

ON ERDÖS' PROBLEM ABOUT A DEFICIENCY RELATION

BY

TIEN-YU PETER CHERN (程天佑)

Abstract. It is shown that if f is non-constant meromorphic in the complex plane \mathbb{C} , then we have

$$\sum_{a \in \mathbb{C}} \left\{ 1 - \limsup_{r \rightarrow +\infty} \frac{\bar{n}(r, f = a)}{A(r, f)} \right\} \leq 2,$$

where $\bar{n}(r, f = a)$ is the number of distinct roots of the equation $f(z) = a$ for $|z| \leq r$, the summation is over all extended complex values and the upper bound 2 can be reached. This result answers affirmatively a problem of Erdős posed in [London Mathematical Society Lecture Note Series, Vol.12 (1974), p. 155, problem 1.24.].

1. On Erdős problem and results. In 1973 P. Erdős [3, p. 155, Problem 1.24] posed the problem: If f is meromorphic in the complex plane \mathbb{C} , can $n(r, f = a)$ be compared in general with its average value

$$A(r, f) = \iint_{|z| < r} \frac{|f'(z)|^2}{(1 + |f(z)|^2)^2} dx dy$$

in the same sort of way that $N(r, f = a)$ can be compared with $T(r, f)$?

In this paper we answer the above Erdős' problem affirmatively as follows.

Received by the editors March 1, 1999 and in revised form March 15, 1999.

AMS 1991 Subject Classification: 30D30, 30D35.

Key words and phrases: meromorphic function, deficiency relation.

This paper was supported in part by the NSC R.O.C. and a fund from Academic Sinica, Taipei.

Theorem 1. *If f is non-constant meromorphic in \mathbb{C} , then we have*

$$\sum_{a \in \bar{\mathbb{C}}} \left\{ 1 - \limsup_{r \rightarrow +\infty} \frac{\bar{n}(r, f = a)}{A(r, f)} \right\} \leq 2,$$

where $\bar{n}(r, f = a)$ is the number of distinct roots of the equation $f(z) = a$ for $|z| \leq r$, the summation is over all extended complex values and the upper bound 2 can be reached.

In fact Theorem 1 is a straightforward consequence of the following result of Ahlfors [1].

Ahlfors' inequality: If f is a non-constant meromorphic function in \mathbb{C} and if $\epsilon > 0$, then there exists a set E of finite logarithmic measure such that for a_1, a_2, \dots, a_q distinct in $\bar{\mathbb{C}} = \mathbb{C} \cup \{\infty\}$ and $r \in E$, we have

$$\sum_{\nu=1}^q \{A(r, f) - \bar{n}(r, f = a_\nu)\} \leq 2A(r, f) + o(A(r, f)^{1/2+\epsilon}).$$

On the other hand, J. Miles [6] proved that: there exists an absolute constant K such that if a_1, a_2, \dots, a_q are distinct in $\bar{\mathbb{C}}$ then

$$\liminf_{r \rightarrow +\infty} \sum_{\nu=1}^q \left| \frac{n(r, a_\nu)}{A(r, f)} - 1 \right| \leq K.$$

Let $n(r) = \sup_{a \in \bar{\mathbb{C}}} n(r, a)$, Hayman and Stewart [5] had shown that

$$1 \leq \liminf_{r \rightarrow +\infty} \frac{n(r)}{A(r)} \leq e$$

then Hayman in 1967 [4, p. 6, problem 1.16] conjectured that the right constant might be one. Toppila [7] has shown that this is false.

Recently W. Bergweiler [2] proved that if f is entire of order at least $1/2$, then for each a, b in \mathbb{C} , $a \neq b$,

$$\limsup_{r \rightarrow +\infty} \frac{n(r, a) + n(r, b)}{\log M(r, f)} \geq 1/\pi,$$

where $M(r, f) = \max_{|z|=r} |f(z)|$.

Analogous to this, we have that if f is non-constant entire then for each a, b in \mathbb{C} with $a \neq b$ we have

$$\limsup_{r \rightarrow +\infty} \frac{\bar{n}(r, a) + \bar{n}(r, b)}{A(r, f)} \geq 1.$$

This result is a consequence of the following

Theorem 2. *If f is non-constant entire then for any $q(\geq 2)$ distinct values a_1, \dots, a_q in \mathbb{C} , we have*

$$\limsup_{r \rightarrow +\infty} \sum_{j=1}^q \bar{n}(r, f = a_j) / A(r, f) \geq (q - 1).$$

Our proofs of Theorem 1 and Theorem 2 are based on the second fundamental Theorem of *R. Nevanlinna* and the following

Lemma 1. (L' Hospital's rule) *Let $f(r), g(r)$ be positive continuous functions defined for $r > 0$. Assume that $f'(r), g'(r)$ both exist and are positive continuous except at a set E of isolated points. If $\lim_{r \rightarrow +\infty} g(r) = +\infty$, then*

$$\limsup_{r \rightarrow +\infty} \frac{f(r)}{g(r)} \leq \limsup_{\substack{r \rightarrow +\infty \\ r \notin E}} \frac{f'(r)}{g'(r)}$$

2. The proofs.

The proof of Lemma 1. Let

$$\limsup_{\substack{r \rightarrow +\infty \\ r \notin E}} \frac{f'(r)}{g'(r)} = \mu < +\infty.$$

For any $\epsilon > 0$, there is a $r_\epsilon > 0$, such that for any $x \in (r_\epsilon, +\infty) \setminus E$, we have

$$f'(x) \leq (\mu + \epsilon)g'(x).$$

For any $r \geq r_\epsilon$, the inequality

$$\int_{r_\epsilon}^r f'(t)dt \leq (\mu + \epsilon) \int_{r_\epsilon}^r g'(t)dt$$

holds and for any $r \in (r_\epsilon, +\infty) \setminus E$, we have

$$f(r) - f(r_\epsilon) \leq (\mu + \epsilon)(g(r) - g(r_\epsilon)),$$

since $\lim_{r \rightarrow +\infty} g(r) = +\infty$, this leads to

$$f(r)/g(r) \leq \mu + 2\epsilon \quad \text{for } r \text{ sufficiently large,}$$

so

$$\limsup_{\substack{r \rightarrow +\infty \\ r \in E}} \frac{f(r)}{g(r)} \leq \limsup_{\substack{r \rightarrow +\infty \\ r \in E}} \frac{f'(r)}{g'(r)}.$$

since $f(r)/g(r)$ is continuous for $r > 0$, and E is a set of isolated points, so

$$\limsup_{r \rightarrow +\infty} \frac{f(r)}{g(r)} \leq \limsup_{\substack{r \rightarrow +\infty \\ r \in E}} \frac{f(r)}{g(r)}.$$

This completes the proof of Lemma 1.

A general form of the second fundamental theorem of R. Nevanlinna [8, p. 32] can be written as: Suppose $f(z)$ is meromorphic and nonconstant in $|z| < R$. Suppose furthermore that $a_\nu (\nu = 1, 2, \dots, q)$ are $q (\geq 2)$ distinct finite complex values such that $\min_{1 \leq \nu_1 < \nu_2 \leq q} |a_{\nu_1} - a_{\nu_2}| \geq \delta > 0$. If $f(0) \neq 0$, and $f'(z) \neq 0$, then for every $r \in (0, R)$, we have

$$(q-2)T(r, f) \leq \sum_{\nu=1}^q \bar{N}(r, f = a_\nu) + S_1(r, f),$$

where

$$S_1(r, f) = O(\log r), \quad \text{as } r \rightarrow \infty$$

if $f(z)$ is of finite order; and

$$S_1(r, f) = O(\log(rT(r, f))), \quad r \rightarrow \infty$$

except on a set of finite linear measure, if $f(z)$ is of infinite order.

The proof of Theorem 1. For every $a \in \bar{\mathbb{C}}$, let E_a be the set of r at which $n(r, f = a)$ is discontinuous, then Lemma 1 gives

$$\limsup_{r \rightarrow +\infty} \frac{\overline{N}(r, f = a)}{T(r, f)} \leq \limsup_{\substack{r \rightarrow +\infty \\ r \in E_a}} \frac{\bar{n}(r, f = a)}{A(r, f)} \leq \limsup_{r \rightarrow +\infty} \frac{\bar{n}(r, f = a)}{A(r, f)}.$$

Applying above inequality to the second fundamental theorem of R. Nevanlinna, we deduce the following deficiency relation:

$$\sum_{a \in \hat{\mathbb{C}}} \left\{ 1 - \limsup_{r \rightarrow +\infty} \frac{\bar{n}(r, f = a)}{A(r, f)} \right\} \leq 2.$$

When $f(z) = e^z$, the equality holds in above defect relation. This completes the proof of Theorem 1.

An inequality of Osgood and Steinmetz ([8, Theorem 1.13]).

Let $f(z)$ be a transcendental meromorphic function in \mathbb{C} and $a_j(z)$ be $q(\geq 3)$ distinct meromorphic functions such that

$$T(r, a_j(z)) = o(T(r, f)).$$

If ϵ is a positive number, then we have

$$m(r, f) + \sum_{\nu=1}^q m\left(r, \frac{1}{f - a_\nu(z)}\right) < (2 + \epsilon)T(r, f),$$

except on a set E_ϵ of r with finite linear measure.

Remarks: 1. Applying Lemma 1 to the above inequality of Osgood and Steinmetz as above, we can easily obtain a defect relation concerning small meromorphic functions of $f(z)$ as follows:

$$\sum_{a(z)} \left\{ 1 - \limsup_{r \rightarrow +\infty} \frac{n(r, f = a(z))}{A(r, f)} \right\} \leq 2,$$

where the summation is taken over $a(z)$ where $a(z)$ is meromorphic with $T(r, a) = o(T(r, f))$. 2. The problem that does above defect relation hold if we replace $n(r, f = a(z))$ by $\bar{n}(r, f = a(z))$ is still open.

The proof of Theorem 2. Applying the second fundamental Theorem of Nevanlinna to f , we have

$$(q-1) \leq \sum_{j=1}^q \bar{N}(r, f = a_j)/T(r, f) + O(S_1(r, f)/T(r, f)),$$

then Lemma 1 gives

$$\limsup_{r \rightarrow +\infty} \sum_{j=1}^q \bar{n}(r, f = a_j)/A(r, f) \geq \limsup_{r \rightarrow \infty} \sum_{j=1}^q \bar{N}(r, f = a_j)/T(r, f).$$

This completes the proof of Theorem 2.

Acknowledgement. The author is very grateful to the Institute of Mathematics, Academic Sinica, where this work is carried out during the summer of 1998.

References

1. L. V. Ahlfors, *Zur Theorie der Überlagerungsflächen*, Acta Math. **65** (1935), 157-194.
2. W. Bergweiler, *A quantitative version of Picard's theorem*, Ark. Mat., **34** (1996), 225-229.
3. J. G. Clunie and W. K. Hayman (editors), *Symposium on complex Analysis*, Canterbury, 1973, London Mathematical Society Lecture Note Series, Vol. 12 (Cambridge University Press, Cambridge 1974).
4. W. K. Hayman, *Research problems in function theory* (Athlone Press, University of London, 1967).
5. W. K. Hayman and F. M. Stewart, *Real inequalities with applications to function theory*, Proc. Cambridge Philos. Soc. **50** (1954), 250-260. MR 15, 857.
6. J. Miles, *Bounds on the ratio $n(r, a)/S(r)$ for meromorphic functions*, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. **162** (1971), 383-393.
7. S. Toppila, *On the counting function for the a -values of a meromorphic function*, Ann. Acad. Sci. Fen. Series A. I. Math. Vol. 2, 1976, 565-572.
8. L. Yang, *Value distribution theory*, Science Press, Beijing, (English Edition) Springer-Verlag 1993.

Department of Applied Mathematics, I-Shou University, Ta Hsu, Kaohsiung 840 Taiwan, R.O.C.