

MULTIPLIERS ON WEAKLY COMPLETELY CONTINUOUS BANACH ALGEBRAS

BY

B. J. TOMIUK

Abstract. In this paper we are concerned with the study of the algebra $M_\ell(A)$ of left multipliers on semisimple weakly completely continuous (w.c.c) Banach algebras A . In particular, we show how $M_\ell(A)$ is related to the second conjugate space A^{**} of A for those A which contain a bounded appropriate identity. This group includes all annihilator $B^\#$ -algebras in which every minimal left ideal has the approximation property. We also consider the group G of isometric onto left multipliers on an annihilator $B^\#$ -algebra A and show how G is related to the groups of isometric onto left multipliers on minimal closed ideals of A .

1. Introduction. Let X be a Banach space and let $L(X)$ be the algebra of all continuous linear operators on X . Let $\mathcal{F}(X)$ be the algebra of all approximable operators on X . In Section 3 we show that there exists an isometric algebra isomorphism Ψ mapping $M_\ell(\mathcal{F}(X))$ onto $L(X)$. Let $G(K)$ be the set of all $T \in M_\ell(\mathcal{F}(X))$ ($T \in L(X)$) which are isometric onto. Then G and K are groups and $\Psi(G) = K$. Let $\tau_\ell(\tau_w)$ be the weak operator topology on $M_\ell(\mathcal{F}(X))(L(X))$. We show that Ψ is a homeomorphism in the topology τ_ℓ on $M_\ell(\mathcal{F}(X))$ and the topology τ_w on $L(X)$. Thus, in particular, G is τ_ℓ -compact if and only if K is τ_w -compact.

In Section 4 we show that if A is a semisimple w.c.c Banach algebra

Received by the editors November 4, 1997 and in revised form April 20, 1998.

AMS 1991 Subject Classification: primary 46H15, 46H35, 47B48; secondary 46H10, 46H20.

Key words and phrases: Second conjugate space, Arens products, annihilator $B^\#$ -algebra, left multiplier, weak operator topology, groups of left multipliers.

with a bounded approximate identity then A^{**} has the same radical for both Arens products. If A is also Arens regular and the approximate identity is bounded by 1, then $M_\ell(A)$ is isometrically algebra isomorphic to A^{**} . We also show that if X is a reflexive Banach space with the approximation property then $M_\ell(\mathcal{F}(X))$ is isometrically algebra isomorphic to $\mathcal{F}(X)^{**}$. Thus, since $M_\ell(\mathcal{F}(X))$ is isometrically algebra isomorphic to $L(X)$, it follows in this case that $\mathcal{F}(X)^{**}$ is isometrically algebra isomorphic to $L(X)$.

In Section 5 we give a brief discussion of annihilator $B^\#$ -algebras: $B^\#$ -algebras were introduced by F.F. Bonsall in [2] and present a generalization of B^* -algebras. Thus every B^* -algebra is a $B^\#$ -algebra. He showed that a simple annihilator $B^\#$ -algebra is isometric and algebra isomorphic to $\mathcal{F}(X)$, for some reflexive Banach space X . In fact, this characterizes all such algebras $\mathcal{F}(X)$ [2]. In this section we show that if every minimal left ideal in an annihilator $B^\#$ -algebra A has the approximation property then A is a dual algebra. From this it follows that a B^* -algebra with dense socle is a dual algebra. An annihilator $B^\#$ -algebra is Arens regular.

Section 6 is devoted entirely to multipliers on an annihilator $B^\#$ -algebra A . If every minimal left ideal of A has the approximation property then $M_\ell(A)$ is isometrically algebra isomorphic to A^{**} . Thus, in particular, if A is an annihilator B^* -algebra then $M_\ell(A)$ is isometrically algebra isomorphic to A^{**} [10]. We also consider the group G of isometric onto left multipliers on A . Let $\{M_\lambda : \lambda \in \Lambda\}$ be the family of all distinct minimal closed ideals in A and let G_λ be the group of isometric onto left multipliers on M_λ , for each $\lambda \in \Lambda$. Give $G(G_\lambda)$ the relative topology $\omega(\omega_\lambda)$ induced by the weak operator topology on $M_\ell(A)(M_\ell(M_\lambda))$. We show that (G, ω) is compact if and only if $(G_\lambda, \omega_\lambda)$ is compact for each $\lambda \in \Lambda$.

2. Preliminaries. All algebras and vector spaces considered in this paper are over the complex field. By an ideal we will always mean a two-sided ideal, unless specified otherwise. Let X be a Banach space. We recall that a continuous linear mapping $T : X \rightarrow X$ is called weakly completely continuous (or weakly compact) if, for each bounded subset S of X , $T(S)$

is relatively compact in the weak topology $\sigma(X, X^*)$ on X .

Let A be a Banach algebra. For any subset S of A , $\ell_A(S)$ and $r_A(S)$ will denote, respectively, the left and right annihilators of S in A and $cl_A(S)$ will denote the closure of S in A . A linear mapping $T : A \rightarrow A$ is called a left (right) multiplier if $T(xy) = T(x)y$ ($T(xy) = xT(y)$), for all $x, y \in A$. Let $M_\ell(A)$ ($M_r(A)$) be the algebra of all continuous left (right) multipliers on A . $M_\ell(A)$ ($M_r(A)$) is a Banach algebra under the operator bound norm. We will be working mainly with the algebra $M_\ell(A)$.

An element $a \in A$ is called *left weakly completely continuous* (l.w.c.c) if the mapping L_a defined by $L_a(x) = ax$, $x \in A$, is weakly completely continuous. Likewise we consider R_a , where $R_a(x) = xa$, $x \in A$, and define a to be *right weakly completely continuous* (r.w.c.c) if R_a is weakly completely continuous. We say that A is l.w.c.c. (r.w.c.c) if each $a \in A$ is l.w.c.c. (r.w.c.c) and call A w.c.c. if it is both l.w.c.c. and r.w.c.c. A semisimple Banach algebra with dense socle is l.w.c.c. (r.w.c.c.) if and only if every minimal right (left) ideal of A is a reflexive Banach space [17, Theorem 6.2, p. 269]. A semisimple right complemented Banach algebra A is r.w.c.c. since it has dense socle [13, Lemma 5, p. 655] and every minimal left ideal of A is a reflexive Banach space [13, Theorem 5, p. 656].

Let A be a Banach algebra, and let A^* and A^{**} be its first and second conjugate spaces. Following [14] we will denote the Arens products in A^{**} by \circ and \circ' . Since we will be using mainly the product \circ' , for the sake of completeness we give its definition. Let $x, y \in A$, $f \in A^*$ and $F, G \in A^{**}$. Define $x \circ' f \in A^*$ by $(x \circ' f)(y) = f(yx)$. Define $f \circ' F \in A^*$ by $(f \circ' F)(x) = F(x \circ' f)$. Define $F \circ' G \in A^{**}$ by $(F \circ' G)(f) = G(f \circ' F)$. A is called Arens regular if $F \circ G = F \circ' G$, for all $F, G \in A^{**}$.

Let π denote the canonical mapping of A into A^{**} . It is an immediate consequence of the definition of Arens products and [6, Theorem 2, p. 482] that A is l.w.c.c. (r.w.c.c.) if and only if $\pi(A)$ is a right (left) ideal of A^{**} for either Arens product. It follows from [4, Lemma 3.3, p. 855] and [8, Proposition 1.6, p. 11] that $(A^{**}, \circ)((A^{**}, \circ'))$ has a right (left) identity

$E(E')$ if and only if A has a bounded right (left) approximate identity. If A has a right (left) approximate identity bounded by 1 then $\|E\| = 1(\|E'\| = 1)$.

Let X be a Banach space and X^* its conjugate space. For $x \in X$ and $f \in X^*$, $x \otimes f$ will denote the operator on X defined by $(x \otimes f)(y) = f(y)x$, for all $y \in X$. $L(X)$ will denote the Banach algebra of all bounded linear operators on X with the operator bound norm, and $F(X)$ the subalgebra of $L(X)$ consisting of operators with finite-dimensional range. Let $\mathcal{F}(X)$ be closure of $F(X)$ in $L(X)$. $\mathcal{F}(X)$ is a topologically simple and semisimple Banach algebra which is strictly irreducible on X and therefore strictly dense on X [12, Theorem (2.4.6), p. 62].

Let X and Y be Banach spaces. If S is a subset of X and T is a linear map from X to Y that $T|_S$ will denote the restriction of T to S . We will follow [12] for all definitions not formally stated in this paper.

3. Multipliers on $\mathcal{F}(X)$ and the algebra $L(X)$. Throughout this section X will denote a Banach space. On occasion we will write the product ST as $S \cdot T$, for $S, T \in L(X)$.

Theorem 3.1. *For each left multiplier T on $\mathcal{F}(X)$ there is a unique operator $T_T \in L(X)$ such that $T(S) = T_T S$, for all $S \in \mathcal{F}(X)$. The mapping $\Psi : T \rightarrow T_T$ is an isometric algebra isomorphism of $M_\ell(\mathcal{F}(X))$ onto $L(X)$. Moreover, Ψ is also a homeomorphism in the weak operator topology τ_ℓ on $M_\ell(\mathcal{F}(X))$ and the weak operator topology τ_w on $L(X)$.*

Proof. For convenience of notation let $A = \mathcal{F}(X)$. For each $T \in L(X)$. Let L_T be the left multiplication by T on A , i.e. $L_T(S) = TS$, for all $S \in A$. Since A is a closed ideal of $L(X)$, $L_T(A) \subseteq A$ and $L_T \in M_\ell(A)$. Let $T \in M_\ell(A)$ and let $E = x_0 \otimes f_0$ be a minimal idempotent in A , where $x_0 \in X$ and $f_0 \in X^*$. (We have $f_0(x_0) = 1$.) Then $J = AE = \{x \otimes f_0 : x \in X\}$ is a minimal left ideal of A and since $T(J) \subseteq J$, for each $x \in X$, there is a unique $y_x \in X$ such that $T(x \otimes f_0) = y_x \otimes f_0$. Let T_T be the mapping on X such that $T_T(x) = y_x$, for all $x \in X$. We have $T(x \otimes f_0) = T_T(x) \otimes f_0$.

Since T is linear so is T_T . Moreover, since $\|T_T(x)\| \|f_0\| = \|T_T(x) \otimes f_0\| = \|T(x \otimes f_0)\| \leq \|T\| \|x\| \|f_0\|$, we get $\|T_T\| \leq \|T\|$. Thus $T_T \in L(X)$.

We show next that $T(U) = T_T U$, for all $U \in A$. Since A is topologically simple and semisimple, AEA is dense in A . Let $S, Q \in A$. Now since $SE = S \cdot (x_0 \otimes f_0) = S(x_0) \otimes f_0 = x \otimes f_0$, where $x = S(x_0)$, we have $T(SEQ) = T(SE)Q = T(x \otimes f_0)Q = (T_T(x) \otimes f_0)Q = T_T \cdot (x \otimes f_0) \cdot Q = T_T \cdot (S(x_0) \otimes f_0) \cdot Q = T_T \cdot (SEQ)$. Therefore by the linearity of T we get $T(V) = T_T V$, for all $V \in AEA$. Since AEA is dense in A and T is continuous on A , we get $T(U) = T_T U$, for all $U \in A$, so that, in particular, $\|T\| \leq \|T_T\|$. In view of the inequality above we get $\|T\| = \|T_T\|$, for all $T \in M_\ell(A)$. Moreover, $\Psi : T \rightarrow T_T$ maps $M_\ell(A)$ onto $L(X)$, for if $T \in L(X)$ and $T = L_T$ then $T = T_T$ since $L_T(x \otimes f_0) = T \cdot (x \otimes f_0) = T(x) \otimes f_0$, for all $x \in X$. Hence $\Psi : T \rightarrow T_T$ is an isometric algebra isomorphism of $M_\ell(\mathcal{F}(X))$ onto $L(X)$.

Now let $\{T_\alpha\}$ be a net in $M_\ell(A)$ which τ_ℓ -converges to $T \in M_\ell(A)$. Let $T_\alpha = \Psi(T_\alpha)$, for all α , and let $T = \Psi(T)$. We claim that the net $\{T_\alpha\}$ τ_w -converges to T . Let $x, y \in X$ and $f \in X^*$. Since A is strictly irreducible on X , there is $S \in A$ such that $S(y) = x$. Let $\varphi \in A^*$ be given by $\varphi(U) = f(U(y))$, for all $U \in A$. We have $\varphi(T_\alpha(S)) \rightarrow \varphi(T(S))$. Hence $f(T_\alpha(x)) = f(T_\alpha(S(y))) = f((T_\alpha S)(y)) = f((T_\alpha(S))(y)) = \varphi(T_\alpha(S)) \rightarrow \varphi(T(S)) = f((T(S))(y)) = f((TS)(y)) = f(T(S(y))) = f(T(x))$. Thus $f(T_\alpha(x)) \rightarrow f(T(x))$ for all $x \in X$ and $f \in X^*$. Hence Ψ is continuous in the τ_ℓ topology on $M_\ell(A)$ and τ_w topology on $L(X)$. It remains to show that Ψ^{-1} is also continuous in these topologies.

Let $\{T_\alpha\}$ be a net in $L(X)$ which τ_w converges to $T \in L(X)$. Let $T_\alpha = \Psi^{-1}(T_\alpha)$, for all α , and let $T = \Psi^{-1}(T)$. We want to show that $\varphi(T_\alpha(U)) \rightarrow \varphi(T(U))$, for all $U \in A$ and $\varphi \in A^*$.

We first show that $\varphi(T_\alpha(U)) \rightarrow \varphi(T(U))$, for all $U \in \mathcal{F}(X)$ and $\varphi \in A^*$. Let $f \in X^*$, $f \neq 0$ and, for any $\varphi \in A^*$, define $g \in X^*$ by $g(x) = \varphi(x \otimes f)$, for all $x \in X$. Then $\varphi(T_\alpha(x \otimes f)) = \varphi(T_\alpha \cdot (x \otimes f)) = \varphi(T_\alpha(x) \otimes f) = g(T_\alpha(x)) \rightarrow g(T(x)) = \varphi(T \cdot (x \otimes f)) = \varphi(T(x \otimes f))$, i.e. $\varphi(T_\alpha(x \otimes f)) \rightarrow \varphi(T(x \otimes f))$.

Since every $U \in F(X)$ is a linear combination of operators of rank 1, we get $\varphi(\mathcal{T}_\alpha(U)) \rightarrow \varphi(\mathcal{T}(U))$, for all $U \in F(X)$ and $\varphi \in A^*$.

Now let $U \in A$ and let $\{U_n\}$ be a sequence in $F(X)$ such that $U_n \rightarrow U$. Given $\varepsilon > 0$, there is a positive integer n_0 such that $\|U_n - U\| < \varepsilon/2$, for all $n > n_0$. Let $\varphi \in A^*$ and take U_n with $n > n_0$. Since $\varphi(\mathcal{T}_\alpha(U_n)) \rightarrow \varphi(\mathcal{T}(U_n))$, there is α_0 such that, for all $\alpha > \alpha_0$, $|\varphi(\mathcal{T}_\alpha(U_n)) - \varphi(\mathcal{T}(U_n))| < \varepsilon$. Then, for all $\alpha > \alpha_0$ and $n > n_0$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} |\varphi(\mathcal{T}_\alpha(U)) - \varphi(\mathcal{T}(U))| &\leq |\varphi(\mathcal{T}_\alpha(U)) - \varphi(\mathcal{T}_\alpha(U_n))| \\ &\quad + |\varphi(\mathcal{T}_\alpha(U_n)) - \varphi(\mathcal{T}(U_n))| \\ &\quad + |\varphi(\mathcal{T}(U_n)) - \varphi(\mathcal{T}(U))| \\ &\leq \|\varphi\| \|\mathcal{T}_\alpha\| \|U - U_n\| + \varepsilon + \|\varphi\| \|\mathcal{T}\| \|U_n - U\| \\ &\leq \|\varphi\| \varepsilon/2 + \varepsilon + \|\varphi\| \varepsilon/2 = (1 + \|\varphi\|) \varepsilon. \end{aligned}$$

Thus $\varphi(\mathcal{T}_\alpha(U)) \rightarrow \varphi(\mathcal{T}(U))$, for all $U \in A$ and $\varphi \in A^*$. Hence Ψ^{-1} is continuous in the τ_ℓ topology on $M_\ell(A)$ and τ_w topology on $L(X)$. This completes the proof.

For any Banach space W , let $S(W) = \{x \in W : \|x\| \leq 1\}$.

Corollary 3.2. $S(M_\ell(\mathcal{F}(X)))$ in τ_ℓ -compact if and only if $S(L(X))$ is τ_w -compact.

Theorem 3.3. Let G be the set of all $T \in M_\ell(\mathcal{F}(X))$ which are isometric onto left multipliers and let K be the set of all $T \in L(X)$ which are isometric onto operators. Then Ψ maps G onto K .

Proof. Assume that $T \in K$ and let $\mathcal{T}_T = \Psi^{-1}(T)$. Since $\|\mathcal{T}_T(S)(x)\| = \|(TS)(x)\| = \|T(S(x))\| = \|S(x)\|$, it follows that $\|\mathcal{T}_T(S)\| = \|S\|$, for all $S \in \mathcal{F}(X)$ so that, \mathcal{T}_T is isometric. To show that \mathcal{T}_T maps $\mathcal{F}(X)$ onto $\mathcal{F}(X)$ we first show that \mathcal{T}_T maps $F(X)$ onto itself. Let $\sum_{i=1}^k y_i \otimes f_i \in F(X)$, where $y_i \in X$ and $f_i \in X^*$, $i = 1, \dots, k$. Since T maps X onto X , there are elements $x_1, \dots, x_k \in X$ such that $T(x_i) = y_i$, $i = 1, \dots, k$. Hence

$$\mathcal{T}_T \left(\sum_{i=1}^k x_i \otimes f_i \right) = \sum_{i=1}^k \mathcal{T}_T(x_i \otimes f_i) = \sum_{i=1}^k T(x_i) \otimes f_i = \sum_{i=1}^k y_i \otimes f_i.$$

Thus \mathcal{T}_T maps $\mathcal{F}(X)$ onto $\mathcal{F}(X)$. Now let $S \in \mathcal{F}(X)$ and let $\{S_n\}$ be a sequence in $\mathcal{F}(X)$ such that $S_n \rightarrow S$ in the uniform topology on $L(X)$. Since \mathcal{T}_T map $\mathcal{F}(X)$ onto itself, there is $Q_n \in \mathcal{F}(X)$ such that $\mathcal{T}_T(Q_n) = S_n$, for all n , and since \mathcal{T}_T is isometric, we have $\|Q_n - Q_m\| = \|S_n - S_m\|$, for all positive integers m, n . This shows that $\{Q_n\}$ is a Cauchy sequence and therefore $Q_n \rightarrow Q$ for some $Q \in \mathcal{F}(X)$. We have $\mathcal{T}_T(Q) = S$ by the continuity of \mathcal{T}_T . Thus \mathcal{T}_T maps $\mathcal{F}(X)$ onto itself and so $\mathcal{T}_T \in G$.

Suppose conversely that $T \in G$ and let $x \in X$. Then for any $f \in X^*$ we have

$$\|x\| \|f\| = \|x \otimes f\| = \|T(x \otimes f)\| = \|T_T(x) \otimes f\| = \|T_T(x)\| \|f\|$$

which shows that $\|T_T(x)\| = \|x\|$. Hence T_T is isometric. Moreover, since T maps $\mathcal{F}(X)$ onto itself there is $S \in \mathcal{F}(X)$ such that $T(S) = x \otimes f = T_T S$. Let $z \in X$ be such that $f(z) = 1$. Then $x = (x \otimes f)(z) = (T_T S)(z) = T_T(S(z))$. This shows that T_T maps X onto X , for if we let $w = S(z)$ then $T_T(w) = x$.

Corollary 3.4. *Let H be a Hilbert space. Then $T \in M_\ell(\mathcal{F}(H))$ is isometric onto if and only if $T_T \in L(H)$ is a unitary operator on H .*

Proof. An operator U on H is unitary if and only if U is isometric onto.

The sets G and K of Theorem 3.3 are groups under the operation of operator multiplication and Ψ (restricted to G) is a group isomorphism of G onto K .

Corollary 3.5. *The group K is τ_w -compact if and only if the group G is τ_ℓ -compact.*

4. Multipliers and the second conjugate space. In this section we look at the relationship between $M_\ell(A)$ and A^{**} , where A is a semisimple r.w.c.c. (l.w.c.c.) Banach algebra.

Lemma 4.1. Let A be a semisimple Banach algebra with a bounded left approximate identity $\{u_\alpha\}$. Let E' be a left identity of (A^{**}, \circ') and, for each $S \in M_\ell(A)$, let $F^S = S^{**}(E')$. Then the following statements are true:

- (i) $(f \circ' F^S)(x) = f(S(x))$, for all $x \in A$, $f \in A^*$ and $S \in M_\ell(A)$.
- (ii) $S^{**}(\pi(x)) = F^S \circ' \pi(x)$, for all $x \in A$ and $S \in M_\ell(A)$.
- (iii) $F^S \circ' \pi(x) \in \pi(A)$, for all $x \in A$ and $S \in M_\ell(A)$.
- (iv) The mapping $\rho : S \rightarrow F^S$ is a bicontinuous algebra isomorphism of $M_\ell(A)$ into (A^{**}, \circ') . Moreover, if $\{u_\alpha\}$ is bounded by 1, then ρ is an isometry.

Proof. Let $x \in A$, $f \in A^*$ and $S \in M_\ell(A)$. We first observe that

$$(1) \quad S^*(x \circ' f) = x \circ' S^*(f)$$

since, for all $y \in A$,

$$(S^*(x \circ' f))(y) = (x \circ' f)(S(y)) = f(S(y)x) = f(S(yx))$$

and

$$(x \circ' S^*(f))(y) = (S^*(f))(yx) = f(S(yx)).$$

(i) Now

$$\begin{aligned} f(S(x)) &= (S^*(f))(x) = \pi(x)(S^*(f)) = (E' \circ' \pi(x))(S^*(f)) \\ &= \pi(x)(S^*(f) \circ' E') = (S^*(f) \circ' E')(x) = E'(x \circ' S^*(f)) \end{aligned}$$

and

$$(f \circ' F^S)(x) = (f \circ' S^{**}(E'))(x) = S^{**}(E')(x \circ' f) = E'(S^*(x \circ' f)).$$

Therefore in view of (1), (i) is true.

(ii) By (i), we have

$$\begin{aligned} (S^{**}(\pi(x)))(f) &= \pi(x)(S^*(f)) = f(S(x)) = (f \circ' F^S)(x) \\ &= \pi(x)(f \circ' F^S) = (F^S \circ' \pi(x))(f), \end{aligned}$$

which gives (ii).

(iii) By (ii), $F^S \circ' \pi(x) = S^{**}(\pi(x)) = \pi(S(x))$, and $S(x) \in A$. This proves (iii).

(iv) That $\rho : S \in F^S$ is an algebra isomorphism is shown in [15, Lemma 3.1, p. 294]. To see that ρ is bicontinuous we observe that $\|F^S\| = \|S^{**}(E')\| \leq$

$\|S^{**}\| \|E'\| = \|S\| \|E'\|$. On the other hand, from (i), $\|S^*(f)\| = \|f \circ' F^S\| \leq \|F^S\| \|f\|$ so that $\|F^S\| \geq \|S\|$. Thus $\|S\| \leq \|F^S\| \leq \|S\| \|E'\|$ which shows that ρ is bicontinuous. Now if $\|u_\alpha\| \leq 1$ for all α , then $\|E'\| = 1$ and we get $\|F^S\| = \|S\|$, for all $S \in M_\ell(A)$, so that ρ is also an isometry.

Theorem 4.2. *Let A be a semisimple Banach algebra with a bounded left approximate identity. Let $N'_A = \{G \in A^{**} : G \circ' \pi(x) = 0, \text{ for all } x \in A\}$ and $M'_A = \{S^{**}(E) : S \in M_\ell(A)\}$, where E' is a left identity of (A^{**}, \circ') . Then the following statements are equivalent:*

- (i) A is r.w.c.c.
- (ii) $A^{**} = M'_A + N'_A$, i.e., every $F \in A^{**}$ is of the form $F = S^{**}(E') + G$, for some $S \in M_\ell(A)$ and $G \in N'_A$.

Proof. (i) \implies (ii) This is contained in [15, Theorem 3.2, p. 295].

(ii) \implies (i). Suppose (ii) holds, and let $F \in A^{**}$. Then $F = S^{**}(E') + G$, for some $S \in M_\ell(A)$ and $G \in N'_A$. Since $G \circ' \pi(x) = 0$, for all $x \in A$,

$$F \circ' \pi(x) = (F^S + G) \circ' \pi(x) = F^S \circ' \pi(x),$$

for all $x \in A$, and so, by Lemma 4.1 (iii), $F \circ' \pi(x) \in \pi(A)$, for all $x \in A$. Hence $\pi(A)$ is a left ideal of (A^{**}, \circ') so that A is r.w.c.c.

We observe that if $A^{**} = M'_A + N'_A$ then this sum is direct. In fact suppose that $F \in M'_A \cap N'_A$. Then $F = S^{**}(E')$, for some $S \in M_\ell(A)$ and $\pi(S(x)) = F \circ' \pi(x) = 0$, for all $x \in A$. Therefore $S = 0$ and so $F = 0$. Hence $M'_A \cap N'_A = (0)$. We note that M'_A is a closed left ideal of (A^{**}, \circ') and N'_A is a closed ideal of (A^{**}, \circ') and $N'_A = \{G \in A^{**} : G \circ' E' = 0\}$ [15, Theorem 3.2, p. 295].

Similarly if A is a semisimple Banach algebra with a bounded right approximate identity and E is a right identity of (A^{**}, \circ) then A is l.w.c.c. if and only if $A^{**} = M_A \oplus N_A$, where $M_A = \{T^{**}(E) : T \in M_r(A)\}$ and $N_A = \{G \in A^{**} : \pi(x) \circ G = 0, \text{ for all } x \in A\}$. We have $N_A = \{G \in A^{**} : E \circ G = 0\}$ [15, Theorem 3.2', p. 296].

Theorem 4.3. *Let A be a semisimple w.c.c. Banach algebra with a*

bounded approximate identity. Then the Arens products agree on N_A and N'_A and $N_A = N'_A$.

Proof. Let E be an element of A^{**} which is simultaneously a right identity for (A^{**}, \circ) and a left identity for (A^{**}, \circ') [7, Proposition 1.3, p. 93]. If $F, G \in N_A$ then $F \circ G = (F \circ E) \circ G = F \circ (E \circ G) = F \circ 0 = 0$. Similarly if $F, G \in N'_A$ then $F \circ' G = F \circ' (E \circ' G) = (F \circ' E) \circ' G = 0$. Hence to show that the Arens products coincide on $N_A(N'_A)$ we need only to show that $N_A = N'_A$ as sets. Let $F \in N'_A$. Then, for any $x \in A$, $\pi(x) \circ' F \in N'_A$ and so $(\pi(x) \circ' F) \circ' E = 0$. But $\pi(x) \circ' F \in \pi(A)$ since A is w.c.c. and $\pi(x) \circ' F = \pi(x) \circ F$. Hence

$$(\pi(x) \circ' F) \circ' E = (\pi(x) \circ F) \circ E = \pi(x) \circ (F \circ E) = \pi(x) \circ F.$$

Hence $\pi(x) \circ F = 0$, for all $x \in A$, and so $F \in N_A$. Therefore $N'_A \subset N_A$. Similarly we can show that $N_A \subset N'_A$. Hence $N_A = N'_A$ and this completes the proof.

Let A be as in Theorem 4.3. Let $R_1^{**}(R_2^{**})$ be the radical of (A^{**}, \circ) ((A^{**}, \circ')). By [15, Theorem 3.2, p. 295], $R_1^{**} = N_A$ and, by [15, Theorem 3.2', p. 296], $R_2^{**} = N'_A$. Thus $R_1^{**} = R_2^{**}$ and the Arens products coincide on $R_1^{**} = R_2^{**}$. We have $F \circ G = 0 = F \circ' G$ for all $F, G \in R_1^{**} = R_2^{**}$. These observations fill the gap in the proof of [15, Theorem 4.2, p. 297].

Corollary 4.4. *Let A be an Arens regular semisimple w.c.c. Banach algebra with an approximate identity bounded by 1. Let E be the identity element of A^{**} . Then the mapping $S \rightarrow S^{**}(E)$ is an isometric algebra isomorphism of $M_\ell(A)$ onto A^{**} .*

Proof. Since A is Arens regular, A^{**} is semisimple by [15, Corollary 4.3, p. 298]. Hence $N'_A = (0)$ and therefore $\rho : S \rightarrow S^{**}(E)$ maps $M_\ell(A)$ onto A^{**} . Since $\|E\| = 1$, ρ is an isometry.

A Banach space X is said to have the *approximation property* if, for every compact subset U of X and every $\varepsilon > 0$, there is $T \in F(X)$ such that $\|T(x) - x\| < \varepsilon$, for all $x \in U$. Every Hilbert space has the approximation

property.

Let X be a reflexive Banach space with the approximation property. Then, by [16, Theorem 4.1, p. 404], $\mathcal{F}(X)$ is an Arens regular semisimple w.c.c. Banach algebra with an approximate identity bounded by 1. Thus $\mathcal{F}(X)^{**}$ has an identity element E with $\|E\| = 1$.

Corollary 4.5. *Let X be a reflexive Banach space with the approximation property, and let E be the identity element of $\mathcal{F}(X)^{**}$. Then the mapping $T \rightarrow T^{**}(E)$ is an isometric algebra isomorphism of $M_\ell(\mathcal{F}(X))$ onto $\mathcal{F}(X)^{**}$.*

Proof. This is Corollary 4.4 with $A = \mathcal{F}(X)$.

Corollary 4.6. *Let X be a reflexive Banach space with the approximation property. Then $\mathcal{F}(X)^{**}$ is isometrically algebra isomorphic to $L(X)$.*

Proof. This is an immediate consequence of Theorem 3.1 and corollary 4.5.

Theorem 4.7. *Let X be a reflexive Banach space with the approximation property. Then $\mathcal{S}(L(X))$ is τ_w -compact.*

Proof. Since $\mathcal{F}(X)$ is w.c.c. with a bounded approximate identity, by [14, Theorem 6.1, p. 274], $\mathcal{S}(M_\ell(\mathcal{F}(X)))$ is τ_ℓ -compact. Therefore, by Corollary 3.2, $\mathcal{S}(L(X))$ is τ_w -compact.

Remark. There is another way to obtain Corollary 4.6. In fact, if X is a Banach space with the approximation property then $\mathcal{F}(X)$ is isometrically isomorphic to the injective tensor product $X^* \tilde{\otimes}_\epsilon X$. If X is also reflexive than X has the Radon-Nikodym property, and thus the Banach space dual of $X^* \tilde{\otimes}_\epsilon X$ is the projective tensor product $X^{**} \otimes_\pi X^* = X \tilde{\otimes}_\pi X^*$. Finally, $(X \tilde{\otimes}_\pi X^*)^* = L(X, X^{**}) = L(X, X) = L(X)$. Note that $X \tilde{\otimes}_\pi X^*$ is isometrically isomorphic to the ideal $\mathcal{N}(X^*)$ of nuclear operators of X^* in this case. The dualities between $\mathcal{F}(X)$, $\mathcal{N}(X^*)$ and $L(X)$ are induced in a way similar to that of Hilbert space operators. Moreover, the embedding from $\mathcal{F}(X)$ into $\mathcal{F}(X)^{**} = L(X)$ is $T \rightarrow T^{**}$. (See [9].)

5. Annihilator $B^\#$ -algebras. We recall from [2] that a Banach algebra A is a $B^\#$ -algebra if, for every $a \in A$, there exists an element $a^\# \in A$ such that $a^\# \neq 0$ and, for every positive integer n ,

$$\| (a^\# a)^n \|^{1/n} = \| a^\# \| \| a \|.$$

A B^* -algebra is a $B^\#$ -algebra with a^* taken for $a^\#$ [2, p. 158]. A $B^\#$ -algebra is semisimple [2, Theorem 5, p. 159].

Theorem 5.1. *Let A be a $B^\#$ -algebra. Then A is an annihilator algebra if and only if the following conditions hold:*

- (a) A is r.w.c.c. and
- (b) A has dense socle.

Proof. Although the theorem follows readily from [11, Corollary and Theorem 3.5, p. 908], for completeness we will sketch a proof of it based in part on [17, Theorem 6.5, p. 270]. Suppose that A has properties (a) and (b). Since A is a $B^\#$ -algebra with dense socle, A has the minimal norm property [11, Lemma 3.2, p. 906], i.e., if $|\cdot|$ in any other normed algebra norm on A such that $|a| \leq \|a\|$, for all $a \in A$, then $|\cdot| = \|\cdot\|$. By [17, Theorem 6.5, p. 270], properties (a) and (b) imply that there is a normed algebra norm $\|\cdot\|_1$ on A such that $\|a\|_1 \leq \|a\|$, for all $a \in A$, and the completion \mathcal{B} of A in this norm is a semisimple annihilator Banach algebra. Since A has the minimal norm property, $\|a\|_1 = \|a\|$, for all $a \in A$. Hence $A = \mathcal{B}$ and so A is an annihilator algebra. Now let $\{M_\lambda : \lambda \in \Lambda\}$ be the family of all distinct minimal close ideals in A and, for each $\lambda \in \Lambda$, let I_λ be a minimal left ideal in M_λ . Then $A = \mathcal{B}$ is isometrically algebra isomorphic to the $\mathcal{B}(\infty)$ -sum of the algebras $\mathcal{F}(I_\lambda)$. (See the proof of [17, Theorem 6.5, p. 270].)

Conversely if A is an annihilator algebra then [12, pp. 100-104] A has dense socle and every minimal left ideal of A is a reflexive Banach space so that, by [17, Theorem 6.2, p. 269], A is r.w.c.c.

For later use we reiterate some of the points above in the following corollary.

Corollary 5.2. *Let A be an annihilator $B^\#$ -algebra. Let $\{M_\lambda : \lambda \in \Lambda\}$ be the family of all distinct minimal closed ideals in A and, for each $\lambda \in \Lambda$, let I_λ be a minimal left ideal of A contained in M_λ . Then each M_λ is isometrically algebra isomorphic to $\mathcal{F}(I_\lambda)$ and A is isometrically algebra isomorphic to the $B(\infty)$ -sum of the algebras $\mathcal{F}(I_\lambda)$. Thus A is Arens regular and A^{**} is isometrically algebra isomorphic to the normed full direct sum of the algebras $\mathcal{F}(I_\lambda)^{**}$.*

Proof. For the proof of the last statement see [16, Theorem 5.1, p. 405].

If a Banach algebra A is not a $B^\#$ -algebra then properties (a) and (b) alone do not imply that A is an annihilator algebra. See [1, Example 4, p. 739] and [18, Theorem 2.5, p. 28].

Theorem 5.3. *Let A be an annihilator $B^\#$ -algebra in which every minimal left ideal has the approximation property. Then A is a dual algebra.*

Proof. By [16, Theorem 5.1, p. 405], A^{**} has an identity element E with $\|E\| = 1$ so that A has an approximate identity bounded by 1. Thus $a \in cl_A(aA) \cap cl_A(Aa)$, for each $a \in A$. Moreover, for each minimal left ideal I of A , $\mathcal{F}(I)$ is a dual algebra [3, Corollary 30, p. 172] which shows that every minimal closed ideal M of A is a dual $B^\#$ -algebra. Since A is isometrically algebra isomorphic to the $B(\infty)$ -sum of its minimal closed ideals, it follows from [12, Theorem (2.8.29), p. 106] that A is a dual algebra.

Every minimal left ideal in a B^* -algebra or a semi-simple right complemented Banach algebra is a Hilbert space under an equivalent inner product norm ([12, Theorem (4.10.6), p. 263] and [13, Theorem 5, p. 656]). Thus if A is a B^* -algebra with dense socle or a right complemented $B^\#$ -algebra then A is r.w.c.c. with dense socle in which every minimal left ideal has the approximation property. Therefore, by Theorems 5.1 and 5.3, A is a dual algebra. We state these results formally in the following corollaries.

Corollary 5.4. *A right complemented $B^\#$ -algebra is a dual algebra.*

Corollary 5.5. *A B^* -algebra with dense socle is a dual algebra.*

6. Multipliers on annihilator $B^\#$ -algebras. In this section, unless otherwise specified, A will denote an annihilator $B^\#$ -algebra, $\{M_\lambda : \lambda \in \Lambda\}$ the family of all distinct minimal closed ideals in A and \mathfrak{A} the $B(\infty)$ -sum of the algebras M_λ . By Corollary 5.2, A is isometrically algebra isomorphic to \mathfrak{A} so that every $x \in A$ corresponds under this isomorphism to a unique function $x(\cdot)$ on Λ such that $x(\lambda) \in M_\lambda$, for each $\lambda \in \Lambda$. For convenience we will let $x(\lambda) = x_\lambda$ and denote $x(\cdot)$ by $\{x_\lambda\}$. We have $\|x\| = \sup_\lambda \|x_\lambda\| = \|x(\cdot)\|$. For $f \in A^*$ and each $\lambda \in \Lambda$, let $f_\lambda = f|M_\lambda$. Then $\sum_\lambda \|f_\lambda\| < \infty$ and the linear functional φ_f on \mathfrak{A} defined by $\varphi_f(x(\cdot)) = \sum_\lambda f_\lambda(x_\lambda)$ belongs to \mathfrak{A}^* . The mapping $f \rightarrow \varphi_f$ is an isometric vector space isomorphism of A^* onto \mathfrak{A}^* . We have $f(x) = \sum_\lambda f_\lambda(x_\lambda)$ and $\|f\| = \sum_\lambda \|f_\lambda\| = \|\varphi_f\|$. (See [16].) Since A is isometrically algebra isomorphic to \mathfrak{A} , for every $x \in A$, $x = x_{\lambda_1} + \dots + x_{\lambda_n}$, $x_{\lambda_i} \in M_{\lambda_i}$, $i = 1, \dots, n$, we have $\|x\| = \sup_i \|x_{\lambda_i}\|$.

Theorem 6.1. Let $G(G_\lambda)$ be the group of all isometric onto left multipliers on $A(M_\lambda)$ and, for each $T \in M_\ell(A)$ and $\lambda \in \Lambda$, let $T_\lambda = T|M_\lambda$. Then the following statements are true:

- (i) $T(M_\lambda) \subseteq M_\lambda$ and $\|T\| = \sup_\lambda \|T_\lambda\|$, for each $T \in M_\ell(A)$.
- (ii) $M_\ell(M_\lambda) = \{T_\lambda : T \in M_\ell(A)\}$, for each $\lambda \in \Lambda$.
- (iii) $T \in G$ if and only if $T_\lambda \in G_\lambda$, for each $\lambda \in \Lambda$.
- (iv) For $T \in M_\ell(A)$ let ζ_T be the function on Λ such that $\zeta_T(\lambda) = T_\lambda$, for all $\lambda \in \Lambda$. Then the mapping $T \rightarrow \zeta_T$ is an isometric algebra isomorphism of $M_\ell(A)$ onto the normed full direct sum of the algebras $M_\ell(M_\lambda)$.
- (v) Let $\Pi_\lambda G_\lambda$ be the direct product of the groups G_λ . Then the mapping $T \rightarrow \zeta_T$ (restricted to G) is an isomorphism of the group G onto the group $\Pi_\lambda G_\lambda$.

Proof. (i) Let e_λ be a minimal idempotent of A contained in M_λ . Then $M_\lambda = c\ell_A(Ae_\lambda A)$. Let $T \in M_\ell(A)$. Since $T(xe_\lambda y) = T(x)e_\lambda y \in Ae_\lambda A \subset M_\lambda$, for all $x, y \in A$, applying linearity and continuity of T we get $T(M_\lambda) \subset M_\lambda$. Clearly $\|T_\lambda\| \leq \|T\|$, for all $\lambda \in \Lambda$. Let $D = \sum_\lambda M_\lambda$, the sum of M_λ , then D is dense in A and $\|T\| = \sup\{\|T(x)\| : x \in D \text{ and } \|x\| \leq 1\}$. Given $\varepsilon > 0$, let $x \in D$, $\|x\| \leq 1$, such that $\|T\| - \varepsilon \leq$

$\|T(x)\|$. We have $x = x_{\lambda_1} + \dots + x_{\lambda_n}$, where $x_{\lambda_i} \in M_{\lambda_i}$, $i = 1, \dots, n$. Since $\|x\| = \sup_i \|x_{\lambda_i}\|$ and $\|T(x)\| = \sup_i \|T(x_{\lambda_i})\| = \sup_i \|T_{\lambda_i}(x_{\lambda_i})\| = \|T_{\lambda_{i_0}}(x_{\lambda_{i_0}})\| \leq \|T_{\lambda_{i_0}}\| \|x_{\lambda_{i_0}}\| \leq \|T_{\lambda_{i_0}}\|$, for some i_0 , $1 \leq i_0 \leq n$, we see that $\|T\| - \varepsilon \leq \|T_{\lambda_{i_0}}\|$. Thus $\|T\| \leq \sup_{\lambda} \|T_{\lambda}\|$. As $\|T_{\lambda}\| \leq \|T\|$, for all $\lambda \in \Lambda$, we obtain $\|T\| = \sup_{\lambda} \|T_{\lambda}\|$.

(ii) By [5, Proposition 3, p. 99], $A = M_{\lambda} \oplus \ell_A(M_{\lambda})$ so that the projection $P_{\lambda} : A \rightarrow M_{\lambda}$ is continuous. Thus $\|P_{\lambda}(x)\| \leq k_{\lambda} \|x\|$, for all $x \in A$ and some constant $k_{\lambda} > 0$. By (i), $\{T_{\lambda} : T \in M_{\ell}(A)\} \subset M_{\ell}(M_{\lambda})$, for all $\lambda \in \Lambda$. Now let $T' \in M_{\ell}(M_{\lambda})$ and define a mapping T on A as follows: For $y \in A$, $y = y_1 + y_2$ with $y_1 \in M_{\lambda}$ and $y_2 \in \ell_A(M_{\lambda})$, let $T(y) = T'(y_1)$. Clearly T is linear and, for any $z \in A$, $z = z_1 + z_2$ with $z_1 \in M_{\lambda}$ and $z_2 \in \ell_A(M_{\lambda})$, $T(yz) = T'(y_1 z_1) = T'(y_1) z_1 = T'(y_1) z = T(y) z$. (We have $\ell_A(M_{\lambda}) = r_A(M_{\lambda})$.) Moreover, $\|T(y)\| = \|T'(y_1)\| \leq \|T'\| \|y_1\| \leq \|T'\| k_{\lambda} \|y\|$. Hence $T \in M_{\ell}(A)$ and $T' = T|M_{\lambda} = T_{\lambda}$. This proves (ii).

(iii) Suppose that $T \in G$. Then T_{λ} is isometric on M_{λ} since $\|T_{\lambda}(x)\| = \|T(x)\| = \|x\|$, for all $x \in M_{\lambda}$. Now let $y \in M_{\lambda}$. Since T is onto A , there is $x \in A$ such that $T(x) = y$. Write $x = x_1 + x_2$ with $x_1 \in M_{\lambda}$ and $x_2 \in \ell_A(M_{\lambda})$. Then $y = T(x_1) + T(x_2)$ so that $T(x_2) = y - T(x_1) \in M_{\lambda}$ (by (i)). But, for any $z \in M_{\lambda}$, $T(x_2)z = T(x_2 z) = T(0) = 0$ so that $T(x_2) \in \ell_A(M_{\lambda})$. Hence $T(x_2) \in M_{\lambda} \cap \ell_A(M_{\lambda}) = (0)$ which shows that $T(x_2) = 0$. Applying the isometry of T , we get $x_2 = 0$. Thus T_{λ} maps M_{λ} onto M_{λ} and so $T_{\lambda} \in G_{\lambda}$, for each $\lambda \in \Lambda$.

Suppose conversely that $T \in M_{\ell}(A)$ such that $T_{\lambda} = T|M_{\lambda} \in G_{\lambda}$, for all $\lambda \in \Lambda$. Let $x \in D$, $x = x_{\lambda_1} + \dots + x_{\lambda_n}$, where $x_{\lambda_i} \in M_{\lambda_i}$, $i = 1, \dots, n$. Then

$$T(x) = T(x_1) + \dots + T(x_{\lambda_n}) = T_{\lambda_1}(x_{\lambda_1}) + \dots + T_{\lambda_n}(x_{\lambda_n})$$

and

$$\|T(x)\| = \sup_i \|T_{\lambda_i}(x_{\lambda_i})\| = \sup_i \|x_{\lambda_i}\| = \|x\|.$$

Thus T is isometric on D , and since D is dense in A , it is also isometric on A . Now let $y \in A$ and let $\{y_n\}$ be a sequence in D such that $y_n \rightarrow y$. Since T maps M_{λ} onto itself, for all $\lambda \in \Lambda$, there exists $z_n \in D$ such that $T(z_n) = y_n$,

for all n . By the isometry of T , $\|y_n - y_m\| = \|T(z_n - z_m)\| = \|z_n - z_m\|$, for all positive integers m, n , and as $y_n \rightarrow y$, we see that $\{z_n\}$ is a Cauchy sequence in A and therefore converges to some $z \in A$. Since $T(z_n) \rightarrow T(z)$ and $T(z_n) = y_n \rightarrow y$, we get $T(z) = y$. Thus T maps A onto itself and so $T \in G$.

(iv) Let \mathfrak{N} denote the normed full direct sum of the algebras $M_\ell(M_\lambda)$. For each $T \in M_\ell(A)$, $\zeta_T \in \mathfrak{N}$ since $\sup_\lambda \|\zeta_T(\lambda)\| = \sup_\lambda \|T_\lambda\| = \|T\|$ (by (i)). Thus the mapping $T \rightarrow \zeta_T$ is isometric. Now let $T = \{T_\lambda\} \in \mathfrak{N}$ and define a linear map T on $D = \sum_i M_\lambda$ as follows: For $x \in D$, $x = x_{\lambda_1} + \dots + x_{\lambda_n}$, where $x_{\lambda_i} \in M_{\lambda_i}$, $i = 1, \dots, n$, let $T(x) = T_{\lambda_1}(x_{\lambda_1}) + \dots + T_{\lambda_n}(x_{\lambda_n})$. Then

$$\|T(x)\| = \sup_i \|T_{\lambda_i}(x_{\lambda_i})\| \leq \sup_i \|T_{\lambda_i}\| \|x_{\lambda_i}\| \leq \|T\| \|x\|$$

which shows that $\|T\| \leq \|T\|$. Thus T is continuous on D and therefore can be extended to all of A with the same norm. Let us denote this extension by the same letter T . Since T is a left multiplier on D , it is also a left multiplier on A . We have $T|M_\lambda = T_\lambda = \mathcal{T}_\lambda$, for all $\lambda \in \Lambda$. Hence $T \rightarrow \zeta_T$ maps $M_\ell(A)$ onto \mathfrak{N} and it clearly preserves all algebraic operations. Hence $T \rightarrow \zeta_T$ is an isometric algebra isomorphism of $M_\ell(A)$ onto \mathfrak{N} .

(v) We recall that $\Pi_\lambda G_\lambda$ is the set of all functions ρ on Λ such that $\rho(\lambda) \in G_\lambda$, for all $\lambda \in \Lambda$. Since $\|\rho(\lambda)\| = 1$ for all $\lambda \in \Lambda$, we see that $\rho \in \mathfrak{N}$. Thus $\Pi_\lambda G_\lambda \subset \mathfrak{N}$ and $\Pi_\lambda G_\lambda$ is a group under pointwise multiplication for functions. It follows easily from (iii) and (iv) that $T \rightarrow \zeta_T$ maps G onto $\Pi_\lambda G_\lambda$. Thus the restriction of the map $T \rightarrow \zeta_T$ to G is an isomorphism of the group G onto the group $\Pi_\lambda G_\lambda$.

Corollary 6.2. *For each $\lambda \in \Lambda$, let I_λ be a minimal left ideal of A contained in M_λ . Then $M_\ell(A)$ is isometrically algebra isomorphic to the normed full direct sum of the algebras $L(I_\lambda)$.*

Proof. This follows easily from Corollary 5.2 and Theorems 3.1 and 6.1.

Corollary 6.3. *If every minimal left ideal of A has the approximation property, then $M_\ell(A)$ is isometrically algebra isomorphic to A^{**} .*

Proof. By Corollary 5.2, A^{**} is isometrically algebra isomorphic to the normed full direct sum of the algebras $\mathcal{F}(I_\lambda)^{**}$. Since each I_λ is a reflexive Banach space with the approximation property, by Corollary 4.6, $\mathcal{F}(I_\lambda)^{**}$ is isometrically algebra isomorphic to $L(I_\lambda)$, for each $\lambda \in \Lambda$. Therefore, by Corollary 6.2, $M_\ell(A)$ is isometrically algebra isomorphic to A^{**} .

Corollary 6.4. *Let A be a right complemented $B^\#$ -algebra. Then $M_\ell(A)$ is isometrically algebra isomorphic to A^{**} .*

Corollary 6.5. *Let A be an annihilator B^* -algebra. Then $M_\ell(A)$ is isometrically algebra isomorphic to A^{**} .*

Theorem 6.6. *Give $G(G_\lambda)$ the relative topology $\omega(\omega_\lambda)$ induced by the weak operator topology on $M_\ell(A)(M_\ell(M_\lambda))$. Then the mapping $T \rightarrow \zeta_T$ (restricted to G) is a homeomorphism from (G, ω) onto the direct product $\Pi_\lambda(G_\lambda, \omega_\lambda)$ with the product topology ω_P .*

Proof. Let $G' = \Pi_\lambda G_\lambda$ and denote the mapping $T \rightarrow \zeta_T$ by ζ , i.e., $\zeta_T = \zeta(T)$. We now show that ζ is continuous. Let $T \in G$ and let $\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_n$ be distinct elements of Λ . Let $\varepsilon > 0$ and let $x_1^{(i)}, \dots, x_{k_i}^{(i)} \in M_{\lambda_i}$, and $g_1^{(i)}, \dots, g_{\ell_i}^{(i)} \in M_{\lambda_i}^*$ for $i = 1, \dots, n$. Let

$$U_i = \{ \{S_\lambda\} \in G' : |g_q^{(i)}((S_{\lambda_i} - T_{\lambda_i})(x_p^{(i)}))| < \varepsilon, \\ \text{for } 1 \leq p \leq k_i \text{ and } 1 \leq q \leq \ell_i \},$$

for $i = 1, \dots, n$. Then $U = \bigcap_{i=1}^n U_i$ is an ω_P -open neighbourhood of the point $\zeta(T) = \{T_\lambda\}$ in G' . Every ω_P -neighborhood of $\zeta(T)$ contains a neighborhood of type U .

Now since, for each $\lambda \in \Lambda$, $A = M_\lambda \oplus \ell_A(M_\lambda)$ [5, Proposition 3, p. 99] we can extend each $g_q^{(i)}$ to all of A as follows: Let $\bar{g}_q^{(i)} \in A^*$ be such that, for all $y \in A$, $\bar{g}_q^{(i)}(y) = g_q^{(i)}(y_1)$, where $y = y_1 + y_2$ with $y_1 \in M_{\lambda_i}$ and $y_2 \in \ell_A(M_{\lambda_i})$. Let

$$V_i = \{ S \in G : |\bar{g}_q^{(i)}((S - T)(x_p^{(i)}))| < \varepsilon, \text{ for } 1 \leq p \leq k_i \text{ and } 1 \leq q \leq \ell_i \} \\ = \{ S \in G : |g_q^{(i)}((S_{\lambda_i} - T_{\lambda_i})(x_p^{(i)}))| < \varepsilon, \text{ for } 1 \leq p \leq k_i \text{ and } 1 \leq q \leq \ell_i \}$$

for $i = 1, \dots, n$. Then $V = \bigcap_{i=1}^n V_i$ is an ω -open neighbourhood of T in G and $\zeta(V) \subseteq U$. This shows that ζ is continuous at T and as T is an arbitrary point of G , it follows that ζ is continuous on G .

We show next that ζ^{-1} is continuous. Let $x \in A$, $x \neq 0$, $f \in A^*$ and $\varepsilon > 0$. Then the set

$$O = \{S \in G : |f((S - T)(x))| < \varepsilon\}$$

is an ω -open neighbourhood of T in G . Since $\sum_{\lambda} \|f_{\lambda}\| < \infty$ (where $f_{\lambda} = f|M_{\lambda}$), we see that $f_{\lambda} = 0$ except for a countable number of λ , say $\lambda_1, \lambda_2, \dots$, i.e., $f_{\lambda_i} \neq 0$ for $i = 1, 2, \dots$. Thus there is an integer $N > 0$ such that

$$\sum_{i=N+1}^{\infty} \|f_{\lambda_i}\| < \varepsilon/4\|x\|.$$

Identifying x with the function $x(\cdot)$ in \mathfrak{A} , let $x_{\lambda_i} = x(\lambda_i)$ and let

$$Q_i = \{\{S_{\lambda}\} \in G' : |f_{\lambda_i}((S_{\lambda_i} - T_{\lambda_i})(x_{\lambda_i}))| < \varepsilon/2N\},$$

for $i = 1, \dots, N$. Then $Q = \bigcap_{i=1}^N Q_i$ is an ω_P -open neighbourhood of $\zeta(T) = \{T_{\lambda}\}$ in G' . Since $f(S(x)) = \sum_{\lambda} f_{\lambda}(S_{\lambda}(x_{\lambda}))$, for any $S \in M_{\ell}(A)$, it is easy to see that $\zeta^{-1}(Q) \subseteq O$. Observing that the sets of type O form a subbase of the neighbourhood system at T for the topology ω , we see that ζ^{-1} is continuous at $\zeta(T)$. As T is an arbitrary point of G and $\zeta(G) = G'$, it follows that ζ^{-1} is continuous on G' . Hence ζ (restricted to G) is a homeomorphism of (G, ω) onto (G', ω_P) .

Corollary 6.7. *(G, ω) is compact if and only if $(G_{\lambda}, \omega_{\lambda})$ is compact for every $\lambda \in \Lambda$.*

Corollary 6.8. *For each $\lambda \in \Lambda$, let I_{λ} be a minimal left ideal of A contained in M_{λ} , and let K_{λ} be the group of isometric onto operators in $L(I_{\lambda})$. Give each K_{λ} the relative topology σ_{λ} induced by the weak operator topology on $L(I_{\lambda})$. Then (G, ω) is compact if and only if each $(K_{\lambda}, \sigma_{\lambda})$ is compact.*

Proof. By Corollary 5.2, we may identify $M_\ell(M_\lambda)$ with $M_\ell(\mathcal{F}(I_\lambda))$. Hence, by Theorem 3.3, G_λ is isomorphic to K_λ . By Corollary 3.5, K_λ is compact in the weak operator topology on $L(I_\lambda)$ if and only if G_λ is compact in the weak operator topology on $M_\ell(M_\lambda)$.

I would like to thank the referee for the many comments and suggestions which contributed very much to the presentation in this paper.

References

1. F. E. Alexander, *Some counter-examples on annihilator, dual and complemented A^* -algebras*, J. London Math. Soc. (2) **8** (1974), 735-740.
2. F. F. Bonsall, *A minimal property of the norm in some Banach algebras*, J. London Math. Soc. **29** (1954), 156-164.
3. F. F. Bonsall and J. Duncan, *Complete normed rings*, Ergebnisse der Math. und ihrer Grenzgebiete, vol. **80**, Springer-Verlag, 1973.
4. P. Civin and B. Yood, *The second conjugate space of a Banach algebra as an algebra*, Pacific J. Math. **11** (1961), 847-870
5. J. Duncan, *$B^\#$ modular annihilator algebras*, Proc. Edinburgh Math. Soc. (2) **15** (1966), 89-102.
6. N. Dunford and J. T. Schwartz, *Linear operators, Part I: General theory*, Pure and Applied Math. vol 7, Interscience, New York and London, 1958.
7. J. Hennefeld, *Finding a maximal subalgebra in which the two Arens products agree*, Pacific J. Math. (59) (1975), 93-98.
8. B. E. Johnson, *Cohomology in Banach algebras*, Memoirs Amer. Math. Soc. No. 127, Providence, R.I., 1972.
9. G. Köthe, *Topology vector spaces*, Vol. II. Springer-Verlage, New York, 1979.
10. B. D. Malviya and B. J. Tomiuk, *Multiplier operators on B^* -algebras*, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. **31** (1972), 505-510.
11. A. Olubumo, *Weakly compact $B^\#$ - algebras*, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. **14** (1963), 905-908.
12. C. Rickart, *General theory of Banach algebras*. Van Nostrand, New York, 1960.
13. B. J. Tomiuk, *Structure theory of complemented Banach algebras*, Can. J. Math. **14** (1962), 651-659.
14. B. J. Tomiuk, *Multipliers on Banach algebras*, Studia Math. **54** (1976), 267-283.
15. B. J. Tomiuk, *Arens regularity and the algebra of double multipliers*, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. **91** (1984), 293-298.
16. B. J. Tomiuk, *Biduals of Banach algebras which are dense subalgebras of Arens regular Banach algebras*, Acta Sci. Math (szeged) **61** (1995), 399-411.
17. B. J. Tomiuk and B. Yood, *Topological algebras with dense socle*, J. Functional Analysis **28** (1978), 254-277.
18. B. Yood, *One-sided ideals in Banach algebras*, J. Nigerian Math. Soc. **1** (1982), 25-30.