## CHERN CLASSES AND KAEHLER METRICS

BY

## BANG-YEN CHEN(1)

To the memory of Marston Morse

**Abstract.** We prove the following results: (I) an algebraic hypersurface of  $\mathbb{CP}_5$  admits a BK-metric if and only if it is linear and (II) a compact complex manifold with vanishing first Chern class admits a BK-metric if and only if it is covered biholomorphically by  $\mathbb{C}^n$ .

1. Introduction. Let M be a compact, connected, complex manifold of n complex dimensions. A Kaehler metric g on M is called a BK-metric if the Bochner tensor of g vanishes. The Bochner tensor was first introduced by S. Bochner in [1] as a complex version of the Weyl conformal curvature tensor. For a Kaehler manifold M, let N be a trivial circle bundle over a small open subset of M. It is shown in [8] that the Bochner tensor of M is nothing but the fourth order Chern-Moser tensor of N. The latter notion has a deal great to do with the pseudo-conformal geometry [4].

Since BK-metrics are simple Kaehler metrics on complex manifolds closely related to pseudo-conformal geometry, it is basic and interesting to determine the class of complex manifolds which admit such metrics. In a previous paper, the author was able to give a complete answer to this problem for analytic complex surfaces. In this paper, we shall study this problem for higher-dimensional complex manifolds. In particular, we shall prove the following theorems.

THEOREM 1. An algebraic hypersurface of  $CP_5$  admits a BKmetric if and only if it is linear.

THEOREM 2. A compact complex manifold of n complex dimensions is covered biholomorphically by  $C^n$  if and only if its first Chern class vanishes and it admits a BK-metric.

Received by the editors October 2, 1977, and in revised form April 18, 1978.

<sup>(1)</sup> Partially supported by National Science Foundation under Grant MCS 76-06318.

2. Lemmas. R. Thom [7] has made the class of all oriented compact differentiable (not necessarily connected) manifolds into an algebra  $\mathcal{Q}$  by identifying two such manifolds X and Y of the same dimension if there exists a bounded W with  $\partial W = X - Y$ , and by defining addition of manifolds as the (disjoint) union, and multiplication as the topological product, these operations being compatible with the identifications. Thom considers the algebra  $\mathcal{Q} \otimes Q$  and states the theorem:

 $\Omega \otimes Q$  is generated by the complex-projective spaces  $CP_{2k}$  of 2k complex dimensions  $(k \ge 0)$ .

Each manifold X represents an element of  $Q \otimes Q$  which we denote by (X). In particular, if M is a complex manifold of 4 complex dimensions, the cobordism class (M) of M can be written uniquely in the following form:

$$(M) = aCP_4 + bCP_2 \times CP_2.$$

It is known that the cobordism coefficients a, b for a 4-dimensional complex manifold are integers [5]. The sum of a and b gives the Hirzebruch index.

Let  $c_i$  and  $p_i$  be the *i*th Chern class and the *i*th Pontryagin class of M. If w is a cohomology class in  $H^{2n}(M; \mathbf{R})$ ,  $\dim_{\mathbf{C}} M = n$ , we shall also regard w as the number obtained from w by taking its value on the fundamental cycle of M.

Let M be a Kaehler manifold with Kaehler metric  $g = \frac{1}{2} \sum (\omega^i \otimes \bar{\omega}^i + \bar{\omega}^i \otimes \omega^i)$  and curvature form  $\Omega^i_j = \sum R^i_{jk\bar{\imath}} \omega^k \wedge \bar{\omega}^i$  associated with a unitary frame  $\omega^1, \dots, \omega^n$ , where n denotes the complex dimension of M. The Ricci tensor S and the scalar curvature  $\rho$  are given respectively by

$$S = rac{1}{2} \sum \left( R_{iar{j}} \omega^i \otimes ar{\omega}^j + ar{R}_{iar{j}} ar{\omega}^i \otimes \omega^j 
ight),$$
  $ho = 2 \sum R_{iar{i}},$ 

where  $R_{i\bar{j}} = 2\sum R_{ik\bar{j}}^k$ . The fundamental 2-form  $\Phi$  is given by

which is a harmonic form. The cohomology class represented by

the fundamental 2-form  $\Phi$  of M is denoted by  $\omega$ , which is called the fundamental class of the Kaehler manifold.

The Bochner tensor B is a tensor field with local components

$$B_{j_k\bar{i}}^i = R_{j_k\bar{i}}^i - \frac{1}{2(n+2)} \left( R_{\bar{i}k} \, \delta_{jl} + R_{\bar{i}j} \, \delta_{kl} + \delta_{ik} \, R_{j\bar{i}} + \delta_{ij} \, R_{k\bar{i}} \right) + \frac{\rho}{4(n+1)(n+2)} \left( \delta_{ik} \, \delta_{jl} + \delta_{ij} \, \delta_{kl} \right).$$

If we define a closed 2k-form  $\gamma_k$  by

$$\gamma_k = \frac{(-1)^k}{(2\pi\sqrt{-1})^k k!} \sum_{j_1,\dots,j_k} \delta_{j_1,\dots,j_k}^{i_1,\dots i_k} \mathcal{Q}_{i_1}^{j_1} \wedge \dots \wedge \mathcal{Q}_{i_k}^{j_k},$$

then  $r_k$  is closed and the the kth Chern class  $c_k$  of M is represented by  $r_k$ . In particular, we have

(2.1) 
$$r_1 = \frac{\sqrt{-1}}{2\pi} \sum_{i} \Omega_i^i,$$

For later use we prove the following lemmas.

LEMMA 1. Let M be a compact complex manifold of 4 complex dimensions. If M admits a BK-metric, then its first cobordism coefficient satisfies

(1) 
$$a \geq \frac{31}{1035} \left( c_1^4 - 3c_2 c_1^2 + 3c_3 c_1 \right).$$

If the equality holds, either every BK-metric g on M is flat or (M, g) is a locally product manifold of two Kaehler surfaces, one with constant positive holomorphic sectional curvature H, the other with constant negative holomorphic sectional curvature -H.

LEMMA 2. Let M be a compact complex manifold of 4 complex dimensions. If M admits a BK-metric, we have

$$(2) 84525 a + 13 b \ge 2107 c_1^4 - 5723 c_2 c_1^2 + 3968 c_3 c_1,$$

(3) 
$$978075 a - 201 b \ge 35861 c_1^4 - 116829c_2 c_1^2 + 143964 c_3 c_1$$

If the equality of (2) holds, every BK-metric on M is flat or (M, g) is a constant holomorphic sectional curvature or (M, g) is a locally product manifold mentioned in Lemma 1.

If the equality of (3) holds, then every BK-metric on M is flat or (M, g) is a locally product manifold mentioned in Lemma 1.

Moreover, inequalities (1), (2) and (3) are best possible.

**Proof of Lemmas 1 and 2.** We denote by \* the Hodge star operator and we put

$$S^{(i)} = \text{trace}(S^i), \quad i = 1, 2, 3, 4.$$

Then  $S^{(1)} = \rho/2$ . By direct computation we obtain the following:

$$(2.5) \qquad *\sum \mathcal{Q}_{\alpha}^{\alpha} \wedge \mathcal{Q}_{\beta}^{\beta} \wedge \mathcal{Q}_{\gamma}^{\gamma} \wedge \mathcal{Q}_{\delta}^{\delta} = -6S^{(4)} + 4S^{(3)}\rho + 3(S^{(2)})^{2}$$

$$-\frac{3}{2}S^{(2)}\rho^{2} + \frac{1}{16}\rho^{4},$$

$$*\sum \mathcal{Q}_{\alpha}^{\alpha} \wedge \mathcal{Q}_{\beta}^{\beta} \wedge \mathcal{Q}_{\delta}^{\gamma} \wedge \mathcal{Q}_{\gamma}^{\delta} = -\frac{1}{16}\|R\|^{2}\rho^{2}$$

$$+\frac{1}{4}S^{(2)}\rho^{2} + \frac{1}{4}\|R\|^{2}S^{(2)} - (S^{(2)})^{2} - 4\rho \sum R_{k\bar{l}}R_{\rho l\bar{k}}^{\alpha}R_{\rho l\bar{k}}^{\beta}R_{\rho l\bar{k}}^{\beta}$$

$$+8\rho \sum R_{k\bar{l}}R_{\beta m\bar{k}}^{\alpha}R_{\alpha l\bar{m}}^{\beta} + 8\sum R_{i\bar{j}}R_{k\bar{l}}R_{\beta l\bar{k}}^{\alpha}R_{\rho l\bar{k}}^{\beta}R_{\rho l\bar{k}}^{\beta}$$

$$-16\sum R_{i\bar{j}}R_{k\bar{l}}R_{\beta m\bar{k}}^{\alpha}R_{\alpha l\bar{m}}^{\beta} - 8\sum R_{i\bar{j}}R_{k\bar{l}}R_{\beta l\bar{k}}^{\alpha}R_{\alpha l\bar{k}}^{\beta}$$

$$+8\sum R_{i\bar{j}}R_{\beta j\bar{l}}^{\alpha}R_{\alpha k\bar{l}}^{\beta}R_{l\bar{k}}^{\beta},$$

$$*\sum \mathcal{Q}_{\alpha}^{\alpha} \wedge \mathcal{Q}_{\gamma}^{\beta} \wedge \mathcal{Q}_{\delta}^{\gamma} \wedge \mathcal{Q}_{\delta}^{\gamma}$$

$$=\frac{1}{2}S^{(3)}\rho - 6\rho\sum R_{\beta}^{\alpha}R_{\gamma l\bar{l}}^{\beta}R_{\alpha l\bar{l}}^{\gamma} + 4\rho\sum R_{i\bar{j}}^{\alpha}R_{\beta l\bar{l}}^{\beta}R_{\gamma l\bar{l}}^{\gamma}R_{\alpha l\bar{k}}^{\gamma}$$

$$+4\rho\sum R_{\beta i\bar{j}}^{\alpha}R_{\gamma l\bar{k}}^{\beta}R_{\gamma l\bar{k}}^{\gamma}R_{\alpha l\bar{k}}^{\gamma} + 24\sum R_{i\bar{j}}R_{\beta l\bar{l}}^{\alpha}R_{\gamma l\bar{l}}^{\gamma}R_{\alpha l\bar{k}}^{\gamma}$$

$$-24\sum R_{i\bar{j}}R_{\beta l\bar{i}}^{\alpha}R_{\gamma l\bar{k}}^{\beta}R_{\gamma l\bar{k}}^{\gamma}R_{\alpha l\bar{k}}^{\gamma} - 24\sum R_{i\bar{j}}R_{\beta l\bar{k}}^{\alpha}R_{\gamma l\bar{k}}^{\gamma}R_{\alpha l\bar{k}}^{\gamma}$$

$$+12\sum R_{i\bar{j}}R_{\beta l\bar{i}}^{\alpha}R_{\gamma l\bar{k}}^{\beta}R_{\gamma l\bar{k}}^{\gamma}R_{\alpha}^{\gamma} + 12\sum R_{i\bar{j}}R_{\beta l\bar{k}}^{\alpha}R_{\gamma l\bar{k}}^{\gamma}R_{\alpha}^{\gamma}$$

 $-6\sum R_{i\bar{i}}R_{\beta j\bar{i}}^{\alpha}R_{\gamma}^{\beta}R_{\alpha}^{\gamma}$ 

$$\begin{split}
* \sum_{\alpha\beta} \Omega_{\beta}^{\sigma} \wedge \Omega_{\delta}^{\beta} \wedge \Omega_{\delta}^{\gamma} \wedge \Omega_{\delta}^{\gamma} \\
&= -\frac{1}{2} \|R\|^{2} S^{(2)} + \frac{1}{16} \|R\|^{4} - 16 \sum_{\alpha} R_{\beta}^{\sigma} R_{di\bar{k}}^{\beta} R_{\delta h\bar{l}}^{\gamma} R_{\gamma}^{\delta} \\
&+ 64 \sum_{\alpha} R_{\beta}^{\sigma} R_{ak\bar{l}}^{\beta} R_{\delta m\bar{k}}^{\gamma} R_{\gamma l\bar{m}}^{\delta} - 64 \sum_{\alpha} R_{\beta i\bar{j}}^{\sigma} R_{ak\bar{l}}^{\beta} R_{\delta m\bar{k}}^{\gamma} R_{\gamma j\bar{m}}^{\delta} \\
&+ 32 \sum_{\alpha} R_{\beta i\bar{j}}^{\sigma} R_{ak\bar{l}}^{\beta} R_{\delta j\bar{i}}^{\gamma} R_{\gamma l\bar{k}}^{\delta} - 32 \sum_{\alpha} R_{\beta i\bar{j}}^{\sigma} R_{ak\bar{l}}^{\beta} R_{\delta l\bar{i}}^{\gamma} R_{\gamma j\bar{k}}^{\delta} \\
&* \sum_{\alpha} \Omega_{\beta}^{\sigma} \wedge \Omega_{\gamma}^{\beta} \wedge \Omega_{\delta}^{\gamma} \wedge \Omega_{\delta}^{\delta} \\
&= S^{(4)} - 16 \sum_{\alpha} R_{\beta}^{\alpha} R_{\gamma}^{\beta} R_{\delta l\bar{k}}^{\gamma} R_{\delta l\bar{i}}^{\delta} - 8 \sum_{\alpha} R_{\beta i\bar{j}}^{\alpha} R_{\gamma}^{\gamma} R_{\delta j\bar{i}}^{\delta} R_{\alpha}^{\delta} \\
&+ 32 \sum_{\alpha} R_{\beta}^{\alpha} R_{\gamma k\bar{l}}^{\beta} R_{\delta l\bar{k}}^{\gamma} R_{\delta l\bar{k}}^{\delta} + 32 \sum_{\alpha} R_{\beta}^{\alpha} R_{\gamma k\bar{l}}^{\beta} R_{\delta l\bar{k}}^{\gamma} R_{\delta l\bar{k}}^{\delta} \\
&+ 32 \sum_{\alpha} R_{\beta l\bar{j}}^{\alpha} R_{\gamma j\bar{l}}^{\beta} R_{\delta l\bar{k}}^{\gamma} R_{\delta l\bar{k}}^{\delta} - 64 \sum_{\alpha} R_{\beta l\bar{j}}^{\alpha} R_{\gamma k\bar{l}}^{\gamma} R_{\delta l\bar{k}}^{\delta} \\
&- 16 \sum_{\alpha} R_{\beta l\bar{j}}^{\alpha} R_{\gamma k\bar{l}}^{\beta} R_{\gamma l\bar{k}}^{\gamma} R_{\delta l\bar{k}}^{\delta} R_{\alpha l\bar{k}}^{\delta} \\
&- 16 \sum_{\alpha} R_{\beta l\bar{j}}^{\alpha} R_{\gamma l\bar{k}}^{\beta} R_{\gamma l\bar{k}}^{\gamma} R_{\delta l\bar{k}}^{\delta} R_{\alpha l\bar{k}}^{\delta},
\end{split}$$

where

$$R^{\alpha}_{\beta} = 2 \sum R^{\alpha}_{k 
ho \overline{k}}$$
 and  $\|R\|^2 = 16 \sum R^{\alpha}_{
ho i \overline{j}} R^{\beta}_{\alpha j \overline{i}}$ .

If the Kaehler metric g on M is a BK-metric, we have

$$egin{aligned} R^{lpha}_{eta iar{j}} &= rac{1}{12} \left( R_{ar{lpha} i} \; \delta_{eta j} + R_{ar{lpha} eta} \; \delta_{ij} + \delta_{lpha i} \; R_{eta ar{j}} + \delta_{lpha eta} \; R_{iar{j}} 
ight) \ &- rac{
ho}{120} \left( \delta_{lpha i} \; \delta_{eta j} + \delta_{lpha eta} \; \delta_{ij} 
ight). \end{aligned}$$

Substituting this into (2.5)-(2.9) we obtain the following:

(2.10) 
$$* \sum_{\alpha} \Omega_{\alpha}^{\alpha} \wedge \Omega_{\beta}^{\beta} \wedge \Omega_{\gamma}^{\gamma} \wedge \Omega_{\delta}^{\delta}$$

$$= -6S^{(4)} + 4S^{(3)}\rho + 3(S^{(2)})^{2} - \frac{3}{2}S^{(2)}\rho^{2} + \frac{1}{16}\rho^{4},$$

$$* \sum_{\alpha} \Omega_{\alpha}^{\alpha} \wedge \Omega_{\beta}^{\beta} \wedge \Omega_{\delta}^{\gamma} \wedge \Omega_{\gamma}^{\delta}$$

$$= -\frac{8}{5}S^{(4)} + \frac{3}{5}S^{(3)}\rho$$

$$(2.11) = -\frac{8}{9} S^{(4)} + \frac{3}{5} S^{(3)} \rho + \frac{7}{18} (S^{(2)})^2 - \frac{19}{20} S^{(2)} \rho^2 + \frac{13}{1440} \rho^4,$$

$$* \sum_{\alpha} \mathcal{Q}_{\alpha}^{\alpha} \wedge \mathcal{Q}_{\gamma}^{\beta} \wedge \mathcal{Q}_{\delta}^{\gamma} \wedge \mathcal{Q}_{\beta}^{\delta}$$

$$= -\frac{1}{6} S^{(4)} + \frac{23}{180} S^{(3)} \rho$$

$$+ \frac{1}{24} (S^{(2)})^{2} - \frac{7}{200} S^{(2)} \rho^{2} + \frac{41}{28800} \rho^{4},$$

$$* \sum_{\beta} \Omega_{\beta}^{\alpha} \wedge \Omega_{\delta}^{\beta} \wedge \Omega_{\delta}^{\gamma} \wedge \Omega_{\gamma}^{\delta}$$

$$= -\frac{11}{81} S^{(4)} + \frac{73}{810} S^{(3)} \rho$$

$$+ \frac{29}{324} (S^{(2)})^{2} - \frac{67}{2025} S^{(2)}) \rho^{2} + \frac{179}{129600} \rho^{4},$$

$$(2.14) * \sum_{\beta} \mathcal{Q}_{\beta}^{\alpha} \wedge \mathcal{Q}_{\gamma}^{\beta} \wedge \mathcal{Q}_{\delta}^{\gamma} \wedge \mathcal{Q}_{\alpha}^{\delta}$$

$$= \frac{1}{162} S^{(4)} + \frac{31}{1620} S^{(3)} \rho - \frac{1}{648} (S^{(2)})^{2} - \frac{41}{8100} S^{(2)} \rho^{2} + \frac{277}{1296000} \rho^{4}.$$

From (2.12) and (2.14) we find

$$(2.15) 207 * \sum_{\beta} \mathcal{Q}_{\beta}^{\alpha} \wedge \mathcal{Q}_{\gamma}^{\beta} \wedge \mathcal{Q}_{\delta}^{\gamma} \wedge \mathcal{Q}_{\gamma}^{\delta} - 31 * \sum_{\beta} \mathcal{Q}_{\alpha}^{\alpha} \wedge \mathcal{Q}_{\gamma}^{\beta} \wedge \mathcal{Q}_{\delta}^{\gamma} \wedge \mathcal{Q}_{\delta}^{\gamma} \wedge \mathcal{Q}_{\delta}^{\delta} \\ = \frac{58}{9} \mathcal{S}^{(4)} - \frac{29}{18} (\mathcal{S}^{(2)})^{2} + \frac{67}{1800} \mathcal{S}^{(2)} \rho^{2} + \frac{1}{9000} \rho^{4}.$$

From [5], we know that the cobordism coefficients satisfy

$$(2.16) 5a = -4c_4 + 4c_3 c_1 + 2c_2^2 - 4c_2 c_1^2 + c_1^4,$$

$$(2.17) 9b = 10c_4 - 10c_3 c_1 - 3c_2^2 + 8c_2 c_1^2 - 2c_1^4$$

Thus from (2.1), (2.2), (2.3), (2.4) and (2.16) we find

(2.18) 
$$\int * \sum \mathcal{Q}^{\alpha}_{\alpha} \wedge \mathcal{Q}^{\beta}_{\beta} \wedge \mathcal{Q}^{\gamma}_{\gamma} \wedge \mathcal{Q}^{\delta}_{\delta} = 16 \pi^{4} c_{1}^{4},$$

$$(2.19) \qquad \int * \sum \mathcal{Q}_{\alpha}^{\sigma} \wedge \mathcal{Q}_{\beta}^{\beta} \wedge \mathcal{Q}_{\delta}^{\gamma} \wedge \mathcal{Q}_{\gamma}^{\delta} = 16\pi^{4} c_{1}^{4} - 32\pi^{4} c_{2} c_{1}^{2},$$

$$(2.20) \int * \sum \mathcal{Q}_{\alpha}^{\alpha} \wedge \mathcal{Q}_{\gamma}^{\beta} \wedge \mathcal{Q}_{\delta}^{\gamma} \wedge \mathcal{Q}_{\beta}^{\delta} = 16\pi^{4} c_{1}^{4} - 48\pi^{4} c_{2} c_{1}^{2} + 48\pi^{4} c_{3} c_{1},$$

(2.21) 
$$\int * \sum \mathcal{Q}^{\alpha}_{\beta} \wedge \mathcal{Q}^{\beta}_{\gamma} \wedge \mathcal{Q}^{\gamma}_{\delta} \wedge \mathcal{Q}^{\delta}_{\alpha} = 80\pi^{4} a.$$

Substituting (2.20), (2.21) into (2.15), we get

$$1035a = 31(c_1^4 - 3c_2c_1^2 + 3c_3c_1) + \frac{29}{288\pi^4} \int \{4S^{(4)} - (S^{(2)})^2\} + \frac{1}{144000\pi^4} \int \{335S^{(2)}\rho^2 + S^{(4)}\}.$$

On the other hand, we have

$$(2.24) 4S^{(4)} \ge (S^{(2)})^2.$$

The equality sign of (2.24) holds if and only if  $S^2$  is proportional to the identity transformation, i.e., the eigenvalues of S have the same absolute value. Combining (2.23) and (2.24) we find

$$(2.23) 1035a \ge 31(c_1^4 - 3c_2c_1^2 + 3c_3c_1),$$

where the equality sign holds if and only if, with respect to a suitable frame, the Ricci tensor S of M has the following form:

$$\mathbf{S} = \begin{pmatrix} \lambda & 0 \\ \lambda & -\lambda \\ 0 & -\lambda \end{pmatrix}.$$

Since the metric g is Bochner-Kaehlerian, this implies that either the metric is flat or (M, g) is a locally product manifold of two Kaehler surfaces, one with constant positive holomorphic sectional curvature H, the other with constant negative holomorphic sectional curvature -H (see, for example, [3]). This proves Lemma 1.

Now we prove Lemma 2. From (2.10)-(2.12) find

$$S^{(4)} = -\frac{1}{25} S^{(2)} \rho^2 + \frac{7}{400} \rho^4 + \frac{20}{3} * \sum_{\alpha} \Omega_{\alpha}^{\alpha} \wedge \Omega_{\beta}^{\beta} \wedge \Omega_{\gamma}^{\gamma} \wedge \Omega_{\delta}^{\delta}$$

$$-\frac{117}{2} * \sum_{\alpha} \Omega_{\alpha}^{\alpha} \wedge \Omega_{\beta}^{\beta} \wedge \Omega_{\delta}^{\gamma} \wedge \Omega_{\delta}^{\delta}$$

$$+ 66 * \sum_{\alpha} \Omega_{\alpha}^{\alpha} \wedge \Omega_{\gamma}^{\beta} \wedge \Omega_{\delta}^{\gamma} \wedge \Omega_{\beta}^{\delta},$$

$$S^{(3)}\rho = \frac{3}{2} S^{(2)}\rho^{2} + \frac{1}{80} \rho^{4} + \frac{15}{2} * \sum_{\alpha} \Omega_{\alpha}^{\alpha} \wedge \Omega_{\beta}^{\beta} \wedge \Omega_{\gamma}^{\gamma} \wedge \Omega_{\delta}^{\delta}$$

$$- \frac{5}{12} * \sum_{\alpha} \Omega_{\alpha}^{\alpha} \wedge \Omega_{\beta}^{\beta} \wedge \Omega_{\delta}^{\gamma} \wedge \Omega_{\delta}^{\delta}$$

$$+ \frac{5}{9} * \sum_{\alpha} \Omega_{\alpha}^{\alpha} \wedge \Omega_{\gamma}^{\beta} \wedge \Omega_{\delta}^{\gamma} \wedge \Omega_{\beta}^{\delta},$$

$$(S^{(2)})^{2} = \frac{11}{50} S^{(2)} \rho^{2} - \frac{1}{400} \rho^{4} + \frac{11}{3} * \sum_{\alpha \alpha} \Omega_{\alpha}^{\alpha} \wedge \Omega_{\beta}^{\beta} \wedge \Omega_{\gamma}^{\gamma} \wedge \Omega_{\delta}^{\delta}$$

$$-27 * \sum_{\alpha \alpha} \Omega_{\alpha}^{\alpha} \wedge \Omega_{\beta}^{\beta} \wedge \Omega_{\delta}^{\gamma} \wedge \Omega_{\delta}^{\delta}$$

$$+12 * \sum_{\alpha \alpha} \Omega_{\alpha}^{\alpha} \wedge \Omega_{\gamma}^{\beta} \wedge \Omega_{\delta}^{\gamma} \wedge \Omega_{\delta}^{\delta}.$$

On the other hand, from (2.10)–(2.14) and (2.17) we get

(2.28) 
$$(12\pi)^4 b = \int \left\{ -12S^{(4)} - \frac{2}{5} S^{(3)} \rho + 7(S^{(2)})^2 - \frac{14}{25} S^{(2)} \rho^2 + \frac{9}{400} \rho^4 \right\}.$$

Substituting (2,25)-(2,27) into (2,28), we find

Therefore, by using (2.18)-(2.20) and (2.29) we obtain

$$3b = -98c_1^4 + 432c_2c_1^2 - 837c_3c_1 + \frac{1}{12800\pi^4} \int \{420S^{(2)}\rho^2 - 63\rho^4\}.$$

Thus, from (2.23) and (2.30) we find

$$(2.31) \qquad 84525a + 13b = 2107c_{1}^{4} - 5723c_{2}c_{1}^{2} + 3968c_{3}c_{1}$$

$$+ \frac{7105}{864\pi^{4}} \int \left\{ 4S^{(4)} - (S^{(2)})^{2} \right\} + \frac{287}{13824\pi^{4}} \int \left\{ 16S^{(2)}\rho^{2} - \rho^{4} \right\},$$

$$978075a - 201b = 35861c_{1}^{4} - 116829c_{2}c_{1}^{2} + 143964c_{3}c_{1}$$

$$+ \frac{3045}{32\pi^{4}} \int \left\{ 4S^{(4)} - (S^{(2)})^{2} \right\} + \frac{12621}{12800\pi^{4}} \int \rho^{4}.$$

On the other hand, from [3], we have

$$(2.33) 16S^{(2)} \ge \rho^2.$$

The equality sign holds if and only if the metric is Einsteinian. Thus from (2.24) and (2.31)-(2.33) we obtain the inequalities (2)

and (3). If the equality sign of (2) holds, (2.31) implies that either  $\rho = 0$ ,  $4S^{(4)} \equiv (S^{(2)})^2$  or the Bochner-Kaehler metric is Einsteinian. In the first case, either the metric is flat or (M, g) is a locally product manifold mentioned in Lemma 1. In the second case, the metric must have constant holomorphic sectional curvature. If the equality sign of (3) holds, (2.32) implies that  $\rho = 0$  and  $4S^{(4)} = (S^{(2)})^2$ . Thus (M, g) is either flat or the mentioned locally product manifold. (Q. E. D.)

3. **Proof of Theorem** 1. If M is an algebraic hypersurface of  $CP_5$ , let  $\tilde{h}$  be the generator of  $H^2(CP_5; Z)$  corresponding to the divisor class of a hyperplane section  $CP_4$ . Then the total Chern class  $c(CP_5)$  of  $CP_5$  is given by

$$c(CP_5) = (1 + \widetilde{h})^6.$$

Let  $j:M \to CP_5$  be the imbedding and  $\nu$  be the normal bundle of j(M) in  $CP_5$ . Denote by d the degree of M. The total Chern class  $c(\nu)$  is given by

$$c(\nu)=1+dh,$$

where h is the image of  $\widetilde{h}$  under the homomorphism  $j^*: H^2(CP_5; Z) \to H^2(M; Z)$ . Since  $j^*T(CP_5) = TM \oplus \nu$ , we have  $j^*c(CP_5) = c(M) \cdot c(\nu)$ , where c(M) is the total Chern class of M. Thus we find

$$(1+h)^6 = \{1+c_1+c_2+c_3+c_4\}(1+dh),$$

which implies

$$c_k = \left\{ \sum_{i=0}^k (-1)^i \binom{6}{k-i} d^i \right\} h^k.$$

From this we find

$$a = \frac{1}{5} (6 - d^4)d, \quad b = \frac{1}{3} (1 - 2d^2 + d^4)d.$$

On the other hand, we have

$$c_1^4 - 3c_2 c_1^2 + 3c_3 c_1 = (d^3 - 6)(d - 6).$$

Since  $207(6-d^4) < 31(d^3-6)(d-6)$  for d>2, Lemma 1 implies that M admits no BK-metric for d>2. Thus  $d=\deg M\leq 2$ . If

d=2, we have

$$2107c_1^4 - 5723c_2 c_1^2 + 3968c_3 c_1 = 84525,$$

and

$$84528a + 13b = -169017.$$

Thus from Lemma 2 we see that M admits no BK-metric. If M is linear, then M is  $\mathbb{C}P_4$ . In this case M admits a BK-metric, namely, the Fubini-Study metric. (Q. E. D.)

4. Proof of Theorem 2. Let M be a compact complex manifold of n complex dimensions. Assume that M admits a BK-metric g. Then we have

$$\omega^{n-2} c_1^2 = \int * \Phi^{n-2} \gamma_1^2,$$

and

$$\omega^{n-2} c_2 = \int * \Phi^{n-2} \gamma_2.$$

By a straightforward computation we get

(4.1) 
$$\omega^{n-2} c_1^2 = \frac{(n-2)!}{16\pi^2} \int (\rho^2 - 4S^{(2)})$$

and

(4.2) 
$$\omega^{n-2} c_2 = \frac{(n-2)!}{32\pi^2} \int (\rho^2 - 8S^{(2)} + ||R||^2),$$

where  $S^{(2)} = \sum R_{i\bar{j}}R_{j\bar{i}}$ . On the other hand, since the metric is a BK-metric, we have

(4.3) 
$$||R||^2 = \frac{16}{n+2} S^{(2)} + \frac{2}{(n+1)(n+2)} \rho^2.$$

Substituting (4.3) into (4.2) we get

(4.4) 
$$\omega^{n-2} c_2 = \frac{(n-2)!}{32\pi^2} \int \left[ \frac{n(n+3)}{(n+1)(n+2)} \rho^2 - \frac{8n}{n+2} S^{(2)} \right].$$

From (4.1) and (4.4) we find

(4.5) 
$$\omega^{n-2}c_2 - \frac{n}{2(n+1)}\omega^{n-1}c_1^2 = \frac{(n-2)!n}{32(n+1)(n+2)\pi^2}\int (\rho^2 - 8nS^{(2)}).$$

Since  $\rho^2 \le 4nS^{(2)}$  and the equality holds if and only if the metric g is Einsteinian, (4.5) implies

(4.6) 
$$\omega^{n-2} c_2 \leq \frac{n}{2(n+1)} \omega^{n-1} c_1^2,$$

and the equality of (4.6) holds if and only if the metric g is Einsteinian.

Now suppose that the first Chern class  $c_1$  of M vanishes. Then (4.6) gives

$$(4.7) \omega^{n-2} c_2 \leq 0.$$

Since every Einsteinian BK-metric has constant holomorphic sectional curvature, the equality of (4.7) holds when and only when the metric g on M is flat.

Now, by the assumption, the first Chern class of M vanishes. The main result of [9] shows that there exists an Einstein-Kaehler metric  $\tilde{g}$  on M such that g and  $\tilde{g}$  have the same fundamental class, that is,  $\omega = \tilde{\omega}$ . Since  $\tilde{g}$  is Einsteinian, Theorem 2 of [3] shows that

$$\omega^{n-2} c_2 = \tilde{\omega}^{n-2} c_2 \geq \frac{n}{2(n+1)} \tilde{\omega}^{n-2} c_1^2 = 0.$$

Combining this with (4.7), we find that  $\omega^{n-2}c_2=0$  and the BK-metric is flat. Consequently, M is covered biholomorphically by  $C^n$ .

Conversely, if M is covered biholomorphically by  $C^n$ , the canonical flat metric on  $C^n$  induces a flat BK-metric. In particular, the first Chern class of M vanishes. (Q. E. D.)

5. Remarks. REMARK 1. By using the technique given in the proof of Theorem 2, we have the following.

THEOREM 3. If a compact complex manifold M admits a BKmetric g and an Einstein-Kaehler  $\tilde{g}$  such that they have the same
fundamental class, then M is covered biholomorphically by  $\mathbb{C}P^n$ ,  $\mathbb{C}^n$  or
the ball  $\mathbb{D}^n$  in  $\mathbb{C}^n$ .

The converse is also true.

REMARK 2. In view of Theorems 1, 2 and 3, and results in [2] we would like to make the following conjecture.

Conjecture. A compact complex manifold is covered biholomorphically by  $\mathbb{CP}^n$  (respectively,  $\mathbb{D}^n$ ) if and only if it admits a BK-metric and its first Chern class is positive (respectively, negative).

## REFERENCES

- 1. S. Bochner, Curvature and Betti numbers. II, Ann. of Math. 50 (1949), 77-93.
- 2. B.-Y. Chen, Some topological obstructions to Bochner-Kaehler metric and their applications, J. Differential Geometry (to appear).
- 3. B.-Y. Chen and K. Ogiue, Some characterizations of complex space forms in terms of Chern classes, Quart. J. Math. Oxford 26 (1975), 459-464.
- 4. S.S. Chern and J.K. Moser, Real hypersurfaces in complex manifolds, Acta Math. 133 (1974), 219-271.
- 5. F. Hirzebruch, Some problems on differentiable and complex manifolds, Ann. of Math. 60 (1954), 213-236.
  - 6. J. Milnor, The h-cobordism theorem, Princeton Math. Notes, Princeton, N. J., 1965.
- 7. R. Thom, Quelques propriétés globales des variétés differentiables, Comment. Math. Helv. 28 (1954), 17-86.
- 8. S. M. Webster, On the pseudo-conformal geometry of a Kaehler manifold, Math. Z. 157 (1977), 265-270.
- 9. S. T. Yau, Calabi conjecture and some new results in algebraic geometry, Proc. Nat. Acad. Sci. U. S. A. 74 (1977), 1798-1799.

MICHIGAN STATE UNIVERSITY, EAST LANSING, MICHIGAN 48824, U.S.A.