

## ON UNIQUENESS OF POSITIVE SOLUTIONS OF NON-LINEAR DIFFERENTIAL EQUATIONS

BY

CHIU-CHUN CHANG (張秋俊) AND CHIU-YANG CHANG (張秋陽)

**Abstract.** It is shown that for  $y'' + g(x)y^\gamma(x) = 0$ ,  $\gamma > 1$ ,  $g(x) > 0$ , there is at most one positive  $C^1$  solution  $y$  with  $y(0) = 0$  and tending to a positive constant at infinity, under the condition that  $\frac{\gamma+3}{2} + \frac{xg'}{g}$  has only finite number of zeros and there is a positive solution with positive Pohozaev function.

**1. Introduction.** In this paper, we are concerned about the uniqueness of positive  $C^1$  solutions of the non-linear ordinary differential equation

$$(1) \quad y''(x) + g(x)y^\gamma(x) = 0, \quad 0 < x < \infty,$$

with  $y(0) = 0$  and  $\lim_{x \rightarrow \infty} y(x)$  a positive constant; where  $g(x)$  is positive continuous and  $\gamma > 1$ .

The discussion below will cover the case in finite interval  $[\alpha, \beta]$ , with  $0 \leq \alpha < \beta$  and boundary conditions

$$(2) \quad y(\alpha) = 0, \quad y'(\beta) = 0.$$

Notice that in this case, there were already many articles concerned about the existence and uniqueness of positive solution. [1] [2] [3] [4] [5]. Essentially, the uniqueness problem is only solved partially.

For semi-linear elliptic equations of the form

---

Received by the editors January 26, 1996.

AMS Subject Classifications: 34B15.

Key Words: Non-linear differential equations, positive solution, uniqueness.

$$(3) \quad \Delta u + p(|x|)u^\gamma = 0, \quad x \in R^n, \quad n \geq 3 \text{ and } \gamma > 1,$$

one interests in the radially symmetric solutions  $u(r) = u(|x|)$ , which satisfies the ordinary differential equation [7] [8]

$$(4) \quad u'' + \frac{n-1}{r}u' + p(r)u^\gamma = 0.$$

with the change of variables  $s = r^{n-2}$ ,  $y(s) = su(r(s))$ , the equation reduces to

$$(5) \quad y''(s) + \frac{1}{(n-2)^2} \frac{r^2 p(r)}{s^{1+\gamma}} y^\gamma(s) = 0,$$

that is, of the form (1) with  $g(s) = \frac{1}{(n-2)^2} \frac{r^2 p(r)}{s^{1+\gamma}}$

The uniqueness of positive solutions of order  $O(r^{2-n})$  (a ground state) is of great interests and is included in the problem of equation (1).

**2. A Pohozaev identity.** The Pohozaev identity for solutions of (4) is [8]

$$(6) \quad \frac{n-2}{2} r^{n-1} u(r) u'(r) + \frac{1}{2} r^n u'^2(r) + \frac{r^n}{\gamma+1} p(r) u(r)^{\gamma+1} \\ = \int_0^r \left\{ \left( \frac{n}{\gamma+1} - \frac{n}{2} \right) p(\alpha) u^{\gamma+1} + \frac{1}{\gamma+1} \alpha p'(\alpha) u^{\gamma+1} \right\} \alpha^{n-1} d\alpha$$

Equivalently  $G_y(s)$ , the Pohozaev function of  $y$  is equal to

$$(7) \quad G_y(s) \equiv sy'(s)^2 - y(s)y'(s) + \frac{2}{\gamma+1} sg(s)y^{\gamma+1}(s) \\ = \frac{2}{\gamma+1} \int_0^s \left( \frac{tg'(t)}{g(t)} + \frac{\gamma+3}{2} \right) g(t)y^{\gamma+1}(t) dt \\ \equiv \int_0^s Q(t)g(t)y^{\gamma+1}(t) dt.$$

we mention that this function also appeared in [6] for solutions of (1). We assume that  $Q(t)$  is continuous throughout the whole interval considered and has only a finite number of zeros.

### 3. A generalized mean value theorem.

**Theorem 1.** *Let  $y$  be a fixed positive solution of (1) with  $G_y(x) > 0$ , and  $y_1$  another (arbitrary) solution of (1), then*

$$(8) \quad \frac{G_{y_1}(x)}{G_y(x)} = \left(\frac{y_1}{y}\right)^{\gamma+1}(\xi), \quad \text{some } \xi \text{ with } 0 < \xi < x.$$

*Proof.* The proof is similar to that of Cauchy Mean Value Theorem in calculus. In fact, let

$$(9) \quad H(t) \equiv G_{y_1}(t) - \frac{G_{y_1}(x)}{G_y(x)} G_y(t),$$

then  $H(x) = H(0) = 0$ . At extrema (say maximum)  $\xi$ ,  $0 < \xi < x$ , we have

$$\begin{aligned} H(\xi + h) - H(\xi) &\leq 0, \quad \text{small } h > 0 \\ &\leq 0, \quad h < 0. \end{aligned}$$

This implies

$$(10) \quad \begin{aligned} \frac{1}{h} \int_{\xi}^{\xi+h} Q(t)g(t)y_1^{\gamma+1} dt &= \frac{G_{y_1}(\xi+h) - G_{y_1}(\xi)}{h} \\ &\leq \frac{G_{y_1}(x)}{G_y(x)} \cdot \frac{G_y(\xi+h) - G_y(\xi)}{h} \\ &= \frac{G_{y_1}(x)}{G_y(x)} \cdot \frac{1}{h} \int_{\xi}^{\xi+h} Q(t)g(t)y^{\gamma+1} dt \end{aligned}$$

for  $h > 0$  and similarly for  $h < 0$  (with  $\geq$ ). Then equality (8) follows by L'Hopital Rule.

### 4. The Sturm-Picone like theorem for nonlinear equation (1).

Assume that  $y$  is a fixed positive solution of (1),  $y_1$ , another solution with  $y_1'(0) > y'(0)$ ,  $y_1$  stays positive in  $(0, a)$  and  $y_1(x) \geq y(x)$  in  $(0, b)$ , so that  $b < a$ . We have  $y_1'y - y_1y' < 0$  in  $(0, b)$  because

$$(11) \quad (y_1'y - y_1y')(x) = \int_0^x y_1yg[y^\gamma - y_1^\gamma]dt.$$

Write

$$(12) \quad w_1(x) = \frac{xy_1'(x)}{y_1(x)}, \quad w(x) = \frac{xy'(x)}{y(x)},$$

then  $w_1 < w$  in  $(0, b)$ .

**Theorem 2.**  *$y, y_1$  as above. We further assume  $G_y(x) > 0$ , then we have  $w_1 < w$  in  $(0, a)$ . That is, as long as  $y_1$  stays positive, then  $\frac{y_1}{y}$  is decreasing.*

*Proof.* We want to prove that there is no way that  $w_1 = w$ . As stated before, in  $(0, b)$ , we have  $w_1 < w$ . If there is a first point  $c$  such that  $w_1(c) = w(c)$ , then  $y_1(c) < y(c)$ : For at first point  $b$  of crossing,  $y_1(b) = y(b)$  and  $y_1'(b) < y'(b)$  so that  $w_1(b) < w(b)$  and at second point  $d$  of crossing (if any), we have  $y_1(d) = y(d)$  and  $y_1'(d) > y'(d)$  so that  $w_1(d) > w(d)$ . In between, there is a point  $c$  with  $w_1(c) = w(c)$ .

Now, by Theorem 1,

$$\begin{aligned} G_{y_1}(c) &= G_y(c) \left( \frac{y_1(\xi)}{y(\xi)} \right)^{\gamma+1}, \quad 0 < \xi < c \\ &> G_y(c) \left( \frac{y_1(c)}{y(c)} \right)^{\gamma+1}, \end{aligned}$$

because  $\frac{y_1}{y}$  is decreasing in  $(0, c)$  or equivalently  $w_1 < w$  there. Hence

$$(k^{-1})^{\gamma+1} G_y(c) > G_{y_1}(c), \quad k = \frac{y_1(c)}{y(c)} < 1.$$

From Pohozaev identity for  $y$  and  $y_1$ , evaluated at  $c$ , we have

$$(13) \quad (k^{-1})^{\gamma+1} [cy_1'^2(c) - y_1(c)y_1'(c)] > cy'^2(c) - y(c)y'(c).$$

At  $c$ ,  $w_1(c) = w(c)$  implies  $\frac{y_1'(c)}{y_1(c)} = \frac{y'(c)}{y(c)}$ . Or,  $\frac{y_1(c)}{y(c)} = \frac{y_1'(c)}{y'(c)} = k$ . Therefore (13) leads to  $[cy'^2(c) - y(c)y'(c)] > k^{\gamma-1} [cy_1'^2(c) - y_1(c)y_1'(c)]$ .

That is not the case because  $k < 1$  and  $cy'^2(c) - y(c)y'(c)$  is always negative. (It is well known  $y'(x) > 0$ ,  $0 < xy'(x) < y(x)$ ) The proof is completed.

### 5. The main uniqueness theorem.

**Theorem 3.** *Assume that there is a positive solution  $z$  of (1) with  $z(0) = 0$  and  $G_z(x) > 0$ . Also assume that  $\frac{\gamma+3}{2} + \frac{xg'(x)}{g(x)}$  is continuous and has only finite number of zeros. Then (1) has at most one positive solution  $y$  with  $y(0) = 0$  and tending to a positive constant at infinity.*

*Proof.* Assume there are two positive solution  $y$  and  $y_1$  as stated. We may assume  $y_1'(0) > y'(0)$ . Hence

$$(14) \quad \left(\frac{y_1}{y}\right)'(x) = \frac{y_1'(x)y(x) - y_1(x)y'(x)}{y^2(x)} < 0, \quad (\text{by Theorem 2})$$

And it is well known (by (11)) that there is a point  $a$  such that  $y_1(a) = y(a)$ .

Hence  $\lim_{x \rightarrow \infty} y_1'(x) = 0 = \lim_{x \rightarrow \infty} y'(x)$  and of course  $\lim_{x \rightarrow \infty} y_1(x) < \lim_{x \rightarrow \infty} y(x)$ . Also, it is clear that  $G_y(x) > 0$  by generalized mean value theorem applied to  $z$  and  $y$ .

Let  $b$  be the last zero of  $Q(x)$ , then

$$(15) \quad G_{y_1}(x) - G_{y_1}(b) = \int_b^x Q(t)g(t)y_1^{\gamma+1}(t)dt,$$

$$(16) \quad G_y(x) - G_y(b) = \int_b^x Q(t)g(t)y^{\gamma+1}(t)dt, \quad b < x < \infty$$

$$(17) \quad \frac{G_{y_1}(b)}{G_y(b)} \equiv k = \left(\frac{y_1}{y}(\xi)\right)^{\gamma+1}, \quad 0 < \xi < b \quad (\text{Theorem 1})$$

$$> \left(\frac{y_1}{y}(t)\right)^{\gamma+1}, \quad t \geq b. \quad (\text{Theorem 2})$$

Consider (15)  $- k \times$  (16) and letting  $x \rightarrow \infty$ , then the left hand side tends to zero because  $0 < xy'(x) < y(x)$ ,  $0 < xy_1'(x) < y_1(x)$  and it is well known that  $xg(x)$  is integrable (Atkinson Theorem). Now,  $y_1^{\gamma+1}(t) < ky^{\gamma+1}(t)$ ,  $t \geq b$ , so that the integrand of right hand side of (15)  $- k \times$  (16) is everywhere positive (or everywhere negative) and contradiction follows. Therefore the theorem is proved.

**Remark.** For positive solution  $z$  with  $z(0) = 0$  and tending to a positive constant at infinity, the condition  $G_z(x) > 0$  is satisfied if  $Q(x) > 0$

everywhere or  $Q(x)$  is positive at beginning and across zero only at once. (cf. The right hand side of Pohozeav identity)

**6. Finite interval  $[\alpha, \beta]$ .** On  $[\alpha, \beta]$ , with  $\alpha \geq 0$ , we also assume  $\frac{\gamma+3}{2} + \frac{sg'}{g}$  is continuous and has only finite number of zeros. The existence of a positive solution of (1) with  $y(\alpha) = 0$ ,  $y'(\beta) = 0$  is asserted in [4] for  $\alpha > 0$ . For  $\alpha = 0$ , of course we need some integrable condition on  $g$ . cf. [1].

It is also true that for two such solutions  $y$  and  $y_1$ , there is a point  $a$  in  $(\alpha, \beta)$  with  $y(a) = y_1(a)$ . The decreasing of  $\frac{y_1(x)}{y(x)}$  is proved in the same way as before under the condition that  $y'_1(0) > y'(0)$  and that there is positive solution  $z$  with  $z(0) = 0$  and  $G_z(x) > 0$ .

**Theorem 4.** *In  $[\alpha, \beta]$ , we assume (1) has a positive solution  $z$  with  $z(\alpha) = 0$ ,  $G_z(x) > 0$  and  $Q$  has only finite number of zeros. Then (1) has at most one positive solution satisfying  $y(\alpha) = 0$ ,  $y'(\beta) = 0$ .*

*Proof.* Assume there are two such solutions  $y$  and  $y_1$ , then as before  $G_y(x) > 0$  and also  $\frac{y_1}{y}$  is decreasing. Using Pohozaev identities (15) (16) as before at  $b$ , where  $b$  is the last zero of  $Q$  if  $Q(\beta) \neq 0$  or the zero prior to the last if  $Q(\beta) = 0$ . Now,  $k \equiv (\frac{y_1}{y})^{\gamma+1}(b) > (\frac{y_1}{y})^{\gamma+1}(t) > (\frac{y_1}{y})^{\gamma+1}(\beta) \equiv m$ ,  $b < t < \beta$ . When  $Q(t) < 0$  in  $(b, \beta)$ , we consider (15)  $- k \times$  (16), evaluated at  $\beta$ . When  $Q(t) > 0$  in  $(b, \beta)$ , we consider (15)  $- m \times$  (16), also evaluated at  $\beta$ . In both cases, the left hand side is negative, while the right hand side is positive. The contradictions proved the theorem.

**Corollary 5.** (Moroney) *If  $g'(x) > 0$  everywhere then uniqueness follows.*

*Proof.* For then  $Q(x) = \frac{\gamma+3}{2} + \frac{xg'(x)}{g(x)} > 0$  and  $b = \alpha$  in the proof of theorem 4. Notice that in this case  $G_y(x) > 0$  for positive solution  $y$ . The Remark following theorem 3 is still hold in this finite interval case.

**Remark.** Moroney theorem can be eased to  $Q(x) > 0$ .

**Remark.** When  $Q(x) \equiv 0$ , the theorem is still hold. For  $G_y(x) \equiv 0 \equiv G_{y_1}(x)$ , when evaluated at  $\beta$ , leads to  $y(\beta) = y_1(\beta)$ . Adding to the

condition  $y'(\beta) = y_1'(\beta) = 0$ , the backward initial value problem implies the uniqueness.

### 7. Examples.

(a) In [2], the equation considered is

$$(18) \quad \begin{aligned} y'' + (x \operatorname{csch}^2(x))^2 y^3 &= 0 \\ \frac{\gamma + 3}{2} + \frac{xg'}{g} &= 3 + 2[1 - 2x \coth x]. \end{aligned}$$

Notice that  $1 - 2x \coth x < 0$  and is actually decreasing to  $-\infty$ . Also, the existence of a positive solution tending to a positive constant is asserted [2] or can be inferred from [1]. So that the Remark of Theorem 3 applied to this case and Uniqueness hold.

(b) In [8], the Matukuma equation was considered,

$$(19) \quad \Delta u + \frac{1}{1+r^2} u^\gamma = 0, \quad 1 < \gamma < \frac{n+2}{n-2}.$$

For radial solution  $u(r) = u(|x|)$ , this reduces to

$$(20) \quad u_{rr} + \frac{n-1}{r} u_r + \frac{1}{1+r^2} u^\gamma = 0, \quad r > 0.$$

Write  $y(s) = su(r)$ ,  $r = s^{\frac{1}{n-2}}$ , then

$$(21) \quad y'' + g(s)y^\gamma(s) = 0, \quad g(s) = \frac{1}{(n-2)^2} \frac{r^2}{s^{1+\gamma}}.$$

So,  $\frac{\gamma+3}{2} + \frac{sg'}{g} = \frac{1-\gamma}{2} + \frac{2}{(n-2)(1+r^2)}$ , and  $Q(0) > 0$  because  $\gamma < \frac{n+2}{n-2}$ . Also  $Q(\infty) < 0$  because  $\gamma > 1$ . The existence of a positive solution tending to a positive constant can be inferred from [1]. Hence the Remark of Theorem 3 applies to this case too. (The decreasing of  $Q$  is obvious.)

(c) For the semi-linear elliptic equation

$$(22) \quad \Delta u + u^{\frac{n+2}{n-2}} = 0,$$

we have infinite positive solutions tending to zero [7]. Or,

$$(23) \quad y'' + g(s)y^{\frac{n+2}{n-2}} = 0, \quad g(s) = \frac{1}{s^{2+\frac{2}{n-2}}},$$

has infinite many positive solution tending to positive constants. (with 0 initials) In this case, we have  $\frac{\gamma+3}{2} + \frac{sg'}{g} \equiv 0$  and the condition of theorem 3 is not fulfilled.

### References

1. Chiu-chun Chang, *On the existence of positive decaying solution of semi-linear elliptic equation in  $R^n$* , Chinese J. of Math. **17**(1) (1989), 62–76.
2. A. Das and C. V. Coffman, *A class of eigenvalues of the fine-structure constant and internal energy obtained from a class of exact solutions of combined Klein-Gordon-Maxwell-Einstein field equations*, J. of Math. Physics **8** (1967), 1720–1735.
3. Man Kam Kwong, *On the Kolodner-Coffman method for the uniqueness problem of Emden-Fowler BVP*, J. of Applied Math. and Physics, **41**(1) (1990), 79–104.
4. Richard A. Moore and Zeev Nehari, *Nonoscillation theorems for a class of nonlinear differential equations*, Trans. A. M. S., **93** (1959), 30–52.
5. Zeev Nehari, *On a class of nonlinear second order differential equations*, Trans. A. M. S., **95** (1960), 101–123.
6. Zeev Nehari, *A nonlinear oscillation problem*, J. of differential equations, **5** (1969), 452–460.
7. Wei-Ming Ni, *Some aspects of semi-linear elliptic equations*, National Tsing Hua Univ., Hsinchu, Taiwan, 1987.
8. Wei-Ming Ni and Shoji Yotsutani, *Semi-linear elliptic equations of Matukuma type and related topics*, Japan J. Appl. Math. **5** (1988), 1–32.

Department of Mathematics, National Taiwan University, Taipei, Taiwan

Department of Electronic Engineering, Lien-Ho Technology College, Miao Li, Taiwan