## GENERALIZED HENSTOCK STIELTJES INTEGRAL

BY

## A. G. DAS, MAHADEV CHANDRA NATH and GOKUL SAHU

**Abstract.** The work concerns with the introduction of a generalized Henstock Stieltjes integral, the  $HS_k$ -integral, that generalizes the concept of the  $RS_k^*$ -integral of Ray and Das [9]. The new integral includes the generalized Lebesgue Stieltjes, the  $LS_k$ -integral of Bhattacharyya and Das [1].

1. Introduction. In [9] Ray and Das introduce a new definition of the  $RS_k^*$ -integral of Russell [12] which they call the  $RS_k^*$ -integral. It is shown that if f is bounded and g is k-convex on [a,b] with  $g_+^{(k-1)}(a)$  and  $g_-^{(k-1)}(b)$  existing, then

$$(1) (RS_k^*) \subset (RS_k^*) \subset (LS_k)$$

where (I) stands for the class of I-integrable functions on [a,b].

We introduce here a definition of a generalized Henstock Stieltjes integral, which we call the  $HS_k$ -integral. The proposed integral includes the  $LS_k$ -integral of Bhattacharyya and Das [1] and generalizes the integrals of Pfeffer [8] in some sense or other.

For notations and definitions not produced here we refer to Russell [11, 12], Bhattacharyya and Das [1,2], and Ray and Das [9]. However, we recall certain characteristics of  $BV_k$  and k-convex functions and also the definition of the  $\mathcal{R}S_k^*$ -integral of Ray and Das [9].

Let a, b be fixed real numbers such that a < b. Let k be a positive

Received by the editors June 23, 1994 and in revised form December 17, 1996. 1991 AMS Subject Classification: 26A39.

Key Words:  $RS_k^*$ -integral,  $LS_k$ -integral,  $HS_k$ -integral.

integer greater 1. Let g be of bounded k-th variation on [a,b]. Following Theorems 15 and 19 of Russell [11],  $g=g_1-g_2$ , where  $g_1$  and  $g_2$  are k-convex on [a,b] and  $D_+^{k-1}g_i(a)$ ,  $D_-^{k-1}g_i(b)$  exist for each i=1,2. In view of Corollary to Theorem 17 of Russell [11] and Theorem 2 of Russell [12] we can, without loss of generality, assume the function g to be k-convex on [a,b] with  $D_+^{k-1}g(a)$  and  $D_-^{(k-1)}g(b)$  existing. Utilising Corollary to Theorem 17 of Russell [11] and Lemma 3.2 of Das and Das [3], it follows that  $D^rg(x)$  are continuous in [a,b],  $1 \le r \le k-2$ , for  $k \ge 3$  and  $D^{k-1}g(x)$  exists in [a,b] except for a countable set of points. Also it is shown in the proof of Lemma 3.2 of Das and Das [3] that if a < x < y < b, then

(2) 
$$D_{+}^{k-1}g(a) \leq D_{-}^{k-1}g(x) \leq D_{+}^{k-1}g(x)$$
$$\leq D_{-}^{k-1}g(y) \leq D_{+}^{k-1}g(y) \leq D_{-}^{k-1}g(b).$$

This shows that  $D_{-}^{k-1}g(x)$ ,  $D_{+}^{k-1}g(x)$  are monotonic non-decreasing respectively in (a,b], [a,b) and so are continuous in [a,b] except possibly for a countable set of points. The existence of  $D^{k-1}g(x)$  follows at each point of continuity of either sided derivatives. In view of Theorem 12 of Russell [11] and Theorem 1(ii) of Verblunsky [15] the (k-1)th Riemann\* derivatives (unilateral and bilateral) can be replaced by the corresponding (k-1)th ordinary derivatives. We shall denote by C the subset of [a,b] where  $g^{(k-1)}(x)$  exists and by D the set  $[a,b] \setminus C$ .

**Definition 1.1.** (cf. Definition 2.2 of [9]). Let f and g be defined on [a,b] and let g be k-convex on [a,b] and  $g_+^{(k-1)}(a)$ ,  $g_\pm^{(k-1)}(b)$  exist. For any partition  $P = \{a = x_0 < x_1 < \cdots < x_q = b\}$ , we write gk-mesh  $(P) = \max_{1 \le j \le q} [g_-^{(k-1)}(x_j) - g_+^{(k-1)}(x_{j-1})]$  and

$$S_1(P, f, g) = \sum_{j=1}^q f(x_j) [g_+^{(k-1)}(x_j) - g_-^{(k-1)}(x_j)] / (k-1)!$$

$$+ \sum_{j=1}^q f(\xi_j) [g_-^{(k-1)}(x_j) - g_+^{(k-1)}(x_{j-1})] / (k-1)!$$

where  $\xi_j \in (x_{j-1}, x_j), j = 1, 2, \dots, q$ .

The  $\mathcal{R}S_k^*$ -integral  $\int_a^b f(x) \frac{d^k g(x)}{dx^{k-1}}$ , written as  $(\mathcal{R}S_k^*) \int_a^b f(x) \frac{d^k g(x)}{dx^{k-1}}$  is the real number I, if it exists uniquely, and if for each  $\epsilon > 0$ , there corresponds a real number  $\delta(\epsilon)$  such that for any partition P of [a,b] with gk-mesh  $(P) < \delta$ , the inequality

$$|S_1(P, f, g) - I| < \epsilon$$

is satisfied. If the integral exists, then f is said to be  $\mathcal{R}S_k^*$ -integrable with respect to g, written as  $(f,g) \in \mathcal{R}S_k^*[a,b]$ .

Bhattacharyya and Das [1] obtain the following result.

**Theorem 1.2.** Let f be bounded on [a,b] and g be k-convex on [a,b] with  $g_+^{(k-1)}(a)$ ,  $g_-^{(k-1)}(b)$  existing.

- (1) If  $(f,g) \in RS_k^*[a,b]$ , then  $(f,g) \in LS_k[a,b]$  and the two integrals agree.
- (2)  $(f,g) \in RS_k^*[a,b]$  if and only if f is continuous in [a,b] except a set of gk-measure zero.

It is further shown by an example in [1] that  $(f,g) \notin RS_k^*[a,b]$  if the interval [a,b] contains any point  $x_0$  in its interior such that f is discontinuous at  $x_0$  and  $g_-^{(k-1)}(x_0)$  does not exist.

Ray and Das ([9], Theorem 2.3) obtain the following:

**Theorem 1.3.** Let f be bounded, g be k-convex on [a,b] and  $g_+^{(k-1)}(a)$ ,  $g_-^{(k-1)}(b)$  exist. If  $(f,g) \in \mathcal{RS}_k^*[a,b]$ , then  $(f,g) \in \mathcal{LS}_k[a,b]$  and

$$(\mathcal{R}S_k^*) \int_a^b f(x) \frac{d^k g(x)}{dx^{k-1}} = (LS_k) \int_a^b f(x) \frac{d^k g(x)}{dx^{k-1}}.$$

Further  $(f,g) \in \mathcal{R}S_k^*[a,b]$  if and only if f is continuous on C except on a set of gk-measure zero.

Immediately they remark that if  $f \in BV[a,b]$  and  $g \in BV_k[a,b]$ , then  $(f,g) \in \mathcal{R}S_k^*[a,b]$ .

Finally the authors ascertain by various examples that  $(RS_k^*)$  is a proper subclass of  $(RS_k^*)$  and that  $(RS_k^*)$  is a proper subclass of  $(LS_k)$  so as to obtain the chain (1).

Henceforth we shall assume g to be k-convex on [a,b] and  $g_{+}^{(k-1)}(a)$ ,  $g_{\pm}^{(k-1)}(b)$  exist.

# 2. The $HS_k$ integral.

**Definition 2.1.** Let f be defined on [a,b]. A partition of [a,b] is a set  $P = \{x_0, x_1, \ldots, x_q; \xi_1, \xi_2, \ldots, \xi_q\}$  such that  $a = x_0 < x_1 < \cdots < x_q = b$  and  $x_{j-1} \le \xi_j \le x_j$ ,  $j = 1, 2, \ldots, q$ . For a given positive function  $\delta$  on [a,b], we say P is  $\delta(gk)$ -fine whenever  $g_-^{(k-1)}(x_j) - g_+^{(k-1)}(x_{j-1}) < \delta(\xi_j)$  for all  $j = 1, 2, \ldots, q$ . Write

$$S(P, f, g) = \sum_{j=1}^{q} f(x_j) [g_+^{(k-1)}(x_j) - g_-^{(k-1)}(x_j)] / (k-1)!$$

$$+ \sum_{j=1}^{q} f(\xi_j) [g_-^{(k-1)}(x_j) - g_+^{(k-1)}(x_{j-1})] / (k-1)!$$

$$= \sum_{j=1}^{q} T_j'(P, x_j) + \sum_{j=1}^{q} T_j''(P, x_{j-1}, x_j)$$

$$= \sum_{j=1}^{q} T_j(P, x_{j-1}, x_j; \xi_j)$$

where

$$T'_{j}(P, x_{j}) = f(x_{j})[g_{+}^{(k-1)}(x_{j}) - g_{-}^{(k-1)}(x_{j})]/(k-1)!$$
$$= f(x_{j})|\{x_{j}\}|_{qk},$$

$$T_j''(P, x_{j-1}, x_j) = f(\xi_j) [g_-^{(k-1)}(x_j) - g_+^{(k-1)}(x_{j-1})] / (k-1)!$$
  
=  $f(\xi_j) |(x_{j-1}, x_j)|_{gk}$ ,

and

$$T_j(P, x_{j-1}, x_j; \xi_j) = T'_j(P, x_j) + T''_j(P, x_{j-1}, x_j).$$

The  $H\!S_k$ -integral of f with respect to g, written as  $(H\!S_k) \int_a^b f(x) \frac{d^k g(x)}{dx^{k-1}}$  is the real number I if for every  $\epsilon > 0$  there is a positive function  $\delta$  on [a, b] such that for every  $\delta(gk)$ -fine partition P of [a, b], the inequality

$$|S(P, f, g) - I| < \epsilon$$

is satisfied. If the  $HS_k$ -integral exists we write  $(f,g) \in HS_k[a,b]$  and

$$I = (HS_k) \int_a^b f(x) \frac{d^k g(x)}{dx^{k-1}}.$$

The above definition of the  $H\!S_k$ -integral differs from that of the  $\mathcal{R}S_k^*$ -integral in the same way as Henstock integral does from Riemann integral. The gk-mesh of a partition is nolonger constant but varies from point to point and for getting partitions we choose first the points  $\xi_1, \xi_2, \ldots, \xi_q$  then  $x_0, x_1, \ldots, x_q$  whereas in case of the  $\mathcal{R}S_k^*$ -integral we are to choose first  $x_0, x_1, \ldots, x_q$  then  $\xi_1, \xi_2, \ldots, \xi_q$ . The point  $\xi_j$  is called the associated point of  $[x_{j-1}, x_j]$ , and  $x_j, j = 1, 2, \ldots, q$ , the partition points. For brevity we write  $P = \{[u, v]; \xi\}$  where [u, v] denotes a typical interval in P and  $\xi$  is the associated point of [u, v]. Further

$$S(P, f, g) = \sum T'(P, v) + \sum T''(P, u, v)$$
$$= \sum T(P, u, v; \xi).$$

**Lemma 2.2.** To each positive function  $\delta$  on [a,b] there exists a partition  $P = \{x_0, x_1, \dots, x_q; \xi_1, \xi_2, \dots, \xi_q\}$  of [a,b] such that for all  $j = 1, 2, \dots, q$ 

- $(i) x_{j-1} \le \xi_j \le x_j,$
- (ii)  $g_{-}^{(k-1)}(x_j) g_{+}^{(k-1)}(x_{j-1}) < \delta(\xi_j),$
- (iii)  $g_+^{(k-1)}(x) g_-^{(k-1)}(x) < \delta(\xi_j) \text{ for } x \in (x_{j-1}, x_j).$

The proof is omitted (cf. Theorem 2.14 below).

**Theorem 2.3.** If  $(f,g) \in \mathcal{R}S_k^*[a,b]$ , then  $(f,g) \in HS_k[a,b]$  and

$$(H\!S_k)\int_a^b f(x)\frac{d^kg(x)}{dx^{k-1}}=(\mathcal{R}S_k^*)\int_a^b f(x)\frac{d^kg(x)}{dx^{k-1}}.$$

*Proof.* To each  $\epsilon > 0$  there corresponds a real number  $\delta(\epsilon) > 0$  such that for any partition P of [a,b] with gk-mesh  $(P) < \delta$ , the inequality

$$\left| S_1(P, f, g) - (\mathcal{R}S_k^*) \int_a^b f(x) \frac{d^k g(x)}{dx^{k-1}} \right| < \epsilon$$

is satisfied, where  $S_1(P, f, g)$  is as in Definition 1.1.

Define a positive function  $\delta(\xi)$  on [a,b] such that  $\delta(\xi) = \delta$  for all  $\xi \in [a,b]$ . Clearly every  $\delta(gk)$ -fine partition P of [a,b] is also a partition P of gk-mesh  $(P) < \delta$ . Consequently every  $H\!S_k$  sum, S(P,f,g), is a  $R\!S_k^*$  sum,  $S_1(P,f,g)$ , and the theorem is proved.

The converse of the above theorem is not true is shown by the following example.

**Example 2.4.** Let f and g be defined on [0,1] by

$$f(x) = 1$$
 if  $x$  is rational 
$$= 0$$
 if  $x$  is irrational; 
$$g(x) = x^k/2k \text{ for } 0 \le x \le \frac{1}{2}$$
$$= x^k/k \text{ for } \frac{1}{2} < x \le 1.$$

It is shown in Example 2.2 of Ray and Das [9] that  $(f,g) \notin \mathcal{R}S_k^*[\alpha,\beta]$ ,  $0 \le \alpha < \beta \le 1$ . Given  $\epsilon > 0$  we label all the rational numbers in [0,1] as  $r_1, r_2, \ldots$  and define  $\delta(r_j) = \epsilon/2^j$  for  $j = 1, 2, \ldots$  and  $\delta(\xi) = 1$  otherwise. Then clearly  $\xi = \frac{1}{2}$  is always a partition point of any  $\delta(gk)$ -fine partition P of [a, b] and  $(f, g) \in H\!S_k[\alpha, \beta]$  for every  $[\alpha, \beta] \subset [0, 1)$ . Infact,

$$(HS_k) \int_{\alpha}^{\beta} f(x) \frac{d^k g(x)}{dx^{k-1}} = 0 \quad \text{if } 0 \le \alpha < \beta < \frac{1}{2}$$

$$= \frac{1}{2} \quad \text{if } 0 \le \alpha < \beta = \frac{1}{2}$$

$$= \frac{1}{2} \quad \text{if } 0 \le \alpha < \frac{1}{2} < \beta < 1$$

$$= 0 \quad \text{if } \frac{1}{2} < \alpha < \beta < 1.$$

(Ray and Das [9], however, consider  $g(x) = x^k/k$  for x in [0,1]. In that case the  $H\!S_k$ -integral equals 0 for each  $[\alpha,\beta] \subset [0,1)$ ). Indeed  $(f,g) \in H\!S_k[\alpha,\beta]$ ,  $0 \le \alpha < \beta \le 1$  for any k-convex functions with  $g_+^{(k-1)}(0)$ ,

 $g_{\pm}^{(k-1)}(1)$  existing. If D is the set of points of non-existence of  $g^{(k-1)}(x)$  in [0,1], then in view of Lemma 2.1 of Bhattacharyya and Das [2] the series

$$\sum_{x \in D \cap (0,1)} [g_+^{(k-1)}(x) - g_-^{(k-1)}(x)]/(k-1)!$$

converges to  $\lambda$ ,  $0 \leq \lambda \leq [g_{-}^{(k-1)}(1) - g_{+}^{(k-1)}(0)]/(k-1)!$ . Clearly for the function f in Example 2.4, the  $H\!S_k$ -integral is 0,  $\lambda$  or  $\mu$  (0  $< \mu < \lambda$ ) according as D contains no rational point (or D is empty), D contains an infinite number of rational points or D contains only a finite number of rational points in [0,1].

Following Henstock [5], Lee [7] standard properties of the  $HS_k$ -integral are immediate. We simply state them below for completeness.

**Theorem 2.5.** (a) If  $(f_i, g) \in HS_k[a, b]$ , i = 1, 2, ..., n and  $\lambda_1, \lambda_2, ..., \lambda_n$  are real numbers, then

$$\left(\sum_{i=1}^{n} \lambda_{i} f_{i}, g\right) \in HS_{k}[a, b]$$

and

$$(H\!S_k) \int_a^b \Big(\sum_{i=1}^n \lambda_i f_i\Big)(x) \frac{d^k g(x)}{dx^{k-1}} = \sum_{i=1}^n \lambda_i (H\!S_k) \int_a^b f_i(x) \frac{d^k g(x)}{dx^{k-1}}.$$

(b) If  $(f, g_i) \in HS_k[a, b]$ , i = 1, 2, ..., n and  $\mu_1, \mu_2, ..., \mu_n$  are real numbers, then  $(f, \sum_{i=1}^n \mu_i g_i) \in HS_k[a, b]$  and

$$(H\!S_k) \int_a^b f(x) \frac{d^k \left(\sum_{i=1}^n \mu_i g_i\right)(x)}{dx^{k-1}} = \sum_{i=1}^n \mu_i (H\!S_k) \int_a^b f(x) \frac{d^k g_i(x)}{dx^{k-1}}.$$

**Theorem 2.6.** If  $(f,g) \in H\!S_k[a,c]$  and  $(f,g) \in H\!S_k[c,b]$  where a < c < b, then  $(f,g) \in H\!S_k[a,b]$  and

$$(H\!S_k) \int_a^b f(x) \frac{d^k g(x)}{dx^{k-1}} = (H\!S_k) \int_a^c f(x) \frac{d^k g(x)}{dx^{k-1}} + (H\!S_k) \int_c^b f(x) \frac{d^k g(x)}{dx^{k-1}}.$$

**Theorem 2.7.** (Cauchy test).  $(f,g) \in HS_k[a,b]$  if and only if for every  $\epsilon > 0$ , there is a  $\delta(\xi) > 0$  such that for any two  $\delta(gk)$ -fine partitions P and

P', the inequality

$$|S(P, f, g) - S(P', f, g)| < \epsilon$$

holds.

**Theorem 2.8.** If  $(f,g) \in HS_k[a,b]$ , then  $(f,g) \in HS_k[c,d]$  for each  $[c,d] \subset [a,b]$ .

**Theorem 2.9.** If f(x) = 0 gk-almost everywhere in [a,b], then  $(f,g) \in HS_k[a,b]$  and  $(HS_k) \int_a^b f(x) \frac{d^k g(x)}{dx^{k-1}} = 0$ .

**Theorem 2.10.** If  $(f,g) \in HS_k[a,b]$ ,  $(\Psi,g) \in HS_k[a,b]$  and  $f(x) \leq \Psi(x)$  gk-almost everywhere in [a,b], then

$$(H\!S_k) \int_a^b f(x) \frac{d^k g(x)}{dx^{k-1}} \le (H\!S_k) \int_a^b \Psi(x) \frac{d^k g(x)}{dx^{k-1}}.$$

In view of Theorem 2.8, we see that if  $(f,g) \in HS_k[a,b]$ , then  $(f,g) \in HS_k[a,x]$  for every  $x \in (a,b]$ . We define the  $HS_k$  primitive F of f on [a,b] by

$$F(x) = (HS_k) \int_a^x f(t) \frac{d^k g(t)}{dt^{k-1}}$$
 if  $a < x \le b$   
= 0 if  $x = a$ 

Hence if  $(f,g) \in (HS_k)[a,b]$ , then there exists a function F on [a,b] such that for every  $\epsilon > 0$  there is a  $\delta(\xi) > 0$  such that for every  $\delta(gk)$ -fine partition  $P = \{[u,v];\xi\}$  of [a,b] the inequality

(3) 
$$\left| (P) \sum_{v} [F(v) - F(u) - T(P, u, v; \xi)] \right| < \epsilon$$

holds.

**Theorem 2.11.** (Saks-Henstock Lemma). If  $(f,g) \in HS_k[a,b]$ , then there is a function F on [a,b] such that for every  $\epsilon > 0$  there is a positive function  $\delta$  on [a,b] such that for every  $\delta(gk)$ -fine partition  $P = \{[u,v];\xi\}$  of [a,b]

$$(P) \sum |F(v) - F(u) - T(P, u, v; \xi)| < 4\epsilon.$$

The following Cauchy extension formula can be proved similarly as in Ray [10].

**Theorem 2.12** Let  $(f,g) \in HS_k[a,d]$  for each  $d \in (a,b)$ . If

$$\lim_{d \to b} (HS_k) \int_a^d f(x) \frac{d^k g(x)}{dx^{k-1}}$$

exists and equals h, then  $(f,g) \in HS_k[a,b]$  and

$$(H\!S_k) \int_a^b f(x) \frac{d^k g(x)}{dx^{k-1}} = h + f(b) [g_+^{(k-1)}(b) - g_-^{(k-1)}(b)].$$

We conclude the section providing certain observations.

Observation 2.13. Given an arbitrary function  $\delta > 0$  (independent of the notion of integration) in [a, b], there always exists, in view of Lemma 2.2, a  $\delta(gk)$ -fine partition  $P = \{a = x_0 < x_1 \cdots < x_q = b; \xi_1, \xi_2, \ldots, \xi_q\}$  of [a, b]. If  $x_{j-1} < \xi_j < x_j$  for some j, we can replace  $[x_{j-1}, x_j]$  by two intervals  $[x_{j-1}, \xi_j]$  and  $[\xi_j, x_j]$ , so that  $\xi_j$  would be a partition point and this will not change the sum S(P, f, g) in Definition 2.1. In fact,

$$T_{j}(P, x_{j-1}, x_{j}; \xi_{j})$$

$$= f(\xi_{j})[g_{-}^{(k-1)}(x_{j}) - g_{+}^{(k-1)}(x_{j-1})]/(k-1)!$$

$$+ f(x_{j})[g_{+}^{(k-1)}(x_{j}) - g_{-}^{(k-1)}(x_{j})]/(k-1)!$$

$$= \left\{ f(\xi_{j})[g_{-}^{(k-1)}(\xi_{j}) - g_{+}^{(k-1)}(x_{j-1})]/(k-1)! + f(\xi_{j})[g_{-}^{(k-1)}(\xi_{j}) - g_{-}^{(k-1)}(\xi_{j})]/(k-1)! \right\}$$

$$+ \left\{ f(\xi_{j})[g_{-}^{(k-1)}(x_{j}) - g_{+}^{(k-1)}(\xi_{j})]/(k-1)! + f(x_{j})[g_{+}^{(k-1)}(x_{j}) - g_{-}^{(k-1)}(x_{j})]/(k-1)! \right\}$$

and so

(4) 
$$T_j(P, x_{j-1}, x_j; \xi_j) = T_j(P, x_{j-1}, \xi_j; \xi_j) + T_j(P, \xi_j, x_j; \xi_j)$$

Ray [10] obtains a definition of  $HS_k^*$ -integral with the approximating sum

$$S^*(P, f, g) = \sum_{j=1}^{q} T_j''(P, x_{j-1}, x_j)$$

$$= \sum_{j=1}^{q} f(\xi_j) [g_-^{(k-1)}(x_j) - g_+^{(k-1)}(x_{j-1})] / (k-1)!,$$

and shows that if  $(f,g) \in \mathcal{RS}_k^*[a,b]$ , then  $(f,g) \in H\!S_k^*[a,b]$ . Further

$$(\mathcal{R}S_k^*) \int_a^b f(x) \frac{d^k g(x)}{dx^{k-1}} = (HS_k^*) \int_a^b f(x) \frac{d^k g(x)}{dx^{k-1}} + A,$$

where

$$A = \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} f(x_j) [g_+^{(k-1)}(x_j) - g_-^{(k-1)}(x_j)] / (k-1)!, \quad x_j \in D.$$

The accomodation of the additive term  $\sum_{j=1}^{q} T'_{j}(P, x_{j})$  in the approximating sum of this article

$$S(P, f, g) = \sum_{j=1}^{q} T_j(P, x_{j-1}, x_j; \xi_j) = \sum_{j=1}^{q} T_j' + \sum_{j=1}^{q} T_j''$$

gives the Theorem 2.3 providing the equality of the two integrals. (See also Theorem 3.2).

The consideration of  $\delta(gk)$ -fine partition arises as an influence of such partition in the definition of the  $\mathcal{R}S_k^*$ -integral. The  $\mathcal{R}S_k^*$ -integral is not an ordinary Riemann-Stieltjes integral because of the gk-mesh replacing the mere length of each subinterval. In view of additive nature of  $T_j(P, x_{j-1}, x_j; \xi_j)$  (See relation (4)) we can always assume an associated point to be a partition point. So one could develop the theory with left-hand or right-hand interval-point function, basically giving the same integral. That a  $\delta(gk)$ -fine partition induces some  $\delta^*$ -fine partition and vise-versa; and that the  $HS_k$ -integral become exactly the gauge integral, originated independently in Henstock [4] and Kurzweil [6], are shown by the following theorem.

The authors are thankful to the referee for the suggestion of the following theorem along with the proof.

**Theorem 2.14.** The  $H\!S_k$ -integral is the gauge integral (Henstock-Kurzweil integral) induced by the k-convex function g.

Proof. In view of relation (2) and subsequent discussion thereat, it follows that for u fixed and  $v \to u+$ , and for v fixed and  $u \to v-$ ,  $g_-^{(k-1)}(v)-g_+^{(k-1)}(u) \to 0$ . Since g is k-convex we have  $g_-^{(k-1)}(v)-g_+^{(k-1)}(u) \geq 0$ . So given  $\delta(x)>0$ , there is a  $\delta^*(x)>0$  such that if  $v-u<\delta^*(x)$ , x=u or x=v, then  $g_-^{(k-1)}(v)-g_+^{(k-1)}(u)<\delta(x)$ . Thus a  $\delta^*$ -fine partition is a  $\delta(gk)$ -fine partition.

Conversely, given  $\delta^*(x) > 0$ , we look for a suitable  $\delta(x) > 0$ . When x = u there is a greatest  $s \ge u$  for which  $\lim_{v \to s+} \{g_-^{(k-1)}(v) - g_+^{(k-1)}(u)\} = 0$ . If s > u then  $g_-^{(k-1)}(v) - g_+^{(k-1)}(u) = 0$  for  $u \le v \le s$  and in [v,s] we can use any partition, the contribution to the sum being 0. If s = u we take  $\delta(x) > 0$  arbitrarily small so that  $g_-^{(k-1)}(v) - g_+^{(k-1)}(u)$  is small enough to give  $v - u < \delta^*(x)$ . Similarly for x = v and thus a suitable  $\delta(x) > 0$  follows.

This proves the theorem.

**Remark 2.15.** Following Theorem 2.14 we can define the  $H\!S_k$ -integral equivalently as follows:

Let f be defined on [a,b] and g be k-convex on [a,b] with  $g_+^{(k-1)}(a)$ ,  $g_\pm^{(k-1)}(b)$  existing. The  $H\!S_k$ -integral of f with respect to g is the real number I if for every arbitrary  $\epsilon > 0$  there is a positive number  $\delta$ , called a gauge, on [a,b] such that for every  $\delta$ -fine partition  $P = \{a = x_0, x_1, \ldots, x_q = b; \xi_1, \xi_2, \ldots, \xi_q\}, \, \xi_j \in [x_{j-1}, x_j] \subset (\xi_j - \delta(\xi_j), \xi_j + \delta(\xi_j)), \, \text{of } [a,b], \, \text{the inequality}$ 

$$\left| \left\{ \sum_{j=1}^{q} f(x_j) [g_+^{(k-1)}(x_j) - g_-^{(k-1)}(x_j)] / (k-1)! \right. \right. \\ \left. + \sum_{j=1}^{q} f(\xi_j) [g_-^{(k-1)}(x_j) - g_+^{(k-1)}(x_{j-1})] / (k-1)! \right\} - I \right| < \epsilon$$

holds. If the integral exists we write  $(f,g) \in HS_k[a,b]$  and

$$I = (HS_k) \int_a^b f(x) \frac{d^k g(x)}{dx^{k-1}}.$$

We define  $S, T_j, T'_j, T''_j$  as in Definition 2.1 so that the above inequality can equivalently be written as

$$|S(P, f, g) - I| < \epsilon$$
 or,  $\left| \sum_{j=1}^{q} T_j(P, x_{j-1}, x_j; \xi_j) - I \right| < \epsilon$ 

or equivalently

$$\left| \sum_{j=1}^{q} T'_{j}(P, x_{j}) + \sum_{j=1}^{q} T''_{j}(P, x_{j-1}, x_{j}) - I \right| < \epsilon.$$

Saks-Henstock Lemma (Theorem 2.11) and Cauchy's extension theorem (Theorem 2.12) are then immediate.

3. The  $HS_k$ -integral includes the  $LS_k$ -integral. We show that the  $LS_k$ -integral is included in the  $HS_k$ -integral and the two integrals agree. To this end, we state a lemma on  $LS_k$ -integrability whose proof follows from that of Theorem 7.2 of Saks ([14], p.73) utilising gk-measurability and linearity of the  $LS_k$ -integrals. (For gk-measurability and  $LS_k$ -integrability we refer Bhattacharyya and Das [1])

**Lemma 3.1.** If  $(f,g) \in LS_k[a,b]$ , then there exists for each  $\epsilon > 0$ , a lower semi-continuous function h and an upper semi-continuous function  $\phi$  in [a,b] such that

$$h(x) \ge f(x), \quad \phi(x) \le f(x) \quad at \ each \ x \in [a, b]$$

and

$$\begin{split} (LS_k) & \int_a^b \{h(x) - f(x)\} \frac{d^k g(x)}{dx^{k-1}} < \epsilon/3, \\ (LS_k) & \int_a^b \{f(x) - \phi(x)\} \frac{d^k g(x)}{dx^{k-1}} < \epsilon/3. \end{split}$$

In fact, Theorem 7.2 of Saks [14] proves the result for non-negative function f. It is sufficient to consider  $f = f^+ + f^-$  where

$$f^{+} = \max(f, 0)$$
 and  $f^{-} = \max(-f, 0)$ 

in case of function of arbitrary sign.

**Theorem 3.2.** If  $(f,g) \in LS_k[a,b]$ , then  $(f,g) \in HS_k[a,b]$  and the two integrals agree.

*Proof.* To each  $\epsilon > 0$  arbitrary there exist, in view of Lemma 3.1, a lower semi-continuous function h an upper semi-continuous function  $\phi$  in [a,b] such that

$$-\infty \le \phi \le f \le h \le +\infty$$

and

$$(LS_k) \int_a^b \{h(x) - \phi(x)\} \frac{d^k g(x)}{dx^{k-1}} < \epsilon.$$

We can find a positive function  $\delta$  on [a,b] so that for each  $\xi \in [a,b]$  there exists a closed interval [u,v] containing  $\xi$ ,  $g_{-}^{(k-1)}(v) - g_{+}^{(k-1)}(u) < \delta(\xi)$  and also that for all  $x \in [u,v]$ ,

$$h(x) > h(\xi) - \epsilon \ge f(\xi) - \epsilon,$$
  
 $\phi(x) < \phi(\xi) + \epsilon \le f(\xi) + \epsilon.$ 

Indeed, if  $g_{+}^{(k-1)}(\xi) - g_{-}^{(k-1)}(\xi) < \delta(\xi)$  we have  $u < \xi < v$  and if  $g_{+}^{(k-1)}(\xi) - g_{-}^{(k-1)}(\xi) \ge \delta(\xi)$  we take  $[\xi, v]$  or  $[u, \xi]$ .

Let  $P = \{x_0, x_1, \dots, x_q; \xi_1, \xi_2, \dots, \xi_q\}$  be any  $\delta(gk)$ -fine partition of [a, b]. We retain  $\delta(gk)$ -fine partition owing to the gk-measurability concept in the definition of the  $LS_k$ -integral. Then for each  $j = 1, 2, \dots, q$ 

(5) 
$$(LS_k) \int_{(x_{j-1},x_j)} \phi \le (LS_k) \int_{(x_{j-1},x_j)} f \le (LS_k) \int_{(x_{j-1},x_j)} h$$

and

(6) 
$$(LS_k) \int_{(x_{j-1},x_j)} \phi - \epsilon |(x_{j-1},x_j)|_{gk} < f(\xi_j)|(x_{j-1},x_j)|_{gk}$$

$$< (LS_k) \int_{(x_{j-1},x_j)} h + \epsilon |(x_{j-1},x_j)|_{gk}.$$

Now

$$\left| S(P,f,g) - (LS_k) \int_a^b f \right| \\
\leq \sum_{j=1}^q \left| f(\xi_j) [g_-^{(k-1)}(x_j) - g_+^{(k-1)}(x_{j-1})] / (k-1)! \right| \\
+ f(x_j) [g_+^{(k-1)}(x_j) - g_-^{(k-1)}(x_j)] / (k-1)! - (LS_k) \int_{[x_{j-1},x_j]} f \right| \\
= \sum_{j=1}^q \left| f(\xi_j) [g_-^{(k-1)}(x_j) - g_+^{(k-1)}(x_{j-1})] / (k-1)! - (LS_k) \int_{(x_{j-1},x_j)} f \right| \\
< \sum_{j=1}^q \epsilon |(x_{j-1},x_j)|_{g_k} + (LS_k) \int_{(x_{j-1},x_j)} (h-\phi), \quad \text{using (5) and (6)} \\
< \epsilon [g_-^{(k-1)}(b) - g_+^{(k-1)}(a)] / (k-1)! + \epsilon.$$

Hence  $(f,g) \in HS_k[a,b]$  and

$$(H\!S_k) \int_a^b f(x) \frac{d^k g(x)}{dx^{k-1}} = (L\!S_k) \int_a^b f(x) \frac{d^k g(x)}{dx^{k-1}}.$$

This proves the theorem.

That  $(LS_k)$  is a proper subclass of  $(HS_k)$  follows from the following example.

# Example 3.3. Let

$$F(x) = x^2 \cos 1/x^2, \qquad \text{if } x \neq 0$$
$$= 0, \qquad \text{if } x = 0,$$

and

$$g(x) = x^k/k!.$$

The gk-derivative of F (see [1]) is the ordinary derivative which exists everywhere in [0,1]. In view of Henstock ([5], p38),  $(F', g^{(k-1)}) \notin LS[0,1]$  but  $(F'_{gk}, g) \in HS_k[0,1]$ . On the otherhand, since  $(F', g^{(k-1)}) \notin LS[0,1]$ , it follows, utilising Theorem 2.4 of Bhattacharyya and Das [1], that  $(F'_{gk}, g) \notin LS_k[0,1]$ .

#### References

- 1. Sandhya Bhattacharyya and A. G. Das, *The LS<sub>k</sub> integrals*, Bull. Inst. Math. Acad. Sinica **13** (1985), 385–401.
- 2. Sandhya Bhattacharyya and A. G. Das, Functions of gk-variation, Ranchi Univ. Math. Journal 17 (1986), 11-20.
- 3. U. Das and A. G. Das, Convergence in bounded k-th variation and RS<sub>k</sub>-integrals, J. Austral. Math. Soc. (Series A) 31 (1981), 163-174.
- 4. R. Henstock, The efficiency of convergence factors for functions of a continuous real variable, J. London Math. Soc., 30 (1955), 273-286.
  - 5. R. Henstock, Lectures on the Theory of Integration, World Scientific, 1988.
- 6. J. Kurzweil, Generalized ordinary differential equations and continuous dependence on a parameter, Czechoslovak Math. J. 7 (1957), 418-446.
  - 7. P. Y. Lee, Lanzhou Lectures on Henstock Integration, World Scientific, 1989.
- 8. W. F. Pfeffer, The Riemann Stieltjes Approach to Integration, TWISK, 187, NRIMS: CSIR, Petoria, 1980.
- 9. Swapan Kumar Ray and A. G. Das, A new definition of generalized Riemann Stieltjes integral, Bull. Inst. Math. Acad. Sinica 18 (1990), 273-282.
- 10. Swapan Kumar Ray, Generalized Bounded Variation and Generalized Integrals, Ph.D. Thesis, University of Kalyani, 1991.
- 11. A. M. Russell, Functions of bounded kth variation, Proc. London Math. Soc. 26(3) (1973), 547-563.
- 12. A. M. Russell, Stieltjes type integrals, J. Austral. Math. Soc. (Series A) 20 (1975), 431–448.
- 13. A. M. Russell, A Banach space of functions of generalized variation, Bull. Austral. Math. Soc., 15 (1976), 431-438.
  - 14. S. Saks, Theory of Integral, Warsaw, 1937.
- 15. S. Verblunsky, On the Peano derivatives, Proc. London Math. Soc., 22 (1971), 319-324.

Department of Mathematics, University of Kalyani, Kalyani-741235, West Bengal, INDIA