \left| (1-\beta)\frac{f(z)}{z^p} + \beta\frac{f'(z)}{pz^{p-1}} - 1 \right| < \lambda_1,$$

$$(21) \quad \left| (1-\beta)\frac{g(z)}{z^p} + \beta\frac{g'(z)}{pz^{p-1}} - 1 \right| < \lambda_2$$

for $z \in E$, where $\beta > 0$, $\lambda_1 > 0$, $\lambda_2 > 0$, and

$$(22) \quad \lambda_1\lambda_2 \leq 1 + n\beta/p.$$

If $\psi(z) = (f * g)(z)$, then

$$(23) \quad \left| \frac{z\psi'(z)}{p\psi(z)} - 1 \right| < \frac{1}{\beta} \quad (z \in E).$$

The bound of $\lambda_1\lambda_2$ in (22) is the best possible.

Proof. Let $f(z) = z^p + \sum_{k=n}^{\infty} a_{p+k}z^{p+k}$ and $g(z) = z^p + \sum_{k=n}^{\infty} b_{p+k}z^{p+k}$

be in $A_p(n)$. Then (20) is equivalent to

$$\sum_{k=n}^{\infty} \left(1 + \frac{k\beta}{p}\right) a_{p+k} z^k \prec \lambda_1 z.$$

For $\beta > 0$, an application of Lemma 2 gives

$$\sum_{k=n}^{\infty} \left(1 + \frac{k\beta}{p}\right)^2 |a_{p+k}|^2 \leq \lambda_1^2,$$

and so

$$\sum_{k=n}^{\infty} \left(1 + \frac{k\beta}{p}\right) |a_{p+k}|^2 \leq \frac{p\lambda_1^2}{p+n\beta}.$$

Similarly, it follows from (21) and Lemma 2 that

$$\sum_{k=n}^{\infty} \left(1 + \frac{k\beta}{p}\right) |b_{p+k}|^2 \leq \frac{p\lambda_2^2}{p+n\beta}.$$

Hence, by the Cauchy-Schwarz inequality and (22), we have

$$\begin{aligned} & \sum_{k=n}^{\infty} \left(1 + \frac{k\beta}{p}\right) |a_{p+k} b_{p+k}| \\ (24) \quad & \leq \left(\sum_{k=n}^{\infty} \left(1 + \frac{k\beta}{p}\right) |a_{p+k}|^2 \right)^{1/2} \left(\sum_{k=n}^{\infty} \left(1 + \frac{k\beta}{p}\right) |b_{p+k}|^2 \right)^{1/2} \\ & \leq \frac{p\lambda_1\lambda_2}{p+n\beta} \leq 1. \end{aligned}$$

Now, in view of (24), we deduce that

$$\left| \frac{z\psi'(z)}{p\psi(z)} - 1 \right| = \left| \frac{\sum_{k=n}^{\infty} k a_{p+k} b_{p+k} z^k}{p \left(1 + \sum_{k=n}^{\infty} a_{p+k} b_{p+k} z^k\right)} \right| < \frac{\sum_{k=n}^{\infty} k |a_{p+k} b_{p+k}|}{p \left(1 - \sum_{k=n}^{\infty} |a_{p+k} b_{p+k}|\right)} \leq \frac{1}{\beta}$$

for $z \in E$, which proves (23).

Next, the functions

$$(25) \quad f(z) = z^p + \frac{p\lambda_1}{p+n\beta}z^{p+n}, \quad g(z) = z^p + \frac{p\lambda_2}{p+n\beta}z^{p+n}$$

show that the bound of $\lambda_1\lambda_2$ in (22) is sharp. This completes the proof.

Taking $\beta = 1$ in Theorem 5, we have

Corollary 7. *Let $f(z), g(z) \in A_p(n)$ satisfy*

$$\left| \frac{f'(z)}{pz^{p-1}} - 1 \right| < \lambda_1, \quad \left| \frac{g'(z)}{pz^{p-1}} - 1 \right| < \lambda_2, \quad (z \in E),$$

where $\lambda_1 > 0$, $\lambda_2 > 0$, and $\lambda_1\lambda_2 \leq 1 + n/p$. Then $\psi(z) = (f * g)(z) \in S_p(n)$

and

$$\left| \frac{z\psi'(z)}{p\psi(z)} - 1 \right| < 1 \quad (z \in E).$$

The bound $1 + n/p$ of $\lambda_1\lambda_2$ is sharp.

Theorem 6. *Let $\operatorname{Re}\beta \geq 0$, $\beta \neq 0$, $\lambda_1 > 0$, $\lambda_2 > 0$, and let $f(z), g(z) \in A_p(n)$ satisfy*

$$\left| (1 - \beta) \frac{f(z)}{z^p} + \beta \frac{f'(z)}{pz^{p-1}} - 1 \right| < \lambda_1,$$

$$\left| (1 - \beta) \frac{g(z)}{z^p} + \beta \frac{g'(z)}{pz^{p-1}} - 1 \right| < \lambda_2$$

for $z \in E$. Then

$$(26) \quad \left| (1 - \beta) \frac{\psi(z)}{z^p} + \beta \frac{\psi'(z)}{pz^{p-1}} - 1 \right| < \frac{p\lambda_1\lambda_2}{|p+n\beta|} \quad (z \in E),$$

where $\psi(z) = (f * g)(z)$. The bound in (26) is the best possible.

Proof. Proceeding as in the proof of Theorems 4 and 5, we have

$$\sum_{k=n}^{\infty} \left| 1 + \frac{k\beta}{p} \right|^2 |a_{p+k}|^2 \leq \lambda_1^2, \quad \sum_{k=n}^{\infty} |b_{p+k}|^2 \leq \left(\frac{p\lambda_2}{|p+n\beta|} \right)^2,$$

and hence

$$\begin{aligned} \left| (1-\beta) \frac{\psi(z)}{z^p} + \beta \frac{\psi'(z)}{pz^{p-1}} - 1 \right| &= \left| \sum_{k=n}^{\infty} \left(1 + \frac{k\beta}{p} \right) a_{p+k} b_{p+k} z^k \right| \\ &< \left(\sum_{k=n}^{\infty} \left| 1 + \frac{k\beta}{p} \right|^2 |a_{p+k}|^2 \right)^{1/2} \left(\sum_{k=n}^{\infty} |b_{p+k}|^2 \right)^{1/2} \\ &\leq \frac{p\lambda_1\lambda_2}{|p+n\beta|} \quad (z \in E). \end{aligned}$$

This proves (26).

The bound in (26) is sharp for the functions $f(z)$ and $g(z)$ given by (25) (with $Re\beta \geq 0$ and $\beta \neq 0$).

References

1. P. L. Duren, *Univalent Functions*, Springer-Verlag, New York, 1983.
2. S. S. Miller and P. T. Mocanu, *Differential subordinations and univalent functions*, Michigan Math. J., **28**(1981), 157-171.
3. P. T. Mocanu, *Some starlikeness conditions for analytic functions*, Rev. Roumaine Math. Pures Appl., **33**(1988), 117-124.
4. St. Ruscheweyh and T. Sheil-Small, *Hadamard products of schlicht functions and the Polya-Schoenberg conjecture*, Comment. Math. Helv., **48**(1973), 119-135.
5. Yang Dingdong, *Properties of certain classes of multivalent functions*, Bull. Inst. Math. Acad. Sinica, **22**(1994), 361-367.

Department of Mathematics, Suzhou University, Suzhou, Jiangsu 215006, China.