

ON HILBERT-KUNZ FUNCTION AND REPRESENTATION RING

BY

LI CHIANG (江立) AND YU CHING HUNG (洪有情)

Abstract. Let p be a prime, (\mathcal{O}, m) a complete local $\mathbb{Z}/(p)$ -algebra, and I_n the ideal of \mathcal{O} generated by all a^{p^n} with $a \in m$. By $e_n(\mathcal{O})$ we denote the length of \mathcal{O}/I_n . The function $n \mapsto e_n(\mathcal{O})$ is called the Hilbert-Kunz function of \mathcal{O} .

In this article, we deduce some multiplication formulae for the representation ring (Cf. §2, §3). By these formulae, we give a new proof of the main theorem in [4]: If $\mathcal{O} = F[[X_1, \dots, X_t]] / (X_1^{d_1} + \dots + X_t^{d_t})$ where d_i 's are positive integers, then the Hilbert-Kunz function is $n \mapsto cp^{(t-1)n} + \Delta(n)$ where c is rational. For the term Δ , there exist integers ω, l such that $\Delta(n + \omega) = l\Delta(n)$ for $n \gg 0$. We also obtain an explicit algorithm to evaluate c, l, ω and the function Δ for any given prime p and $d_i \geq 1$. By using the representation ring, we can also obtain the Hilbert-Kunz functions of some binomial hypersurfaces. (cf. §6)

1. Introduction. Let p be a prime, (\mathcal{O}, m) a complete local $\mathbb{Z}/(p)$ -algebra, and I_n the ideal of \mathcal{O} generated by all a^{p^n} with $a \in m$. By $e_n(\mathcal{O})$ we denote the length of \mathcal{O}/I_n . The function $h : n \mapsto e_n(\mathcal{O})$ is called the Hilbert-Kunz function of \mathcal{O} .

In [7], Monsky showed that $e_n(\mathcal{O}) = cp^{an} + \Delta_n$ where a is the Krull dimension of \mathcal{O} , c a positive real constant, and $\Delta_n = \mathcal{O}(p^{(a-1)n})$. While

$$\mathcal{O} = \mathbb{Z}/(p)[[X_1, X_2, \dots, X_s]] / (X_1^{d_1} - \prod_{i=2}^s X_i^{d_i}),$$

Kunz [6] showed that c is rational and $\Delta_n = \sum_{j=0}^{a-1} \Delta^{(j)}(n)p^{jn}$ with each

Received by the editors October 22, 1996 and in revised form February 27, 1997.

AMS 1991 Subject Classification: 13D40, 13J10.

Key words and phrases: Hilbert-Kunz function, F -object, formal difference.

$\Delta^{(j)}(n)$ eventually periodic.

When

$$(1) \quad \mathcal{O} = \mathbb{Z}/(p)[[X_1, X_2, \dots, X_t]] / (X_1^{d_1} + X_2^{d_2} + \dots + X_t^{d_t})$$

where the d_i 's are positive integers, by using representation ring as a tool, Han and Monsky [4] proved the following theorem (Theorem 5.7 in [4]):

Theorem 1.1.

$$e_n(\mathcal{O}) = cp^{(t-1)n} + \Delta(n)$$

where c is a rational number, and there exist positive integer ω and integer $l \geq 0$ such that

$$\Delta(n + \omega) = l\Delta(n)$$

for $n \gg 0$.

Furthermore, the integer l has the following properties:

- (1) For odd p , if $t \geq 3$ then $l \leq p^{\omega(t-3)}$, and if $t < 3$ then $l \leq 1$.
- (2) If $p = 2$ then $l \leq 1$.

Han and Monsky deduced some multiplication formulae of the representation ring to prove certain functional equations for $D_F(k_1, \dots, k_t)$ where $F := \mathbb{Z}/(p)$, and $D_F(k_1, \dots, k_t)$ is the $\mathbb{Z}/(p)$ -dimension of

$$\mathbb{Z}/(p)[[X_1, X_2, \dots, X_t]] / (X_1^{k_1}, X_2^{k_2}, \dots, X_t^{k_t}, X_1 + X_2 + \dots + X_t),$$

and then used the functional equations for $D_F(k_1, \dots, k_t)$ to describe $e_n(\mathcal{O})$. They also made use of computer to develop computations. But the computations and their proofs are rather complicated.

In this article, we first deduce four more multiplication formulae (Propositions 3.3-3.6), and then apply these useful formulae to Hilber-Kunz function of (1) and give a new proof of Han-Monsky's theorem (Cf. §5). In addition, we also obtain another explicit algorithm to evaluate c , l and $\Delta(n)$ for any given prime p and $d_i \geq 1$ (Cf. §4). We have a program (Cf.

[1]) written in Maple that can evaluate c , l and the periodic function $\Delta(n)$ for any given prime p and positive integers $d_i \geq 2$.

When $\mathcal{O} = \mathbb{Z}/(p)[X_1, X_2, \dots, X_s]/I$ where I is a monomial ideal, Conca [2] showed that there exists a polynomial $f(y) \in \mathbb{Z}[y]$ of degree the dimension of \mathcal{O} and leading coefficient the multiplicity of \mathcal{O} such that $e_n(\mathcal{O}) = f(p^n)$ for large n (Cf. Theorem 2.1 in [2]).

If $\mathcal{O} = \mathbb{Z}/(p)[X_1, \dots, X_s, Y_1, \dots, Y_t]/I$, where I is a principal ideal generated by a homogeneous form

$$(2) \quad X_1^{d_1} \dots X_s^{d_s} + Y_1^{e_1} \dots Y_t^{e_t},$$

in the same paper [2], Conca use the Groebner basis to show that

$$e_n(\mathcal{O}) = cp^{(s+t-1)n} + c_{s+t-2}(\epsilon)p^{(s+t-2)n} + c_{s+t-3}(\epsilon)p^{(s+t-3)n} + \dots + c_0(\epsilon)$$

for large n where c is a rational and $c_i(z) \in \mathbb{Q}[z]$ are polynomials, and ϵ is the residue class of p^n modulo the maximum u of $d_1, d_2, \dots, d_s, e_1, e_2, \dots, e_t$. Furthermore

$$c = \sum_{i=1}^s \sum_{j=1}^t (-1)^{i+j} s_i t_j \frac{ij}{(i+j-1)u^{i+j-1}}$$

where s_i and t_j are the i -th and the j -th elementary symmetric polynomials in d_k 's and e_k 's, respectively (Cf. Theorem 3.1 in [2]).

In this article, we also use the representation ring as a tool to determine the Hilbert-Kunz function of the binomial hypersurface defined by (2), here (2) is not necessarily homogeneous (Cf. §6).

We have the following theorem:

Theorem 1.2. *Let*

$$\mathcal{O} = \mathbb{Z}/(p)[[X_1, \dots, X_s, Y_1, \dots, Y_t]] / (X_1^{d_1} \dots X_s^{d_s} + Y_1^{e_1} \dots Y_t^{e_t}).$$

Then the Hilbert-Kunz function of \mathcal{O} is

$$n \mapsto cp^{(s+t-1)n} + \Delta_{s+t-2}(n)p^{(s+t-2)n} + \Delta_{s+t-3}(n)p^{(s+t-3)n} + \dots + \Delta_0(n)$$

where c is a rational and Δ_i are eventually periodic functions. Moreover, if d_1 is the maximum of $d_1, \dots, d_s, e_1, \dots, e_t$, then

$$c := d_1 \prod_{j=2}^s (1 - d_j/d_1) \left[1 - \prod_{i=1}^t (1 - e_i/d_1) \right] \\ + \sum_{j=2}^s \sum_{l=1}^t \left[\frac{j(j-1)}{(j+l-1)d_1^{j+l-1}} (-1)^{j+l-1} s_j t_l \right]$$

where s_j and t_l are the j -th and the l -th elementary symmetric polynomials in d_i 's and e_i 's, respectively.

Basically, Theorem 1.2 is the same as Theorem 3.1 in [2].

2. Preliminary. From now on, F is a field with $\text{char} F = p > 0$. We introduce the F -objects and some of their properties in this section. They play the central role in this article. An F -object M is a finitely generated $F[T]$ -module such that $T^i M = 0$ for some positive integer i .

Let M and N be two F -objects. Then $M \oplus N$ and $M \otimes_F N$ are F -objects with $T(m \oplus n) := Tm \oplus Tn$ and $T(m \otimes n) := (Tm \otimes n) + (m \otimes Tn)$. We define an equivalence relation on the set of ordered pairs of F -objects $\{(M, N) : M, N \text{ are } F\text{-objects}\}$ as follows:

$$(M_1, N_1) \sim (M_2, N_2) \iff M_1 \oplus N_2 \text{ is isomorphic to} \\ M_2 \oplus N_1 \text{ as } F[T] \text{ - modules.}$$

The equivalence class $[(M, N)]$, denoted by $M - N$, is called the formal difference of M and N . Let Γ be the set of the formal differences of F -objects. On Γ we define two binary operations:

$$(M_1 - N) + (M_2 - N_2) := (M_1 \oplus M_2) - (N_1 \oplus N_2), \\ (M_1 - N_1) \cdot (M_2 - N_2) := ((M_1 \otimes_F M_2) \oplus (N_1 \otimes_F N_2)) \\ - ((M_1 \otimes_F N_2) \oplus (N_1 \otimes_F M_2)).$$

The set Γ forms a commutative ring under these two binary operations, which is called the representation ring. Note that we can decompose any

F -object into a direct sum of $F[T]/(T^i)$'s. Let

$$\delta_i := F[T]/(T^i) - 0.$$

Then Γ is a free- \mathbb{Z} -module with basis $\{\delta_i\}_{i=1}^\infty$. We have a map from the set of F -objects into Γ :

$$\psi : M \mapsto M - 0$$

with $\psi(M \oplus N) = \psi(M) + \psi(N)$ and $\psi(M \otimes N) = \psi(M) \cdot \psi(N)$. Now we consider the Hilbert-Kunz function of

$$(3) \quad R_{d_1, \dots, d_t} := F[[x_1, x_2, \dots, x_t]] / (x_1^{d_1} + x_2^{d_2} + \dots + x_t^{d_t}).$$

For the case of $t = 1$, we define a T -action on $M(n) := F[x]/(x^{p^n})$ by $Tf := x^{d_1}f$. Then $M(n)$ becomes an F -object. It is easy to check that

$$M(n) \simeq [F[T]/(T^a)]^{d-r} \oplus [F[T]/(T^{a+1})]^r$$

where $p^n = da + r$ with $0 \leq r < d$. Then we have

$$(4) \quad \psi(M(n)) = (d - r)\delta_a + r\delta_{a+1}.$$

For $t \geq 2$, let $M_k(n) := F[x_k]/(x_k^{p^n})$, and let the T -action on $M_k(n)$ be $Tf := x_k^{d_k}f$. Then $M(n) := \otimes_{k=1}^t M_k(n)$ can be identified with

$$F[x_1, \dots, x_t] / (x_1^{p^n}, \dots, x_t^{p^n})$$

where the nilpotent T -action on $F[x_1, \dots, x_t]/(x_1^{p^n}, \dots, x_t^{p^n})$ is $Tf := (x_1^{d_1} + \dots + x_t^{d_t})f$. Denote the Hilbert-Kunz function of R_{d_1, \dots, d_t} by $H_{(d_1, \dots, d_t; p)}$. Then $H_{(d_1, \dots, d_t; p)}(n)$ is just the F -dimension of $M(n)/TM(n)$. As an F -object, $M(n)$ can be decomposed into a direct sum of $F[T]/(T^i)$'s, thus,

$$M(n) \simeq \oplus_i [F[T]/(T^i)]^{\gamma_i}.$$

Then

$$H_{(d_1, \dots, d_t; p)}(n) = \dim_F M(n)/TM(n) = \sum_i \gamma_i.$$

From Eq(4), we can evaluate $H_{(d_1, \dots, d_t; p)}(n)$ by decomposing

$$(5) \quad \psi(M(n)) = \prod_{k=1}^t \psi(M_k(n)) = \prod_{k=1}^t [(d_k - r_k)\delta_{a_k} + r_k\delta_{a_k+1}],$$

where $p^n = d_k a_k + r_k$ with $0 \leq r_k < d_k$, into a sum of δ_i 's, then counting the number of summands in the decomposition. Thus, we define the map $D_F : \Gamma \mapsto \mathbb{Z}$ as follows:

For any F -objects M and N , if $M \simeq \bigoplus_i [F[T]/(T^i)]^{a_i}$ and $N \simeq \bigoplus_i [F[T]/(T^i)]^{b_i}$ then

$$D_F(M - N) := \sum_i (a_i - b_i).$$

It is easy to check that D_F is a \mathbb{Z} -homomorphism from Γ to \mathbb{Z} . For the detail of this preliminary we refer to [4].

3. Multiplication formulae. In this section, we shall give some multiplication formulae in Γ . Throughout this section, q will always denote a power of p . For convenience, we give another basis for the free \mathbb{Z} -module Γ (Cf. [4]),

$$\lambda_i = \begin{cases} \delta_1 & \text{if } i = 0 \\ (-1)^i(\delta_{i+1} - \delta_i) & \text{if } i \geq 1. \end{cases}$$

Note that $D_F(\lambda_0) = 1$, $D_F(\lambda_j) = 0$, and $\delta_j = \sum_{i=0}^{j-1} (-1)^i \lambda_i$ for all $j \geq 1$. If $\sum \gamma_j \delta_j = \sum \alpha_j \lambda_j$, we have $\sum \gamma_j = \alpha_0$.

In [4], there are some formulae for the multiplications of δ_i 's and λ_j . We list them as follows:

Proposition 3.1 ([4], Lemma 3.3, Theorem 3.4) *For $0 \leq s \leq q$ and $1 \leq i < p$, we have*

$$(6) \quad \delta_{iq} \delta_s = s \delta_{iq},$$

$$(7) \quad \delta_{iq+1} \delta_s = (s-1) \delta_{iq} + \delta_{iq+s},$$

$$(8) \quad \delta_{iq-1}\delta_s = (s-1)\delta_{iq} + \delta_{iq-s},$$

and, for $0 \leq s < q$, we have

$$(9) \quad \lambda_{iq}\lambda_s = \lambda_{iq+s},$$

$$(10) \quad \lambda_{iq-1}\lambda_s = \lambda_{iq-1-s}.$$

By Eq(6) to Eq(10), the following formulae are immediate.

For $s < q$, $i \geq 1$,

$$(11) \quad (-1)^s \lambda_s \delta_{iq} = \delta_{iq},$$

$$(12) \quad (-1)^{iq} \lambda_{iq} \delta_s + \delta_{iq} = \delta_{iq+s},$$

$$(13) \quad (-1)^{iq-1} \lambda_{iq-1} \delta_s - \delta_{iq} = -\delta_{iq-s}.$$

Note here that Eq(12) and Eq(13) are valid if $s = q$.

Proposition 3.2 ([4], Theorem 2.5)

$$(14) \quad \lambda_i \lambda_j = \sum_{k=|i-j|}^{\min(i+j, 2p-2-i-j)} \lambda_k$$

where $0 \leq i, j < p$.

Lemma 3.1 ([4], Lemma 3.9) *If $1 \leq j \leq p-2$ then*

$$(15) \quad \delta_{q+1}\delta_{jq+1} = \delta_{(j+1)q+1} + \delta_{(j-1)q+1} + \delta_{(j+1)q-1} + (q-2)\delta_{jq}.$$

If $1 \leq j \leq p-1$ then

$$(16) \quad \delta_{q+1}\delta_{jq} = \delta_{(j+1)q} + \delta_{(j-1)q} + (q-1)\delta_{jq}.$$

Lemma 3.2 ([4], Theorem 3.11) *Suppose $0 \leq s < q$ and $1 \leq i \leq p-2$.*

Then

$$(17) \quad \lambda_q \lambda_{iq+s} = \lambda_{(i+1)q+s} + \lambda_{(i-1)q+s} + \lambda_{(i+1)q-1-s}$$

and

$$(18) \quad \lambda_q \lambda_{(p-1)q} = \lambda_{(p-1)q}.$$

Note that Lemma 3.1 and Lemma 3.2 are also valid while $q = 1$. By Eq(10), we have

$$(19) \quad \lambda_{q-1}^2 = \lambda_0.$$

Let

$$H(i) := \begin{cases} 0 & \text{if } i < 0 \\ 1 & \text{if } i \geq 0. \end{cases}$$

Now we shall prove the following propositions 3.3–3.6. They are very useful in evaluating the Hilbert-Kunz functions.

Proposition 3.3 *If $1 \leq i, j \leq p$, then*

$$(20) \quad \delta_i \delta_j = \delta_{(|i-j|+1)} + \delta_{(|i-j|+3)} + \dots + \delta_b + (i+j-p)H(i+j-p)\delta_p$$

where $b = \min(i+j-1, 2p-1-i-j)$.

Proof. If $i+j \leq p$, the proposition is just Theorem 2.15 of [4]. Suppose $i+j > p$. Then by Eq(6), Eq(8) and Eq(19),

$$\begin{aligned} & \delta_i \delta_j \\ &= \lambda_{p-1}^2 \delta_i \delta_j \\ &= (\delta_p - \delta_{p-1}) \delta_i (\delta_p - \delta_{p-1}) \delta_j \\ &= (\delta_{p-i} - \delta_p) (\delta_{p-j} - \delta_p) \\ &= \delta_{p-i} \delta_{p-j} + (i+j-p) \delta_p. \end{aligned}$$

Since $(p-i) + (p-j) \leq p$, using Theorem 2.15 of [4] again to the product $\delta_{p-i} \delta_{p-j}$, we complete the proof.

Proposition 3.4 *If $1 \leq i, j \leq p$ and $\delta_i \delta_j = \sum_{k=1}^p \gamma_k \delta_k$ then $\delta_{iq} \delta_{jq} = q \sum_{k=1}^p \gamma_k \delta_{kq}$ for all $q = 1, p, p^2, \dots$*

Proof. We take $i \geq j$ and use induction on j . For $j = 0$ and $j = 1$, the proposition is true by Eq(6). For $2 \leq j \leq p$, by Eq(16), we have

$$\delta_{jq} = \delta_{q+1}\delta_{(j-1)q} - (q-1)\delta_{(j-1)q} - \delta_{(j-2)q}$$

for $q = 1, p, p^2, \dots$. By inductive hypothesis, we suppose that

$$\delta_{iq}\delta_{(j-1)q} = q \sum_k \gamma'_k \delta_{kq}$$

and

$$\delta_{iq}\delta_{(j-2)q} = q \sum_k \gamma''_k \delta_{kq}.$$

Using Lemma 3.1, we then have

$$\begin{aligned} & \delta_{iq}\delta_{jq} \\ &= \delta_{iq}\delta_{(j-1)q}\delta_{q+1} - (q-1)\delta_{iq}\delta_{(j-1)q} - \delta_{iq}\delta_{(j-2)q} \\ &= q\delta_{q+1} \sum_{k=1}^p \gamma'_k \delta_{kq} - q(q-1) \sum_{k=1}^p \gamma'_k \delta_{kq} - \delta_{iq}\delta_{(j-2)q} \\ &= q(q+1)\gamma'_p \delta_{pq} + q \sum_{k=1}^{p-1} \gamma'_k (\delta_{(k+1)q} + \delta_{(k-1)q} + (q-1)\delta_{kq}) \\ &\quad - q(q-1) \sum_{k=1}^p \gamma'_k \delta_{kq} - \delta_{iq}\delta_{(j-2)q} \\ &= 2q\gamma'_p \delta_{pq} + q \sum_{k=1}^{p-1} \gamma'_k (\delta_{(k+1)q} + \delta_{(k-1)q}) - q \sum_k \gamma''_k \delta_{kq} \\ &= q \left[2\gamma'_p \delta_{pq} + \sum_{k=1}^{p-1} \gamma'_k (\delta_{(k+1)q} + \delta_{(k-1)q}) - \sum_k \gamma''_k \delta_{kq} \right]. \end{aligned}$$

Now by inductive hypothesis the coefficients γ'_k 's and γ''_k 's are invariant while q varies in $\{1, p, p^2, \dots\}$, i.e. the recursive formula list here is valid for all $q = p^n$ including $q = 1$.

Corollary 1.

$$(21) \quad \delta_{iq}\delta_{jq} = q(\delta_{(|i-j|+1)q} + \delta_{(|i-j|+3)q} + \dots + \delta_{bq} + (i+j-p)H(i+j-p)\delta_{pq}).$$

Proposition 3.5 For $0 \leq i \leq p-1$ and $1 \leq j \leq p$, we have

$$(22) \quad (-1)^{iq} \lambda_{iq} \delta_{jq} = H(j-i) \delta_{|i-j|q} - \delta_{(|i-j|+1)q} + \cdots \pm \delta_{cq} + H(i+j-p) \delta_{pq}$$

where $c := \min(i+j, 2p-1-i-j)$.

Proof. We do this by induction on i . The case of $i=0$ is clear. For $i=1$, by Eq(16), we have

$$\delta_{q+1} \delta_{jq} = \delta_{(j+1)q} + \delta_{(j-1)q} + (q-1) \delta_{jq} = \delta_{(j+1)q} - \delta_{jq} + \delta_{(j-1)q} + q \delta_{jq}.$$

By Eq(6)

$$\delta_{q+1} \delta_{jq} - q \delta_{jq} = (\delta_{q+1} - \delta_q) \delta_{jq}.$$

Then

$$(-1)^q \lambda_q \delta_{jq} = \delta_{(j+1)q} - \delta_{jq} + \delta_{(j-1)q}.$$

For $i \geq 2$, by Eq(15) and Eq(16)

$$\begin{aligned} & \delta_{q+1} (\delta_{(i-1)q+1} - \delta_{(i-1)q}) \\ &= \delta_{iq+1} - \delta_{iq} + \delta_{(i-2)q+1} - \delta_{(i-2)q} + \delta_{(i-1)q+q-1} - \delta_{(i-1)q}. \end{aligned}$$

We can write

$$\begin{aligned} & (-1)^{iq} \lambda_{iq} \\ &= \delta_{iq+1} - \delta_{iq} \\ &= \delta_{q+1} (\delta_{(i-1)q+1} - \delta_{(i-1)q}) - \delta_{(i-2)q+1} + \delta_{(i-2)q} - \delta_{(i-1)q+q-1} + \delta_{(i-1)q} \\ &= \delta_{q+1} (-1)^{(i-1)q} \lambda_{(i-1)q} - (-1)^{(i-2)q} \lambda_{(i-2)q} \\ & \quad - [\delta_{q-1} \delta_{(i-1)q+1} - (q-2) \delta_{(i-1)q}] + \delta_{(i-1)q} \\ &= \delta_{q+1} (-1)^{(i-1)q} \lambda_{(i-1)q} - (-1)^{(i-2)q} \lambda_{(i-2)q} \\ & \quad - \delta_{q-1} \delta_{(i-1)q+1} + (q-1) \delta_{(i-1)q}. \end{aligned}$$

Then we have

$$\begin{aligned} & (-1)^{iq} \lambda_{iq} \delta_{jq} \\ &= [\delta_{q+1} (-1)^{(i-1)q} \lambda_{(i-1)q} - (-1)^{(i-2)q} \lambda_{(i-2)q} \\ & \quad - \delta_{q-1} \delta_{(i-1)q+1} + (q-1) \delta_{(i-1)q}] \delta_{jq} \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
&= \delta_{q+1}(-1)^{(i-1)q} \lambda_{(i-1)q} \delta_{jq} - (-1)^{(i-2)q} \lambda_{(i-2)q} \delta_{jq} \\
&\quad - (q-1)\delta_{(i-1)q+1} \delta_{jq} + (q-1)\delta_{(i-1)q} \delta_{jq} \\
&= \delta_{q+1}(-1)^{(i-1)q} \lambda_{(i-1)q} \delta_{jq} - (-1)^{(i-2)q} \lambda_{(i-2)q} \delta_{jq} \\
&\quad - \delta_q(-1)^{(i-1)q} \lambda_{(i-1)q} \delta_{jq} + (-1)^{(i-1)q} \lambda_{(i-1)q} \delta_{jq} \\
&= -(-1)^{(i-2)q} \lambda_{(i-2)q} \delta_{jq} + (-1)^q \lambda_q (-1)^{(i-1)q} \lambda_{(i-1)q} \delta_{jq} \\
&\quad + (-1)^{(i-1)q} \lambda_{(i-1)q} \delta_{jq}.
\end{aligned}$$

By inductive hypothesis, we may take

$$(-1)^{(i-2)q} \lambda_{(i-2)q} \delta_{jq} = \sum_{k=1}^p \zeta'_k \delta_{kq},$$

$$(-1)^{(i-1)q} \lambda_{(i-1)q} \delta_{jq} = \sum_{k=1}^p \zeta''_k \delta_{kq}$$

where ζ'_k 's and ζ''_k 's are constant integers while q varies in $\{1, p, p^2, \dots\}$.

Then

$$(-1)^{iq} \lambda_{iq} \delta_{jq} = \sum_{k=1}^p \zeta_k \delta_{kq}$$

where ζ_k 's are also constant integers while q varies in $\{1, p, p^2, \dots\}$. Thus if we can prove Eq(22) for $q = 1$, i.e.

$$(23) \quad (\delta_{i+1} - \delta_i)\delta_j = H(j-i)\delta_{|i-j|} - \delta_{(|i-j|+1)} + \dots \pm \delta_c + H(i+j-p)\delta_p,$$

then we get the proposition. But Eq(23) can be easily checked by using Proposition 3.2. Notice that there are four cases here to be considered.

Proposition 3.6 For $0 \leq i, j \leq p-1$, we have

$$(24) \quad \lambda_{iq} \lambda_{jq} = \sum_{k=0}^{c'} \lambda_{(|i-j|+2k)q} + \sum_{k=1}^{c'} \lambda_{(|i-j|+2k)q-1}$$

where $c' := \min(i, j, p-1-i, p-1-j)$.

Proof. By Eq(18), the proposition is clear when $p = 2$. Now suppose that $p \neq 2$. By Eq(14), Eq(24) is valid for $q = 1$. Let $i \geq j$. We prove this

by induction on j . The case of $j = 0$ is trivial, and the case of $j = 1$ is immediate by Lemma 3.2. For $j \geq 2$, by Eq(17), we have

$$\lambda_{jq} = \lambda_q \lambda_{(j-1)q} - \lambda_{(j-2)q} - \lambda_{(j-1)q+q-1}.$$

Then

$$\begin{aligned} \lambda_{iq} \lambda_{jq} &= \lambda_{iq} \lambda_q \lambda_{(j-1)q} - \lambda_{iq} \lambda_{(j-2)q} - \lambda_{iq} \lambda_{(j-1)q} \lambda_{q-1} \\ &= \lambda_{iq} \lambda_{(j-1)q} (\lambda_q - \lambda_{q-1}) - \lambda_{iq} \lambda_{(j-2)q}. \end{aligned}$$

Let $c := \min(j-1, p-1-i)$, $d := \min(j-2, p-1-i)$, and $\delta_{a,b}$ the Kronecker symbol. From Eq(9), Eq(10), Lemma 3.2 and by inductive hypothesis, we have

$$\begin{aligned} &\lambda_{iq} \lambda_{jq} \\ &= \lambda_q (\lambda_{(i-j+1)q} + \lambda_{(i-j+3)q-1} + \lambda_{(i-j+3)q} \\ &\quad + \cdots + \lambda_{(i-j+1+2c)q-1} + \lambda_{(i-j+1+2c)q}) \\ &\quad - \lambda_{q-1} (\lambda_{(i-j+1)q} + \lambda_{(i-j+3)q-1} + \lambda_{(i-j+3)q} \\ &\quad + \cdots + \lambda_{(i-j+1+2c)q-1} + \lambda_{(i-j+1+2c)q}) \\ &\quad - \lambda_{(i-j+2)q} + \lambda_{(i-j+4)q-1} + \lambda_{(i-j+4)q} \\ &\quad + \cdots + \lambda_{(i-j+2+2d)q-1} + \lambda_{(i-j+2+2d)q}) \\ &= [\lambda_{(i-j)q} + \lambda_{(i-j+2)q-1} + \lambda_{(i-j+2)q}] \\ &\quad + [\lambda_{(i-j+2)q-1} + \lambda_{(i-j+2)q} + \lambda_{(i-j+4)q-1}] \\ &\quad + [\lambda_{(i-j+2)q} + \lambda_{(i-j+4)q-1} + \lambda_{(i-j+4)q}] \\ &\quad + \cdots + [\lambda_{(i-j+2c)q-1} + \lambda_{(i-j+2c)q} + \lambda_{(i-j+2+2c)q-1}] \\ &\quad + [\lambda_{(i-j+2c)q} + (1 - \delta_{i+j,p})(\lambda_{(i-j+2c+2)q-1} + \lambda_{(i-j+2c+2)q})] \\ &\quad - (\lambda_{(i-j+2)q-1} + \lambda_{(i-j+2)q} + \lambda_{(i-j+4)q-1} \\ &\quad + \cdots + \lambda_{(i-j+2c)q} + \lambda_{(i-j+2+2c)q-1}) \\ &\quad - (\lambda_{(i-j+2)q} + \lambda_{(i-j+4)q-1} + \lambda_{(i-j+4)q} \\ &\quad + \cdots + \lambda_{(i-j+2+2d)q-1} + \lambda_{(i-j+2+2d)q}). \end{aligned}$$

If $p-1-i \geq j$ then $c = j-1$, $d = j-2$, and $p-i-j \geq 1$. We have

$$\lambda_{iq}\lambda_{jq} = \lambda_{(i-j)q} + \lambda_{(i-j+2)q-1} + \lambda_{(i-j+2)q} + \cdots + \lambda_{(i+j)q-1} + \lambda_{(i+j)q}.$$

If $p-1-i=j-1$ then $c=p-1-i$, $d=p-2-i$, $p=i+j$. We have

$$\lambda_{iq}\lambda_{jq} = \lambda_{(i-j)q} + \lambda_{(i-j+2)q-1} + \lambda_{(i-j+2)q} + \cdots + \lambda_{(2p-2-i-j)q-1} + \lambda_{(2p-2-i-j)q}.$$

If $p-1-i \leq j-2$ then $c=d=p-1-i$, and $p-i-j \leq -1$. We have

$$\lambda_{iq}\lambda_{jq} = \lambda_{(i-j)q} + \lambda_{(i-j+2)q-1} + \lambda_{(i-j+2)q} + \cdots + \lambda_{(2p-2-i-j)q-1} + \lambda_{(2p-2-i-j)q}.$$

Let $Q \in \Gamma$ and $Q = \sum \alpha_i \lambda_i$. We call α_i the coefficient of λ_i in Q . We say that λ_i appears in Q if the coefficient of λ_i in Q is not zero.

Let $a=iq+j, b=kq+l$ where $0 \leq i, k < p$ and $0 \leq j, l < q$. We can now have an algorithm to decompose $\delta_a \delta_b$ (resp. $\lambda_a \lambda_b$) into a sum of δ_n 's (resp. λ_i) by the following recursive formulae:

$$\begin{aligned} \delta_a \delta_b &= [(-1)^{iq} \lambda_{iq} \delta_k + \delta_{iq}] [(-1)^{jq} \lambda_{jq} \delta_l + \delta_{jq}] \\ &= [(-1)^{(i+j)q} \lambda_{iq} \lambda_{jq}] (\delta_k \delta_l) + k(-1)^{iq} \lambda_{iq} \delta_{jq} + l(-1)^{jq} \lambda_{jq} \delta_{iq} + \delta_{iq} \delta_{jq}, \end{aligned}$$

$$\lambda_a \lambda_b = (\lambda_{iq} \lambda_{kq}) (\lambda_j \lambda_l)$$

and by the formulae list in this section.

4. An algorithm. Throughout this section, we fix p, d_k 's and n . Now we return to the discussion in §2. Eq(5) can be rewritten as

$$(25) \quad \psi(M(n)) = \prod_{k=1}^t ((-1)^{a_k} r_k \lambda_{a_k} + d_k \delta_{a_k}),$$

where $p^n = a_k d_k + r_k$ with $0 \leq r_k < a_k$. For nonnegative integers u_1, \dots, u_t , we define

$$(26) \quad \Xi(u_1, \dots, u_t) := \prod_{k=1}^t ((-1)^{u_k} r_k \lambda_{u_k} + d_k \delta_{u_k}).$$

If we write $1/d_k$ into p -adic form

$$(27) \quad \frac{1}{d_k} := b_k(0) + b_k(1)p^{-1} + b_k(2)p^{-2} + \cdots$$

we will have

$$a_k = b_k(0)p^n + b_k(1)p^{n-1} + \cdots + b_k(n).$$

Let $c_k(\mu) := \sum_{i=\mu}^n b_k(i)p^{n-i}$ for $0 \leq \mu \leq n$. Then $c_k(\mu) = b_k(\mu)p^{n-\mu} + c_k(\mu+1)$. Since $c_k(\mu) < p^{n-\mu+1}$, we have

$$(28) \quad \Xi(c_1(\mu), \dots, c_t(\mu)) = \sum_{i=0}^{p^{n-\mu+1}-1} \beta_i(\mu) \lambda_i$$

for some integers $\beta_i(\mu)$'s. Note that

$$(29) \quad D_F(\Xi(c_1(\mu), \dots, c_t(\mu))) = \beta_0(\mu).$$

For the rest of this section, we denote $b_k := b_k(\mu)$, $s_k := c_k(\mu+1)$ and $q := p^{n-\mu}$. Then, by Eq(9) and Eq(12),

$$\begin{aligned} & \Xi(c_1(\mu), \dots, c_t(\mu)) \\ &= \prod_{k=1}^t [r_k(-1)^{b_k q} \lambda_{b_k q} (-1)^{s_k} \lambda_{s_k} + (-1)^{b_k q} d_k \lambda_{b_k q} \delta_{s_k} + d_k \delta_{b_k q}] \\ &= \prod_{k=1}^t \{(-1)^{b_k q} \lambda_{b_k q} [r_k(-1)^{s_k} \lambda_{s_k} + d_k \delta_{s_k}] + d_k \delta_{b_k q}\} \\ &= \prod_{k=1}^t [(-1)^{b_k q} \lambda_{b_k q}] \Xi(s_1, \dots, s_t) + Q(n, \mu) \end{aligned}$$

for some $Q(n, \mu) \in \Gamma$. We can easily check that

$$Q(n, \mu) = \sum_{\phi \neq S \subseteq X} \prod_{k \notin S} \{(-1)^{b_k q} \lambda_{b_k q} [r_k(-1)^{s_k} \lambda_{s_k} + d_k \delta_{s_k}]\} \prod_{k \in S} d_k \delta_{b_k q}$$

with $X := \{1, 2, \dots, t\}$. From Eq(6) and E(11) we have

$$Q(n, \mu) = \sum_{\phi \neq S \subseteq X} \prod_{k \notin S} \{(r_k + d_k s_k)(-1)^{b_k q} \lambda_{b_k q}\} \prod_{k \in S} d_k \delta_{b_k q}.$$

Note that $r_k + d_k s_k$ is the residue of p^n divided by $d_k q$; we will denote the residue by $(p^n \bmod d_k q)$.

$$\begin{aligned}
 Q(n, \mu) &= \sum_{\phi \neq S \subseteq X} \prod_{k \notin S} \{(p^n \bmod d_k q)(-1)^{b_k q} \lambda_{b_k q}\} \prod_{k \in S} d_k \delta_{b_k q} \\
 &= \sum_{\phi \neq S \subseteq X} \prod_{k \notin S} \{q(p^\mu \bmod d_k)(-1)^{b_k q} \lambda_{b_k q}\} \prod_{k \in S} d_k \delta_{b_k q} \\
 &= \sum_{\phi \neq S \subseteq X} q^{t-|S|} \prod_{k \notin S} \{(p^\mu \bmod d_k)(-1)^{b_k q} \lambda_{b_k q}\} \prod_{k \in S} d_k \delta_{b_k q}.
 \end{aligned}$$

Let

$$\prod_{k \notin S} \{(p^\mu \bmod d_k)(-1)^{b_k} \lambda_{b_k}\} \prod_{k \in S} d_k \delta_{b_k} = \sum_{i=1}^p \eta_i(\mu, S) \delta_i.$$

By Propositions 3.4 and 3.5, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
 & q^{t-|S|} \prod_{k \notin S} \{(p^\mu \bmod d_k)(-1)^{b_k q} \lambda_{b_k q}\} \prod_{k \in S} d_k \delta_{b_k q} \\
 (30) \quad &= q^{t-|S|} \sum_{i=1}^p q^{|S|-1} \eta_i(\mu, S) \delta_{iq} \\
 &= q^{t-1} \sum_{i=1}^p \eta_i(\mu, S) \delta_{iq}.
 \end{aligned}$$

In fact, for any μ , Eq(30) is true for all $q \in \{1, p, p^2, \dots\}$. Let

$$(31) \quad \sum_{\phi \neq S \subseteq X} \prod_{k \notin S} \{(p^\mu \bmod d_k)(-1)^{b_k} \lambda_{b_k}\} \prod_{k \in S} d_k \delta_{b_k} = \sum_{i=1}^p \gamma_i(\mu) \delta_i.$$

Then we have

$$Q(n, \mu) = q^{t-1} \sum_{i=1}^p \gamma_i(\mu) \delta_{iq}.$$

For formulating a program to calculate $\gamma_i(\mu)$'s, using the following Eq(32) is better than using Eq(31).

$$\begin{aligned}
 (32) \quad & \prod_{k=1}^t [(p^\mu \bmod d_k)(-1)^{b_k} \lambda_{b_k} + d_k \delta_{b_k}] - \prod_{k=1}^t [(p^\mu \bmod d_k)(-1)^{b_k} \lambda_{b_k}] \\
 &= \sum_{i=1}^p \gamma_i(\mu) \delta_i.
 \end{aligned}$$

b_k 's are independent of n , so are $\gamma_i(\mu)$'s. Thus, for given p and d_k 's, the $\gamma_i(\mu)$'s are functions of μ only. Especially $\gamma_i(\mu)$'s are independent of n . Now

we get

$$\begin{aligned} \Xi(c_1(\mu), \dots, c_t(\mu)) &= \prod_{k=1}^t [(-1)^{b_k(\mu)q} \lambda_{b_k(\mu)q}] \Xi(c_1(\mu+1), \dots, c_t(\mu+1)) \\ &\quad + q^{(t-1)} \sum_{i=1}^p \gamma_i(\mu) \delta_{iq}. \end{aligned}$$

Define the following symbols:

$$(33) \quad \Lambda(n, \mu) := \prod_{k=1}^t (-1)^{b_k(\mu)q} \lambda_{b_k(\mu)q},$$

$$(34) \quad Z(n, \mu) := \sum_{i=1}^p \gamma_i(\mu) \delta_{iq}.$$

Then

$$(35) \quad \Xi(c_1(\mu), \dots, c_t(\mu)) = \Lambda(n, \mu) \Xi(c_1(\mu+1), \dots, c_t(\mu+1)) + p^{(n-\mu)(t-1)} Z(n, \mu).$$

From Eq(9), Eq(10) and Eq(24), we can easily check the following proposition.

Proposition 4.1 *Let p be an odd prime, and $q = 1, p, p^2, \dots$. We have*

$$\begin{aligned} \Lambda(n, \mu) &= \alpha_0(\mu) \lambda_0 + \alpha_{2p-1}(\mu) \lambda_{2q-1} + \alpha_{2p}(\mu) \lambda_{2q} + \alpha_{4p-1}(\mu) \lambda_{4q-1} + \dots \\ &\quad + \alpha_{(p-1)p-1}(\mu) \lambda_{(p-1)q-1} + \alpha_{(p-1)p}(\mu) \lambda_{(p-1)q} \end{aligned}$$

if $\sum_k b_k(\mu)$ is even, and

$$\begin{aligned} \Lambda(n, \mu) &= \alpha_{p-1}(\mu) \lambda_{q-1} + \alpha_p(\mu) \lambda_q + \alpha_{3p-1}(\mu) \lambda_{3q-1} + \alpha_{3p}(\mu) \lambda_{3q} + \dots \\ &\quad + \alpha_{(p-2)p}(\mu) \lambda_{(p-2)q} + \alpha_{p^2-1}(\mu) \lambda_{pq-1} \end{aligned}$$

if $\sum_k b_k(\mu)$ is odd.

There are some properties about the coefficients $\alpha_i(\mu)$.

Proposition 4.2 *For odd prime p and $t \geq 2$, we have*

$$|\alpha_i(\mu)| \leq p^{t-2}$$

for all i .

Proof. While we write

$$\begin{aligned} & \lambda_{kq}(\alpha_{p-1}\lambda_{q-1} + \alpha_p\lambda_q + \alpha_{3p-1}\lambda_{3q-1} + \alpha_{3p}\lambda_{3q} + \cdots + \alpha_{p^2-1}\lambda_{pq-1}) \\ &= \sum_j \alpha'_j \lambda_j \end{aligned}$$

or

$$\begin{aligned} & \lambda_{kq}(\alpha_0\lambda_0 + \alpha_{2p-1}\lambda_{2q-1} + \alpha_{2p}\lambda_{2q} + \alpha_{4p-1}\lambda_{4q-1} + \cdots + \alpha_{(p-1)p}\lambda_{(p-1)q}) \\ &= \sum_j \alpha'_j \lambda_j \end{aligned}$$

then, by Eq(10) and Eq(24), we shall have

$$|\alpha'_i| \leq \sum_j |a_j| \leq p \max_j \{\alpha_j\}.$$

Thus it is sufficient to show the proposition for $t = 2$, but Eq(24) implies this case.

Corollary 2. *If $t \geq 3$ and $i \in I := \{0, p - 2, p^2 - p, p^2 - 1\}$, we have*

$$|\alpha_i(\mu)| \leq p^{t-3}.$$

Proof. By Eq(10) and Eq(24), λ_0 appears in $\lambda_{jq}\lambda_{kq}$ if and only if $k = j$. λ_{q-1} appears in $\lambda_{jq}\lambda_{kq-1}$ if and only if $k - 1 = j$. Therefore, if

$$\prod_{k=1}^{t-1} \lambda_{b_k(\mu)q} = \sum \alpha'_j \lambda_j$$

then the coefficients of λ_0 and λ_{q-1} in $\prod_{k=1}^t \lambda_{b_k(\mu)q}$ are some of α'_j , thus are less than or equal to p^{t-3} . The proofs for α_{p^2-1} and α_{p^2-p} are similar.

Remark: If

$$(\lambda_{(p-1)q/2})^t = \alpha_0\lambda_0 + \alpha_{p-1}\lambda_{q-1} + \cdots + \alpha_{p^2-p}\lambda_{(p-1)q} + \alpha_{p^2-1}\lambda_{pq-1}$$

and

$$\prod_{k=1}^t \lambda_{b_k q} = \alpha'_0\lambda_0 + \alpha'_{p-1}\lambda_{q-1} + \cdots + \alpha'_{p^2-p}\lambda_{(p-1)q} + \alpha'_{p^2-1}\lambda_{pq-1},$$

then $\max_{i \in I} \{\alpha'_i\} \leq \max_{i \in I} \{\alpha_i\}$. If $t \geq 5$, then $\alpha < p^{t-3}$. Actually, by Eq(24), we can prove in Corollary 2 that when $t = 5$, $|\alpha_i(\mu)| \leq (p^2 + 1)/2$.

While $p = 2$, we have $\lambda_0 \lambda_0 = \lambda_0$, $\lambda_q \lambda_q = \lambda_0$, $\lambda_q \lambda_0 = \lambda_0$, hence we have the following simple formulae:

Proposition 4.3 *Let $p = 2$. Then*

$$\Lambda(n, \mu) = \lambda_0$$

if $\sum_k b_k(\mu)$ is even, and

$$\Lambda(n, \mu) = (-1)^q \lambda_q$$

if $\sum_k b_k(\mu)$ is odd.

Note: $\alpha_i(\mu)$'s are functions of μ and are independent of n .

Now we assume that in

$$(36) \quad \Xi(c_1(\mu + 1), \dots, c_t(\mu + 1)) = \sum_{i=0}^{q-1} \beta_i(\mu + 1) \lambda_i,$$

the $\beta_i(\mu + 1)$'s have already been evaluated by using the recursive process.

By Proposition 4.1, we can write

$$\begin{aligned} \Lambda(n, \mu) = & \alpha_0(\mu) \lambda_0 + \alpha_{p-1}(\mu) \lambda_{q-1} + \alpha_p(\mu) \lambda_q + \alpha_{2p-1}(\mu) \lambda_{2q-1} + \dots \\ & + \alpha_{(p-1)p}(\mu) \lambda_{(p-1)q} + \alpha_{p^2-1}(\mu) \lambda_{pq-1}. \end{aligned}$$

Then

$$(37) \quad \begin{aligned} & \Lambda(n, \mu) \Xi(c_1(\mu + 1), \dots, c_t(\mu + 1)) \\ = & [\alpha_0(\mu) \beta_0(\mu + 1) + \alpha_{p-1}(\mu) \beta_{q-1}(\mu + 1)] \lambda_0 + \dots \\ & + [\alpha_{(p-1)p}(\mu) \beta_{q-1}(\mu + 1) + \alpha_{p^2-1}(\mu) \beta_0(\mu + 1)] \lambda_{pq-1} \end{aligned}$$

by Eq(9) and Eq(10). Thus, by Eq(28), Eq(34), Eq(35) and Eq(37),

$$(38) \quad \beta_0(\mu) = \alpha_0(\mu) \beta_0(\mu + 1) + \alpha_{p-1}(\mu) \beta_{q-1}(\mu + 1) + p^{(t-1)(n-\mu)} \sum_{i=1}^p \gamma_i(\mu).$$

Let

$$T(n, \mu) := \beta_{pq-1}(\mu),$$

$$U(n, \mu) := \beta_0(\mu).$$

Write

$$\sum_{i=1}^p \gamma_i(\mu) \delta_{iq} = \sum_{i=0}^{pq-1} \beta'_i(\mu) \lambda_i.$$

Then

$$(39) \quad \beta'_{pq-1}(\mu) = (-1)^{pq-1} \gamma_p(\mu).$$

From Eq(35), Eq(37) and Eq(39) we get

$$(40) \quad \begin{aligned} T(n, \mu) &= \alpha_{p^2-p}(\mu) \beta_{q-1}(\mu+1) + \alpha_{p^2-1}(\mu) \beta_0(\mu+1) \\ &\quad + (-1)^{pq-1} p^{(t-1)(n-\mu)} \gamma_p(\mu). \end{aligned}$$

Combining Eq(38) and Eq(40) we obtain

$$(41) \quad \begin{aligned} \begin{bmatrix} T(n, \mu) \\ U(n, \mu) \end{bmatrix} &= \begin{bmatrix} \alpha_{p^2-p}(\mu) & \alpha_{p^2-1}(\mu) \\ \alpha_{p-1}(\mu) & \alpha_0(\mu) \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} T(n, \mu+1) \\ U(n, \mu+1) \end{bmatrix} \\ &\quad + p^{(t-1)(n-\mu)} \begin{bmatrix} (-1)^{pq-1} \gamma_p(\mu) \\ \sum_{i=1}^p \gamma_i(\mu) \end{bmatrix}. \end{aligned}$$

Let

$$(42) \quad \mathbf{H}_{n,\mu} := \begin{bmatrix} T(n, \mu) \\ U(n, \mu) \end{bmatrix},$$

$$(43) \quad \mathbf{A}_\mu := \begin{bmatrix} \alpha_{p^2-p}(\mu) & \alpha_{p^2-1}(\mu) \\ \alpha_{p-1}(\mu) & \alpha_0(\mu) \end{bmatrix},$$

$$(44) \quad \Gamma_\mu := \begin{bmatrix} (-1)^{pq-1} \gamma_p(\mu) \\ \sum_{i=1}^p \gamma_i(\mu) \end{bmatrix}.$$

We will have the following recursive formula:

$$(45) \quad \mathbf{H}_{n,\mu} = \mathbf{A}_\mu \mathbf{H}_{n,\mu+1} + p^{(t-1)(n-\mu)} \Gamma_\mu.$$

For specific p , $\{d_1, \dots, d_t\}$, and n , the Hilbert-Kunz function of the ring \mathcal{O} in Eq(1) is

$$D_F(\Xi(a_1, \dots, a_t)) = D_F(\Xi(c_1(0), \dots, c_t(0))) = \beta_0(0).$$

Using Eq(9), Eq(10), Eq(20) and Eq(24), we formulate a computer program to evaluate the coefficients $\alpha_i(\mu)$'s of λ_i 's in $\Lambda(n, \mu)$ defined in Eq(33), and to evaluate $\gamma_i(\mu)$'s defined in Eq(32). Thus, the matrices \mathbf{A}_μ and Γ_μ can be obtained; $\mathbf{H}_{n,n}$ can also be obtained by directly decompsing the product

$$\Xi(c_1(n), \dots, c_t(n)) = \prod_{k=1}^t (r_k(\delta_{c_k(n)+1} - \delta_{c_k(n)}) + d_k \delta_{c_k(n)})$$

for $c_k(n) < p$. Now the value of $D_F(\Xi(c_1(0), \dots, c_t(0)))$ can be evaluated by the recursive formula Eq(45). The recursion ends at the $n + 1$ -th step.

5. General formulae and the proof of Theorem 1.1 If $d_k = p^{\epsilon_k} d'_k$ where d'_k is not divisible by p , the function $\mu \mapsto (p^\mu \bmod d_k)$ is periodic for $\mu > \epsilon_k$, and the period is just as that of the function $\mu \mapsto (p^\mu \bmod d'_k)$. Note that in the p -adic expression of $1/d_k = \sum_{\mu=0}^{\infty} b_k(\mu) p^{-\mu}$, we have $b_k(\mu) = b_k(\nu)$ if $p^{\mu-1} \equiv p^{\nu-1} \pmod{d_k}$. Thus if $p^{\mu-1} \equiv p^{\nu-1} \pmod{d_k}$, we will have $\mathbf{A}_\mu = \mathbf{A}_\nu$ and $\Gamma_\mu = \Gamma_\nu$. Hence \mathbf{A}_μ and Γ_μ as functions of μ will also be periodic when $\mu > \Delta := \max_{k=1, \dots, t} \{\epsilon_k\}$. The period ω is a divisor of the least common multiple of the periods of the maps $\mu \mapsto (p^\mu \bmod d'_k)$.

Now we apply our algorithm in §4 to a sufficiently large n . Let

$$n = \Delta + 1 + \tau(n)\omega + \kappa(n)$$

where $0 \leq \kappa(n) < \omega$.

$$\begin{aligned} & \mathbf{H}_{n,0} \\ &= \mathbf{A}_0 \mathbf{H}_{n,1} + p^{n(t-1)} \Gamma_0 \\ &= \mathbf{A}_0 (\mathbf{A}_1 \mathbf{H}_{n,2} + p^{(n-1)(t-1)} \Gamma_1) + p^{n(t-1)} \Gamma_0 \\ &= \mathbf{A}_0 \mathbf{A}_1 \mathbf{H}_{n,2} + p^{(n-1)(t-1)} \mathbf{A}_0 \Gamma_1 + p^{n(t-1)} \Gamma_0 \\ &= \dots \\ &= \mathbf{A}_0 \dots \mathbf{A}_\Delta \mathbf{H}_{n,\Delta+1} + p^{(n-\Delta)(t-1)} \mathbf{A}_0 \dots \mathbf{A}_{\Delta-1} \Gamma_\Delta + \dots + p^{n(t-1)} \Gamma_0. \end{aligned}$$

$$(46) \quad C := \mathbf{A}_0 \cdots \mathbf{A}_\Delta,$$

$$(47) \quad \mathbf{b} := \mathbf{A}_0 \cdots \mathbf{A}_{\Delta-1} \Gamma_\Delta + \cdots + p^{\Delta(t-1)} \Gamma_0.$$

Then

$$(48) \quad \mathbf{H}_{n,0} = \mathbf{C} \cdot \mathbf{H}_{n,\Delta+1} + p^{(n-\Delta)(t-1)} \mathbf{b}.$$

Since A_μ and Γ_μ are periodic as $\mu > \Delta$, with period ω , we have

$$\begin{aligned} & \mathbf{H}_{n,\Delta+1} \\ &= \mathbf{A}_{\Delta+1} \mathbf{H}_{n,\Delta+2} + p^{(t-1)(n-\Delta-1)} \Gamma_{\Delta+1} \\ &= \mathbf{A}_{\Delta+1} (\mathbf{A}_{\Delta+2} \mathbf{H}_{n,\Delta+3} + p^{(t-1)(n-\Delta-2)} \Gamma_{\Delta+2}) + p^{(t-1)(n-\Delta-1)} \Gamma_{\Delta+1} \\ &= \mathbf{A}_{\Delta+1} \mathbf{A}_{\Delta+2} \mathbf{H}_{n,\Delta+3} + p^{(t-1)(n-\Delta-2)} \mathbf{A}_{\Delta+1} \Gamma_{\Delta+2} + p^{(t-1)(n-\Delta-1)} \Gamma_{\Delta+1} \\ &= \mathbf{A}_{\Delta+1} \cdots \mathbf{A}_{\Delta+\omega} \mathbf{H}_{n,\Delta+1+\omega} \\ & \quad + p^{(t-1)(n-\Delta-\omega)} \mathbf{A}_{\Delta+1} \mathbf{A}_{\Delta+2} \cdots \mathbf{A}_{\Delta+\omega-1} \Gamma_{\Delta+\omega} \\ & \quad + \cdots + p^{(t-1)(n-\Delta-2)} \mathbf{A}_{\Delta+1} \Gamma_{\Delta+2} + p^{(t-1)(n-\Delta-1)} \Gamma_{\Delta+1}. \end{aligned}$$

If we denote

$$(49) \quad \mathbf{B} := \mathbf{A}_{\Delta+1} \cdots \mathbf{A}_{\Delta+\omega},$$

$$(50) \quad \begin{aligned} \mathbf{v} := & \mathbf{A}_{\Delta+1} \mathbf{A}_{\Delta+2} \cdots \mathbf{A}_{\Delta+\omega-1} \Gamma_{\Delta+\omega} + \cdots + p^{(t-1)(\omega-2)} \mathbf{A}_{\Delta+1} \Gamma_{\Delta+2} \\ & + p^{(t-1)(\omega-1)} \Gamma_{\Delta+1}, \end{aligned}$$

we will have

$$(51) \quad \mathbf{H}_{n,\Delta+1} = \mathbf{B} \mathbf{H}_{n,\Delta+1+\omega} + p^{(t-1)(n-\Delta-\omega)} \mathbf{v}.$$

Once the p and $\{d_k\}_{k=1}^t$ are given the \mathbf{C} , \mathbf{b} , \mathbf{B} , \mathbf{v} are determined.

By Proposition 4.1, \mathbf{A}_μ is diagonal or of the form $\begin{bmatrix} 0 & * \\ * & 0 \end{bmatrix}$, so are \mathbf{B} and \mathbf{C} . Thus \mathbf{B}^2 must be diagonal. The corollary to Proposition 4.2 says that the eigenvalues of \mathbf{B}^2 do not exceed $p^{2(t-3)\omega}$ for $t \geq 3$. It is harmless for us to take the period ω to be 2ω . In this case \mathbf{B} itself is diagonal.

Take

$$\mathbf{B} = \begin{bmatrix} \xi_1 & 0 \\ 0 & \xi_2 \end{bmatrix},$$

where the ξ_i 's are nonnegative integers with $|\xi_i| \leq p^{(t-3)\omega}$ for $t \geq 3$. The eigenvalues of \mathbf{B} never are $p^{(t-1)\omega}$, and we can take

$$(52) \quad \mathbf{u} := p^{t-1}(p^{(t-1)\omega}\mathbf{I} - \mathbf{B})^{-1}\mathbf{v}.$$

Eq(51) becomes

$$\mathbf{H}_{n,\Delta+1} - p^{(t-1)[n-(\Delta+1)]}\mathbf{u} = \mathbf{B}(\mathbf{H}_{n,\Delta+1+\omega} - p^{(t-1)[n-(\Delta+1+\omega)]}\mathbf{u}).$$

Thus

$$(53) \quad \mathbf{H}_{n,\Delta+1} = p^{(t-1)(n-\Delta-1)}\mathbf{u} + \mathbf{B}^{\tau(n)}(\mathbf{H}_{n,n-\kappa(n)} - p^{(t-1)\kappa(n)}\mathbf{u}).$$

Now $\mathbf{H}_{n,0}$ can be evaluated:

$$\begin{aligned} & \mathbf{H}_{n,0} \\ &= \mathbf{C} \cdot [p^{(t-1)(n-\Delta-1)}\mathbf{u} + \mathbf{B}^{\tau(n)}(\mathbf{H}_{n,n-\kappa(n)} - p^{(t-1)\kappa(n)}\mathbf{u})] + p^{(n-\Delta)(t-1)}\mathbf{b} \\ &= \mathbf{C} \cdot \mathbf{B}^{\tau(n)}[\mathbf{H}_{n,n-\kappa(n)} - p^{(t-1)\kappa(n)}\mathbf{u}] + p^{(t-1)(n-\Delta-1)}\mathbf{C}\mathbf{u} + p^{(n-\Delta)(t-1)}\mathbf{b}. \end{aligned}$$

Set

$$(54) \quad \mathbf{z} := p^{-(t-1)(\Delta+1)}\mathbf{C}\mathbf{u} + p^{-\Delta(t-1)}\mathbf{b}.$$

Then

$$(55) \quad \mathbf{H}_{n,0} = \mathbf{C} \cdot \mathbf{B}^{\tau(n)}[\mathbf{H}_{n,n-\kappa(n)} - p^{(t-1)\kappa(n)}\mathbf{u}] + p^{(t-1)n}\mathbf{z}.$$

Note that $\kappa(n) \equiv n - \Delta - 1 \pmod{\omega}$ is periodic for $n > \Delta$.

$$\begin{aligned} & \mathbf{H}_{n,n-\kappa(n)} \\ &= \mathbf{A}_{\Delta+1}\mathbf{H}_{n,n-\kappa(n)+1} + p^{(t-1)\kappa(n)}\Gamma_{\Delta+1} \\ &= \dots \\ &= \mathbf{A}_{\Delta+1} \cdots \mathbf{A}_{\Delta+\kappa(n)}\mathbf{H}_{n,n} + p^{t-1}\mathbf{A}_{\Delta+1}\mathbf{A}_{\Delta+2} \cdots \mathbf{A}_{\Delta+\kappa(n)-1}\Gamma_{\Delta+\kappa(n)} + \dots \\ & \quad + p^{(t-1)(\kappa(n)-1)}\mathbf{A}_{\Delta+1}\Gamma_{\Delta+2} + p^{(t-1)\kappa(n)}\Gamma_{\Delta+1} \end{aligned}$$

where $\mathbf{H}_{n,n}$ is determined by the product

$$\begin{aligned} & \prod_{k=1}^t [(-1)^{b_k(n)}(p^n \bmod d_k)\lambda_{b_k(n)} + d_k\delta_{b_k(n)}] \\ &= \prod_{k=1}^t [(-1)^{b_k(\Delta+1+\kappa(n))}(p^n \bmod d_k)\lambda_{b_k(\Delta+1+\kappa(n))} + d_k\delta_{b_k(\Delta+1+\kappa(n))}]. \end{aligned}$$

It will be periodic for $n > \Delta + 1$. Denote

$$(56) \quad \Phi(n) := \mathbf{H}_{n,n-\kappa(n)} - p^{(t-1)\kappa(n)} \mathbf{u}.$$

Eq(55) thus becomes

$$(57) \quad \mathbf{H}_{n,0} = \mathbf{C} \cdot \mathbf{B}^{\tau(n)} \Phi(n) + p^{(t-1)n} \mathbf{z}$$

where $\Phi(n)$ is periodic for $n > \Delta + 1$. Now \mathbf{B} is diagonal and \mathbf{C} is diagonal or of the form $\begin{bmatrix} 0 & * \\ * & 0 \end{bmatrix}$, hence we have

$$h(n) = (\mathbf{H}_{n,0})_{2,1} = \xi^{\tau(n)} \phi(n) + cp^{(t-1)n}$$

for some rational c and periodic function ϕ , here $(\mathbf{H}_{n,0})_{2,1}$ means the $(2,1)$ -entry of the matrix $\mathbf{H}_{n,0}$, and ξ is one of the (integral) eigenvalues of \mathbf{B} . By corollary to Proposition 4.2 and Proposition 4.3, we have $\xi \leq p^{(t-3)\omega}$ if p is odd prime and $t \geq 3$, and $\xi = 0$ or 1 if $p = 2$ or $t < 3$. This completes the proof of Theorem 1.1.

Now we give an example here to show how the algorithm works.

Example 1. For odd prime $p, t = 4, d_1 = d_2 = d_3 = d_4 = 2$, we want to prove that

$$h(n) = \frac{4p^{3n} - p^n}{3}.$$

Let $a := \frac{p-1}{2}$. It is easy to check that

$$\frac{1}{2} = ap^{-1} + ap^{-2} + \dots = 0.\bar{a}.$$

Thus the period ω is 1, and the length of non-periodic section Δ is 0. Since $b_k(0) = 0$ for $k = 1, \dots, 4$, we have $\Lambda(n, 0) = \lambda_0$ and $\Gamma_0 = \mathbf{0} = \mathbf{b}$.

$$\begin{aligned}\Lambda(n, 1) &= (\lambda_{\alpha q}^2)^2 \\ &= (\lambda_0 + \lambda_{2q-1} + \lambda_{2q} + \cdots + \lambda_{(p-1)q-1} + \lambda_{(p-1)q})^2.\end{aligned}$$

By Eq(10) and Eq(24), we find that λ_0 appears in λ_{2iq} , λ_{2jq} and in λ_{2iq-1} , λ_{2jq-1} if and only if $i = j$. We also find that λ_0 never appears in $\lambda_{2iq}\lambda_{2jq-1}$. There are p choices of the pairs (k, l) where $k, l \in \{2iq, 2jq - 1 : 0 \leq i \leq \frac{p-1}{2}, 1 \leq j \leq \frac{p-1}{2}\}$, such that λ_0 appears in $\lambda_k\lambda_l$. The coefficient of λ_0 in $\Lambda(n, \mu)$ is p . Similarly, the coefficient of $\lambda_{(p-1)q}$ in $\Lambda(n, \mu)$ is also p . We have

$$\Lambda(n, \mu) = p\lambda_0 + \alpha_{2p-1}(\mu)\lambda_{2q-1} + \cdots + p\lambda_{(p-1)q}$$

for all $\mu \geq 1$. Thus $\mathbf{C} = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix}$ and $\mathbf{B} = \mathbf{A}_\mu = \begin{bmatrix} p & 0 \\ 0 & p \end{bmatrix}$. The $(2,1)$ -entry of Γ_1 is

$$D_F([(-1)^{(p-1)/2}\lambda_{(p-1)/2} + 2\delta_{(p-1)/2}]^4 - \lambda_{(p-1)/2}^4) = h(1) - p$$

So we have

$$\mathbf{v} = \Gamma_1 = \begin{bmatrix} * \\ h(1) - p \end{bmatrix},$$

$$\mathbf{u} = p^{t-1}(p^{(t-1)\omega}\mathbf{I} - \mathbf{B})^{-1}\mathbf{v} = \frac{p^3}{p^3 - p}\mathbf{v} = \frac{p^3}{p^3 - p}\Gamma_1,$$

$$\mathbf{z} = p^{-(t-1)(\Delta+1)}\mathbf{C}\mathbf{u} + p^{-\Delta(t-1)}\mathbf{b} = \frac{1}{p^3 - p}\Gamma_1.$$

For $\omega = 1$, $\kappa(n)$ is always 0.

$$\Phi(n) = \mathbf{H}_{n,n} - \mathbf{u}.$$

Note that the $(2,1)$ -entry of $\mathbf{H}_{n,n}$ is $D_F([(-1)^{(p-1)/2}\lambda_{(p-1)/2} + 2\delta_{(p-1)/2}]^4) = h(1)$.

$$\Phi(n)_{2,1} = h(1) - \frac{p^3}{p^3 - p}(h(1) - p) = \frac{ph(1) - p^4}{p^3 - p},$$

$$h(n) = (\mathbf{H}_{n,0})_{2,1} = p^{n-1}\Phi(n)_{2,1} + p^{3n}z_{2,1} = p^n \frac{h(1) - p^3}{p^3 - p} + p^{3n} \frac{h(1) - p}{p^3 - p}.$$

We are going to show that

$$h(1) = (4p^3 - p)/3.$$

If we do, we will have $h(n) = (4p^{3n} - p^n)/3$.

$$\begin{aligned} \text{Note that } h(1) &= D_F([(-1)^{(p-1)/2} \lambda_{(p-1)/2} + 2\delta_{(p-1)/2}]^4) \\ &= D_F([\delta_{(p+1)/2} + \delta_{(p-1)/2}]^4). \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned} &(\delta_{(p+1)/2} + \delta_{(p-1)/2})^4 \\ &= (\delta_{(p-1)/2}^2 + 2\delta_{(p-1)/2}\delta_{(p+1)/2} + \delta_{(p+1)/2}^2)^2 \\ &= [(\delta_1 + \delta_3 + \dots + \delta_{p-2}) + 2(\delta_2 + \delta_4 + \dots + \delta_{p-1}) \\ &\quad + (\delta_1 + \delta_3 + \dots + \delta_{p-2} + \delta_p)]^2 \\ &= (2\delta_1 + 2\delta_2 + \dots + 2\delta_{p-1} + \delta_p)^2. \end{aligned}$$

it is easy to show that

$$(58) \quad D_F(\delta_i \delta_j) = \min(i, j)$$

for all i, j . To prove this, if $i \leq j$, we observe that

$$D_F(\delta_i \delta_j) = \dim_F F[x, y]/(x^i, y^j, x + y).$$

Because $F[x, y]/(x^i, y^j, x + y) = F[x]/(x^i)$, Eq(58) follows.

$$\begin{aligned} &D_F([\delta_{(p+1)/2} + \delta_{(p-1)/2}]^4) \\ &= D_F([2\delta_1 + 2\delta_2 + \dots + 2\delta_{p-1} + \delta_p]^2) \\ &= D_F(2\delta_1[2\delta_1 + 2\delta_2 + \dots + 2\delta_{p-1} + \delta_p]) \\ &\quad + D_F(2\delta_2[2\delta_1 + 2\delta_2 + \dots + 2\delta_{p-1} + \delta_p]) \\ &\quad + \dots + D_F(2\delta_{p-1}[2\delta_1 + 2\delta_2 + \dots + 2\delta_{p-1} + \delta_p]) \\ &\quad + D_F(\delta_p[2\delta_1 + 2\delta_2 + \dots + 2\delta_{p-1} + \delta_p]) \\ &= 2[2(p-1) + 1] + 2[2 + 4(p-2) + 2] + \dots \\ &\quad + 2[2 + 4 + \dots + 2(p-1) + p-1] + [p(p-1) + p] \\ &= (4p^3 - p)/3. \end{aligned}$$

Note: In Theorem 1.1, while $t \geq 4$ and p is odd, we actually have $|l| = p^{\omega(t-3)}$ if and only if $t = 4$ and for each i , $d_i = 2p^{e_i}$ for some non-negative integers e_i . For given $p > 2$ and t , the maximal value of l in Theorem 1.1 will be attained while $d_i = 2p^{e_i}$ for each i . These can be easily shown by the remark following Corollary 2 to Proposition 4.2.

6. The proof of Theorem 1.2. In this section, by using the representation ring again, we want to prove Theorem 1.2.

Let $M := F[X_1, \dots, X_s]/(X_1^{p^n}, \dots, X_s^{p^n})$ with T -acting by multiplication by $X_1^{d_1} \cdots X_s^{d_s}$, i.e.,

$$Tf(X_1, X_2, \dots, X_s) := X_1^{d_1} \cdots X_s^{d_s} f(X_1, \dots, X_s).$$

Then M is an $F[T]$ -object. We may assume that $d_1 \geq d_2 \geq \cdots \geq d_s$. It is easy to check that

$$\dim_F(M/T^j M) = p^{sn} - \prod_{i=1}^s (p^n - jd_i),$$

for $0 \leq j \leq \lfloor p^n/d_1 \rfloor$. By the T action on M and the definition of ψ , we can also assume that

$$\psi(M) = \sum_{j=1}^{a_1+1} \alpha_j \delta_j,$$

where $a_i = \lfloor \frac{p^n}{d_i} \rfloor$ and $p^n = a_i d_i + r_i$. By a simple deduction, we have

$$\alpha_1 + 2\alpha_2 + 3\alpha_3 + \cdots + j\alpha_j + j\alpha_{j+1} + \cdots + j\alpha_{a_1+1} = p^{sn} - \prod_{i=1}^s (p^n - jd_i).$$

Note here that the residue r_i is an eventually periodic function of n . From this, we can evaluate α_j 's. The results are as follows:

$$\alpha_j = -2 \prod_{i=1}^s (p^n - jd_i) + \prod_{i=1}^s (p^n - (j-1)d_i) + \prod_{i=1}^s (p^n - (j+1)d_i)$$

for $1 \leq j \leq a_1 - 1$ and

$$\alpha_{a_1} = -2 \prod_{i=1}^s (p^n - a_1 d_i) + \prod_{i=1}^s (p^n - (a_1 - 1)d_i),$$

$$\alpha_{a_1+1} = \prod_{i=1}^s (p^n - a_1 d_i).$$

Similarly, let $e_1 \geq e_2 \geq \dots \geq e_t$, $p^n = b_i e_i + w_i$ with $0 \leq w_i < e_i$, and let

$$N := F[Y_1, \dots, Y_t]/(Y_1^{p^n}, \dots, Y_t^{p^n})$$

with T -acting by multiplication by $Y_1^{e_1} \dots Y_t^{e_t}$. Set

$$\psi(N) = \sum_{j=1}^{b_1+1} \beta_j \delta_j.$$

Then we have

$$\beta_j = -2 \prod_{i=1}^t (p^n - j e_i) + \prod_{i=1}^t (p^n - (j-1) e_i) + \prod_{i=1}^t (p^n - (j+1) e_i)$$

for $1 \leq j \leq b_1 - 1$ and

$$\beta_{b_1} = -2 \prod_{i=1}^t (p^n - b_1 e_i) + \prod_{i=1}^t (p^n - (b_1 - 1) e_i),$$

$$\beta_{b_1+1} = \prod_{i=1}^t (p^n - b_1 e_i).$$

Now we want to evaluate

$$H := D_F \left(\left(\sum_{i=1}^{a_1+1} \alpha_i \delta_i \right) \left(\sum_{j=1}^{b_1+1} \beta_j \delta_j \right) \right).$$

Assume that $d_1 \geq e_1$. By Eq(58) we have

$$\begin{aligned} H &= \sum_i \sum_j \alpha_i \beta_j \min(i, j) \\ &= \alpha_1 \left(\sum_{j=1}^{b_1+1} \beta_j \right) + \alpha_2 \left(\beta_1 + 2 \sum_{j=2}^{b_1+1} \beta_j \right) + \alpha_3 \left(\beta_1 + 2\beta_2 + 3 \sum_{j=3}^{b_1+1} \beta_j \right) \\ &\quad + \dots + \alpha_{a_1+1} \left[\beta_1 + 2\beta_2 + 3\beta_3 + \dots + a_1 \beta_{a_1} + (a_1 + 1) \left(\sum_{j=a_1+1}^{b_1+1} \beta_j \right) \right]. \end{aligned}$$

Now

$$\beta_1 + 2\beta_2 + 3\beta_3 + \cdots + j\beta_j + j\beta_{j+1} + \cdots + j\beta_{b_1+1} = p^{tn} - \prod_{i=1}^t (p^n - je_i)$$

for $j \leq b_1$ and

$$\sum_{j=1}^{b_1+1} j\beta_j = p^{tn}.$$

Therefore, if $b_1 > a_1$,

$$(59) \quad H = \sum_{\mu=1}^{a_1+1} \alpha_\mu \left[p^{tn} - \prod_{i=1}^t (p^n - \mu e_i) \right],$$

and if $b_1 = a_1$,

$$(60) \quad H = \sum_{\mu=1}^{a_1} \alpha_\mu \left[p^{tn} - \prod_{i=1}^t (p^n - \mu e_i) \right] + \alpha_{a_1+1} p^{tn}.$$

Notice that the latter case happens for large n only if $d_1 = e_1$. We first consider the case $b_1 > a_1$. Let s_i and t_i be the i -th elementary symmetric polynomials in d_k 's and e_k 's respectively. For $\mu < a_1$,

$$\alpha_\mu = \sum_{j=2}^s (-1)^j s_j (2\mu^j - (\mu+1)^j - (\mu-1)^j) p^{n(t-j)},$$

and

$$p^{tn} - \prod_{i=1}^t (p^n - \mu e_i) = \sum_{l=1}^t (-1)^{l-1} t_l \mu^l p^{n(t-l)}.$$

Then

$$\begin{aligned} & \alpha_\mu \left[p^{tn} - \prod_{i=1}^t (p^n - \mu e_i) \right] \\ &= \sum_{j=2}^s \sum_{l=1}^t \mu^l (2\mu^j - (\mu+1)^j - (\mu-1)^j) (-1)^{j+l-1} s_j t_l p^{n(s+t-l-j)} \\ &= \sum_{j=2}^s \sum_{l=1}^t \left[j(j-1)\mu^{j+l-2} + O(\mu^{j+l-4}) \right] (-1)^{j+l-1} s_j t_l p^{n(s+t-l-j)}, \end{aligned}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned}
& \sum_{\mu=1}^{a_1-1} [j(j-1)\mu^{j+l-2} + O(\mu^{j+l-4})] \\
&= j(j-1) \frac{a_1^{j+l-1}}{j+l-1} + O(a^{j+l-2}) \\
&= \frac{j(j-1)}{(j+l-1)d_1^{j+l-1}} p^{n(j+l-1)} + O(p^{n(j+l-2)}).
\end{aligned}$$

We note here that

$$k \mapsto \sum_{\mu=1}^k \mu^j$$

is a polynomial function of k with leading term $k^{j+1}/(j+1)$. Therefore,

$$\begin{aligned}
(61) \quad & \sum_{\mu=1}^{a_1-1} \alpha_\mu \left[p^{tn} - \prod_{i=1}^t (p^n - \mu e_i) \right] \\
&= \left[\sum_{j=2}^s \sum_{l=1}^t \frac{j(j-1)}{(j+l-1)d_1^{j+l-1}} (-1)^{j+l-1} s_j t_l \right] p^{n(s+t-1)} + O(p^{n(j+l-2)}).
\end{aligned}$$

On the other hand,

$$\begin{aligned}
(62) \quad & \alpha_{a_1} \left[p^{tn} - \prod_{i=1}^t (p^n - a_1 e_i) \right] \\
&= -2 \prod_{i=1}^s (p^n - a_1 d_i) + \prod_{i=1}^s (p^n - (a_1 - 1)d_i) \left[p^{tn} - \prod_{i=1}^t (p^n - a_1 e_i) \right] \\
&= \left\{ -2r_1 \prod_{j=2}^s [((d_1 - d_j)p^n + r_1 d_j)/d_1] + (d_1 + r_1) \prod_{j=2}^s [((d_1 - d_j)p^n \right. \\
&\quad \left. + r_1 d_j)/d_1 + d_j] \right\} \times \left(p^{tn} - \prod_{i=1}^t \left[p^n - (p^n - r_1)e_i/d_1 \right] \right) \\
&= (d_1 - r_1) \prod_{j=2}^s (1 - d_j/d_1) \left[1 - \prod_{i=1}^t (1 - e_i/d_1) \right] p^{(t+s-1)n} + O(p^{(t+s-2)n}),
\end{aligned}$$

and for $a_1 < b_1$, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
& \alpha_{a_1+1} \left[p^{tn} - \prod_{i=1}^t (p^n - (a_1 + 1)e_i) \right] \\
(63) \quad & = r_1 \prod_{j=2}^s [((d_1 - d_j)p^n + r_1 d_j)/d_1] \left[p^{tn} - \prod_{i=1}^t (p^n - (a_1 + 1)e_i) \right] \\
& = r_1 \prod_{j=2}^s (1 - d_j/d_1) \left[1 - \prod_{i=1}^t (1 - e_i/d_1) \right] p^{(t+s-1)n} + O(p^{(t+s-2)n});
\end{aligned}$$

for $a_1 = b_1$, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
& \alpha_{a_1+1} p^{tn} \\
& = r_1 \prod_{j=2}^s [((d_1 - d_j)p^n + r_1 d_j)/d_1] p^{tn} \\
& = r_1 \prod_{j=2}^s (1 - d_j/d_1) p^{(t+s-1)n} + O(p^{(t+s-2)n}).
\end{aligned}$$

But for $n \gg 0$, $a_1 = b_1$ only if $d_1 = e_1$. Hence the formula (63) is valid for $a_1 \leq b_1$ if $n \gg 0$. By (59) to (63), we get

$$H = cp^{(t+s-1)n} + O(p^{(t+s-2)n})$$

for $n \gg 0$ where

$$\begin{aligned}
c := & d_1 \prod_{j=2}^s (1 - d_j/d_1) \left[1 - \prod_{i=1}^t (1 - e_i/d_1) \right] \\
& + \sum_{j=2}^s \sum_{l=1}^t \left[\frac{j(j-1)}{(j+l-1)d_1^{j+l-1}} (-1)^{j+l-1} s_j t_l \right]
\end{aligned}$$

is a rational number.

Note that the $O(p^{(t+s-2)n})$ is a polynomial function of $1/d_1, d_1, d_2, \dots, d_s, r_1, e_1, \dots, e_t$ and p^n over \mathbb{Q} and $r_1 = (p^n \bmod d_1)$ is an eventually periodic function. Thus

$$H = cp^{(t+s-1)n} + \Delta_{t+s-2} p^{(t+s-2)n} + \Delta_{t+s-3} p^{(t+s-3)n} + \dots + \Delta_0$$

where Δ_i 's are eventually periodic functions.

Example 2. Let $\mathcal{O} := \mathbb{Z}/(p)[[x, y, z, t]]/(xy + zt)$. We want to show that

$$e_n(\mathcal{O}) = (4p^{3n} - p^n)/3.$$

Let $q := p^n$. Then $M := \mathbb{Z}/(p)[x, y]/(x^q, y^q)$, $N := \mathbb{Z}/(p)[z, t]/(z^q, t^q)$ are $\mathbb{Z}/(p)$ -objects if the T -action is defined as:

$$T(f) := (xy)f, \quad f \in M,$$

$$T(g) := (zt)g, \quad g \in N.$$

It is easy to see that

$$\psi(M) = \psi(N) = 2\delta_1 + 2\delta_2 + \cdots + 2\delta_{q-1} + \delta_q$$

Thus by a similar process as in Example 1, we have:

$$e_n(\mathcal{O}) = (4q^3 - q)/3.$$

Example 3. (cf.[7]) Let $\mathcal{O} := \mathbb{Z}/(p)[[x, y, z]]/(x^m + yz)$. We show that

$$e_n(\mathcal{O}) = (2 - 1/m)p^{2n} + (r^2/m - 1)$$

where r is the remainder of $q := p^n$ divided by m . Here we have to evaluate

$$D_F((2\delta_1 + 2\delta_2 + \cdots + 2\delta_{q-1} + \delta_q)[(m - r)\delta_a + r\delta_{a+1}]),$$

where $p^n = q = ma + r$. By Eq(58), it's easy.

Acknowledgments. It is for us a pleasure to thank Professor M. C. Kang; his advicement and constant encouragement convince us in making this article more complete.

References

1. L. Chiang, *Hilbert-Kunz functions*, Doctoral Thesis, National Taiwan Normal University, 1996.

2. A. Conca, *Hilbert-Kunz function of monomial ideals and binomial hypersurfaces*, *Manuscripta Math.* **90** (1996), 287-300.
3. C. Han, *The Hilbert-Kunz function of a diagonal hypersurface*, Doctoral Thesis, Brandeis University, 1992.
4. C. Han and P. Monsky, *Some surprising Hilbert-Kunz functions*, *Math. Z.* **214** (1993), 119-135.
5. E. Kunz, *Characterizations of regular local rings of characteristic p* , *Amer. J. Math.* **41** (1969), 772-784.
6. E. Kunz, *On Noetherian rings of characteristic p* , *Amer. J. Math.* **98** (1976), 999-1013.
7. P. Monsky, *The Hilbert-Kunz function*, *Math. Ann.* **263** (1983), 43-49.

Department of Mathematics, National Taiwan University, Taipei, Taiwan.
E-mail: chiangl@math.ntu.edu.tw. FAX:886-2-391-4439.

Department of Mathematics, National Taiwan Normal University, Taipei, Taiwan.
E-mail: hungyc@math.ntnu.edu.tw. FAX:886-2-933-2342.