

HIGHER ORDER ANALOGUES OF EXTERIOR DERIVATIVE

LOREDANA LANZANI

Department of Mathematics, University of Arkansas Fayetteville, AR 72701, USA.
E-mail: loredana.lanzani@gmail.com

Abstract

We give new examples of linear differential operators of order $k = 2m + 1$ (any given odd integer) that are invariant under the isometries of \mathbb{R}^n and satisfy so-called L^1 -duality estimates and div/curl inequalities.

1. Introduction

The purpose of this note is to exhibit (elementary) examples of k th-order linear differential operators $\{\mathcal{S}_{(k)}\}_k$ acting on \mathbb{R}^n that can be regarded as higher order analogues of the exterior derivative complex

$$d : C_q^{\infty,c}(\mathbb{R}^n) \rightarrow C_{q+1}^{\infty,c}(\mathbb{R}^n), \quad 0 \leq q \leq n$$

(Here $C_q^{\infty,c}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ and $C_{q+1}^{\infty,c}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ stand for the q -forms and $(q+1)$ -forms on \mathbb{R}^n whose coefficients are smooth and compactly supported.) More precisely we require that, for each k , $\mathcal{S}_{(k)}$ map q -forms to $(q+1)$ -forms and $\mathcal{S}_{(k)} \circ \mathcal{S}_{(k)}^* = 0$; that the Hodge Laplacian for $\mathcal{S}_{(k)}$, namely the operator $\mathcal{S}_{(k)} \mathcal{S}_{(k)}^* + \mathcal{S}_{(k)}^* \mathcal{S}_{(k)}$, be elliptic, and that the first-order operator in this family be the exterior derivative (that is, $\mathcal{S}_1 = d$). We also require that $\mathcal{S}_{(k)}$ and $\mathcal{S}_{(k)}^*$ have non-trivial invariance properties and satisfy so-called L^1 -duality estimates as well as div-curl inequalities (more on these below). While various operators satisfying one or more of these conditions were recently constructed for any order

Received February 06, 2013 and in revised form April 16, 2013.

AMS Subject Classification: 47F05, 31B35, 35J30, 35E99.

Key words and phrases: Div-Curl, L^1 -duality, exterior derivative, Sobolev inequality, elliptic operator, higher-order differential condition.

*Supported by a National Science Foundation IRD plan, and in part by award DMS-1001304.

$k = 1, 2, 3, \dots$, see [6], [12] and [26]-[28], those operators fail to be invariant under pullback by the rotations of \mathbb{R}^n as soon as $k \geq 2$. By contrast, here we define linear differential operators $\mathcal{S}_{(k)}$ of *odd* order

$$k = 2m + 1, \quad m = 0, 1, 2, \dots,$$

that have the same invariance properties as the codifferential d^* (the L^2 -adjoint of exterior derivative) as soon as $k \geq 3$ (i.e. $m \geq 1$); that is

$$\mathcal{S}_{(k)} \circ \psi^* = \psi^* \circ \mathcal{S}_{(k)} \quad \text{and} \quad \mathcal{S}_{(k)}^* \circ \psi^* = \psi^* \circ \mathcal{S}_{(k)}^*$$

for any isometry $\psi : \mathbb{R}^n \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^n$ (as customary, ψ^* denotes the pullback of ψ acting on q -forms). While such invariance is non-trivial, it is far weaker than the invariance of d , which indeed is what should be expected of any linear differential operator of order greater than 1, see [19, Note 4] and [23].

Specifically, given $m = 0, 1, 2, 3, \dots$, we define

$$\mathcal{S}_{(2m+1)} := d(d^*d)^m \quad \text{and, consequently,} \quad \mathcal{S}_{(2m+1)}^* = (d^*d)^m d^* \quad (1)$$

It is clear that $\mathcal{S}_{(1)} = d$ and, more generally, that $\mathcal{S}_{(2m+1)}$ takes q -forms to $(q + 1)$ -forms and $\mathcal{S}_{(2m+1)} \circ \mathcal{S}_{(2m+1)} = 0$. It is also clear that the Hodge Laplacian for $\mathcal{S}_{(2m+1)}$ is

$$\square_{(2m+1)} = \square^{2m+1} = \square \circ \square \circ \dots \circ \square$$

where the composition above is performed $(2m + 1)$ -many times and

$$\square = dd^* + d^*d$$

is the Hodge Laplacian for the exterior derivative, so in particular $\square_{(2m+1)}$ is elliptic because it is the composition of elliptic operators [30].

Note, however, that

$$d \circ \mathcal{S}_{(2m+1)} = 0 \quad \text{and} \quad d^* \circ \mathcal{S}_{(2m+1)}^* = 0$$

see (1), and so the natural compatibility conditions for the data of the Hodge system for $\mathcal{S}_{(2m+1)}$ and $\mathcal{S}_{(2m+1)}^*$ are the same as for the system for d and d^* . As a consequence, the L^1 -duality inequalities that are relevant to the Hodge

system for $\mathcal{S}_{(2m+1)}$ and $\mathcal{S}_{(2m+1)}^*$ are the same as in [13, page 61] and [24], namely

Proposition 1.1 ([13]). *There is $C = C(n)$ such that for any $0 \leq q \leq n - 2$ and for any $f \in C_{q+1}^{\infty,c}(\mathbb{R}^n)$*

$$df = 0 \quad \Rightarrow \quad |\langle f, h \rangle| \leq C \|f\|_{L_{q+1}^1(\mathbb{R}^n)} \|\nabla h\|_{L_{q+1}^n(\mathbb{R}^n)} \tag{2}$$

for any $h \in L_{q+1}^\infty(\mathbb{R}^n)$ such that $\nabla h \in L_{q+1}^n(\mathbb{R}^n)$.

There is $C = C(n)$ such that for any $2 \leq q \leq n$ and for any $g \in C_{q-1}^{\infty,c}(\mathbb{R}^n)$

$$d^*g = 0 \quad \Rightarrow \quad |\langle g, h \rangle| \leq C \|g\|_{L_{q-1}^1(\mathbb{R}^n)} \|\nabla h\|_{L_{q-1}^n(\mathbb{R}^n)} \tag{3}$$

for any $h \in L_{q-1}^\infty(\mathbb{R}^n)$ such that $\nabla h \in L_{q-1}^n(\mathbb{R}^n)$.

Here $L_{q\pm 1}^p(\mathbb{R}^n)$ denote the spaces of $(q\pm 1)$ -forms whose coefficients are in the Lebesgue class $L^p(\mathbb{R}^n)$, and $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle$ denotes the inner product in $L_{q\pm 1}^2(\mathbb{R}^n)$:

$$\langle f, h \rangle = \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} f \wedge *h$$

where $*$ denotes the Hodge-star operator for \mathbb{R}^n .

We take this opportunity to point out that these inequalities can be restated in the seemingly more invariant, in fact equivalent, fashion (see also [6, Theorem 1''])

Proposition 1.2. *There is $C = C(n)$ such that for any $0 \leq q \leq n - 2$ and for any $f \in C_{q+1}^{\infty,c}(\mathbb{R}^n)$*

$$df = 0 \quad \Rightarrow \quad |\langle f, h \rangle| \leq C \|f\|_{L_{q+1}^1(\mathbb{R}^n)} \|d^*h\|_{L_q^n(\mathbb{R}^n)} \tag{4}$$

for any $h \in L_{q+1}^\infty(\mathbb{R}^n)$ such that $d^*h \in L_q^n(\mathbb{R}^n)$.

There is $C = C(n)$ such that for any $2 \leq q \leq n$ and for any $g \in C_{q-1}^{\infty,c}(\mathbb{R}^n)$

$$d^*g = 0 \quad \Rightarrow \quad |\langle g, h \rangle| \leq C \|g\|_{L_{q-1}^1(\mathbb{R}^n)} \|dh\|_{L_q^n(\mathbb{R}^n)} \tag{5}$$

for any $h \in L_{q-1}^\infty(\mathbb{R}^n)$ such that $dh \in L_q^n(\mathbb{R}^n)$.

We show below that this result is equivalent to each of the following div/curl-type inequalities (one for any choice of $m = 0, 1, 2, \dots$) which are proved with the methods of [13]:

Theorem 1.3. *Fix $0 \leq q \leq n$ and let $f \in L^1_{q+1}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ with $df = 0$, and $g \in L^1_{q-1}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ with $d^*g = 0$ be given. Then, for any $m = 0, 1, 2, 3, \dots$, the (unique) q -form $v_{(m)}$ that solves the system*

$$\begin{cases} \mathcal{S}_{(2m+1)} v_{(m)} = f \\ \mathcal{S}^*_{(2m+1)} v_{(m)} = g \end{cases} \tag{6}$$

belongs to the Sobolev space $W_q^{2m,r}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ with $r = n/(n - 1)$ whenever q is neither 1 (unless $g = 0$) nor $n - 1$ (unless $f = 0$), and we have

$$\|v_{(m)}\|_{W_q^{2m,r}(\mathbb{R}^n)} \leq C(\|f\|_{L^1_{q+1}(\mathbb{R}^n)} + \|g\|_{L^1_{q-1}(\mathbb{R}^n)}). \tag{7}$$

Here $W_q^{2m,r}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ denotes the space of q -forms whose coefficients belong to the Sobolev space $W^{2m,r}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ of functions that are $2m$ -many times differentiable in the sense of distributions and whose derivatives of any order α ($0 \leq |\alpha| \leq 2m$) are in the Lebesgue class $L^r(\mathbb{R}^n)$.

Proposition 1.4. *With same hypotheses as Theorem 1.3, if $q = 1$ and $g \neq 0$ a substitute of (7) holds with $\|g\|_{L^1(\mathbb{R}^n)}$ replaced by $\|g\|_{H^1(\mathbb{R}^n)}$, where $H^1(\mathbb{R}^n)$ is the real Hardy space. If $q = n - 1$ and $f \neq 0$, then (7) holds with $\|f\|_{H^1_n(\mathbb{R}^n)}$ in place of $\|f\|_{L^1_n(\mathbb{R}^n)}$, where $H^1_n(\mathbb{R}^n)$ is the space of n -forms whose coefficients are in $H^1(\mathbb{R}^n)$.*

In the case when $m = 0$, Theorem 1.3 and Proposition 1.4 were proved in [13], as in such case we have $\mathcal{S}_{(1)} = d$ and $W_q^{0,r}(\mathbb{R}^n) = L^r_q(\mathbb{R}^n)$, and so Theorem 1.3 and Proposition 1.4 can be viewed as a generalization (actually, as we will see, a consequence) of those earlier results.

We remark in closing that one could also consider the operators

$$\mathcal{S}_{(2m)} := (dd^*)^m \quad \text{and} \quad \tilde{\mathcal{S}}_{(2m)} := (d^*d)^m$$

but these fail to map q -forms to $(q + 1)$ -forms and do not form a complex and as such are not pertinent to this note.

2. Proofs

We begin by recalling the elliptic estimates for $\square^s = \square \circ \dots \circ \square$, see [8] and e.g., [30], [20].

Theorem 2.1. *Given any $s \in \mathbb{Z}^+$, we have that*

$$\square^s : C_q^{\infty,c}(\mathbb{R}^n) \rightarrow C_q^{\infty,c}(\mathbb{R}^n)$$

is invertible, and

$$\|(\square^s)^{-1} u\|_{W_q^{2s,r}(\mathbb{R}^n)} \lesssim \|u\|_{L_q^r(\mathbb{R}^n)} \tag{8}$$

for any $1 < r < \infty$.

Proof of Theorem 1.3. The case $m = 0$ was proved in [13] and here we will show that the estimates in the case when $m \in \mathbb{Z}^+$ follow from the inequalities for $m = 0$. Without loss of generality we may assume: $f \in C_{q+1}^{\infty,c}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ and $g \in C_{q-1}^{\infty,c}(\mathbb{R}^n)$, so that each of $d^* f$ and dg has smooth and compactly supported coefficients.

Applying the codifferential d^* to the first equation in (6) and the exterior derivative d to the second equation, and then adding the two equations, see (1), we find that

$$\square^{m+1} v_{(m)} = d^* f + dg \tag{9}$$

Comparing $v_{(m)}$ with the solution u of the Hodge system for d and d^* with same data as (6), namely

$$\begin{cases} du &= f \\ d^* u &= g \end{cases} \tag{10}$$

we find

$$\square^m v_{(m)} = u$$

and so the elliptic estimate (8) (with $s := m$) grants

$$\|v_{(m)}\|_{W_q^{2m,r}(\mathbb{R}^n)} \lesssim \|u\|_{L_q^r(\mathbb{R}^n)} \tag{11}$$

for any $1 < r < \infty$. On the other hand, by [13] we have that $u \in L_q^r(\mathbb{R}^n)$ with $r := n/(n - 1)$ and

$$\|u\|_{L_q^r(\mathbb{R}^n)} \leq C(n)(\|f\|_{L_{q+1}^1(\mathbb{R}^n)} + \|g\|_{L_{q-1}^1(\mathbb{R}^n)}). \tag{12}$$

The desired conclusion (7) now follows by combining (11) and (12). □

Proof of Proposition 1.4. The case $m = 0$ was proved in [13] and here we will again only consider $m \in \mathbb{Z}^+$. As before, we may assume: $f \in C_{q+1}^{\infty,c}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ and $g \in C_{q-1}^{\infty,c}(\mathbb{R}^n)$. Now (11) holds as before, and if $q = 1$ and $g \neq 0$ it was proved in [13] that a substitute of (12) holds with $\|g\|_{L^1(\mathbb{R}^n)}$ replaced by $\|g\|_{H^1(\mathbb{R}^n)}$, so the proof of Proposition 1.4 in the case $q = 1$ follows by combining (11) and the H^1 -substitute for (12). (The case $q = n - 1$ and $f \neq 0$ is proved in a similar fashion.) □

Next we show that Theorem 1.3 (for any choice of $m = 0, 1, 2, \dots$) is equivalent to Proposition 1.2.

Theorem 1.3 \Rightarrow *Proposition 1.2.* To prove (4), it again suffices to consider the case when f and h have smooth and compactly supported coefficients; given f as in (4) we consider the solution $v_{(m)}$ (for m fixed arbitrarily) of the system (6) with $g := 0$, namely

$$\begin{cases} d(d^*d)^m v_{(m)} = f \\ (d^*d)^m d v_{(m)} = 0 \end{cases}$$

see (1), so that

$$\langle f, h \rangle = \langle d(d^*d)^m v_{(m)}, h \rangle$$

Integrating by parts the right-hand side of this identity we obtain

$$\langle f, h \rangle = \langle v_{(m)}, (d^*d)^m d^*h \rangle$$

Hölder inequality for $W_q^{2m, n/(n-1)}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ and its conjugate space $W_q^{-2m, n}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ now grants

$$|\langle f, h \rangle| \leq \|v_{(m)}\|_{W_q^{2m, n/(n-1)}(\mathbb{R}^n)} \|(d^*d)^m d^*h\|_{W_q^{-2m, n}(\mathbb{R}^n)}$$

and by Theorem 1.3 it thus follows that

$$|\langle f, h \rangle| \leq \|f\|_{L^1_{q+1}(\mathbb{R}^n)} \|(d^*d)^m d^*h\|_{W_q^{-2m, n}(\mathbb{R}^n)}$$

On the other hand, we have

$$\|(d^*d)^m d^*h\|_{W_q^{-2m, n}(\mathbb{R}^n)} = \sup_{\|\zeta\|_{W_q^{2m, n/(n-1)}} \leq 1} |\langle (d^*d)^m d^*h, \zeta \rangle|$$

Integrating the latter by parts $2m$ -many times and applying Hölder inequality for $L^n_q(\mathbb{R}^n)$ and its dual space $L^{n/(n-1)}_q(\mathbb{R}^n)$ we find

$$|\langle (d^*d)^m d^*h, \zeta \rangle| \leq \|d^*h\|_{L^n_q} \|(d^*d)^m \zeta\|_{L^{n/(n-1)}_q}$$

but

$$\|(d^*d)^m \zeta\|_{L^{n/(n-1)}_q} \leq \|\zeta\|_{W_q^{2m, n/(n-1)}}$$

which concludes the proof of (4). To prove (5) it suffices to apply (4) to $f := *h \in C^{\infty, c}_{\tilde{q}+1}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ with $\tilde{q} := n - q$ (recall that $d^* \approx *d^*$ and that $* : L^1_q(\mathbb{R}^n) \rightarrow L^1_{n-q}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ is an isometry). \square

Proposition 1.2 \Rightarrow *Theorem 1.3* for any $m = 0, 1, 2, \dots$. Without loss of generality we may assume, as before, that $f \in C^{\infty, c}_{q+1}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ and $g \in C^{\infty, c}_{q-1}(\mathbb{R}^n)$. Fix $m \in \{0, 1, 2, 3, \dots\}$ arbitrarily and write

$$v_{(m)} = X_{(m)} + Y_{(m)}$$

where

$$\begin{cases} d(d^*d)^m X_{(m)} &= f \\ (d^*d)^m d^* X_{(m)} &= 0 \end{cases} \tag{13}$$

and

$$\begin{cases} d(d^*d)^m Y_{(m)} &= 0 \\ (d^*d)^m d^* Y_{(m)} &= g \end{cases} \tag{14}$$

see (1). We claim that

$$\|X_{(m)}\|_{W_q^{2m, n/(n-1)}} \leq C \|f\|_{L^1_{q+1}}, \tag{15}$$

and

$$\|Y_{(m)}\|_{W_q^{2m,n/(n-1)}} \leq C\|g\|_{L_{q-1}^1} \tag{16}$$

Note that if $Y_{(m)}$ solves (14) then $X_{(m)} := *Y_{(m)}$ solves (13) with $f := *g \in C_{\tilde{q}+1}^{\infty,c}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ and $\tilde{q} := n - q$, and so it suffices to prove (15) for f and $X_{(m)}$ as in (13). (Note that the proof of (15) is non-trivial only for $q \neq n$, and the hypotheses of Theorem 1.3 require $q \neq n - 1$, so all together we may assume $0 \leq q \leq n - 2$.) By duality, proving (15) is equivalent to showing

$$|\langle D^\beta X_{(m)}, \varphi \rangle| \leq C\|f\|_{L_{q+1}^1} \|\varphi\|_{L_q^n} \tag{17}$$

for any $\varphi \in C_q^{\infty,c}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ and for any multi-index β of length s (that is, $\beta = (\beta_1, \dots, \beta_n) \in \mathbb{N}^n$, $\beta_1 + \dots + \beta_n = s$) and for any $0 \leq s \leq 2m$, where we have set

$$D^\beta X_{(m)} := \sum_{|I|=q} \left(\frac{\partial^s X_{(m)_I}}{\partial x^\beta} \right) dx^I.$$

To this end, write $\varphi = \square^{m+1}\Phi$ for some $\Phi \in C_q^{\infty,c}(\mathbb{R}^n)$, see Theorem 2.1; then

$$|\langle D^\beta X_{(m)}, \varphi \rangle| = |\langle D^\beta X_{(m)}, \square^{m+1}\Phi \rangle|$$

Integrating the right-hand side of this identity by parts we find

$$|\langle D^\beta X_{(m)}, \varphi \rangle| = |\langle \square^{m+1}X_{(m)}, D^\beta \Phi \rangle|$$

But $\square^{m+1}X_{(m)} = d^*f$, see (13) and so

$$|\langle D^\beta X_{(m)}, \varphi \rangle| = |\langle d^*f, D^\beta \Phi \rangle| = |\langle f, dD^\beta \Phi \rangle|.$$

Applying Proposition 1.2 to $h := dD^\beta \Phi \in C_{q+1}^{\infty,c}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ we conclude

$$|\langle D^\beta X_{(m)}, \varphi \rangle| \leq C(n)\|f\|_{L_{q+1}^1} \|d^*dD^\beta \Phi\|_{L_q^n} \leq C(n)\|f\|_{L_{q+1}^1} \|\Phi\|_{W_q^{2(m+1),n}}$$

On the other hand, since we had chosen $\Phi = (\square^{m+1})^{-1}\varphi$, Theorem 2.1 grants

$$\|\Phi\|_{W_q^{2(m+1),n}} \lesssim \|\varphi\|_{L_q^n}$$

which combines with the previous estimates to give the desired inequality. \square

It should by now be clear that Propositions 1.1 and 1.2 are equivalent to one another: on the one hand, it is obvious that Proposition 1.2 \Rightarrow Proposition 1.1 (because $\nabla h \in L_{q\pm 1}^n \Rightarrow dh \in L_{(q+1)\pm 1}^n$ and $d^*h \in L_{(q-1)\pm 1}^n$ and, moreover, $\|dh\|, \|d^*h\| \leq \|\nabla h\|$). On the other hand, it was proved in [13, page 61] that Proposition 1.1 \Rightarrow Theorem 1.3 in the case $m = 0$ which in turn, as we have just seen, gives Theorem 1.3 for arbitrary m as well as Proposition 1.2.

Acknowledgments

I would like to thank R. Palais and C.-L. Terng for helpful discussions. Part of this work was developed while I was visiting the Institute of Mathematics at the Academia Sinica: I am very grateful for the support and for the kind hospitality.

References

1. R. A. Adams, *Sobolev Spaces*, Academic Press, New York, 1975.
2. C. Amrouche and H.-H. Nguyen, New estimates for the div-curl operators and elliptic problems with L^1 -data in the whole space and in the half space, *J. Diff. Eq.*, **250**(2010), 3150-3195.
3. A. Baldi and B. Franchi, Sharp a-priori estimates for div-curl systems in Heisenberg groups, preprint, 2013.
4. J. Bourgain and H. Brezis, On the equation $\operatorname{div} Y = f$ and application to control of phases, *J. Amer. Math. Soc.*, **16**(2003), 393-426.
5. J. Bourgain and H. Brezis, New estimates for the Laplacian, the div-curl and related Hodge systems, *C. R. Math. Acad. Sci. Paris*, **338**(2004), 539-543.
6. Bourgain J. and Brezis H., New estimates for elliptic equations and Hodge type systems, *J. Eur. Math. Soc.*, **9**(2007), 277-315.
7. H. Brezis, and J. Van Schaftingen, Boundary estimates for elliptic systems with L^1 -data, *Cal. Var. PDE*, **30**(2007), 369-388.
8. A. Calderón and A. Zygmund A., On the existence of certain singular integrals, *Acta Math.*, **88**(1952), 85-139.
9. S. Chanillo and J. van Schaftingen, Subelliptic Bourgain-Brezis estimates on groups, *Math. Res. Lett.*, **16**(2009), 235-263.
10. E. Gagliardo, Ulteriori proprietà di alcune classi di funzioni di più variabili, *Ricerche Mat.*, **8** (1959), 24-51.

11. C. Kenig, J. Pipher and G. Verchota, Area integral estimates for higher order equations and systems, *Ann. Inst. Fourier* (Grenoble) **47**:1425 – 1461, 1997.
12. L. Lanzani and A. S. Raich, On div-curl for higher order, to appear in *Advances in Analysis: The Legacy of Elias M. Stein*, Princeton University Press.
13. L. Lanzani and E. M. Stein, A note on div-curl inequalities, *Math. Res. Lett.*, **12**(2005), 57-61.
14. V. Mazya, Estimates for differential operators of vector analysis involving L^1 -norm, *J. Math. Soc.*, **12**(2010), 221-240.
15. P. Mironescu, On some inequalities of Bourgain, Brezis, Maz'ya, and Shaposhnikova related to L^1 vector fields, *C.R. Math. Acad. Sci. Paris*, **348**(2010), 513-515.
16. M. Mitrea and I. Mitrea, A remark on the regularity of the div-curl system, *Proc. Amer. Math. Soc.*, **137**(2009), 1729-1733.
17. J. R. Munkres, *Analysis on Manifolds*, Westview Press, 1990.
18. L. Nirenberg, On elliptic partial differential equations, *Ann. Scuola Norm. Sup. Pisa*, **13**(1959), 115-162 .
19. R. Palais, Natural operators on differential forms, *Trans. AMS*, **92**(1959), no. 1, 125-141.
20. X. Saint Raymond, *Elementary Introduction to the Theory of Pseudodifferential Operators*, CRC Press, Boca Raton, 1991.
21. E. M. Stein, *Harmonic Analysis*, Princeton U. Press, 1993.
22. M. E. Taylor, *Partial Differential Equations III* Springer Verlag, 1996.
23. C.-L. Terng, Natural vector bundles and natural differential operators, *Amer. J. Math.*, **100**(1978), no. 4, 775-828.
24. J. Van Schaftingen, Estimates for L^1 -vector fields, *C. R. Math. Acad. Sci. Paris*, **338**(2004), 23-26.
25. J. Van Schaftingen, Estimates for L^1 vector fields with a second order condition, *C. R. Math. Acad. Sci. Paris*, **339**(2004), 181-186.
26. J. Van Schaftingen, Estimates for L^1 -vector fields under higher order differential conditions, *J. Eur. Math. Soc.*, **10**(2008), 867-882.
27. J. Van Schaftingen, Limiting Sobolev inequalities for vector fields and canceling linear differential operators, *J. Eur. Math. Soc.*, **15** (2013), 877-921.
28. J. Van Schaftingen, Limiting fractional and Lorentz space estimates of differential forms, *Proc. Am. Math. Soc.*, **138**(2010), 235-240.
29. P.-L. Yung, Sobolev inequalities for $(0, q)$ -forms on CR manifolds of finite type, *Math. Res. Lett.*, **17**(2010), 177-196.
30. M.-W. Wong, *An Introduction to Pseudodifferential Operators*, 2nd Ed., World Scientific Co., Singapore, 1999.