

## A THEOREM ON PARTITION LATTICE AS A GEOMETRIC LATTICE

BY

CHEN-JUNG HSU

To the memory of H. C. Wang

1. **Statement of the result.** A set of points  $S$  consisting of at least  $n + 1$  points together with a family of subsets of  $S$ , named blocks, is called a partition of type  $n$  ( $n \geq 1$ ) if every  $n$  distinct points of  $S$  is contained in one and only one block and every block contains at least  $n$  distinct points [1]. The set  $LP_n(S)$  of all partitions of type  $n$  on the same set  $S$  is known to be a complete, atomistic [1], meet-continuous [2] lattice called a partition lattice. The partial ordering  $P_1 \leq P_2$  in  $LP_n(S)$  for any two partitions  $P_1, P_2$  is defined by the condition that every block of  $P_1$  is contained in a block of  $P_2$ . Since every complete, atomistic and meet-continuous lattice is known to be a geometric lattice [3], the partition lattice  $LP_n(S)$  is therefore a geometric lattice. That is,  $LP_n(S)$  is a lattice isomorphic to the lattice of all subspaces (flats) of the merely finitary geometry  $\langle S', C' \rangle$ , where  $S'$  is the set of all atoms of  $LP_n(S)$  and  $C'$  is the closure operation defined by  $C': X \rightarrow C'(X) = \{P: \text{atom such that } p \leq \sum X \text{ (lattice sum)}\}$ , for any subset  $X$  of  $S'$ .

The terms involved in here are defined as follows: A merely finitary geometry in the sense of Jonsson [4] is an ordered pair  $\langle S, C \rangle$  consisting of a set  $S$  and a closure operation  $C$  which associates every subset  $X$  of  $S$  with another subset  $C(X)$  such that the following conditions are satisfied:

- (i)  $X \subseteq C(X) = C(C(X))$  for every subset  $X$  of  $S$ ,
- (ii)  $C(p) = p$  for every element  $p \in S$ ,
- (iii)  $C(\phi) = \phi$ , where  $\phi$  is the empty set,

(iv) for every subset  $X$  of  $S$ ,  $C(X)$  is the set union of all sets of the form  $C(Y)$  with  $Y$  a finite subset of  $X$ .

A subset  $X$  of  $S$  is called a subspace (or flat) if  $X = C(X)$  holds.

An atom of  $LP_n(S)$  is a partition of type  $n$  on  $S$  which has only one block consisting of exactly  $n + 1$  distinct points of  $S$ , and every other block contains only  $n$  distinct points. If  $\{x_1, \dots, x_{n+1}\}$  is the  $n + 1$  distinct points of the unique block of the atom  $P_a$ , we denote this atom by  $P_a = \{(x_1, \dots, x_{n+1})\}$ .

On the other hand, it is also shown by Hartmanis [1] that the partition lattice  $LP_n(S)$  is isomorphic to the lattice  $L(P_2G(S'))$  of all subspaces of the geometry  $P_2G(S')$  in the sense of Hartmanis on the set  $S'$  of atoms of  $LP_n(S)$ . Actually,  $P_2G(S')$  is a partition of type 2 on  $S'$  whose blocks are defined in the following way: For any two atoms  $X = \{(x_1, \dots, x_{n+1})\}$  and  $Y = \{(y_1, \dots, y_{n+1})\}$  of  $S'$ , define the block (also called line in this case)  $l(X, Y)$  in  $P_2G(S')$  determined by  $X, Y$  to be the set of all atoms of  $LP_n(S)$  which are  $\leq X + Y$ . Obviously,

$$X + Y = \begin{cases} \{(x_1, \dots, x_n, x_{n+1}, y_{n+1})\} \\ \quad \text{if } \{x_1, \dots, x_n, x_{n+1}\} \cap \{y_1, \dots, y_n, y_{n+1}\} \\ \quad \quad = \{x_1, \dots, x_n\} = \{y_1, \dots, y_n\} \\ \{(x_1, \dots, x_n, x_{n+1}), (y_1, \dots, y_n, y_{n+1})\} \\ \quad \text{if } \{x_1, \dots, x_n, x_{n+1}\} \cap \{y_1, \dots, y_n, y_{n+1}\} \\ \quad \quad \text{contains less than } n \text{ points.} \end{cases}$$

The first case contains only one block which is non-trivial—consisting of at least  $n + 1$  distinct points. The second contains exactly two nontrivial blocks.

A subset  $T \subset S'$  is called a subspace if for any two atoms  $X, Y \in T$ , the line  $l(X, Y) \subset T$ .

It can be easily seen (proof is similar to the proof of the fact that a Wille geometry of grade  $n$  is a merely finitary geometry. [3, p. 16]) that  $P_2G(S')$  is also a merely finitary geometry  $\langle S', C \rangle$ , where  $C(X)$  is defined to be the least subspace containing the given subset  $X$  of  $S'$ .

Thus there are two seemingly different representations of  $LP_n(S)$  as a geometric lattice; one through the geometry  $\langle S', C \rangle$  and the

other through  $P_2 G(S') = \langle S', C \rangle$ . It is natural to raise the question: How are these two geometries related? It is intended in this note to show the following result concerning this question.

**THEOREM.** *The two seemingly different representations of the partition lattice  $LP_n(S)$  as a geometric lattice are actually the same. That is, the two geometries  $\langle S', C' \rangle$  and  $P_2 G(S') = \langle S', C \rangle$  are the same.*

**2. Blocks of the partition  $Q + P_a$ .** As the preparation for the proof of this theorem, we need to study the blocks of the partition  $Q + P_a$ , where  $Q$  is any partition of type  $n$  on  $S$  and  $P_a$  is an atom of  $LP_n(S)$  such that  $P_a \not\leq Q$ . Let  $P_a = \{(x_1, \dots, x_n, x_{n+1})\}$ , and let  $B'(y_1, \dots, y_n)$  be the block of  $Q$  determined by the  $n$  distinct points  $\{y_1, \dots, y_n\}$ . Define

$$B_1 = \bigcup_{i=1}^{n+1} B'(x_1, \dots, \hat{x}_i, \dots, x_{n+1}) \text{ (set union).}$$

where  $\{x_1, \dots, \hat{x}_i, \dots, x_{n+1}\} = \{x_1, \dots, x_{i-1}, x_{i+1}, \dots, x_{n+1}\}$ . Then define

$$B_{i+1} = \bigcup B'(z_1, \dots, z_n)$$

for all distinct  $\{z_1, \dots, z_n\} \subset B_i$  provided that  $B_i$  is already defined. It is obvious now that

$$\{x_1, \dots, x_{n+1}\} \subset B_1 \subset B_2 \subset \dots \subset B_i \subset B_{i+1} \subset \dots$$

Let

$$B = \bigcup_i B_i \text{ (set union).}$$

Now, for any  $n$  distinct points  $\{y_1, \dots, y_n\}$  of  $S$  we define

$$B(y_1, \dots, y_n) = \begin{cases} B & \text{if } \{y_1, \dots, y_n\} \subset B \\ B'(y_1, \dots, y_n) & \text{if } \{y_1, \dots, y_n\} \not\subset B. \end{cases}$$

Let  $P$  be the collection of all  $B(y_1, \dots, y_n)$  defined this way. Then  $P$  is a partition of type  $n$  on  $S$ .

In fact, let  $\{z_1, \dots, z_n\} \subset B(y_1, \dots, y_n)$ . Case 1. If  $B(y_1, \dots, y_n) = B$ , then  $\{z_1, \dots, z_n\} \subset B$ , so  $B(z_1, \dots, z_n) = B = B(y_1, \dots, y_n)$ . Case 2. If  $B(y_1, \dots, y_n) = B'(y_1, \dots, y_n)$ , then  $\{z_1, \dots, z_n\} \subset B'(y_1, \dots, y_n)$ , hence  $B'(z_1, \dots, z_n) = B'(y_1, \dots, y_n)$ . Furthermore,  $\{z_1, \dots, z_n\} \not\subset B$ , since otherwise,  $\{z_1, \dots, z_n\} \subset B$  would imply that there is a  $B_{i_0}$  such that  $\{z_1, \dots, z_n\} \subset B_{i_0}$  and  $B'(y_1, \dots, y_n)$

$= B'(z_1, \dots, z_n) \subset B_{i_0} \subset B$ . As such it contradicts the fact that  $\{y_1, \dots, y_n\} \not\subset B$ . Since  $\{z_1, \dots, z_n\} \not\subset B$ , we have  $B(z_1, \dots, z_n) = B'(z_1, \dots, z_n) = B'(y_1, \dots, y_n) = B(y_1, \dots, y_n)$ . Thus  $P$  is a partition of type  $n$  on  $S$ .

Now, *it can be shown that*  $P = Q + P_a$ . Obviously,  $\{x_1, \dots, x_{n+1}\} \subset B_i \subset B$ . For any  $n$  distinct points  $\{y_1, \dots, y_n\}$ , if  $\{y_1, \dots, y_n\} \subset B$ , then as shown above  $B'(y_1, \dots, y_n) \subset B(y_1, \dots, y_n) = B$ . If  $\{y_1, \dots, y_n\} \not\subset B$ , then  $B'(y_1, \dots, y_n) = B(y_1, \dots, y_n)$ . Thus  $P_a, Q \leq P$ . Hence  $P_a + Q \leq P$ . On the other hand, let  $P_a + Q \leq R$ , and let  $C(y_1, \dots, y_n)$  be the block of  $R$  determined by the  $n$  distinct points  $\{y_1, \dots, y_n\}$ . Since  $P_a \leq R$ ,  $C(x_1, \dots, x_n) \supset \{x_1, \dots, x_n, x_{n+1}\}$ , and since  $Q \leq R$  implies that  $C(x_1, \dots, x_n) = C(x_1, \dots, \hat{x}_i, \dots, x_{n+1}) \supset B'(x_1, \dots, \hat{x}_i, \dots, x_{n+1})$ , we have  $C(x_1, \dots, x_n) \supset B_1$ . Now, if  $\{z_1, \dots, z_n\} \subset B_1$ , then  $C(x_1, \dots, x_n) = C(z_1, \dots, z_n) \supset B'(z_1, \dots, z_n)$ , hence  $C(x_1, \dots, x_n) \supset B_2$ . In this way we can show that  $C(x_1, \dots, x_n) \supset B_1, B_2, \dots$  and hence  $C(x_1, \dots, x_n) \supset B$ . Thus, if  $\{y_1, \dots, y_n\} \subset B$ , then  $B(y_1, \dots, y_n) = B \subset C(x_1, \dots, x_n)$ . On the other hand, if  $\{y_1, \dots, y_n\} \not\subset B$ , then  $B(y_1, \dots, y_n) = B'(y_1, \dots, y_n) \subset C(y_1, \dots, y_n)$ , since  $Q \leq R$ . Therefore, it is shown that  $P \leq R$ . Thus  $P = Q + P_a$ .

**3. Equivalency of the two geometries.** For the proof of the theorem, we show that the two geometries  $\langle S', C' \rangle$  and  $P_2 G(S')$   $= \langle S', C \rangle$  are the same. To show this, we need the following:

**LEMMA.** *If  $\langle S', C \rangle$  and  $\langle S', C' \rangle$  are two merely finitary geometries, then  $\langle S', C \rangle = \langle S', C' \rangle$  if and only if  $C(K) = C'(K)$  for every finite subset  $K$  of  $S'$ .*

**Proof.** Let  $H$  be any subset of  $S'$ . Since  $\langle S', C \rangle$  is a merely finitary geometry,  $C(H) = \bigcup_r C(K_r)$  for all finite subsets  $K_r$  of  $H$ . But, since  $C(K_r) = C'(K_r)$  we have  $C(H) = \bigcup_r C(K) = \bigcup_r C'(K) = C'(H)$ . Q. E. D.

**Proof of the equivalency of  $\langle S', C' \rangle$  and  $P_2 G(S')$ .** Let  $P \in LP_n(S)$  be a lattice join of finite number of atoms  $P_a (\alpha = 1, \dots, k)$  such that  $P_s \not\leq \sum_{i=1}^{s-1} P_i$ ,  $s = 2, \dots, k$ . Let  $K = \{P_1, \dots, P_k\}$  be the set of these atoms. Obviously,  $C'(K) = \{P_a: \text{atom such that } P_a \leq P = \sum_{\alpha=1}^k P_\alpha\} \supseteq C(K)$ , since  $C'(K)$  is a subspace of  $P_2 G(S')$ . Thus, by the above lemma, we need only to show the proposition that

every atom  $P_a$  under  $P$  is contained in  $C(K)$ . This can be done by induction on the number  $k$  of the atoms in  $K$ .

This proposition holds for  $k=2$  by the definition of line in  $P_2 G(S')$ . Assume now that it holds for  $k-1$ . Let  $P_k \not\leq Q = \sum_{\beta=1}^{k-1} P_\beta$ , then  $P = Q + P_k$ . Also, let  $K' = \{P_1, \dots, P_{k-1}\}$ . Suppose that the atom  $P_a = \{(y_1, \dots, y_{n+1})\} \leq P$ . Then there is a block  $B_0$  of the partition  $P$  which satisfies  $B_0 \supset \{y_1, \dots, y_{n+1}\}$ . As a block of  $P$ ,  $B_0$  is either one of the following two types: 1)  $B_0 = B'(y_1, \dots, y_n)$ , a block of  $Q$  not contained in  $B$ , or 2)  $B_0 = B = \cup B_i$ . In the case 1),  $\{(y_1, \dots, y_{n+1})\} \leq Q = \sum_{\beta=1}^{k-1} P_\beta$ , so by the induction hypothesis,  $P_a = \{(y_1, \dots, y_{n+1})\} \in C(K') \subset C(K)$ . In the case 2), there is a  $B_{i_0}$  such that  $\{y_1, \dots, y_{n+1}\} \subset B_{i_0}$ . Thus it suffices to show that if  $\{y_1, \dots, y_{n+1}\} \subset B_i$  for any  $i = 1, 2, \dots$ , then  $P_a = \{(y_1, \dots, y_{n+1})\} \in C(K)$ . This proposition can be shown by induction on  $i$ .

For  $i = 1$ ,  $\{y_1, \dots, y_{n+1}\} \subset B_1 = \cup_i B'(x_1, \dots, \hat{x}_i, \dots, x_{n+1})$ . Without loss of generality, we can assume that  $x_1 = y_1, \dots, x_l = y_l$  and that  $x_1, \dots, x_l, x_{l+1}, \dots, x_{n+1}, y_{l+1}, \dots, y_{n+1}$  are distinct. For a fixed  $j$  between  $l+1$  and  $n+1$ , let  $y_j \in B'(x_1, \dots, \hat{x}_i, \dots, x_{n+1})$ . Then  $R_j = \{(x_1, \dots, \hat{x}_i, \dots, x_{n+1}, y_j)\} \leq Q$  hence  $R_j \in C(K') \subset C(K)$  by the induction hypothesis on  $k-1$ . Since  $P_k = \{(x_1, \dots, x_{n+1})\} \in C(K)$ , the line determined by  $P_k$  and  $R_j$  is of the form  $\{(x_1, \dots, x_{n+1}, y_j)\}$ , and is contained in  $C(K)$ . Thus the atom  $\{(x_1, \dots, x_n, y_j)\} \in C(K)$ , where  $j$  can be any integer in between  $l+1$  and  $n+1$ . It then follows that the line  $\{(x_1, \dots, x_n, y_{n+1}, y_j)\} \subset C(K)$ , and hence  $\{(x_1, \dots, x_{n-1}, y_{n+1}, y_j)\} \in C(K)$  for  $j = l+1, \dots, n$ . By continuing similar argument, we can conclude that  $\{(x_1, \dots, x_{n-2}, y_{n+1}, y_n, y_k)\} \in C(K)$ , ( $k = l+1, \dots, n-1$ ),  $\dots$ ,  $\{(x_1, \dots, x_l, y_{n+1}, \dots, y_{l+3}, y_m)\} \in C(K)$ , ( $m = l+1, l+2$ ), and finally  $\{(x_1, \dots, x_l, y_{n+1}, \dots, y_{l+1})\} = \{(y_1, \dots, y_n, y_{n+1})\} \in C(K)$ .

Next, assume that  $\{y_1, \dots, y_{n+1}\} \subset B_i$  implies  $\{(y_1, \dots, y_{n+1})\} \in C(K)$ . Suppose now that the  $n+1$  distinct points  $\{y_1, \dots, y_{n+1}\} \subset B_{i+1}$ . We assume as above that  $x_1 = y_1, \dots, x_l = y_l$  and that  $\{x_1, \dots, x_n, y_{l+1}, \dots, y_{n+1}\}$  are distinct. Further, suppose that for a fixed  $j$  in  $l+1 \leq j \leq n+1$ ,  $y_j \in B'(z_1, \dots, z_n)$  with  $\{z_1, \dots, z_n\} \subset B_i$ . Then, if  $y_j = z_h$  for an  $h$  ( $h = 1, \dots, n$ ), we have  $\{(x_1, \dots, x_n, y_j)\} \subset C(K)$ , by the induction hypothesis on  $i$ , since  $\{x_1, \dots, x_n, y_j = z_h\}$

$\subset B_i$ . Suppose next that  $y_j$  is distinct from  $z_1, \dots, z_n$ . Then, since  $\{z_1, \dots, z_n, y_i\} \subset B'(z_1, \dots, z_n)$ , a block of  $Q$ , we have  $\{(z_1, \dots, z_n, y_j)\} \leq Q$ . Hence  $\{(z_1, \dots, z_n, y_j)\} \in C(K)$  by the induction hypothesis on  $k-1$ . Now assume that  $x_{1'} = z_1, \dots, x_{m'} = z_m$  and that  $\{z_1, \dots, z_n, x_{(m+1)'}, \dots, x_{n'}\}$  are distinct. Then, since these points are contained in  $B_i$ , by the induction hypothesis on  $i$ , the atoms  $\{(z_1, \dots, z_n, x_{(m+1)'})\}, \dots, \{(z_1, \dots, z_n, x_{n'})\} \in C(K)$ . Also shown above is the fact that  $\{(z_1, \dots, z_n, y_i)\} \in C(K)$ . By using the same argument as above in showing  $\{(y_1, \dots, y_n, y_{n+1})\} \in C(K)$ , we can conclude that  $\{(x_1, \dots, x_n, y_i)\} \in C(K)$ . Thus  $\{(x_1, \dots, x_n, y_i)\} \in C(K)$  for  $j = l+1, \dots, n+1$ . From there, it follows that  $\{(y_1, \dots, y_n, y_{n+1})\} \in C(K)$ .

#### REFERENCES

1. J. Hartmanis, *Lattice theory of generalized partitions*, Canad. J. Math. **11** (1959), 97-106.
2. C. J. Hsu and S. W. Yang, *A direct proof that a partition lattice is meet-continuous*. (To appear).
3. C. J. Hsu, *A version of Birkhoff-Frink's theorem in the abstract geometry*, Yokohama Math. J. **22** (1974), 11-24.
4. B. Jonsson, *Lattice-theoretic approach to projective and affine geometry*, 188-203. The axiomatic method, edited by L. Henkin, P. Suppes, A. Tarski. Studies in Logics, Amsterdam 1959.

KANSAS STATE UNIVERSITY MANHATTAN, KANSAS 66506, U. S. A.