

OSCILLATION THEOREMS FOR HYPERBOLIC EQUATIONS OF NEUTRAL TYPE*

BY

LUO JIAOWAN (羅交晚)

LIU ZHENGRONG (劉正榮) AND YU YUANHONG (俞元洪)

Abstract. Oscillation criteria of certain hyperbolic equations of neutral type are established, and the main results given in [5] are improved.

1. Introduction. Consider the hyperbolic equation of neutral type of form

$$(1) \quad \begin{aligned} & \frac{\partial^2}{\partial t^2}[u(x, t) + p(t)u(x, t - \tau)] \\ & = a(t)\Delta u(x, t) - q(t)f(u(x, \sigma(t))), \quad (x, t) \in \Omega \times R_+ \end{aligned}$$

and the boundary condition

$$(2) \quad \frac{\partial u}{\partial n} + \mu(x, t)u = 0, \quad (x, t) \in \partial\Omega \times R_+$$

or

$$(3) \quad u = 0, \quad (x, t) \in \partial\Omega \times R_+,$$

Received by the editors August 31, 1998 and in revised form April 7, 1999.

AMS 1991 Subject Classification: 35B05, 35R10.

Key words and phrases: Hyperbolic differential equation, boundary value problem, deviating argument, oscillation criterion.

*Supported by the NSF of Hunan Province and the NSF of P. R. CHINA.

where $R_+ = [0, \infty)$, Ω is a bounded domain in R^n with a piecewise smooth boundary $\partial\Omega$, $\mu(x, t)$ is a continuous and nonnegative function on $\partial\Omega \times R_+$, and n denotes the unit exterior normal vector to $\partial\Omega$. Throughout this paper, we assume that

(a) $\sigma(t)$ is continuous function on R_+ such that $\lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} \sigma(t) = \infty$ and $\sigma(t) \leq t$ for $t \in R_+$;

(b) $a(t)$ is a nonnegative continuous function on R_+ , $f(u) \in C(R, R)$ is convex on $(0, \infty)$ and $uf(u) > 0$ for $u \neq 0$;

(c) $q(t) \in C(R_+, R_+)$, $p(t) \in C^1(R_+, [0, 1])$ and $\tau = \text{const} > 0$.

The solution $u(x, t)$ of the problem (1) and (2) (or (1) and (3)) is called oscillatory if $u(x, t)$ has zero in $\Omega \times [t_0, \infty)$ for each $t_0 \geq 0$.

In the last few years there was much interest in studying the oscillatory behavior of solutions of partial differential equations with deviating arguments. We refer the reader to [1-3] for parabolic equations of neutral type and to [4-7] for hyperbolic equations of neutral type.

In [5], the main results are as follows:

Theorem A. [5, Theorem 1]. *Let the conditions (a), (b) and (c) hold, and there exists a constant $\alpha > 0$ such that*

$$(4) \quad \frac{f(u)}{u} \geq \alpha \quad \forall u \neq 0, \quad \sigma'(t) \geq 0 \quad \forall t \geq 0.$$

If

$$(5) \quad \int_0^\infty q(s)[1 - p(\sigma(s))]ds = \infty,$$

then every solution $u(x, t)$ of the problem (1) and (2) is oscillatory.

Theorem B. [5, Theorem 2]. *If all conditions of Theorem A hold, then every solution of the problem (1) and (3) is oscillatory.*

The purpose of this paper is to improve Theorems A and B.

2. Main results. First we consider the problem (1) and (2).

Theorem 1. *Let the conditions (a), (b) and (c) hold, and there exist constants $\alpha > 0$ and $\gamma \geq 0$ such that*

$$(6) \quad \frac{f(u)}{u} \geq \alpha \quad \forall u \neq 0, \quad \sigma'(t) \geq \gamma \quad \forall t \geq 0.$$

Assume that there exist functions $\phi, F \in C^1(\mathbb{R}_+, \mathbb{R}_+)$ such that

$$(7) \quad \lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} \int_0^t \{ \Phi(s) [\alpha q(s)(1 - p(\sigma(s))) + \gamma \phi^2(s) - \phi'(s)] - F(s) \} \exp(2 \int_0^s [\frac{\gamma F(\zeta)}{\Phi(\zeta)}]^{\frac{1}{2}} d\zeta) ds = +\infty,$$

where $\Phi(t) = \exp(-2\gamma \int_0^t \phi(s) ds)$. Then every solution $u(x, t)$ of the problem (1) and (2) is oscillatory.

Proof. Suppose to the contrary that there is a solution $u(x, t)$ of the problem (1) and (2) which has no zero in $\Omega \times [t_0, \infty)$ for some $t_0 > 0$. Without loss of generality we may assume that $u(x, t) > 0$ in $\Omega \times [t_0, \infty)$. From condition (a) there exists a $t_1 \geq t_0$ such that $u(x, t) > 0$, $u(x, \sigma(t)) > 0$ and $u(x, t - \tau) > 0$ in $\Omega \times [t_1, \infty)$. We integrated (1) with respect to x over the domain Ω , and obtain for $t \geq t_1$.

$$(8) \quad \begin{aligned} & \frac{d^2}{dt^2} \left[\int_{\Omega} u(x, t) dx + p(t) \int_{\Omega} u(x, t - \tau) dx \right] \\ & = a(t) \int_{\Omega} \Delta u(x, t) dx - q(t) \int_{\Omega} f(u(x, \sigma(t))) dx. \end{aligned}$$

Green's formula yields

$$(9) \quad \int_{\Omega} \Delta u dx = \int_{\partial\Omega} \frac{\partial u}{\partial n} dS = - \int_{\partial\Omega} \mu u ds \leq 0.$$

Moreover from condition (b), together with Jensen's inequality, it follows that

$$(10) \quad \int_{\Omega} f(u(x, \sigma(t))) dx \geq |\Omega| f\left(\frac{\int_{\Omega} u(x, \sigma(t)) dx}{|\Omega|}\right), \quad \forall t \geq t_1,$$

where $|\Omega| = \int_{\Omega} dx$. Then from (8), (9) and (10) it follows that for $t \geq t_1$

$$(11) \quad \frac{d^2}{dt^2}[V(t) + p(t)V(t - \tau)] + q(t)f(V(\sigma(t))) \leq 0,$$

where $V(t) = \frac{1}{|\Omega|} \int_{\Omega} u(x, t) dx$ and $t \geq t_0$.

The above arguments imply that for $t \geq t_1$, $V(t)$ is a positive solution of the inequality (11). Set $Z(t) = V(t) + p(t)V(t - \tau)$. Obviously, $Z(t) > 0$ for $t \geq t_1$ and

$$(12) \quad Z''(t) \leq 0, \quad \forall t \geq t_1.$$

Hence $Z'(t)$ is decreasing. We claim that $Z'(t) \geq 0$ for $t \geq t_1$. If there exists a $t_2 \geq t_1$ such that $Z'(t_2) < 0$. By this, we have from (12)

$$Z(t) - Z(t_2) \leq \int_{t_2}^t Z'(t_2) ds = Z'(t_2)(t - t_2), \quad \forall t \geq t_2$$

and $\lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} Z(t) = -\infty$, which contradicts the fact that $Z(t) > 0$. From condition (6) and (11), we obtain

$$Z''(t) + \alpha q(t)V(\sigma(t)) \leq 0, \quad \forall t \geq t_1$$

or

$$Z''(t) + \alpha q(t)[Z(\sigma(t)) - p(\sigma(t))V(\sigma(t) - \tau)] \leq 0, \quad \forall t \geq t_1.$$

Since $Z(t) \geq V(t)$ and $Z(t)$ is nondecreasing, then

$$(13) \quad Z''(t) + \alpha q(t)[1 - p(\sigma(t))]Z(\sigma(t)) \leq 0, \quad \forall t \geq t_1.$$

Let

$$W(t) = \Phi(t) \left[\frac{Z'(t)}{Z(\sigma(t))} + \phi(t) \right], \quad \forall t \geq t_1,$$

where $\Phi(t) = \exp(-2\gamma \int_0^t \phi(s) ds)$ and $\phi(t)$ is a nonnegative function. We obtain for $t \geq t_1$

$$\begin{aligned} W'(t) &= -2\gamma\phi(t)\Phi(t) \left[\frac{Z'(t)}{Z(\sigma(t))} + \phi(t) \right] \\ &\quad + \Phi(t) \left[\frac{Z''(t)}{Z(\sigma(t))} - \frac{\sigma'(t)Z'(t)Z'(\sigma(t))}{Z^2(\sigma(t))} + \phi'(t) \right] \\ &\leq -2\gamma\phi(t)W(t) - \alpha q(t)\Phi(t)(1 - p(\sigma(t))) \\ &\quad - \Phi(t) \left[\frac{\sigma'(t)Z'(t)Z'(\sigma(t))}{Z^2(\sigma(t))} - \phi'(t) \right]. \end{aligned}$$

Using the fact that $Z'(t)$ is decreasing, we get

$$(14) \quad Z'(t) \leq Z'(\sigma(t)), \quad \forall t \geq t_1.$$

Since $\sigma'(t) \geq \gamma$ for $t \geq 0$, and

$$\frac{\sigma'(t)Z'(t)Z'(\sigma(t))}{Z^2(\sigma(t))} \geq \gamma \left[\frac{Z'(t)}{Z(\sigma(t))} \right]^2, \quad \forall t \geq t_1.$$

Thus, we have

$$\begin{aligned} W'(t) &\leq -2\gamma\phi(t)W(t) + \alpha\Phi(t)q(t)[p(\sigma(t)) - 1] \\ &\quad - \Phi(t) \left(\gamma \left[\frac{Z'(t)}{Z(\sigma(t))} \right]^2 - \phi'(t) \right) \\ (15) \quad &= -2\gamma\phi(t)W(t) + \alpha\Phi(t)q(t)[p(\sigma(t)) - 1] \\ &\quad - \Phi(t) \left(\gamma \left[\frac{W(t)}{\Phi(t)} - \phi(t) \right]^2 - \phi'(t) \right) \\ &= \Phi(t)(\alpha q(t)[p(\sigma(t)) - 1] - \gamma\phi^2(t) + \phi'(t)) - \gamma \frac{W^2(t)}{\Phi(t)} \\ &\leq \Phi(t)(\alpha q(t)[p(\sigma(t)) - 1] - \gamma\phi^2(t) + \phi'(t)) \\ &\quad - 2 \left[\frac{\gamma F(t)}{\Phi(t)} \right]^{\frac{1}{2}} W(t) + F(t). \end{aligned}$$

Hence

$$\begin{aligned} & W'(t) + 2\left[\frac{\gamma F(t)}{\Phi(t)}\right]^{\frac{1}{2}} W(t) \\ & \leq \Phi(t)(\alpha q(t)[p(\sigma(t)) - 1] - \gamma\phi^2(t) + \phi'(t)) + F(t). \end{aligned}$$

So, we have

$$\begin{aligned} & [W(t)e^{2\int_0^t \left[\frac{\gamma F(s)}{\Phi(s)}\right]^{\frac{1}{2}} ds}]' \\ & \leq \{\Phi(t)(\alpha q(t)[p(\sigma(t)) - 1] - \gamma\phi^2(t) + \phi'(t)) + F(t)\}e^{2\int_0^t \left[\frac{\gamma F(s)}{\Phi(s)}\right]^{\frac{1}{2}} ds}. \end{aligned}$$

Integrating the above inequality from t_1 to t we have

$$\begin{aligned} & W(t)e^{2\int_0^t \left[\frac{\gamma F(s)}{\Phi(s)}\right]^{\frac{1}{2}} ds} - W(t_1)e^{2\int_0^{t_1} \left[\frac{\gamma F(s)}{\Phi(s)}\right]^{\frac{1}{2}} ds} \\ & \leq \int_{t_1}^t \{\Phi(s)(\alpha q(s)[p(\sigma(s)) - 1] - \gamma\phi^2(s) + \phi'(s)) + F(s)\}e^{2\int_0^s \left[\frac{\gamma F(\zeta)}{\Phi(\zeta)}\right]^{\frac{1}{2}} d\zeta} ds. \end{aligned}$$

Hence

$$\begin{aligned} & \int_{t_1}^t \{\Phi(s)(\alpha q(s)[1 - p(\sigma(s))] + \gamma\phi^2(s) - \phi'(s)) - F(s)\}e^{2\int_0^s \left[\frac{\gamma F(\zeta)}{\Phi(\zeta)}\right]^{\frac{1}{2}} d\zeta} ds \\ & \leq W(t_1)e^{2\int_0^{t_1} \left[\frac{\gamma F(s)}{\Phi(s)}\right]^{\frac{1}{2}} ds}. \end{aligned}$$

Thus, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} & \limsup_{t \rightarrow \infty} \int_0^t \{\Phi(s)(\alpha q(s)[1 - p(\sigma(s))] + \gamma\phi^2(s) - \phi'(s)) - F(s)\}e^{2\int_0^s \left[\frac{\gamma F(\zeta)}{\Phi(\zeta)}\right]^{\frac{1}{2}} d\zeta} ds \\ & < +\infty \end{aligned}$$

which contradicts (7).

If $u(x, t) < 0$ for $(x, t) \in \Omega \times [t_0, \infty)$, then the proof follows from the fact that $-u(x, t)$ is a positive solution of the problem (1) and (2). The proof is completed.

Remark 1. In Theorem 1, if $\phi \equiv 0$ and $F \equiv 0$, then Theorem 1 reduces to Theorem A. It is not difficult to see that (7) is better than (5) even if $\gamma = 0$. So, Theorem 1 improves Theorem A.

Theorem 2. *Let the conditions (a), (b), (c) and (6) hold. Assume that the following equation*

$$(16) \quad x''(t) + \alpha\gamma q(t)[1 - p(\sigma(t))]x(t) = 0, \quad t \geq 0,$$

is oscillatory, then every solution $u(x, t)$ of the problem (1) and (2) is oscillatory.

Proof. Let $u(x, t)$ be a nonoscillatory solution of the problem (1) and (2). Without loss of generality, we assume that $u(x, t) > 0$, $u(x, \sigma(t)) > 0$ and $u(x, t - \tau) > 0$ for $t \geq t_1$. Then (13) holds, i.e.,

$$Z''(t) + \alpha q(t)[1 - p(\sigma(t))]Z(\sigma(t)) \leq 0, \quad \forall t \geq t_1.$$

Set

$$(18) \quad W(t) = \gamma \frac{Z'(t)}{Z(\sigma(t))}, \quad t \geq t_1.$$

Similar to prove (15) we have

$$(19) \quad W'(t) \leq -\alpha\gamma q(t)[1 - p(\sigma(t))] - W^2(t).$$

Hence, by using [8, Chap. XI, Theorem 7.2] we see that Eq.(19) is nonoscillatory, which leads to a contradiction. The proof is completed.

Corollary 3. *Let the conditions (a), (b), (c) and (6) hold. If one of the following conditions holds*

$$(20) \quad \infty \geq \liminf_{t \rightarrow \infty} \alpha\gamma t^2 q(t)[1 - p(\sigma(t))] > \frac{1}{4},$$

$$(21) \quad \lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} t \int_t^\infty \alpha \gamma q(s) [1 - p(\sigma(s))] ds > \frac{1}{4}$$

and

$$(22) \quad \int_{T^{2n}}^{T^{2n+1}} \alpha \gamma q(s) [1 - p(\sigma(s))] ds \geq \frac{\alpha}{T^{2n}}, \quad \alpha > 3 - 2\sqrt{2}, \quad T \geq 0, \quad n \in \mathbb{N},$$

then every solution of the problem (1) and (2) is oscillatory.

Proof. From Theorem 2 of this paper and Theorem 7.1 of [8] or Theorem 2 of [9], it is easy to see that Corollary 3 is true. The proof is completed.

Next, we consider the problem (1) and (3). It is known that the first eigenvalue α_0 of the problem

$$(23) \quad \begin{cases} \Delta v + \alpha v = 0 & \text{in } \Omega, \\ v = 0 & \text{on } \partial\Omega \end{cases}$$

is positive and the corresponding eigenfunction $\phi(x) > 0$ for $x \in \Omega$.

With each solution $u(x, t)$ of the problem (1) and (3), we associate the function

$$(25) \quad H(t) = \frac{\int_{\Omega} u(x, t) \phi(x) dx}{\int_{\Omega} \phi(x) dx}, \quad t \geq 0.$$

Theorem 4. *If all conditions of Theorem 1 hold, then every solution of the problem (1) and (3) is oscillatory.*

Proof. Let $u(x, t)$ be a positive solution of the problem (1) and (3) in $\Omega \times [t_0, \infty)$ for some $t_0 \geq 0$. Then there exists a $t_1 \geq t_0$ such that $u(x, \sigma(t)) > 0$ and $u(x, t - \tau) > 0$ in $\Omega \times [t_1, \infty)$. Multiplying both side of equation (1) by the eigenfunction $\phi(x) > 0$, and integrating with respect to

x over the domain Ω , we have

$$(26) \quad \begin{aligned} & \frac{d^2}{dt^2} \left[\int_{\Omega} u(x, t) \phi(x) dx + p(t) \int_{\Omega} u(x, t - \tau) \phi(x) dx \right] \\ &= a(t) \int_{\Omega} \Delta u \phi(x) dx - q(t) \int_{\Omega} f(u(x, \sigma(t))) \phi(x) dx, \quad t \geq t_1. \end{aligned}$$

From the divergence theorem it follows that

$$(27) \quad \int_{\Omega} \Delta u \phi(x) dx = -\alpha_0 \int_{\Omega} u \phi(x) dx, \quad t \geq t_1,$$

where α_0 is the smallest eigenvalue of the problem (23) and (24).

Using the condition (b) and Jensen's inequality it follows that

$$(28) \quad \begin{aligned} & \int_{\Omega} f(u(x, \sigma(t))) \phi(x) dx \\ & \geq \int_{\Omega} \phi(x) dx \cdot f \left(\frac{\int_{\Omega} u(x, \sigma(t)) \phi(x) dx}{\int_{\Omega} \phi(x) dx} \right), \quad t \geq t_1. \end{aligned}$$

Applying (25), (27) and (28), from (26) we obtain

$$(29) \quad \frac{d^2}{dt^2} [H(t) + p(t)H(t - \tau)] \leq -\alpha_0 a(t)H(t) - q(t)f(H(\sigma(t))), \quad t \geq t_1.$$

Since for $t \geq t_1$, $H(t) > 0$ and $H(\sigma(t)) > 0$, then by (29)

$$\frac{d^2}{dt^2} [H(t) + p(t)H(t - \tau)] \leq -q(t)f(H(\sigma(t))), \quad t \geq t_1.$$

The rest is similar to the proof of Theorem 1 and we omit it. The proof is completed.

Theorem 5. *If all conditions of Theorem 2 hold, then every solution of the problem (1) and (3) is oscillatory.*

Corollary 6. *If all conditions of Corollary 3 hold, then every solution of the problem (1) and (3) is oscillatory.*

3. Example. Consider the following equation:

$$(30) \quad \frac{\partial^2}{\partial t^2} \left[u(x, t) + \frac{t+2}{t+3} u(x, t-1) \right] = a(t) \Delta u(x, t) - \frac{2}{t+1} u(x, t-2), \quad t \geq 0,$$

where $a(t) \in c([0, \infty), [0, \infty))$. Comparing with Eq.(1), we have $p(t) = \frac{t+2}{t+3}$, $\tau = 1$, $q(t) = \frac{2}{t+1}$ and $\sigma(t) = t - 2$. In Theorem 1, we take $\alpha = \gamma = 1$, $\phi = 0$, $\Phi = 1$ and $F(s) = \frac{1}{(s+1)^2}$. It is not difficult to verify that condition (7) is hold, and all conditions of Theorem 1 are fulfilled. Thus, by Theorem 1 (Theorem 4), every solution of the problem (1) and (2) ((1) and (3)) is oscillatory.

However, for Eq.(30), the condition (5) is not true. So Theorem A and B can not be applicable to Eq.(30).

References

1. C. Baotong, *Oscillation properties for parabolic equations of neutral type*, Comment. Math. Univ. Carolinae, **33**(1992), 581-588.
2. C. Baotong, *Oscillation theorems of nonlinear parabolic equations of neutral type*, Math. J. Toyama Univ., **14**(1991), 113-123.
3. D. P. Mishev and D. D. Bainov, *Oscillation of the solutions of parabolic differential equations of neutral type*, Appl. Math. Comput., **28**(1988), 97-111.
4. D. P. Mishev and D. D. Bainov, *Oscillation properties of the solutions of a class of hyperbolic equations of neutral type*, Funkcial. Ekvac., **29**(1986), 213-218.
5. Yu Yuanhong and C. Baotong, *Oscillation of solutions of hyperbolic equations of neutral type*, Acta Math. Appl. Sicina, **10**(1994), 102-106.
6. D. Bainov, C. Baotong and E. Minchev, *Forced oscillation of solutions of certain hyperbolic equations of neutral type*, J. Comput. Appl. Math., **72**(1996), 309-318.
7. B. S. Lalli, Y. H. Yu and B. T. Cui, *Oscillations of certain partial differential equations with deviating arguments*, Bull. Austral. Math. Soc., **46**(1992), 373-380.
8. P. Hartman, *Ordinary differential equations*, 2-nd ed., Wiley, New York, 1982.
9. C. C. Huang, *Oscillation and nonoscillation for second order linear differential equations*, J. Math. Anal. Appl., **210**(1997), 712-723.

Luo Jiaowan, Research Institute of Sciences, Changsha Railway University, Changsha 410075, P. R. China.

Liu Zhengrong, Department of Mathematics, Yunnan University, Kunming 650091. P. R. China.

Yu Yuanhong, Beijing Wendeng College, Beijing 100081 and Institute of Applied Mathematics, Academia Sinica, Beijing 10080, P. R. China.

