

## FORWARD SELF-SIMILAR SOLUTIONS OF A SEMILINEAR HEAT EQUATION

BY

JONG-SHENQ GUO (郭忠勝)

**Abstract.** We are interested in finding the forward self-similar solutions of the equation  $u_t = \Delta u + u^{-\beta}$  in  $\mathbf{R}^n \times (0, \infty)$ , where  $\beta > 0$ . We show that there are solutions of this equation with initial conditions of the form  $c|x|^{2\gamma}$  for some positive constant  $c$ .

**1. Introduction.** In this paper, we consider the Cauchy problem for the equation:

$$(1.1) \quad u_t = \Delta u + u^{-\beta} \quad \text{in } \mathbf{R}^n \times (0, \infty),$$

with the initial condition:

$$(1.2) \quad u(x, 0) = u_0(x), \quad x \in \mathbf{R}^n,$$

where  $\beta > 0, n \geq 1$ , and  $u_0 \geq 0$ .

In [1] Brezis and Friedman considered the initial-boundary value problem for the equation:

$$u_t = \Delta u - |u|^{p-1}u \quad \text{in } \Omega \times (0, T), \quad \Omega \subset \mathbf{R}^n,$$

with the Dirac mass at 0 as initial condition. They proved, among other things, that a solution of this initial-boundary value problem exists if and only if  $0 < p < (n + 2)/n$ . They also considered a similar problem for the porous medium equation. For related results, we refer the readers to the references cited therein (see also [2, 5, 13]).

---

Received by the editors August 15, 1991.

Subject Classification: 35B40, 35K15.

Key Words: Forward self-similar solution, semilinear heat equation, Cauchy problem.

A natural question arises for (1.1), namely whether there is a solution of (1.1) with singular initial condition. Note that if  $u_0$  assumes the zero value at some point(s) then it is singular. We shall use the similarity solutions approach to obtain such solutions.

We are interested in finding the forward self-similar solutions of the form

$$(1.3) \quad u(x, t) = t^\gamma w(|x|/\sqrt{t}), \quad \gamma = 1/(\beta + 1).$$

Let  $r = |x|/\sqrt{t}$ . Then  $w \in C^2([0, \infty))$  and satisfies

$$(1.4a) \quad w'' + \left(\frac{n-1}{r} + \frac{r}{2}\right)w' - f(w) = 0, \quad r > 0,$$

$$(1.4b) \quad w'(0) = 0, \quad w > 0,$$

where  $f(w) = \gamma w - w^{-\beta}$ . We shall study the solutions of (1.4) in Section 2. Let  $\kappa = \gamma^{-\gamma}$ . We show that solutions of (1.4) exist if and only if  $w(0) \geq \kappa$ . In particular, we found that there are solutions of (1.1) with initial conditions  $u_0(x) = c|x|^{2\gamma}$  for some positive constants  $c$ .

We remark that a related problem to (1.1) for the equation

$$(1.5) \quad u_t = \Delta u - u^{-\beta},$$

which is the so called quenching problem, has been studied extensively by many authors (see, for example, the survey paper of Levine [12]). Using the backward self-similar variable  $u(x, t) = (-t)^\gamma w(|x|/\sqrt{-t})$ , we see that  $w = w(y)$  satisfies the equation

$$(1.6) \quad \Delta w - \frac{1}{2}y \cdot \nabla w + f(w) = 0 \quad \text{in } \mathbf{R}^n.$$

It has been shown that every nonconstant positive radial solution of (1.6) must be increasing ultimately to infinity. Also, the asymptotic behavior of these solutions at  $|y| = \infty$  has been obtained (cf. [8, 9]). Using this information we are able to derive the quenching rate estimate for solutions of (1.5) by using the method of Giga and Kohn [6, 7]. For details we refer the interested readers to references [3, 4, 8, 9, 10].

Finally, we deal with a similar equation to (1.4a) as follows:

$$(1.7) \quad w'' + \left( \frac{n-1}{r} + \frac{r}{2} \right) w' + f(w) = 0, \quad r > 0.$$

We show in Section 3 that every solution  $w$  of (1.7) is monotone ultimately. Moreover,  $w \rightarrow (\beta + 1)^{1/(\beta+1)}$  and  $w' \rightarrow 0$  as  $r \rightarrow \infty$ .

**2. Forward self-similar solutions.** In this section we shall study the forward self-similar solution of (1.1) in the form (1.3). We note that (1.4a) can be rewritten as the following system:

$$(2.1a) \quad w' = v,$$

$$(2.1b) \quad v' = - \left( \frac{n-1}{r} + \frac{r}{2} \right) v + f(w),$$

where  $f(w) = \gamma w - w^{-\beta}$  with  $\gamma = 1/(\beta + 1)$ . To solve (1.4) is equivalent to solve the integral system:

$$(2.2a) \quad w(r) = \eta + \int_0^r v(s) ds,$$

$$(2.2b) \quad v(r) = \int_0^r \left( \frac{s}{r} \right)^{n-1} \exp \left( \frac{s^2 - r^2}{4} \right) f(w(s)) ds,$$

if  $w(0) = \eta > 0$ .

Let  $\kappa = \gamma^{-\gamma}$ . Since  $f(w) > 0$  for  $w > \kappa$  and  $f(w) < 0$  for  $w < \kappa$ , it follows from (2.2b) that  $w'(r) > 0$ ,  $\forall r > 0$  if  $\eta > \kappa$ ; and  $w'(r) < 0$ ,  $\forall r > 0$  if  $\eta < \kappa$ . Using (2.2), the local existence of the solution of (1.4) with  $w(0) = \eta > 0$  follows from the standard fixed point argument. The uniqueness is clearly. We denote the solution of (1.4) with  $w(0) = \eta > 0$  by  $w(r; \eta)$ . Let  $(w, v)$  exist in the interval  $[0, \epsilon]$  for some  $\epsilon > 0$ . Clearly,  $w \in C^1([0, \epsilon]) \cap C^2((0, \epsilon])$ . Since  $\lim_{r \rightarrow 0} v(r)/r = \lim_{r \rightarrow 0} v'(r) = f(\eta)/n$ , we conclude that  $w \in C^2([0, \epsilon])$ .

We shall denote  $\sigma(r) = r^{n-1} \exp(r^2/4)$ .

For  $\eta \in (0, \kappa)$  we have:

**Proposition 2.1.** *The solution  $w(r; \eta)$  of (1.4) with  $\eta \in (0, \kappa)$  cannot extended to all  $r > 0$ .*

*Proof.* Recall that  $w' < 0$ . Suppose that for some  $\eta \in (0, \kappa)$  the solution  $w(r; \eta)$  of (1.4) exists for all  $r > 0$ . Then we have

$$(2.3) \quad (\sigma w')' = \sigma f(w) \leq \sigma f(\eta).$$

Since

$$(2.4) \quad \lim_{r \rightarrow \infty} \left[ \frac{r}{\sigma(r)} \int_0^r \sigma(s) ds \right] = 2,$$

it follows from (2.3) that  $w'(r) \leq f(\eta)/r$  for all  $r \geq r_0$  for some  $r_0 > 0$ . Hence  $w(r) \leq w(r_0) + f(\eta) \ln \left( \frac{r}{r_0} \right) \rightarrow -\infty$  as  $r \rightarrow \infty$ , a contradiction.

From now on we shall assume that  $\eta > \kappa$ . First, we have the following global existence result.

**Proposition 2.2.** *The local solution  $w(r; \eta)$  of (1.4) can be continued to all  $r > 0$ .*

*Proof.* Recall that  $w'(r) > 0$  for all  $r > 0$ . Fix  $r_0 \in (0, \epsilon)$ . Then we have

$$(2.5a) \quad w(r) = w(r_0) + \int_{r_0}^r v(s) ds,$$

$$(2.5b) \quad v(r) = v(r_0) + \int_{r_0}^r \left( \frac{s}{r} \right)^{n-1} \exp \left( \frac{s^2 - r^2}{4} \right) f(w(s)) ds.$$

Suppose first that  $n \geq 2$ . For  $r \geq r_0$ , we compute that

$$(2.6) \quad \begin{aligned} v(r) &= v(r_0) + \int_{r_0}^r \left( \frac{s}{r} \right)^{n-2} (r^{-1} e^{-r^2/4}) (s e^{s^2/4}) f(w(s)) ds \\ &\leq v(r_0) + (r^{-1} e^{-r^2/4}) f(w(r)) 2[e^{r^2/4} - e^{r_0^2/4}] \\ &\leq v(r_0) + 2\gamma w(r)/r, \end{aligned}$$

where that fact  $f$  is increasing is used. Hence from (2.5a) it follows that

$$(2.7) \quad w(r) \leq w(r_0) + v(r_0)(r - r_0) + \int_{r_0}^r \frac{2\gamma}{s} w(s) ds, \quad r \geq r_0.$$

From (2.7) and the Gronwall inequality it follows that  $w(r)$  and  $v(r)$  are bounded for  $r$  finite. Notice that  $w(r) \geq \eta$  for all  $r > 0$ . Therefore, the result follows by the standard continuation theorem.

For the case  $n = 1$ , by writing  $\exp(s^2/4) = s^{-1}[s \exp(s^2/4)]$  in (2.5b) and noting that  $1/s \leq 1/r_0$  for  $r \geq r_0$ , we have:

$$(2.8) \quad v(r) \leq v(r_0) + 2\gamma w(r)/r_0.$$

Hence we obtain

$$(2.9) \quad w(r) \leq w(r_0) + v(r_0)(r - r_0) + \int_{r_0}^r \frac{2\gamma}{r_0} w(s) ds.$$

Then the proposition follows by the same argument as above.

By a similar argument to the proof of Proposition 2.1, we have  $w'(r) \geq f(\eta)/r$  for all  $r \geq r_0$  for some  $r_0 > 0$ . Therefore, we obtain:

$$(2.10) \quad w(r; \eta) \rightarrow \infty \quad \text{as} \quad r \rightarrow \infty.$$

From (2.10) it follows that

$$(2.11) \quad \lim_{r \rightarrow \infty} [r w'(r)] = \infty.$$

Indeed, given  $K > 0$  there is a  $R > 0$  such that  $f(w(R)) \geq K$  and hence  $w'(r) \geq K/r, \forall r \geq R$ , by a similar argument to the proof of Proposition 2.1. Therefore, (2.11) follows.

In the rest of this section we shall study the asymptotic behavior of  $w$  at  $r = \infty$ . First, we have:

**Lemma 2.3.** *There holds*

$$(2.12) \quad \lim_{r \rightarrow \infty} \frac{v(r)}{w(r)} = 0.$$

*Proof.* For  $n \geq 2$ , (2.12) follows from (2.6) and (2.10). For  $n = 1$ , using (2.8) and noting that  $r_0$  in (2.8) can be chosen to be arbitrary large, the lemma follows.

**Lemma 2.4.** *There holds*

$$(2.13) \quad \lim_{r \rightarrow \infty} \frac{rv(r)}{w(r)} = 2\gamma.$$

*Proof.* Let  $z(r) = v(r)/w(r)$ . Then  $z$  satisfies the equation

$$(2.14) \quad z' + \frac{r}{2}z = \gamma + a(r),$$

where  $a(r) = -(n-1)z(r)/r - z(r)^2 - w(r)^{-\beta-1} \rightarrow 0$  as  $r \rightarrow \infty$ . On the other hand, by (2.14)  $z(r)$  can be expressed by

$$z(r) = \exp\left(-\frac{r^2}{4}\right) \int_0^r [\gamma + a(s)] \exp\left(\frac{s^2}{4}\right) ds,$$

the lemma follows by applying L'Hôpital's rule.

**Lemma 2.5.** *For any  $\epsilon > 0$  there are  $K = K(\epsilon) > 0$  and  $R = R(\epsilon) > 0$  such that*

$$(2.15) \quad w(r) \geq Kr^{2\gamma-\epsilon}, \quad \forall r > R.$$

*Proof.* Given  $\epsilon > 0$  it follows from (2.13) that there is an  $R > 0$  such that

$$\frac{w'(r)}{w(r)} \geq \frac{1}{r}(2\gamma - \epsilon)$$

for all  $r \geq R$ . An integration gives (2.15).

Finally, we state the main result of this section as follows.

**Theorem 2.6.** *The limit,  $\lim_{r \rightarrow \infty} [r^{-2\gamma} w(r)]$ , exists and is positive.*

*Proof.* Take any positive constant  $\lambda < 2$ . Applying L'Hôpital's rule to

$$r^\lambda [rz(r) - 2\gamma] = \frac{\{\int_0^r [\gamma + a(s)] \exp(s^2/4) ds\} - 2\gamma r^{-1} \exp(r^2/4)}{r^{-1-\lambda} \exp(r^2/4)},$$

we obtain that

$$(2.16) \quad \lim_{r \rightarrow \infty} \{r^\lambda [rz(r) - 2\gamma]\} = 2 \lim_{r \rightarrow \infty} [r^\lambda a(r)],$$

if that last limit exists.

We claim that the limit on the right-hand side of (2.16) is zero. Since  $\lambda < 2$ , there is an  $\epsilon > 0$  such that  $\lambda\gamma < 2\gamma - \epsilon$ . It follows from Lemma 2.5 that

$$(2.17) \quad \lim_{r \rightarrow \infty} [r^{\lambda\gamma} w(r)^{-1}] = 0.$$

On the other hand, using  $r^\lambda a(r) = -(n-1)r^{\lambda-2}[rz(r)] - r^{\lambda-2}[rz(r)]^2 - [r^{\lambda\gamma} w(r)^{-1}]^{\beta+1}$ , by (2.13) and (2.17), we see that the limit on the right-hand side of (2.16) is zero.

From (2.16) we conclude that there is a positive constant  $A$  such that  $w(r) = Ar^{2\gamma}[1 + o(r^{-\lambda})]$  as  $r \rightarrow \infty$ . Hence the theorem follows.

Recall that the function  $u(x, t) = t^\gamma w(|x|/\sqrt{t})$  is a solution of (1.1) for any function  $w(r)$  satisfies (1.4) with  $w(0) > \kappa$ . For any  $x \neq 0$ , we write

$$(2.18) \quad u(x, t) = |x|^{2\gamma} r^{2\gamma} w(r), \quad r = |x|/\sqrt{t}.$$

Let  $t \rightarrow 0$  in (2.18), it follows from Theorem 2.6 that there exists a positive constant  $c$  such that

$$(2.19) \quad u(x, 0) = c|x|^{2\gamma}, \quad x \neq 0.$$

On the other hand, since  $w(0) = \eta$ ,  $u(0, 0) = 0$ . This shows that there is a solution of (1.1) with initial condition  $u_0 = c|x|^{2\gamma}$ . Notice that for  $w \equiv \kappa$  the function  $u(x, t) = \kappa t^\gamma$  is a solution of (1.1) with initial condition  $u_0 \equiv 0$ .

**3. Solutions of (1.7).** In this section we consider the positive solution of the problem:

$$(3.1a) \quad w'' + \left( \frac{n-1}{r} + \frac{r}{2} \right) w' + g(w) = 0, \quad r > 0,$$

$$(3.1b) \quad w(0) = \eta > 0, \quad w'(0) = 0,$$

where  $g(w) = \lambda w - w^{-\beta}$  with  $\lambda > 0$  and  $\beta \geq 1$ . Note that (1.7) is a special case of (3.1a). Define

$$(3.2) \quad G(w) = \int_\kappa^w g(s) ds, \quad \kappa = \lambda^{-\gamma}, \quad \gamma = 1/(\beta + 1).$$

**Proposition 3.1.** *For any  $\eta > 0$ , there exists a unique bounded positive global solution  $w(r; \eta)$  of (3.1).*

*Proof.* Rewrite (3.1a) as

$$(3.3) \quad (\sigma(r)w'(r))' + \sigma(r)g(w(r)) = 0.$$

Integrating (3.3) twice, we see that a solution  $w(r; \eta)$  exists if and only if  $w$  satisfies the integral equation

$$(3.4) \quad w(r) = \eta - \int_0^r \int_0^t \left( \frac{s}{t} \right)^{n-1} \exp\left( \frac{s^2 - t^2}{4} \right) g(w(s)) ds dt.$$

Since  $(s/t)^{n-1} \exp[(s^2 - t^2)/4] \leq 1$  for  $s \leq t$ , the local existence and uniqueness follow from the contraction mapping principle.

Multiplying (3.1a) by  $w'$  and integrating it from 0 to  $r$ , we obtain:

$$(3.5) \quad \frac{w'(r)^2}{2} + \int_0^r \left( \frac{n-1}{s} + \frac{s}{2} \right) w'(s)^2 ds + G(w(r)) = G(\eta).$$

From this equality we see that  $w'$  is bounded and  $w$  is bounded from above and away from zero. Hence the local solution can be continued to all  $r > 0$  and the result follows.

Next, we have the following nonoscillatory result.

**Lemma 3.2.** *Every nonconstant positive solution of (3.1) can only assume the value  $\kappa$  at finitely many points.*

*Proof.* Notice that any critical point of  $w$  with  $w \neq \kappa$  is a local maximum point if  $w > \kappa$ ; is a local minimum point if  $w < \kappa$ . It is easily to see that the function  $v = w'$  satisfies the equation

$$(3.6) \quad v'' + \left( \frac{n-1}{r} + \frac{r}{2} \right) v' + \left[ \frac{1}{2} - \frac{n-1}{r^2} + \lambda + \beta w^{-\beta-1} \right] v = 0.$$

Rewriting (3.6) as

$$(3.7) \quad (\sigma(r)v'(r))' + \sigma(r) \left[ \frac{1}{2} - \frac{n-1}{r^2} + \lambda + \beta w^{-\beta-1} \right] v = 0,$$

and using a nonoscillation criterion [6, p.362], we conclude that  $v$  is nonoscillatory. The lemma follows.

We now state and prove the main result of this section.

**Theorem 3.3.** *Every nonconstant positive solution of (3.1) is monotone ultimately. Moreover,  $w \rightarrow \kappa$  and  $w' \rightarrow 0$  as  $r \rightarrow \infty$ .*

*Proof.* Let  $w$  be any nonconstant positive solution of (3.1). Since

$$\left( \frac{w'^2}{2} + G(w) \right)' = - \left( \frac{n-1}{r} + \frac{r}{2} \right) w'^2 \leq 0,$$



the limit

$$(3.8) \quad \lim_{r \rightarrow \infty} \left( \frac{w'^2}{2} + G(w) \right) = l$$

exists and is nonnegative. Note that  $w$  is monotone ultimately by Lemma 3.2. Hence  $w$  tends a limit  $l_1$  as  $r \rightarrow \infty$ . Since  $w$  is bounded, we see that  $l_1 < \infty$ . Therefore, by (3.8) and the monotonicity of  $w$ , we conclude that  $w'$  tends to a limit  $l_2$ . Furthermore, since  $w$  is bounded, the limit  $l_2$  must be zero.

Suppose that  $w' > 0$  for  $r > r_0$  for some  $r_0 > 0$ . Dividing the equation (3.1a) by  $r$  and integrating it from  $r_0$  to  $r$ , we obtain

$$(3.9) \quad \frac{w'(r)}{r} - \frac{w'(r_0)}{r_0} + \int_{r_0}^r \left( \frac{n}{s^2} + \frac{1}{2} \right) w'(s) ds + \int_{r_0}^r \frac{1}{s} g(w(s)) ds = 0.$$

Since  $w'$  is integrable to  $\infty$  and  $w' \rightarrow 0$  as  $r \rightarrow \infty$ , the integral

$$(3.10) \quad \int_{r_0}^{\infty} \frac{1}{s} g(w(s)) ds$$

exists. Suppose for contradiction that  $l_1 \neq \kappa$ . Then there exist  $r_1 > r_0$  and  $\delta > 0$  such that  $|g(w(r))| > \delta$  for all  $r > r_1$ . This implies that the integral in (3.10) diverges, a contradiction. Therefore, we must have  $l_1 = \kappa$ .

The case for which  $w$  is decreasing ultimately is similar and the theorem follows.

## References

1. H. Brezis and A. Friedman, *Nonlinear parabolic equations involving measures as initial conditions*, J. Math. pures et appl. **62** (1983), 73-97.
2. H. Brezis, L. A. Peletier, and D. Terman, *A very singular solution of the heat equation with absorption*, Arch. Rat. Mech. Anal. **95** (1986), 185-209.
3. M. Fila and J. Hulshof, *A note on the quenching rate*, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. (to appear).
4. M. Fila, J. Hulshof, and P. Quittner, *The quenching problem on  $N$ -dimensional ball*, Preprint.
5. Y. Giga, *On elliptic equations related to self-similar solutions for nonlinear heat equations*, Hiroshima Math. J. **16** (1986), 539-552.
6. Y. Giga and R. V. Kohn, *Asymptotically self-similar blow-up of semilinear heat equations*, Comm. Pure Appl. Math. **38** (1985), 297-319.
7. Y. Giga and R. V. Kohn, *Characterizing blow-up using similarity variables*, Indiana Univ. Math. J. **36** (1987), 1-40.

8. J. -S. Guo, *On the quenching behavior of the solution of a semilinear parabolic equation*, J. Math. Anal. Appl. **151** (1990), 58-79.
9. J. -S. Guo, *On the semilinear elliptic equation  $\Delta w - \frac{1}{2}y \cdot \nabla w + \lambda w - w^{-\beta} = 0$  in  $\mathbf{R}^n$* , Chinese J. Math. **19** (1991), 355-377.
10. J. -S. Guo, *On the quenching rate estimate*, Quarterly of Applied Math. **49** (1991), 747-752.
11. P. Hartman, *Ordinary Differential Equations*, Wiley, New York, 1964.
12. H. A. Levine, *Advances in quenching*, in "Proceedings of the International Conference on Reaction-Diffusion Equations and Their Equilibrium States," Gregynog, Wales, August 1989.
13. L. A. Peletier, D. Terman, and F. B. Weissler, *On the equation  $\Delta u + \frac{1}{2}x \cdot \nabla u + f(u) = 0$* , Arch. Rat. Mech. Anal. **94** (1986), 83-99.

Institute of Applied Mathematics, National Tsing Hua University, Hsinchu, Taiwan 30043, R.O.C.