

ON THE CONVERGENCE OF MODIFIED BASKAKOV OPERATORS

BY

JIANLI WANG (王建力) AND SONGPING ZHOU (周颂平)

Abstract. The present paper investigates the convergence of modified Baskakov operators, and a complete necessary and sufficient condition, by a different approach, is established.

1. Introduction. In 1957, Baskakov^[1] proposed the the following operators:

$$V_n(f, x) = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} f\left(\frac{k}{n}\right) P_{n,k}(x),$$

where

$$P_{n,k}(x) = \binom{n+k-1}{k} \frac{x^k}{(1+x)^{n+k}}$$

Let $C_{[0,\infty)}$ be the set of all continuous functions on $[0, \infty)$. Some important subclasses of $C_{[0,\infty)}$ are defined as follows:

$$C_m = \{f \in C_{[0,\infty)} : |f(t)| \leq A + Bt^{2m}, A, B \in \mathcal{R}^+, m \in \mathcal{N}\},$$

$$C_s = \{f \in C_{[0,\infty)} : |f(t)| \leq Ae^{st}, s, A \in \mathcal{R}^+\},$$

and

Received by the editors September 10, 1998 and in revised form May 29, 1999.

AMS 1991 Subject Classification: 41A36

Key words and phrases: modified Baskakov operator, comutation.

* Supported in part by National and Provincial Natural Sciences Foundations, and by State Key Laboratory of Southwest Institute of Petroleum.

$$C_\alpha = \{f \in C_{[0, \infty)} : |f(t)| \leq At^{\alpha t}, \alpha, A \in \mathcal{R}^+\},$$

Obviously, $C_m \subset C_s \subset C_\alpha$. In the recent decades, the convergence and approximation properties of $V_n(f, x)$ have been investigated by some mathematicians. For example, Ditzian^[2] pointed out that $V_n(f, x)$ converges to $f(x) \in C_m$ uniformly in any closed subset of $[0, \infty)$; Hermann^[3] proved further that $V_n(f, x)$ converges to $f(x) \in C_s$ uniformly in any closed subset of $[0, \infty)$; However, there are some functions $f \in C_\alpha$ to which $V_n(f, x)$ does not converge at some point x . One might cite $f(t) = t^{\alpha t}$ as such an example.

From computational point of view, it is interesting and useful to consider using a partial sum of $V_n(f, x)$ (which only has finite terms depending upon n and x) instead to approximate $f(x)$ (we call an operator the modified Baskakov operator). There are such results already achieved for Szasz-Mirakjan operators (cf. Lehnhoff^[4], Sun^[5], G. Z. Zhou and S. P. Zhou^[6]). The present paper will investigate this problem to establish a complete solution.

Define

$$V_{n, \delta}(f, x) = \sum_{k=0}^{[n(x+\delta)]} f\left(\frac{k}{n}\right) P_{n, k}(x),$$

where $\delta = \delta(n)$ is a sequence of positive numbers depending upon n , and $[x]$ indicates the largest integer not exceeding x .

We establish

Theorem 1. *Let $f \in C_s$, $x \in [0, \infty)$, and write $a_n(x) = V_n((t-x)^2, x)$.*

If

$$(1) \quad \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} a_n^{-\frac{1}{2}}(x) \delta(n) = \infty,$$

then $V_{n, \infty}(f, x)$ converges to $f(x)$.

It is known that $a_n(x) \leq C(x)n^{-1}$ for a positive constant $C(x)$ only depending upon x , thus the following corollary holds.

Corollary 1. *Let $f \in C_s$. If*

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} n^{1/2} \delta(n) = \infty,$$

the $V_{n,\delta}(f, x)$ converges to $f(x)$ uniformly in any closed subset of $[0, \infty)$.

A natural question is whether (1) can be further weakened (for example, if we choose to consider a very "good" subset of C_s)? However, this is not the case. The following result shows that if (1) does not hold, to guarantee $V_{n,\delta}(f, x)$ still converges to $f(x)$ at every point, f must be equivalent to zero. This does mean, condition (1) is exactly the necessary and sufficient for the convergence of the modified Baskakov operators $V_{n,\delta}(f, x)$ to $f(x)$ at every point.

Theorem 2. *Let $\delta = \delta(n), n = 1, 2, \dots$, be a sequence of positive numbers such that $n^{1/2} \delta(n) \not\rightarrow \infty, n \rightarrow \infty$, and assume that $f \in C_s$. If $f(x_0) \neq 0$ for some $x_0 \in [0, \infty)$, then $V_{n,\delta}(f, x_0) \not\rightarrow f(x_0), n \rightarrow \infty$.*

Corollary 2. *Let $\delta = \delta(n), n = 1, 2, \dots$, be a sequence of positive numbers such that $n^{1/2} \delta(n) \not\rightarrow \infty, n \rightarrow \infty$, and assume that $f \in C_s$. Then $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} V_{n,\delta}(f, x) = f(x)$ holds for every $x \in [0, \infty)$ if and only if $f \equiv 0$.*

2. Proof. In what follows, we always use $C(x)$ to indicate a positive constant only depending upon x , whose value may be different in different situations. In this sense, the constant $C(x)$ functions just like $O_x(1)$.

We first establish the following

Lemma. *Let $f \in C_s, [x_1, x_2] \subset [0, \infty)$. Given an $\epsilon_0 > 0$, set*

$$r_{n,\epsilon_0}(x) = \sum_{k \geq n(x+\epsilon_0)} f\left(\frac{k}{n}\right) P_{n,k}(x),$$

then $r_{n,\epsilon_0}(x)$ converges to zero uniformly on $[x_1, x_2]$.

Proof. Write $b_k(x) = e^{sk/n} P_{n,k}(x)$, then for any $k \geq n(x + \epsilon_0)$ and $x \geq 0$ we have

$$0 \leq \frac{b_{k+1}(x)}{b_k(x)} = e^{s/n} \frac{n+k}{k+1} \frac{x}{1+x} \leq e^{s/n} \frac{1+x+\epsilon_0}{x+\epsilon_0} \frac{x}{1+x}.$$

since $x/(1+x)$ is increasing on $[0, \infty)$,

$$\frac{1+x+\epsilon_0}{x+\epsilon_0} \frac{x}{1+x} < 1,$$

hence there is a natural number N and a positive constant $C_1 < 1$ only depending upon x and ϵ_0 such that for $n > N$, $0 \leq b_{k+1}(x)/b_k(x) \leq C_1$.

Thus for $f \in C_s$ we get

$$\begin{aligned} (2) \quad r_{n,\epsilon_0}(x) &\leq \sum_{k \geq n(x+\epsilon_0)} Ab_k(x) \leq \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} AC_1^k b_{k_0}(x) \\ &\leq \frac{A}{1-C_1} b_{k_0}(x) \leq \frac{A}{1-C_1} e^{s([x+\epsilon_0]+1)} P_{n,k_0}(x), \end{aligned}$$

where k_0 is the smallest integer k with $k \geq n(x+\epsilon_0)$. By Stirling formula, we have

$$\begin{aligned} (3) \quad p_{n,k_0}(x) &\leq C(x)n^{-1/2} \frac{(n+k_0-1)^{n+k_0-1}}{k_0^{k_0}(n-1)^{n-1}} \frac{x^{k_0}}{(1+x)^{n+k_0}} \\ &\leq C(x)n^{-1/2} \left(\frac{(1+k_0/n)^{1+k_0/n}}{(k_0/n)^{k_0/n}} \frac{x^{k_0/n}}{(1+x)^{1+k_0/n}} \right)^n. \end{aligned}$$

We check that, for $x \in [0, \infty)$,

$$(4) \quad Q(x) := \frac{(1+k_0/n)^{1+k_0/n}}{(k_0/n)^{k_0/n}} \frac{x^{k_0/n}}{(1+x)^{1+k_0/n}} < 1.$$

In fact, for every $x \in [0, \infty)$, there exists a positive integer k_0 such that $x \in [\frac{k_0-1}{n} - \epsilon_0, \frac{k_0}{n} - \epsilon_0] \cap [0, \infty)$, by noting $x^\alpha/(1+x)^{1+\alpha}$ is increasing on $[0, \alpha]$, ($\alpha > 0$), we get $Q(x) < 1$, so (4) holds. By (2) and (3), we have the required result.

Proof of theorem 1. For $f \in C_s$, since $V_n(f, x)$ converges to $f(x)$ uniformly on any closed subset $[x_1, x_2] \subset [0, \infty)$, we only need to prove $R_{n,\delta}(f, x) = V_n(f, x) - V_{n,\delta}(f, x)$ converges to zero uniformly on $[x_1, x_2]$. We see that

$$(5) \quad R_{n,\delta}(f, x) = \sum_{[n(x+\delta)] < k < n(x+1)} f\left(\frac{k}{n}\right) P_{n,k}(x) + r_{n,1}(x).$$

From the lemma, $r_{n,1}(x)$ converges to zero uniformly on $[x_1, x_2]$, thus we only need to deal with the first sum in the right side of (5). In view of

$f \in C_s$, we get

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{[n(x+\delta)] < k < n(x+1)} f\left(\frac{k}{n}\right) P_{n,k}(x) &\leq A \sum_{[n(x+\delta)] < k < n(x+1)} e^{sk/n} P_{n,k}(x) \\ &\leq A e^{s(x+1)} \delta^{-2} \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \left(\frac{k}{n} - x\right)^2 P_{n,k}(x) = A e^{s(x+1)} \delta^{-2} a_n(x). \end{aligned}$$

Therefore, theorem 1 is proved.

Proof of theorem 2. Suppose $n^{1/2}\delta(n) \not\rightarrow \infty$, $n \rightarrow \infty$. Without loss of generality, there is a constant $B > 0$ and a sequence of positive integers $\{n_j\}$ such that $n_j^{1/2}\delta(n_j) \leq B$, and, say, $f(x_0) > 0$ for $x_0 \in (0, \infty)$. so that there exists an M_0 and an $\epsilon_0 > 0$ such that $f(x) > M_0$ for all $x \in (x_0 - \epsilon_0, x_0 + \epsilon_0) \subset (0, \infty)$. Set

$$f^+(x) = \frac{1}{2}(f(x) + |f(x)|), \quad f^-(x) = \frac{1}{2}(f(x) - |f(x)|),$$

then, in view of that $R_{n,\delta}(f, x)$ is a linear operator,

$$R_{n,\delta}(f, x) = V_n(f, n) - V_{n,\delta}(f, x) = R_{n,\delta}(f^+, x) + R_{n,\delta}(f^-, x).$$

For $n_j x_0 + B n_j^{1/2} + 1 \leq k \leq n_j x_0 + 2B n_j^{1/2} + 2$ and sufficiently large j , $k/n_j \in (x_0 - \epsilon_x, x_0 + \epsilon)$, and also obviously $B n_j^{1/2} \geq n_j \delta$. By noting that $R_{n,\delta}$ is a positive operator and $P_{n,k}(x)$ is decreasing with respect to k , we obtain that

$$\begin{aligned} (6) \quad R_{n_j,\delta}(f^+, x_0) &= \sum_{k=[n_j(x_0+\delta)]+1}^{\infty} f(k/n_j) P_{n_j,k}(x_0) \\ &\geq \sum_{n_j x_0 + B n_j^{1/2} + 1 \leq k \leq n_j x_0 + 2B n_j^{1/2} + 2} f(k/n_j) P_{n_j,k}(x_0) \\ &\geq M_0 \sum_{n_j x_0 + B n_j^{1/2} + 1 \leq k \leq n_j x_0 + 2B n_j^{1/2} + 2} P_{n_j,k}(x_0) \\ &\geq B M_0 n_j^{1/2} P_{n_j, [x_0 + 2B n_j^{1/2}] + 2}(x_0). \end{aligned}$$

Now by Stirling formula and direct calculations,

$$\begin{aligned}
 & n_j^{1/2} P_{n_j, [n_j x_0 + 2Bn_j^{1/2}] + 2}(x_0) \\
 & \geq C(x_0) \frac{([n_j(1+x_0) + 2Bn_j^{1/2}] + 1)^{[n_j(1+x_0) + 2Bn_j^{1/2}] + 1}}{(n_j - 1)^{n_j - 1} ([n_j x_0 + 2Bn_j^{1/2}] + 2)^{[n_j x_0 + 2Bn_j^{1/2}] + 2}} \\
 & \quad \cdot \frac{x_0^{[n_j x_0 + 2Bn_j^{1/2}] + 2}}{(1+x_0)^{[n_j(1+x_0) + 2Bn_j^{1/2}] + 2}} \\
 (7) \quad & \geq C(x_0) \frac{([n_j(1+x_0)] + 1)^{[n_j(1+x_0) + 2Bn_j^{1/2}] + 1}}{n_j^{n_j - 1} (n_j x_0)^{[n_j x_0 + 2Bn_j^{1/2}] + 2}} \\
 & \quad \cdot \frac{x_0^{[n_j x_0 + 2Bn_j^{1/2}] + 2}}{(1+x_0)^{[n_j(1+x_0) + 2Bn_j^{1/2}] + 2}} \\
 & \geq C(x_0) \frac{(1+x_0)^{[n_j(1+x_0) + 2Bn_j^{1/2}] + 1}}{x_0^{[n_j x_0 + 2Bn_j^{1/2}] + 2}} \frac{x_0^{[n_j x_0 + 2Bn_j^{1/2}] + 2}}{(1+x_0)^{[n_j(1+x_0) + 2Bn_j^{1/2}] + 2}} \\
 & \geq C(x_0).
 \end{aligned}$$

Combining (6) and (7), we have

$$R_{n_j, \delta}(f^+, x_0) \geq C(x_0)BM_0 > 0,$$

that is,

$$(8) \quad R_{n_j, \delta}(f^+, x_0) \not\rightarrow 0, \quad j \rightarrow \infty.$$

To calculate $R_n(f^-, x_0)$, we see that

$$R_{n, \delta}(f^-, x_0) = \sum_{k/n - x_0 \geq \epsilon_0} f^-(k/n)P_{n, k}(x_0)$$

since $f^-(x) = 0$ for $x \in (x_0 - \epsilon_0, x_0 + \epsilon_0)$. Thus applying the lemma we get (note $f^- \in C_s$)

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} R_{n, \delta}(f^-, x_0) = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \sum_{k/n - x_0 \geq \epsilon_0} f^-(k/n)P_{n, k}(x_0) = 0.$$

With (8),

$$R_{n_j, \delta}(f, x_0) \geq R_{n_j, \delta}(f^+, x_0) - |R_{n_j, \delta}(f^-, x_0)| \geq C(x_0) > 0,$$

or $R_{n,\delta}(f, x_0) \not\rightarrow 0, n \rightarrow \infty$. Consequently, $f(x_0) - V_{n,\delta}(f, x_0) = R_{n,\delta}(f, x_0) + f(x_0) - V_n(f, x_0) \not\rightarrow 0, n \rightarrow \infty$. Theorem 2 is proved.

References

1. V. A. Baskakov, *An example of a sequence of linear positive operators in the space of continuous functions*, Dokl. Akad. Nauk SSSR **113** (1957), 249-251, in Russian.
2. Z. Ditzian, *Convergence of sequences of linear positive operators: remarks and applications*, J. Approx. Theory **14** (1975), 296-301.
3. T. Hermann, *Approximation of unbounded functions on unbounded interval*, Acta Math. Hungar. **29** (1977), 393-398.
4. H. G. Lehnhoff, *On A modified Szasz-Mirakjan operator*, J. Approx. Theory **42** (1984), 278-282.
5. X. H. Sun, *On the convergence of the modified Szasz-Mirakjan operator*, Approx. Theory Appl. **10:1** (1994), 20-25.
6. G. Z. Zhou and S. P. Zhou, *A remark on a modified Szasa-Mirakjan operator*, Colloq. Math., to appear.

Department of Mathematics, Shaoxing college of Arts and Sciences, Shaoxing, Zhejiang 312000, CHINA

Department of Mathematics, Hangzhou University, Hangzhou, Zhejiang 310028, CHINA
and

State Key laboratory of Oil/Gas Reservoir Geology and Exploitation, Southwest Institute of Petroleum, Nanchong, Sichuan 637001 CHINA