

A DENSE ORBIT ALMOST IMPLIES SENSITIVITY TO INITIAL CONDITIONS

BY

BAU-SEN DU (杜寶生)

Abstract. Let X be an infinite metric space and $f \in C^0(X, X)$. If f has a dense orbit in X , we show that f either has sensitive dependence on initial conditions (Theorem 5) or is uniformly recurrent (to be defined below) and if X is bounded then there is a nontrivial metric on X with which f is an isometry (Theorem 4). As consequences, we obtain that if f has a dense orbit in X and if (i) f is not one-to-one or (ii) f is a homeomorphism on X and f has a periodic point which is a saddle point, then f has sensitive dependence on initial conditions.

The study of chaotic dynamical systems has become increasingly popular nowadays ([2,4]). Although there has been no universally accepted mathematical definition of chaos, it is generally believed that sensitive dependence on initial conditions is the central element of chaos (see also [6]). Therefore, it would be interesting to know under what conditions sensitive dependence on initial conditions can be guaranteed. Let (X, d) be a metric space with metric d and let $f \in C^0(X, X)$. Let δ be a positive number. We say that f has δ -sensitive dependence on initial conditions if, for every point $x \in X$ and every positive number ε , there exist a point $y \in X$ with $d(x, y) < \varepsilon$ and a positive integer n such that $d(f^n(x), f^n(y)) \geq \delta$. We say that f has sensitive dependence on initial conditions if it has δ -sensitive dependence on initial conditions for some positive number δ . In this case, the number δ is also called a sensitivity constant for f . We say that f is topologically transitive if, for any two nonempty open sets U and V in X , there

Received by the editors April 15, 1997.

AMS 1991 Subject Classification: 54F20.

exists a positive integer n such that $f^n(U) \cap V \neq \emptyset$. In [1], it is shown that, in an infinite metric space X , if $f \in C^0(X, X)$ is topologically transitive and the set of periodic points of f is dense in X , then f has sensitive dependence on initial conditions. In [7], it is shown that if (X, d) is a separable, second category space without isolated points, then f is topologically transitive if and only if f has a dense orbit. So, we may assume that f has a dense orbit rather than that f is topologically transitive. It may be argued that if f has a dense orbit, then for any point there is another point close by whose orbit is dense in the whole space, and so it seems that f may have sensitive dependence on initial conditions. But rotation through an irrational angle on the circle S^1 (setting the total angle of S^1 to 1) is an isometry (i.e., distances are preserved under the mapping) and thus is certainly not sensitive to initial conditions. It has no periodic point, but every point has a dense orbit. Therefore, having a dense orbit is not enough to ensure sensitive dependence on initial conditions. However, if f is not one-to-one, then we can show that having a dense orbit is enough to guarantee sensitive dependence on initial conditions. To be more specific, we show in this note, among other things, that, in an infinite metric space (X, d) , a map $f \in C^0(X, X)$ with a dense orbit either has sensitive dependence on initial conditions (Theorem 5) or is uniformly recurrent (to be defined below) and if X is bounded then there is a non-trivial metric d^* on X with $d^*(x, y) \geq d(x, y)$ for all x and y in X such that f is also uniformly recurrent with respect to d^* and f is an isometry on (X, d^*) , that is, $d^*(f(x), f(y)) = d^*(x, y)$ for all x and y in X (Theorem 4).

For the rest of this paper, we always let (X, d) denote an infinite metric space and let f denote a map in $C^0(X, X)$. Let δ be a positive number and let E be a subset of X which contains at least two distinct points. We say that E is an asymptotically δ -expansive set of f if, for any two distinct points x and y of E , we have $\limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} d(f^n(x), f^n(y)) \geq \delta$. On the other hand, we say that f has asymptotically δ -sensitive dependence on initial conditions if, for every $x \in X$ and every open neighborhood $N(x)$ of x , there is a point $y \in N(x)$ such that $\limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} d(f^n(x), f^n(y)) \geq \delta$. We say that f has asymptotically sensitive dependence on initial conditions if

it has asymptotically δ -sensitive dependence on initial conditions for some positive number δ . If f has sensitive dependence on initial conditions, it is natural to ask if, for any integer $k \geq 2$, f^k also has sensitive dependence on initial conditions (with possibly different sensitivity constants). We don't know the answer yet. However, it is easy to see that the uniform continuity of f is a sufficient condition. Some other sufficient conditions are also given below (Theorems 8 & 9).

Lemma 1. *Assume that f has a dense orbit $O_f(u)$. Then, for any point $x \in X$ and any positive integer m , $\limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} d(f^n(u), f^{n+m}(u)) \geq d(x, f^m(x))$.*

Proof. Let x and m be given and let $\langle \varepsilon_n \rangle$ be any sequence of positive numbers with $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \varepsilon_n = 0$. Then, since f is continuous and since the orbit $O_f(u)$ is dense in X , there exists, for every ε_n , a positive integer k_n such that the point $f^{k_n}(u)$ is so close to x that $d(f^{k_n}(u), x) < \frac{\varepsilon_n}{2}$ and $d(f^m(f^{k_n}(u)), f^m(x)) < \frac{\varepsilon_n}{2}$. By triangle inequality, $d(f^{k_n}(u), f^{k_n+m}(u)) \geq d(x, f^m(x)) - d(f^{k_n}(u), x) - d(f^{m+k_n}(u), f^m(x)) > d(x, f^m(x)) - \varepsilon_n$. Consequently, $\limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} d(f^n(u), f^{n+m}(u)) \geq d(x, f^m(x))$.

It is easy to see that if f has asymptotically sensitive dependence on initial conditions then it also has sensitive dependence on initial conditions. If f has a dense orbit, then the converse is also true as is shown below.

Lemma 2. *Assume that f has a dense orbit. Then f has sensitive dependence on initial conditions if and only if f has asymptotically sensitive dependence on initial conditions.*

Proof. If f has asymptotically sensitive dependence on initial conditions, then it is trivial that f also has sensitive dependence on initial conditions. So, assume that f has a dense orbit $O_f(u)$ and f has sensitive dependence on initial conditions. Then, for some positive number δ , f has δ -sensitive dependence on initial conditions. Let $x \in X$ be any point and let $N(x)$ be any open neighborhood of x . Then there exist a point $y \in N(x)$ and a positive integer k such that $d(f^k(x), f^k(y)) > \delta$. Since f is continuous and since $O_f(u)$ is dense in X , there exists a point $v \in O_f(u) \cap N(x)$

which is so close to the point x that $d(f^k(v), f^k(x)) < \frac{1}{2}[d(f^k(x), f^k(y)) - \delta]$. But since the orbit $O_f(v)$ is also dense in X , there exists a positive integer m such that $f^m(v)$ is so close to the point y that $f^m(v) \in N(x)$ and $d(f^{k+m}(v), f^k(y)) < \frac{1}{2}[d(f^k(x), f^k(y)) - \delta]$. Consequently, by triangle inequality, $d(f^k(v), f^{k+m}(v)) > d(f^k(x), f^k(y)) - d(f^k(v), f^k(x)) - d(f^{k+m}(v), f^k(y)) > \delta$. By Lemma 1, $\limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} d(f^n(v), f^{n+m}(v)) \geq d(f^k(v), f^{k+m}(v)) > \delta$. Thus, we have either $\limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} d(f^n(x), f^n(v)) > \frac{\delta}{2}$ or $\limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} d(f^n(x), f^n(f^m(v))) = \limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} d(f^n(x), f^{n+m}(v)) > \frac{\delta}{2}$. Since $v \in N(x)$ and $f^m(v) \in N(x)$, we obtain that f has asymptotically $\frac{\delta}{2}$ -sensitive dependence on initial conditions.

Lemma 3. *If, for some positive number δ , f has a dense asymptotically δ -expansive set in X , then f has asymptotically $\frac{\delta}{2}$ -sensitive dependence on initial conditions.*

Proof. Assume that E is a dense asymptotically δ -expansive set of f . Let x be any point of X and let $N(x)$ be any open neighborhood of x . Since E is dense in X , the set $E \cap N(x)$ contains infinitely many points. Let v and w be any two distinct points in $E \cap N(x)$. Then, $\limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} d(f^n(v), f^n(w)) \geq \delta$. Thus, we have either $\limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} d(f^n(x), f^n(v)) \geq \frac{\delta}{2}$ or $\limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} d(f^n(x), f^n(w)) \geq \frac{\delta}{2}$. This shows that f has asymptotically $\frac{\delta}{2}$ -sensitive dependence on initial conditions.

We say that f is uniformly recurrent (with respect to the metric d) if there exist a strictly increasing sequence $\langle m_i \rangle$ of positive integers and a strictly decreasing sequence $\langle \varepsilon_i \rangle$ of positive numbers such that $\lim_{i \rightarrow \infty} \varepsilon_i = 0$ and $d(x, f^{m_i}(x)) < \varepsilon_i$ for all positive integers i and all $x \in X$. Note that this definition of uniform recurrence is equivalent to that of uniform rigidity used by Glasner and Weiss [3] in the context of compact metric spaces. It is clear that if f is uniformly recurrent then every point of X is a recurrent point of f . On the other hand, if f is uniformly recurrent and has a periodic point z of least period $k > 1$ such that, for some positive integer i , $\varepsilon_i < \min\{d(z, f^n(z)) \mid 1 \leq n \leq k-1\}$, then it is clear that k divides m_i . This fact will be used in the proof of Part (5) of Theorem 7 below.

Theorem 4. *Assume that f is uniformly recurrent with respect to the*

metric d . Then the following hold:

- (a) For any two distinct points x and y in X , $\limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} d(f^n(x), f^n(y)) \geq d(x, y) > 0$. In particular, f is one-to-one.
- (b) If, for any two points x and y in X , the number $d^*(x, y) = \limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} d(f^n(x), f^n(y))$ is finite, then the following hold:
- (1) (X, d^*) is a metric space.
 - (2) $d^*(x, y) \geq d(x, y)$ for all x and y in X .
 - (3) f is an isometry with respect to the metric d^* .
 - (4) f is also uniformly recurrent with respect to d^* .

Proof. Assume that f is uniformly recurrent. If there exist two distinct points x and y in X such that $\limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} d(f^n(x), f^n(y)) < d(x, y)$, then there exists a positive number $c < 1$ such that $\limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} d(f^n(x), f^n(y)) < cd(x, y)$. So, there is a positive integer m such that $d(f^n(x), f^n(y)) < cd(x, y)$ for all integers $n \geq m$. Since f is uniformly recurrent, there exists a positive integer $n > m$ such that $d(x, f^n(x)) < \frac{1-c}{4}d(x, y)$ and $d(y, f^n(y)) < \frac{1-c}{4}d(x, y)$. For this n , we have $d(x, y) \leq d(x, f^n(x)) + d(f^n(x), f^n(y)) + d(f^n(y), y) \leq \frac{1-c}{4}d(x, y) + cd(x, y) + \frac{1-c}{4}d(x, y) = \frac{1+c}{2}d(x, y) < d(x, y)$. This is a contradiction. Therefore, for any two distinct points x and y in X , $\limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} d(f^n(x), f^n(y)) \geq d(x, y)$.

If, for any two point x and y in X , the number $d^*(x, y) = \limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} d(f^n(x), f^n(y))$ is finite, then it is a routine job to check that d^* is a metric for X . We omit the details. On the other hand, it is clear that $d^*(f(x), f(y)) = \limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} d(f^n(f(x)), f^n(f(y))) = \limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} d(f^n(x), f^n(y)) = d^*(x, y)$. So f is an isometry with respect to d^* . Finally, if, for some positive integer k and some positive number ε , $d(x, f^k(x)) < \varepsilon$ for all $x \in X$, then it is clear that $d(f^n(x), f^{n+k}(x)) < \varepsilon$ for all integers $n \geq 0$. Consequently, $\limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} d(f^n(x), f^{n+k}(x)) \leq \varepsilon$. That is, $d^*(x, f^k(x)) \leq \varepsilon$. Therefore, the assertion that f is uniformly recurrent with respect to d^* clearly follows from the assumption that f is uniformly recurrent with respect to d .

Remark. In part (b) of the above result, f is an isometry on (X, d^*) . But in general it does not have a dense orbit in (X, d^*) even if it has one in (X, d) . However, if X is an infinite compact metric space, then an isometry

on X which has a dense orbit in X is clearly a homeomorphism on X and so a result of Halmos and von Neumann shows that this isometry is actually topologically conjugate to a minimal rotation on a compact abelian metric group. See [8, p. 125 Theorem 5.8] for details.

Theorem 5. *Assume that f is not uniformly recurrent with respect to the metric d . If f has a dense orbit $O_f(u)$, then, for some positive number δ , $O_f(u)$ is an asymptotically δ -expansive set of f . Consequently, f has asymptotically $\frac{\delta}{2}$ -sensitive dependence on initial conditions.*

Proof. Assume that the set $O_f(u)$ is not an asymptotically δ -expansive set of f . Then, for any positive number δ , there exist two positive integers $k_1 < k_2$ such that $\limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} d(f^n(u), f^{n+(k_2-k_1)}(u)) = \limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} d(f^n(f^{k_1}(u)), f^n(f^{k_2}(u))) < \delta$. So, let $\{\varepsilon_i\}$ be any strictly decreasing sequence of positive numbers with $\lim_{i \rightarrow \infty} \varepsilon_i = 0$. Then there exists a strictly increasing sequence $\{m_i\}$ of positive integers such that $\limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} d(f^n(u), f^{n+m_i}(u)) < \varepsilon_i$ for all positive integers i . By Lemma 1, $\limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} d(x, f^{m_i}(x)) < \varepsilon_i$. This shows that f is uniformly recurrent which contradicts the assumption. Therefore, $O_f(u)$ is a dense asymptotically δ -expansive set of f . By Lemma 3, f has asymptotically $\frac{\delta}{2}$ -sensitive dependence on initial conditions.

Let $x_0 \in X$. A preorbit of x_0 is any set consisting of points $x_0, x_{-1}, x_{-2}, \dots, x_{-n}, \dots$, in X such that $f(x_{-m}) = x_{-(m-1)}$ for all positive integers m . The following lemma follows easily from the definition of uniform recurrence.

Lemma 6. *Let $x_0 \in X$. If x_0 has both a preorbit P and a neighborhood which is disjoint from P , then f is not uniformly recurrent.*

If f is a one-to-one continuous map from a compact metric space X onto itself, then it is easy to see that f is actually a homeomorphism on X . Now let f be a homeomorphism on the (not necessarily compact) metric space X and let z be a fixed point of f . We say that z is an attracting fixed point of f if there exists an open neighborhood $N(z)$ of z such that $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} f^n(x) = z$ for every $x \in N(z)$. We say that z is a repelling fixed point of f if there exists an open neighborhood $U(z)$ of z such that $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} f^{-n}(x) = z$ for every $x \in U(z)$. We say that z is a saddle point of f if there exist two points

$x \neq z$ and $y \neq z$ such that $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} f^n(x) = z$ and $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} f^{-n}(y) = z$. If z is a periodic point of f with least period k , we say that z is an attracting (repelling, saddle respectively) point of f if z is an attracting (repelling, saddle respectively) fixed point of f^k . It is easy to see that if f has a dense orbit then f cannot have attracting or repelling periodic points (the repelling case was pointed to me by Professor V. S. Afraimovich).

Theorem 7. *Assume that f has a dense orbit. Then the following hold:*

- (1) *If f is not one-to-one, then f has asymptotically sensitive dependence on initial conditions.*
- (2) *If there exist a set U in X and a point $z \in U$ such that $f(U) \supset U$ and $f(z) \notin \bar{U}$, then f has asymptotically sensitive dependence on initial conditions.*
- (3) *Let $x_0 \in X$. Assume that x_0 has both a preorbit P and a neighborhood which is disjoint from P . Then f has asymptotically sensitive dependence on initial conditions.*
- (4) *Assume that f is also a homeomorphism on X . If f has a periodic point which is a saddle point, then f has asymptotically sensitive dependence on initial conditions.*
- (5) *If f has infinitely countably many periodic points $z_i, i \geq 1$ of different periods k_i and a positive number δ such that, for every positive integer i , $\min\{d(z_i, f^n(z_i)) \mid 1 \leq n \leq k_i - 1\} \geq \delta$, then f has asymptotically sensitive dependence on initial conditions.*

Proof. Part (1) follows from Theorem 4(a) and Theorem 5. Parts (2), (3), and (4) follow from Lemma 6 and Theorem 5. Part (5) follows easily from the remarks following the definition of uniform recurrence.

Assume that f has a dense orbit. If f has a non-recurrent point, then f is not uniformly recurrent. So, by Theorem 5 above, f has sensitive dependence on initial conditions (see also [5]). If we also have $f(X) = X$, then, for every positive integer k , f^k also has sensitive dependence on initial conditions. This is shown below.

Theorem 8. *Assume that f has a dense orbit $O_f(u)$ and a non-recur-*

rent point. If $f(X) = X$, then, for every positive integer k , f^k has asymptotically sensitive dependence on initial conditions.

Proof. Let v be a non-recurrent point of f . Then, since $f(X) = X$, let $\langle v_k \rangle$ be a sequence of points in X such that $v_0 = v$ and $f(v_k) = v_{k-1}$ for all positive integers k . For any integer $m \geq 0$, let $\beta_m = \inf\{d(v_m, f^n(v_m)) | n \geq 1\}$. For any positive integer k , let $\delta_k = \min\{\beta_m | 0 \leq m \leq k-1\}$. Since v is a non-recurrent point of f , so is v_m for every integer $m \geq 0$. Hence, $\beta_m > 0$ and so $\delta_k > 0$ for every positive integer k .

Now let k be a fixed positive integer. Let $0 \leq i < j$ be any two fixed integers and let ε be any positive number with $\varepsilon < \delta_k$. Since the orbit $O_f(u)$ is dense in X , there exists a strictly increasing sequence $\langle n_s \rangle$ of positive integers such that, for every such n_s , there is an integer m (depending on n_s) with $0 \leq m \leq k-1$ such that $f^{kn_s+i}(u)$ is so close to v_m that $d(f^{kn_s+i}(u), v_m) < \frac{\varepsilon}{2}$ and $d(f^{kn_s+j}(u), f^{j-i}(v_m)) = d(f^{j-i}(f^{kn_s+i}(u)), f^{j-i}(v_m)) < \frac{\varepsilon}{2}$. Thus, $d(f^{kn_s+i}(u), f^{kn_s+j}(u)) \geq d(v_m, f^{j-i}(v_m)) - d(f^{kn_s+i}(u), v_m) - d(f^{kn_s+j}(u), f^{j-i}(v_m)) > \beta_m - \frac{\varepsilon}{2} - \frac{\varepsilon}{2} \geq \delta_k - \varepsilon$. Since $\varepsilon > 0$ is arbitrary, we obtain that $\limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} d(f^{nk+i}(u), f^{nk+j}(u)) \geq \delta_k$. Since $0 \leq i < j$ are arbitrary, we see that the dense set $O_f(u)$ is an asymptotically δ_k -expansive set of f^k .

Now assume that f is topologically transitive and the set of all periodic points of f is dense in X . It is shown in [1,7] that f has sensitive dependence on initial conditions. In fact, more can be said. In the following, we show that, for every positive integer k , f^k has sensitive dependence on initial conditions.

Theorem 9. *Assume that f is topologically transitive and the set of all periodic points of f is dense in X . Then, for every positive integer k , f^k has asymptotically sensitive dependence on initial conditions.*

Proof. Let k be any positive integer and let $\alpha_k = \sup\{\inf\{d(f^i(y), f^i(z)) | 0 \leq i, 0 \leq j \leq k-1\}\}$, where the supreme is taken over all $y \neq z$ in X . Then it is clear that $\alpha_k > 0$. Let δ_k be any fixed positive number satisfying $\delta_k < \alpha_k$ and let V be any nonempty open set in X . Then, since $\delta_k < \alpha_k$, there exist points $y \neq z$ in X such that $\delta_k < \inf\{d(f^i(y), f^j(z)) | 0 \leq i,$

$0 \leq j \leq k - 1$. Let $\beta_k = \inf\{d(f^i(y), f^j(z)) \mid 0 \leq i, 0 \leq j \leq k - 1\}$ and let ε be any positive number with $\varepsilon < \frac{1}{2}(\beta_k - \delta_k)$. Let W be any nonempty open neighborhood of z such that if $x \in W$ then $d(f^t(x), f^t(z)) < \varepsilon$ for all $0 \leq t \leq k - 1$. Since f is topologically transitive, there exists a positive integer i such that $f^i(V) \cap W \neq \emptyset$. In particular, since the set of periodic points of f is dense in X , there exists a periodic point v_1 of f in V with least period r such that $f^i(v_1) \in W$ and so $d(f^{i+t}(v_1), f^t(z)) < \varepsilon$ for all $0 \leq t \leq k - 1$. Similarly, there exist a positive integer j and a periodic point v_2 of f in V such that $d(f^{j+t}(v_2), f^t(y)) < \varepsilon$ for all $0 \leq t \leq r + k - 1$.

Let $A_k = \{x \in X \mid d(x, f^t(y)) < \varepsilon \text{ for some integer } 0 \leq t \leq k - 1\}$ and let $B_k = \{x \in X \mid d(x, f^t(y)) < \varepsilon \text{ for some integer } 0 \leq t \leq r + k - 1\}$. Also let $C_k = \{x \in X \mid d(x, f^t(z)) < \varepsilon \text{ for some integer } 0 \leq t \leq k - 1\}$. Then it is clear that, for any $u \in B_k$ and any $w \in C_k$, we have $d(u, w) \geq \beta_k - 2\varepsilon$. Since v_2 is periodic, it is obvious that there exists a strictly increasing sequence $\langle m_s \rangle$ of positive integers such that $f^{km_s}(v_2) \in A_k$ for all positive integers m_s . For every such positive integer m_s , there exists, since v_1 is also periodic, an integer n_s in the interval $[m_s, m_s + \frac{r}{k}]$ such that $f^{kn_s}(v_1) \in C_k$. Since, for every such positive integer n_s , we also have $f^{kn_s}(v_2) \in B_k$, we obtain that $d(f^{kn_s}(v_1), f^{kn_s}(v_2)) \geq \beta_k - 2\varepsilon > \delta_k$. This shows that

$$d((f^k)^m(v_1), (f^k)^m(v_2)) > \delta_k \text{ for infinitely many positive integers } m.$$

Consequently, f^k has asymptotically $\frac{\delta_k}{2}$ -sensitive dependence on initial conditions.

Remarks.

- (1) If $k = 1$, then the above implies that, for any positive number $\delta < \frac{\alpha_1}{2}$, where α_1 is defined as in the above proof, f has asymptotically δ -sensitive dependence on initial conditions. This sensitivity constant δ is better than those found in [1] and [7].
- (2) By taking y and z to be periodic points of f with disjoint orbits and letting $\alpha = \inf\{\alpha_k \mid 1 \leq k\}$, where α_k 's are defined as in the above proof, we obtain that $\alpha > 0$. Therefore, if δ is any fixed positive number which is strictly less than $\frac{\alpha}{2}$, then, for every positive integer k , f^k has asymptotically δ -sensitive dependence on initial conditions.

Acknowledgement. I would like to thank John Banks for many suggestions which led to an improvement of this paper and for bringing the paper [3] to my attention.

References

1. J. Banks, J. Brooks, G. Cairns, G. Davis and P. Stacey, *On Devaney's definition of chaos*, American Mathematical Monthly **99** (1992), 332-334.
2. R. L. Devaney, *An introduction to chaotic dynamical systems, second edition*, Addison-Wesley, Menlo Park, California, 1989.
3. E. Glasner and B. Weiss, *Sensitive dependence on initial conditions*, Nonlinearity **6** (1993), 1067-1075.
4. D. Gulick, *Encounter with chaos*, McGraw-Hill, New York, 1992.
5. Y. Hu and J. Ruan, *A note on Devaney's definition of chaos (in Chinese)*, J. Fudan Univ. (Natural Science) **34** (1995), 127-131.
6. C. Knudsen, *Chaos without nonperiodicity*, American Mathematical Monthly **101** (1994), 563-565.
7. S. Silverman, *On maps with dense orbits and the definition of chaos*, Rocky Mount. J. Math. **22** (1992), 353-375.
8. P. Walters, *Ergodic theory-introductory lectures*, Lecture Notes in Math. Vol.458, Springer-Verlag, Berlin, New York, 1975.

Institute of Mathematics, Academia Sinica, Taipei, Taiwan 11529. Republic of China.
E-Mail Address: mabsdu@sinica.edu.tw