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#### Abstract

In this paper we work with the ordinary equa－ tion $u^{\prime \prime}-u^{p}=0$ and obtain some interesting phenomena concern－ ing blow－up，blow－up rate，life－span，zeros，critical points and the asymptotic behavior at infinity of solutions to this equation．


Introduction．In our papers $[1,2,3]$ we studied the semi－linear wave equation $\square u+f(u)=0$ under some conditions，and we found some inter－ esting results on blow－up，blow－up rate and the estimates for the life－span of solutions，but no information on the singular set．Here we want to deal with the particular cases in lower dimensional wave equations．We hope that the experiences gained here will allow us to deal with more general lower dimension later．

Consider stationary，one－dimensional semilinear wave equation

$$
\left\{\begin{array}{l}
u^{\prime \prime}-u^{p}=0, p \in(0,1) \\
u(0)=0=u^{\prime}(0)
\end{array}\right.
$$

After some computations one can find that the equation has infinite many

[^0]solutions given by
\[

u_{c}(t)= $$
\begin{cases}0, & t \in[0, c] \\ c_{p}(t-c)^{\frac{1}{1-p}}, & t>c\end{cases}
$$
\]

where $c_{p}=(1-p)^{2 /(1-p)}(2 p+2)^{1 /(1-p)}$. Thus, in particular, the solutions of the above equation in general are not unique. It is clear that these functions $u^{p}, p \geq 1, u \geq 0$ are locally Lipschitz, and by the standard theory, the local existence and uniqueness of classical solutions is applicable to the equation

$$
\left\{\begin{array}{l}
u^{\prime \prime}-u^{p}=0, p \in(1, \infty)  \tag{1}\\
u(0)=u_{0}, u^{\prime}(0)=u_{1}
\end{array}\right.
$$

Our study is motivated by the research on Chinese calligraphy. Neglecting the friction force of the paper on which a calligrapher creates his work through a handwritings brush (in Chinese, maue bie) with mass $m(t)$ at time $t$, the displacement $u(t)$ of the brush on reispaper (rice paper) at time $t$ is governed by the Newtons' second law of motion with the force $F(t)$

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(m(t) u^{\prime}\right)^{\prime}(t)=F(t) \tag{0.1.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

Normally, the force $F(t)$ depends on the displacement $u(t)[4]^{1}$, that is $F(t)=F(u(t))$. Experimentally, the change rate of the force is proportional to the change rate of displacement [4], that is, there is a real $p$ so that

$$
\frac{\frac{d F(t)}{d t}}{F(t)}=p \frac{\frac{d u(t)}{d t}}{u(t)}
$$

By some calculation we find the form of the force $F(u(t))=c u(t)^{p}$ for some constant $c$.

[^1]Note that in the normal cases, and particularly for the beginner, the mass of their handwriting brushes vary with time due to the strength of hand and the intake of ink. For simplicity, we may assume that the mass depends upon the time periodically, in piecewise time interval; in other words,

$$
m(t)=\left\{\begin{array}{cc}
m_{1}-k_{1} t, & 0 \leq t \leq t_{1}, \\
m_{2}-k_{2} t, & t_{1}<t \leq t_{2} \\
\vdots & \\
m_{n}-k_{n} t, & t_{n-1}<t \leq t_{n}
\end{array}\right.
$$

where $k_{i}$ and $m_{i}$ are positive constants depend on the authors writingsusages.
To some calligraphers the mass of their brushes play no roll, and thus the mass of that brushes are all the same, in another words, $m(t)=m$ for some constant $m$, therefore, the equation (0.1.1) becomes

$$
\begin{equation*}
u^{\prime \prime}(t)=\frac{c}{m} u(t)^{p} . \tag{0.1.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

If we set $v(t)=(m / c)^{1 /(p-1)} u(t)$, then the equation (0.1.2) becomes $v^{\prime \prime}(t)=$ $v(t)^{p}$, in the form of (0.1). Thus, the model of problem (0.1) describes a calligrapher with force $u^{p}$ creating his works in real action. The initial values $u_{0}$ and $u_{1}$ are non-negative. For $p>1$, the null solution $u(t) \equiv 0$, $u_{0}=0=u_{1}$, corresponds to routine, uninspired works. When one is in an outburst of enthusiasm for the writing, then in a short time there were some burned-curled-like curve would be created; in other words, for $E_{u}(0)<0$ or $E(0)>0$ and $u_{1}>0$, there exists a finite number $T^{*}$ such that $u(t)^{-1} \rightarrow 0$ as $t \rightarrow T^{*}$, c.f. Theorem 3 and 4 .

From the observations, when the characteristic $p$ of the calligrapher is smaller than 1 , then their works could be good controlled or in some sense "nachmacht" (duplicated); mathematically, $u(t) \leq k(t \pm c)^{\theta}, \theta>0$.

These above-mentioned phenomena will be analyzed in the present paper mathematically bases on the model of the form (0.1).

We discuss the problem (0.1) in two parts, $p>1$ and $p<1$.

## Part A. $p>1$.

Notation and Fundamental Lemmas. For a given solution $u(t)$ of (0.1) we set

$$
E_{u}(0)=u_{1}^{2}-\frac{2}{p+1} u_{0}^{p+1}, \quad J_{u}(t)=u(t)^{-\frac{p-1}{2}} .
$$

Definition. A function $g: \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is said to have a blow-up rate $q$ if $g$ exists only in finite time, that is, there is a finite number $T^{*}$ such that the following is valid

$$
\begin{equation*}
\lim _{t \rightarrow T^{*}} g(t)^{-1}=0 \tag{0.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

and that there exists a non-zero $\beta \in \mathbb{R}$ with

$$
\begin{equation*}
\lim _{t \rightarrow T^{*}}\left(T^{*}-t\right)^{q} g(t)=\beta, \tag{0.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

in this case $\beta$ is called the blow-up constant of $g$.

Since the solutions for the equation (0.1) is unique, we can rewrite $J_{u}(t)=J(t)$ and $E_{u}(t)=E(t)$. From some elementary calculations we obtain the following Lemma 1 .

Lemma 1. Suppose that $u$ is the solution of (0.1), then we have

$$
\begin{gather*}
E(t)=u^{\prime}(t)^{2}-\frac{2}{p+1} u(t)^{p+1}=E(0)  \tag{0.4}\\
(p+3) u^{\prime}(t)^{2}=(p+1) E(0)+\left(u^{2}(t)\right)^{\prime \prime} \tag{0.5}
\end{gather*}
$$

$$
\begin{equation*}
J^{\prime \prime}(t)=\frac{p^{2}-1}{4} E(0) J(t)^{\frac{p+3}{p-1}} \tag{0.6}
\end{equation*}
$$

and
(0.7) $J^{\prime}(t)^{2}=J^{\prime}(0)^{2}-\frac{(p-1)^{2}}{4} E(0) J(0)^{\frac{2(p+1)}{p-1}}+\frac{(p-1)^{2}}{4} E(0) J(t)^{\frac{2(p+1)}{p-1}}$.

The following Lemma is easy to prove so we omit the arguments.

Lemma 2. If $g(t)$ and $h(t, r)$ are continuous with respect to their variables and the limit $\lim _{t \rightarrow T} \int_{0}^{g(t)} h(t, r) d r$ exists, then

$$
\lim _{t \rightarrow T} \int_{0}^{g(t)} h(t, r) d r=\int_{0}^{g(T)} h(T, r) d r
$$

I. Estimates for the life-spans. To estimate the life-span of the solution of the equation (0.1), we separate this section into three parts, $E(0)<0, E(0)=0$ and $E(0)>0$. Here the life-span $T$ of $u$ means that $u$ is the solution of problem (0.1) and the existence interval of $u$ is $[0, T)$ so that the problem (0.1) has the solution $u \in \bar{C}^{2}(0, T)$ and $u$ make sense only in this interval $[0, T)$.
I.1. $\boldsymbol{E}(\mathbf{0}) \leq \mathbf{0}$. In this subsection we deal with the case that $E(0)<0$ and $E(0)=0, u_{0} u_{1}>0$. The case that $E(0)=0$ and $u_{0} u_{1} \leq 0$ will be considered in section 3 and section 4 . We have the following result.

Theorem 3. If $T$ is the life-span of $u$ and $u$ is the positive solution of the problem (0.1) with $E(0)<0$, then $T$ is finite. Further, for $u_{0} u_{1} \geq 0$ we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
T \leq T_{1}^{*}\left(u_{0}, u_{1}, p\right)=\frac{2}{p-1} \int_{0}^{J(0)} \frac{d r}{\sqrt{k_{1}+E(0) r^{k_{2}}}} \tag{1.1.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

for $u_{0} u_{1}<0$,

$$
T \leq T_{2}^{*}\left(u_{0}, u_{1}, p\right)
$$

$$
\begin{equation*}
=\frac{2}{p-1}\left(\int_{0}^{k} \frac{d r}{\sqrt{k_{1}+E(0) r^{k_{2}}}}+\int_{J(0)}^{k} \frac{d r}{\sqrt{k_{1}+E(0) r^{k_{2}}}}\right) \tag{1.1.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $k_{1}:=\frac{2}{p+1}, k_{2}:=\frac{2 p+2}{p-1}$ and $k:=\left(\frac{2}{p+1} \frac{-1}{E(0)}\right)^{\frac{p-1}{2 p+2}}$.
Furthermore, if $E(0)=0$ and $u_{0} u_{1}>0$, then

$$
\begin{equation*}
T \leq T_{3}^{*}:=\frac{2}{p-1} \frac{u_{0}}{u_{1}} . \tag{1.1.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

Proof. Under the condition, $E(0)<0$, we know immediately that $u_{0}^{2}>$ 0 ; otherwise we get $u_{0}^{2}=0$, that is, $u_{0}=0$, then $E(0)=u_{1}^{2} \geq 0$; and this contradicts to $E(0)<0$. In this situation we divide the proof of the Theorem into two cases, $u_{0} u_{1} \geq 0$ and $u_{0} u_{1}<0$.
(i) $u_{0} u_{1} \geq 0$. By identity (0.5) we find that

$$
\begin{cases}2 u u^{\prime}(t) \geq 2 u_{0} u_{1}-(p+1) E(0) t, & \forall t \geq 0  \tag{1.1.4}\\ u^{2}(t) \geq u_{0}^{2}+2 u_{0} u_{1} t-\frac{p+1}{2} E(0) t^{2}, & \forall t \geq 0\end{cases}
$$

From identity (0.7), $u_{0} u_{1} \geq 0$ and the fact $J^{\prime}(t)=-\frac{p-1}{2} u(t)^{-\frac{p-1}{2}} u^{\prime}(t)<$ 0 , it follows that

$$
\begin{equation*}
J^{\prime}(t)=-\frac{p-1}{2} \sqrt{k_{1}+E(0) J(t)^{k_{2}}} \leq J^{\prime}(0), \quad \forall t \geq 0 \tag{1.1.5}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $k_{1}=u_{0}^{-p-1} u_{1}^{2}-E(0) u_{0}^{2-\frac{p+1}{2}}=\frac{2}{p+1}$ and

$$
J(t) \leq u_{0}^{-\frac{p-1}{2}}-\frac{p-1}{2} u_{0}^{-\frac{p+1}{2}} u_{1} t, \quad \forall t \geq 0 .
$$

Thus, there exists a finite number $T_{1}^{*}\left(u_{0}, u_{1}, p\right) \leq \frac{2}{p-1} \frac{u_{0}}{u_{1}}$ such that $J\left(T_{1}^{*}\left(u_{0}, u_{1}, p\right)\right)=0$ and $u(t) \rightarrow \infty$ for $t \rightarrow T_{1}^{*}\left(u_{0}, u_{1}, p\right)$. This means that the life-span $T$ of $u$ is finite, that is, $T \leq T_{1}^{*}\left(u_{0}, u_{1}, p\right)$. Now we estimate this life-span $T_{1}^{*}\left(u_{0}, u_{1}, p\right)$.

By identity (1.1.5) and the fact that $J\left(T_{1}^{*}\left(u_{0}, u_{1}, p\right)\right)=0$ we find that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\int_{J(t)}^{J(0)} \frac{d r}{\sqrt{k_{1}+E(0) r^{k_{2}}}}=\frac{p-1}{2} t, \quad \forall t \geq 0 \tag{1.1.6}
\end{equation*}
$$

and hence we get the estimate (1.1.1).
(ii) $u_{0} u_{1}<0$. For brevity, we only prove existence of critical point $t_{0}\left(u_{0}, u_{1}, p\right)$ of $u$, that is, $u^{\prime}\left(t_{0}\left(u_{0}, u_{1}, p\right)\right)=0$ and compute it later in section III. By inequality (1.1.4), $u_{0} u_{1}<0$ and the convexity of $u^{2}$ we can find a unique finite number $t_{0}\left(u_{0}, u_{1}, p\right)$ such that

$$
\begin{cases}u(t) u^{\prime}(t)<0 & \text { for } t \in\left(0, t_{0}\left(u_{0}, u_{1}, p\right)\right)  \tag{1.1.7}\\ u u^{\prime}\left(t_{0}\left(u_{0}, u_{1}, p\right)\right)=0, & \\ u u^{\prime}(t)>0 & \text { for } t>t_{0}\left(u_{0}, u_{1}, p\right)\end{cases}
$$

and $u\left(t_{0}\left(u_{0}, u_{1}, p\right)\right)^{2}>0$. If not, then $u\left(t_{0}\left(u_{0}, u_{1}, p\right)\right)=0$, thus

$$
E(0)=E\left(t_{0}\left(u_{0}, u_{1}, p\right)\right)=u^{\prime}\left(t_{0}\left(u_{0}, u_{1}, p\right)\right)^{2} \geq 0
$$

yet this is in contradiction with $E(0)<0$.
Thus we conclude that

$$
u^{2}(t)>0, \quad \forall t \geq 0
$$

Hence we get $u^{\prime}\left(t_{0}\left(u_{0}, u_{1}, p\right)\right)=0$,

$$
E(0)=-\frac{2}{p+1} u\left(t_{0}\left(u_{0}, u_{1}, p\right)\right)^{p+1}
$$

and

$$
J\left(t_{0}\left(u_{0}, u_{1}, p\right)\right)^{k_{2}}=\frac{2}{p+1} \frac{-1}{E(0)}
$$

After arguments similar to the step (i), there exists a $T_{2}^{*}\left(u_{0}, u_{1}, p\right)$ such that the life-span $T$ of $u$ is bounded by $T_{2}^{*}\left(u_{0}, u_{1}, p\right)$, that is, $T \leq$ $T_{2}^{*}\left(u_{0}, u_{1}, p\right)$. On the analogy of the above argumentation, using (1.1.7) and (0.7) we get
(1.1.8) $\begin{cases}J^{\prime}(t)=-\frac{p-1}{2} \sqrt{k_{1}+E(0) J(t)^{k_{2}}}, & \forall t \geq t_{0}\left(u_{0}, u_{1}, p\right), \\ J^{\prime}(t)=\frac{p-1}{2} \sqrt{k_{1}+E(0) J(t)^{k_{2}}}, & \forall t \in\left[0, t_{0}\left(u_{0}, u_{1}, p\right)\right] .\end{cases}$

Therefore we have

$$
\left\{\begin{array}{l}
\int_{J(t)}^{J\left(t_{0}\right)} \frac{d r}{\sqrt{k_{1}+E(0) r^{k_{2}}}}=\frac{p-1}{2}\left(t-t_{0}\right), \quad \forall t \geq t_{0}  \tag{1.1.9}\\
\int_{J(0)}^{J\left(t_{0}\right)} \frac{d r}{\sqrt{k_{1}+E(0) r^{k_{2}}}}=\frac{p-1}{2} t_{0}
\end{array}\right.
$$

where $t_{0}=t_{0}\left(u_{0}, u_{1}, p\right)$. Utilizing (1.1.9) and the fact that $J\left(t_{0}\left(u_{0}, u_{1}, p\right)\right)^{k_{2}}$ $=\frac{2}{p+1} \frac{-1}{E(0)}$ and $J\left(T_{2}^{*}\left(u_{0}, u_{1}, p\right)\right)=0$ we obtain the estimate
(1.1.10) $T_{2}^{*}\left(u_{0}, u_{1}, p\right)=t_{0}\left(u_{0}, u_{1}, p\right)+\frac{2}{p-1} \int_{0}^{k} \frac{d r}{\sqrt{k_{1}+E(0) r^{k_{2}}}}$.

This estimate (1.1.10) is equivalent to (1.1.2).
(iii) $E(0)=0$. Now we prove (1.1.3). By identity (0.6) in Lemma 1 and $E(0)=0$ we get $J^{\prime \prime}(t)=0 \quad \forall t \geq 0$. From the positiveness of $u_{0} u_{1}$, it follows that $J^{\prime}(0)<0$ and

$$
J(t)=u_{0}^{-\frac{p-1}{2}}-\frac{p-1}{2} u_{0}^{-\frac{p+1}{2}} u_{1} t, \quad \forall t \geq 0
$$

Thus we conclude that

$$
\begin{equation*}
u(t)=u_{0}\left(1-\frac{p-1}{2} \frac{u_{1}}{u_{0}} t\right)^{-\frac{2}{p-1}}, \quad \forall t \geq 0 \tag{1.1.11}
\end{equation*}
$$

Therefore the estimate (1.1.3) follows.
I.2. $E(0)>0, u_{0} \geq 0$.

In this subsection we consider two cases $E(0)>0, u_{0}>0$ and $E(0)>0$, $u_{0}=0, u_{1}>0$.

We have the following blow-up result.

## Theorem 4. Suppose that

(i) $u_{0}>0$ or
(ii) $u_{0}=0$ and $u_{1}>0$.

Then the life-span $T$ of the positive solution $u$ of the problem (0.1) with $E(0)>0$ is finite, that is, $u$ is only a local solution of (0.1).

Further, in case of (i) we have the estimates
(1.2.1)

$$
T \leq T_{4}^{*}\left(u_{0}, u_{1}, p\right)=\frac{2}{p-1} \int_{0}^{J(0)} \frac{d r}{\sqrt{k_{1}+E(0) r^{k_{2}}}}, \quad u_{1} \geq 0
$$

in the case of (ii)

$$
\begin{equation*}
T \leq T_{5}^{*}\left(u_{0}, u_{1}, p\right)=\frac{2}{p-1} \int_{0}^{\infty} \frac{d r}{\sqrt{k_{1}+E(0) r^{k_{2}}}} \tag{1.2.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

Proof. i) $u_{0}>0$. By identity (0.6) in Lemma 1 we obtain

$$
\left\{\begin{array}{l}
k_{3} J^{\prime \prime}(t)=\left(k_{3} J(t)\right)^{q}  \tag{1.2.3}\\
k_{3} J(0)=k_{3} u_{0}^{-\frac{p-1}{2}} \\
k_{3} J^{\prime}(0)=\frac{1-p}{2} k_{3} u_{0}^{-\frac{p+1}{2}} u_{1}
\end{array}\right.
$$

where $k_{3}:=\left(\frac{p^{2}-1}{4} E(0)\right)^{\frac{p-1}{4}}$ and $q:=\frac{p+3}{p-1}$.
Now we set

$$
\tilde{E}(t):=k_{3}^{2} J^{\prime}(t)^{2}-\frac{2}{q+1}\left(k_{3} J(t)\right)^{q+1}
$$

after some calculations we see that $\tilde{E}(t)$ is a constant and

$$
\begin{equation*}
\tilde{E}(t)=\tilde{E}(0)=\frac{(p-1)^{2}}{4} k_{3}^{2} u_{0}^{-p-1}\left(u_{1}^{2}-E(0)\right) \tag{1.2.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

From the condition that $u_{0}>0$ and the definition of $E(0)$ it follows that

$$
0<\tilde{E}(t)=\frac{(p-1)^{2}}{2(p+1)} k_{3}^{2} u^{2}(t)^{-\frac{p+3}{2}} u(t)^{p+3}=\frac{(p-1)^{2}}{2(p+1)} k_{3}^{2}
$$

thus

$$
\begin{equation*}
u(t)^{p+1}>0, \quad \forall t \geq 0 \tag{1.2.5}
\end{equation*}
$$

By identity (0.5) in Lemma 1 we find that

$$
\begin{equation*}
u(t) u^{\prime}(t)=u_{0} u_{1}+E(0) t+\frac{p+3}{p+1} \int_{0}^{t} u(r)^{p+1} d r, \quad \forall t \geq 0 \tag{1.2.6}
\end{equation*}
$$

and so

$$
\begin{equation*}
u(t) u^{\prime}(t) \geq u_{0} u_{1}+E(0) t, \quad \forall t \geq 0 \tag{1.2.7}
\end{equation*}
$$

Thus, for the case $u_{0} u_{1} \geq 0$, using the same arguments as in the proof
of Theorem 4 we get the conclusions (1.2.1) in Theorem 5 .
Now let us show $u_{0} u_{1} \geq 0$. For $u_{0} u_{1}<0$, from (1.2.7) it follows that $u(t) u^{\prime}(t) \geq 0$ for large $t$. Suppose that $\bar{t}_{0}$ is the first number such that $u(t) u^{\prime}(t)=0$. Using identity (0.5) in Lemma 1 we get
(1.2.6.1) $u(t) u^{\prime}(t)=E(0)\left(t-\bar{t}_{0}\right)+\frac{p+3}{p+1} \int_{\bar{t}_{0}}^{t} u(r)^{p+1} d r \geq 0, \quad \forall t \geq \bar{t}_{0}$.

Hence we find that

$$
\left\{\begin{array}{l}
u u^{\prime}(t)<0 \quad \text { for } \quad t \in\left(0, \bar{t}_{0}\right)  \tag{1.2.8}\\
u u^{\prime}\left(\bar{t}_{0}\right)=0 \\
u u^{\prime}(t)>0 \quad \text { for } \quad t>\bar{t}_{0}
\end{array}\right.
$$

and $u\left(\bar{t}_{0}\right)>0$; if not, then $u\left(\bar{t}_{0}\right)=0$, this is in contradiction with (1.2.5). Hence we get

$$
\begin{equation*}
u^{\prime}\left(\bar{t}_{0}\right)=0 \tag{1.2.9}
\end{equation*}
$$

Therefore, by (1.2.5) we obtain that

$$
\begin{equation*}
(p+1) E(0)=-2 u\left(\bar{t}_{0}\right)^{p+1}<0 \tag{1.2.10}
\end{equation*}
$$

The identity (1.2.10) and the condition $E(0)>0$ are in contradiction; therefore we get the assertion that $u_{1} \geq 0$.
ii) By $u_{0}=0$ and (1.2.6) we find

$$
\begin{equation*}
u(t) u^{\prime}(t)=E(0) t+\frac{p+3}{p+1} \int_{0}^{t} u(r)^{p+1} d r, \quad \forall t \geq 0 \tag{1.2.11}
\end{equation*}
$$

We claim that $u u^{\prime}(t)>0$ for every $t>0$. If not, then according to the positiveness of $u_{1}$ there exists $\tilde{t}>0$ such that $u(\tilde{t}) u^{\prime}(\tilde{t})=0$. Let $\tilde{T}$ be the first non-zero so that $u(\tilde{T}) u^{\prime}(\tilde{T})=0$, then $u(t)>0$ in $(0, \tilde{T})$. By (1.2.6)
again we get

$$
0=u u^{\prime}(\tilde{T})=E(0) \tilde{T}+\frac{p+3}{p+1} \int_{0}^{\tilde{T}} u(r)^{p+1} d r .
$$

This is therefore in contradiction with $E(0)>0$; hence $u(t) u^{\prime}(t)>$ $0 \forall t>0$ and $J^{\prime}(t)<0 \forall t>0$. Using (0.6) in Lemma 1 for each $\check{t}>0$ we conclude that

$$
\begin{equation*}
J^{\prime}(t)=-\sqrt{J^{\prime}(\check{t})^{2}-\frac{(p-1)^{2}}{4} E(0)\left(J(\check{t})^{\frac{2 p+2}{p-1}}-J(t)^{\frac{2 p+2}{p-1}}\right)}, \quad \forall t \geq \check{t} \tag{1.2.12}
\end{equation*}
$$

and simultaneously

$$
\lim _{t \rightarrow 0} J^{\prime}(\check{t})^{2}-\frac{(p-1)^{2}}{4} u_{1}^{2} J(\grave{t})^{\frac{2 p+2}{p-1}}=\frac{(p-1)^{2}}{2(p+1)},
$$

thus by (1.2.12) , the estimate (1.2.2) follows.
I.3. Some properties concerning $T_{1}^{*}\left(u_{0}, u_{1}, p\right)$. In principle, $T_{1}^{*}\left(u_{0}, u_{1}, p\right)$ depends on three variables $u_{0}, u_{1}$ and $p$. Set $c_{k, p}:=\frac{(p+1) u_{1}^{2}}{2 u_{0}^{p+1}}$, then

$$
T_{1}^{*}\left(u_{0}, u_{1}, p\right)=\frac{\sqrt{2 p+2}}{p-1} u_{0}^{-\frac{p-1}{2}}\left(1-c_{k, p}\right)^{-\frac{p-1}{2 p+2}} \int_{0}^{\left(1-c_{k, p}\right)^{\frac{p-1}{2 p+2}}} \frac{d r}{\sqrt{1-r^{\frac{2 p+2}{p-1}}}} .
$$

It is evident that

$$
\lim _{p \rightarrow \infty} T_{1}^{*}\left(u_{0}, u_{1}, p\right)=0, \lim _{p \rightarrow \infty} T_{1}^{*}\left(u_{0}, u_{1}, p\right)=\infty .
$$

For convenience, we consider the case $u_{1}=0$,

$$
T_{1}^{*}\left(u_{0}, 0, p\right)=\frac{\sqrt{\pi}}{\sqrt{2 p+2}} u_{0}^{-\frac{p-1}{2}} \frac{\Gamma\left(\frac{p-1}{2 p+2}\right)}{\Gamma\left(\frac{p}{p+1}\right)} .
$$

Using Maple we get the graphs of $T_{1}^{*}\left(u_{0}, 0, p\right)$ below:


Figure 1. Graph of $T_{1}^{*}\left(u_{0}, 0, p\right), u_{0} \in(0,1), p \in[1,5]$.


Figure 2. Graph of $T_{1}^{*}\left(u_{0}, 0, p\right), u_{0} \in[1,50], p \in[1,50]$.


Figure 3. Graphs of $T_{1}^{*}\left(u_{0}, 0, p\right), u_{0} \leq 1$.


Figure 4. Graph of $T_{1}^{*}\left(u_{0}, 0, p\right), u_{0}>1$.

The above pictures show the properties of $T_{1}^{*}\left(u_{0}, 0, p\right)$ :
(1) there exists a constant $u_{0}^{*}$ such that $T_{1}^{*}\left(u_{0}, 0, p\right)$ is monotone decreasing
in $p$ for $u_{0} \in\left[u_{0}^{*}, 1\right)$;
(2) there is a $p_{0}$ such that $T_{1}^{*}\left(u_{0}, 0, p\right)$ is decreasing in ( $1, p_{0}$ ) and increasing in $\left(p_{0}, \infty\right)$ provided $u_{0} \in\left[0, u_{0}^{*}\right)$;
(3) $T_{1}^{*}\left(u_{0}, 0, p\right)$ is differentiable in its variables and
(4) for $u_{0}>1$ the life-span $T_{1}^{*}\left(u_{0}, 0, p\right)$ is decreasing in $p$.

We now show the validity of statements (3) and (4) using the monotonicity of $T_{1}^{*}(1,0, p)$ for $u_{0} \neq 0$. To prove (1) and (2) we must establish the existence of $u_{0}^{*}$ with $\frac{\partial}{\partial p} T_{1}^{*}\left(u_{0}, 0, p\right) \leq 0$ for $1>u_{0} \geq u_{0}^{*}$, that is,

$$
\begin{aligned}
0 \leq & \frac{p-1}{p+1}(p+3) \int_{0}^{1}\left(1-r^{2 \frac{p+1}{p-1}}\right)^{-1 / 2} d r \\
& +4 \int_{0}^{1}\left(1-r^{2 \frac{p+1}{p-1}}\right)^{-3 / 2} r^{2 \frac{p+1}{p-1}} \ln r d r \\
& +(p-1)^{2}\left(\ln u_{0}\right) \int_{0}^{1}\left(1-r^{2 \frac{p+1}{p-1}}\right)^{-1 / 2} d r
\end{aligned}
$$

thus the existence of $u_{0}^{*}$ can be obtained provided

$$
\frac{p-1}{p+1}(p+3)\left(r^{2 \frac{p+1}{p-1}}-1\right)-4 \ln r>0, \quad \forall r>1 .
$$

After some calculations it is easy to get the above assertion.

To grasp the property of the life-span $T_{1}^{*}\left(u_{0}, u_{1}, p\right)$ is very difficult, but for fixed initial data we want to know how the life-span varies with $p$, so now we consider the life-span $T_{1}^{*}(0.6,0.2, p)$ and list the following tables as below.

| $p$ | $T_{1}^{*}(0.6,0.2, p)$ |
| :---: | :---: |
| 1.001 | 2001.5 |
| 1.004 | 501.42 |
| 1.008 | 251.42 |
| 1.012 | 168.08 |


| $p$ | $T_{1}^{*}(0.6,0.2, p)$ |
| :---: | :---: |
| 2 | 3.4135 |
| 2.5 | 2.7698 |
| 3 | 2.4659 |
| 3.6497 | 2.2644 |

After some computations we get

$$
\begin{aligned}
& T_{1}^{*}\left(u_{0}, u_{1}, p\right) \\
= & \frac{\sqrt{2 p+2}}{p-1}\left(u_{0}^{p+1}-\frac{p+1}{2} u_{1}^{2}\right)^{-\frac{p-1}{2 p+2}} \int_{0}^{\left(1-\frac{p+1}{2} u_{0}^{-p-1} u_{1}^{2}\right)^{\frac{p-1}{2 p+2}}} \frac{d r}{\sqrt{1-r^{\frac{2 p+2}{p-1}}}} .
\end{aligned}
$$

By the experience in studying the life span $T_{1}^{*}\left(u_{0}, 0, p\right)$, we consider the properties of the life-span $T_{1}^{*}\left(u_{0}, u_{1}, p\right)$ with $u_{0} u_{1} \geq 0$ in three cases:

Case 1: $0<u_{0}^{p+1}-(p+1) u_{1}^{2} / 2<1$. In this situation we find that
(i) for fixed $u_{1}$,
(5) there exists a constant $u_{0}^{*}$ depending on $u_{1}$ such that $T_{1}^{*}\left(u_{0}, u_{1}, p\right)$ is monotone decreasing in $p$ for $u_{0} \geq u_{0}^{*}$,
(6) there is a $p_{0}$ so that $T_{1}^{*}\left(u_{0}, u_{1}, p\right)$ decreases in $\left(1, p_{0}\right)$ and increases in $\left(p_{0}, \infty\right)$ provided $u_{0} \in\left[0, u_{0}^{*}\right) ;$
(ii) for fixed $u_{0}$, the life-span $T_{1}^{*}\left(u_{0}, u_{1}, p\right)$ decreases in $u_{1}^{2}$.

Case2: $u_{0}^{p+1}-(p+1) u_{1}^{2} / 2>1$. The life-span $T_{1}^{*}\left(u_{0}, u_{1}, p\right)$ decreases in $p$.
Case 3: $u_{0}^{p+1}-(p+1) u_{1}^{2} / 2=1$. On the surface

$$
\left\{\left(u_{0}, u_{1}, p\right) \in \mathbb{R}^{3} \mid u_{0}^{p+1}-(p+1) u_{1}^{2} / 2=1, p>1\right\}
$$

we find that

$$
T_{1}^{*}\left(u_{0}, u_{1}, p\right)=T_{1}^{*}\left(u_{0}, p\right)=\frac{\sqrt{2 p+2}}{p-1} \int_{0}^{u_{0}^{-(p-1) / 2}} \frac{1}{\sqrt{1-r^{2(p+1) /(p-1)}}} d r
$$

and $T_{1}^{*}\left(u_{0}, p\right)$ is monotone decreasing in $u_{0}$ and in $p$.
II. Blow-up rate and blow-up constant. In this section we study the blow-up rate and blow-up constant for $u^{2},\left(u^{2}\right)^{\prime}$ and $\left(u^{2}\right)^{\prime \prime}$ under the conditions in section 1 . We have the following results.

Theorem 5: If $u$ is the positive solution of the problem (0.1) with one of the following properties that
(i) $E(0)<0$
or
(ii) $E(0)=0, u_{0} u_{1}>0$
or
(iii) $E(0)>0, u_{0}>0$
or
(iv) $E(0)>0, u_{0}=0, u_{1}>0$

Then the blow-up rate of $u$ is $2 /(p-1)$, and the blow-up constant of $u$ is $\sqrt[p-1]{2(p-1)^{-2}(p+1)}$, that is, for $m \in\{1,2,3,4,5,6\}$
(2.1.1) $\lim _{t \rightarrow T_{m}^{*}\left(u_{0}, u_{1}, p\right)}\left(T_{m}^{*}\left(u_{0}, u_{1}, p\right)-t\right)^{\frac{2}{p-1}} u(t)=2^{\frac{1}{p-1}}(p+1)^{\frac{1}{p-1}}(p-1)^{-\frac{2}{p-1}}$.

The blow-up rate of $u^{\prime}$ is $(p+1) /(p-1)$, and the blow-up constant of $u^{\prime}$ is $2^{\frac{p}{p-1}}(p+1)^{\frac{1}{p-1}}(p-1)^{-\frac{p+1}{p-1}}$, that is, for $m \in\{1,2,3,4,5,6\}$.

$$
\begin{align*}
& \lim _{t \rightarrow T_{m}^{*}\left(u_{0}, u_{1}, p\right)} u^{\prime}(t)\left(T_{m}^{*}\left(u_{0}, u_{1}, p\right)-t\right)^{\frac{p+1}{p-1}} \\
= & 2^{\frac{p}{p-1}}(p+1)^{\frac{1}{p-1}}(p-1)^{-\frac{p+1}{p-1}} \tag{2.1.2}
\end{align*}
$$

The blow-up rate of $u^{\prime \prime}$ is $2 p /(p-1)$, and the blow-up constant of $u^{\prime \prime}$ is $2^{\frac{p}{p-1}}(p+1)^{\frac{p}{p-1}}(p-1)^{-\frac{2 p}{p-1}}$, that is, for $m \in\{1,2,3,4,5,6\}$

$$
\begin{align*}
& \lim _{t \rightarrow T_{m}^{*}\left(u_{0}, u_{1}, p\right)} u^{\prime \prime}(t)\left(T_{m}^{*}\left(u_{0}, u_{1}, p\right)-t\right)^{\frac{2 p}{p-1}} \\
= & 2^{\frac{p}{p-1}}(p+1)^{\frac{p}{p-1}}(p-1)^{-\frac{2 p}{p-1}} . \tag{2.1.3}
\end{align*}
$$

Proof. i) Under this condition, $E(0)<0, u_{0} u_{1} \geq 0$ by (1.1.1) and
(1.1.6) we get

$$
\begin{equation*}
\int_{0}^{J(t)} \frac{1}{T_{1}^{*}\left(u_{0}, u_{1}, p\right)-t} \frac{d r}{\sqrt{k_{1}+E(0) r^{k_{2}}}}=\frac{p-1}{2}, \quad \forall t \geq 0 \tag{2.1.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

By Lemma 4 and (2.1.4) we obtain

$$
\begin{equation*}
\lim _{t \rightarrow T_{1}^{*}\left(u_{0}, u_{1}, p\right)} \frac{1}{\sqrt{k_{1}}} \frac{J(t)}{T_{1}^{*}\left(u_{0}, u_{1}, p\right)-t}=\frac{p-1}{2} \tag{2.1.5}
\end{equation*}
$$

This identity (2.1.5) is equivalent to (2.1.1) for $m=1$.

For $E(0)<0, u_{0} u_{1}<0$ using (1.1.9) we have also
(2.1.6) $\int_{0}^{J(t)} \frac{d r}{\sqrt{k_{1}+E(0) r^{k_{2}}}}=\frac{p-1}{2}\left(T_{2}^{*}\left(u_{0}, u_{1}, p\right)-t\right), \quad \forall t \geq t_{0}\left(u_{0}, u_{1}, p\right)$.

From the Lemma 4 and (2.1.6), the estimate (2.1.1) for $m=2$ follows.
Utilizing the identities (1.1.5) and (1.1.8) we find

$$
\begin{equation*}
\lim _{t \rightarrow T_{m}^{*}\left(u_{0}, u_{1}, p\right)} J^{\prime}(t)=-\frac{p-1}{\sqrt{2 p+2}}, \quad m=1,2 \tag{2.1.7}
\end{equation*}
$$

Therefore, by (2.1.7) we have for $m=1,2$

$$
\begin{align*}
& \lim _{t \rightarrow T_{m}^{*}\left(u_{0}, u_{1}, p\right)}\left(u^{2}\right)^{\prime}(t)\left(T_{m}^{*}\left(u_{0}, u_{1}, p\right)-t\right)^{\frac{p+3}{p-1}}  \tag{2.1.8}\\
= & 2^{\frac{2 p}{p-1}}(p+1)^{\frac{2}{p-1}}(p-1)^{-\frac{p+3}{p-1}}
\end{align*}
$$

and thus, for $m=1,2$

$$
\begin{align*}
& \lim _{t \rightarrow T_{m}^{*}\left(u_{0}, u_{1}, p\right)} u^{\prime}(t)^{2}\left(T_{m}^{*}\left(u_{0}, u_{1}, p\right)-t\right)^{\frac{2 p+2}{p-1}}  \tag{2.1.9}\\
= & 2^{\frac{2 p}{p-1}}(p+1)^{\frac{2}{p-1}}(p-1)^{-\frac{2 p+2}{p-1}}
\end{align*}
$$

Through (0.5) and (2.1.9) for $m=1,2$, we obtain the estimate

$$
\begin{align*}
& \lim _{t \rightarrow T_{m}^{*}\left(u_{0}, u_{1}, p\right)}\left(u^{2}\right)^{\prime \prime}(t)\left(T_{m}^{*}\left(u_{0}, u_{1}, p\right)-t\right)^{\frac{2 p+2}{p-1}} \\
= & (p+3) \lim _{t \rightarrow T_{m}^{*}} u^{\prime}(t)^{2}\left(T_{m}^{*}\left(u_{0}, u_{1}, p\right)-t\right)^{\frac{2 p+2}{p-1}}, \\
& \lim _{t \rightarrow T_{m}^{*}\left(u_{0}, u_{1}, p\right)} 2 u(t) u^{\prime \prime}(t)\left(T_{m}^{*}\left(u_{0}, u_{1}, p\right)-t\right)^{\frac{2 p+2}{p-1}}  \tag{2.1.10}\\
= & (p+1) \lim _{t \rightarrow T_{m}^{*}} u^{\prime}(t)^{2}\left(T_{m}^{*}\left(u_{0}, u_{1}, p\right)-t\right)^{\frac{2 p+2}{p-1}} \\
= & 2^{\frac{2 p}{p-1}}(p+1)^{\frac{p+1}{p-1}}(p-1)^{-\frac{2 p+2}{p-1}}
\end{align*}
$$

and

$$
\lim _{t \rightarrow T_{m}^{*}\left(u_{0}, u_{1}, p\right)} u^{\prime \prime}(t)\left(T_{m}^{*}\left(u_{0}, u_{1}, p\right)-t\right)^{\frac{2 p}{p-1}}=2^{\frac{p}{p-1}}(p+1)^{\frac{p}{p-1}}(p-1)^{-\frac{2 p}{p-1}}
$$

Thus the estimate (2.1.3) for $m=1,2$ is proved.
ii) For $E(0)=0, u_{0} u_{1}>0$, for $m=3$, using identity (1.1.11) we get
(2.1.11) $u^{2}(t)=u_{0}^{2 \frac{p+3}{p-1}}\left(\frac{p-1}{2} u_{0} u_{1}\right)^{-\frac{4}{p-1}}\left(T_{m}^{*}\left(u_{0}, u_{1}, p\right)-t\right)^{-\frac{4}{p-1}}, \quad \forall t \geq 0$.

Therefore the estimates $(2.1 .1),(2.1 .2)$ and (2.1.3) for $m=3$ follow from (2.1.11).
iii) The estimates $(2.1 .1),(2.1 .2)$ and (2.1.3) for $m=4,5$ are similar to the above arguments (i) in the proof of this Theorem.

Now we consider the property of the blow-up constants $K_{1}, K_{2}$ and $K_{3}$. We have

$$
\begin{aligned}
& K_{1}(p)=2^{\frac{1}{p-1}}(p+1)^{\frac{1}{p-1}}(p-1)^{-\frac{2}{p-1}}, \\
& K_{2}(p)=2^{\frac{p}{p-1}}(p+1)^{\frac{1}{p-1}}(p-1)^{-\frac{p+1}{p-1}}, \\
& K_{3}(p)=2^{\frac{p}{p-1}}(p+1)^{\frac{p}{p-1}}(p-1)^{-\frac{2 p}{p-1}} .
\end{aligned}
$$



Figure 5. Graph of $K_{1}(p), K_{2}(p), K_{3}(p)$


Figure 6. Graph of $K_{1}(p), K_{2}(p), K_{3}(p)$
We see that the graphs, $K_{i}(p), i=1,2,3$ are all decreasing in $p \in\left(1, p_{1}\right)$; and $K_{i}(p)$ tends to zero for $i=2,3$ and $K_{1}(p)$ tends to 1 , as $p$ tends to infinity. The monotonicity of these functions can be obtained after showing the following inequalities:

$$
\frac{d}{d p} K_{1}(p)=(2 p+2)^{\frac{1}{p-1}}(p-1)^{-\frac{2}{p-1}-2}\left(\ln \frac{(p-1)^{2}}{2 p+2}-\frac{p+3}{p+1}\right) \leq 0, \quad p \in\left(1, p_{1}\right)
$$

where $p_{1} \sim 9.2203$,


Figure 7. Graph of $\frac{d}{d p} K_{1}(p)$.


Figure 8. Graph of $\ln \frac{(p-1)^{2}}{2 p+2}-\frac{p+3}{p+1}$.

$$
p+\ln (2 p+2)+\frac{2}{p+1} \geq 2 \ln (p-1), \quad \forall p>1 .
$$

The above inequality is easy to prove, we omit the arguments.
III. Uniqueness on $p$ and extension. In practical the characteristic index $p(t)$ depends on the characteristic (at time $t$ ) of the calligrapher him-
self only, in other words, when two "Werke" are similar to each other, then the correspondent characteristic $p(t)$ must very close, in mathematics, the fact can be easily solved to the scalar constant $p(t)=p$, we write it below.

Theorem 6. Suppose that $u$ and $v$ are the positive solutions of the following equations respectively

$$
\begin{equation*}
u^{\prime \prime}(t)=u(t)^{p}, v^{\prime \prime}(t)=v(t)^{q} \tag{3.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

with $u(t) \neq 0 \neq v(t)$ for each $t \geq 0$. If they have the same rate of displacement, that is,

$$
\begin{equation*}
u^{\prime}(t) / u(t)=v^{\prime}(t) / v(t) \tag{3.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

then they posses the same characteristic, this means, $p=q$.

Proof. According to the condition (3.2), we have

$$
\frac{u(t)^{p+1}-u^{\prime}(t)^{2}}{u(t)^{2}}=\frac{v(t)^{q+1}-v^{\prime}(t)^{2}}{v(t)^{2}}
$$

Using (3.2) again, then

$$
u(t)^{p-1}=v(t)^{q-1} .
$$

This together with (3.2) we obtain the assertion.

For $E(0)=0, u_{0} u_{1}<0$, it is easy to see that

$$
u(t)=u_{0}^{\frac{p+3}{p-1}}\left(u_{0}^{2}-\frac{p-1}{2} u_{0} u_{1} t\right)^{-\frac{2}{p-1}}, \forall t \in(0, T)
$$

Hence we find the limit $\lim _{t \rightarrow \infty} u(t)=0$ and

$$
\lim _{t \rightarrow \infty} t^{\frac{2}{p-1}} u(t)=u_{0}^{\frac{p+3}{p-1}}\left(\frac{p-1}{-2} u_{0} u_{1}\right)^{-\frac{2}{p-1}} .
$$

The following Theorem is a direct application of Theorem 4, Theorem 6 and we omit the proof.

Theorem 7. If $u \in P C^{2}\left(\mathbb{R}^{+}\right)$, that is, $u \in C^{2}\left(\bigcup_{i=0}^{\infty}\left(T_{i}, T_{i+1}\right) \cup\left(T_{\infty}, \infty\right)\right)$ where $T_{0}=0, T_{i+1} \geq T_{i}$ and $T_{\infty}=\lim _{i \rightarrow \infty} T_{i}$, is a piecewise solution of the problem of ( 0.1 ) with $E(t)<0$ for the continuous points of $E$. Then for $T_{\infty}=\infty$, the discontinuous points of $u$ can be got at the blow-up points $\bar{T}_{m}^{*}\left(u_{0}, u_{1}, p\right), m \in \mathbb{N}$ of $u^{2}(t)$ and $\bar{T}_{m}^{*}\left(u_{0}, u_{1}, p\right)$ are given by
$\begin{aligned} & \bar{T}_{1}^{*}\left(u_{0}, u_{1}, p\right) \\ (3.3):= & \begin{cases}2 T_{1}^{*}\left(u_{0}, u_{1}, p\right) & \text { if } u_{0} u_{1} \geq 0 \text { and } u u^{\prime}\left(T_{1}^{*+}\left(u_{0}, u_{1}, p\right)\right) \geq 0, \\ \left(T_{1}^{*}+T_{2}^{*}\right)\left(u_{0}, u_{1}, p\right) & \text { if } u_{0} u_{1}<0 \text { and } u u^{\prime}\left(T_{1}^{*+}\left(u_{0}, u_{1}, p\right)\right) \geq 0, \\ 2 T_{2}^{*}\left(u_{0}, u_{1}, p\right) & \text { if } u_{0} u_{1}<0 \text { and } u u^{\prime}\left(T_{1}^{*+}\left(u_{0}, u_{1}, p\right)\right) \geq 0\end{cases} \end{aligned}$
and

$$
\begin{align*}
& \bar{T}_{m+1}^{*}\left(u_{0}, u_{1}, p\right) \\
& \text { 4) }:= \begin{cases}\left(\bar{T}_{m}^{*}+T_{1}^{*}\right)\left(u_{0}, u_{1}, p\right) & \text { if } u u^{\prime}\left(\bar{T}_{m}^{*+}\left(u_{0}, u_{1}, p\right)\right) \geq 0, \\
\left(\bar{T}_{m}^{*}+T_{2}^{*}\right)\left(u_{0}, u_{1}, p\right) & \text { if } u u^{\prime}\left(\bar{T}_{m}^{*+}\left(u_{0}, u_{1}, p\right)\right)<0,\end{cases} \tag{3.4}
\end{align*}
$$

where $u u^{\prime}\left(\bar{T}_{m}^{*+}\left(u_{0}, u_{1}, p\right)\right):=\lim _{t \rightarrow T_{m+7}^{*+}} \frac{u^{2}(t)-u\left(\bar{T}_{m}^{*}\left(u_{0}, u_{1}, p\right)\right)^{2}}{t-\bar{T}_{m}^{*}\left(u_{0}, u_{1}, p\right)}$.
Further we have the blow-up rate at $\bar{T}_{m}^{*}\left(u_{0}, u_{1}, p\right)$ of $u^{2}$ is $4 /(p-1)$, and the blow-up constant of $u^{2}$ is $\sqrt[p-1]{4(p-1)^{-4}(p+1)^{2}}$, that is, for $m \in \mathbb{N}$
(3.5) $\lim _{t \rightarrow T_{m}^{*}}\left(\bar{T}_{m}^{*}\left(u_{0}, u_{1}, p\right)-t\right)^{\frac{4}{p-1}} u^{2}(t)=2^{\frac{2}{p-1}}(p+1)^{\frac{2}{p-1}}(p-1)^{-\frac{4}{p-1}}$.

The blow-up rate of $\left(u^{2}\right)^{\prime}$ at $\bar{T}_{m}^{*}\left(u_{0}, u_{1}, p\right)$ is $(p+3) /(p-1)$, and the blowup constant of $\left(u^{2}\right)^{\prime}$ is $2^{\frac{2 p}{p-1}}(p+1)^{\frac{2}{p-1}}(p-1)^{-\frac{p+3}{p-1}}$, that is, for $m \in \mathbb{N}$

$$
\begin{align*}
& \lim _{t \rightarrow T_{m}^{*}\left(u_{0}, u_{1}, p\right)}\left(\bar{T}_{m}^{*}\left(u_{0}, u_{1}, p\right)-t\right)^{\frac{p+3}{p-1}}\left(u^{2}\right)^{\prime}(t) \\
= & 2^{\frac{2 p}{p-1}}(p+1)^{\frac{2}{p-1}}(p-1)^{-\frac{p+3}{p-1}} . \tag{3.6}
\end{align*}
$$

The blow-up rate of $\left(u^{2}\right)^{\prime \prime}$ at $\bar{T}_{m}^{*}\left(u_{0}, u_{1}, p\right)$ is $(2 p+2) /(p-1)$, and the blowup constant of $\left(u^{2}\right)^{\prime \prime}$ is $2^{\frac{2 p}{p-1}}(p+1)^{\frac{8}{p-1}}(p-1)^{-\frac{2 p+8}{p-1}}(p+3)$, that is, for $m \in$ $\mathbb{N}$

$$
\begin{align*}
& \lim _{t \rightarrow T_{m}^{*}\left(u_{0}, u_{1}, p\right)}\left(u^{2}\right)^{\prime \prime}(t)\left(T_{m}^{*}\left(u_{0}, u_{1}, p\right)-t\right)^{\frac{2 p+2}{p-1}} \\
= & \left(\frac{2}{p-1}\right)^{\frac{2 p}{p-1}}(p+3)\left(\frac{p+1}{p-1}\right)^{\frac{2}{p-1}} \tag{3.7}
\end{align*}
$$

Part B. Positive solution for $\boldsymbol{p}<\mathbf{1}$. Before the study of the properties of solutions for the differential equation (0.1) we collect some results on the situation that $E_{u}(0)=0$.
(1) For $u_{0}>0$ and $u_{1}>0$, we have

$$
u(t)=\left(u^{\frac{1-p}{2}}+\frac{1-p}{2} \sqrt{\frac{2}{p+1}} t\right)^{\frac{2}{1-p}}
$$

and

$$
t^{\frac{2}{p-1}} u(t) \rightarrow\left(\frac{1-p}{2} \sqrt{\frac{2}{p+1}}\right)^{\frac{2}{1-p}} \quad \text { as } \quad t \rightarrow \infty
$$

(2) For $u_{0}>0$ and $u_{1}<0$, the solutions of (0.1) can be given as

$$
u_{c}(t)= \begin{cases}\left(u_{0}^{\frac{1-p}{2}}+\frac{1-p}{2} \sqrt{\frac{2}{p+1}} t\right)^{\frac{2}{1-p}} & t \in\left[0, T_{0}\right] \\ 0 & t \in\left[T_{0}, T_{0}+c\right] \\ \left(\frac{(1-p)^{2}}{2 p+2}\right)^{\frac{1}{1-p}}\left(t-T_{0}-c\right)^{\frac{2}{1-p}} & t \geq T_{0}+c\end{cases}
$$

where $c$ is any positive real number and $T_{0}=\sqrt{\frac{p+1}{2} u_{0}^{1-p}}$, and also

$$
t^{\frac{2}{p-1}} u(t) \rightarrow\left(\frac{1-p}{2} \sqrt{\frac{2}{p+1}}\right)^{\frac{2}{1-p}} \quad \text { as } \quad t \rightarrow \infty
$$

IV. $\boldsymbol{E}_{\boldsymbol{u}}(\mathbf{0})>\mathbf{0}$. In this section we discuss the case $E_{u}(0)>0$ and we have the following result concerning the zero point and asymptotic behavior at infinity of the solutions for the equation (0.1) :

Theorem 8. Suppose that $T^{*}$ is the life-span of $u$ which is a positive solution of problem (0.1) with $E_{u}(0)>0$ and $u_{0}>0$. Then for
(1) $u_{1}<0$, there exists a constant $Z_{0}$ so that $T^{*} \leq Z_{0}$ and $\lim _{t \rightarrow Z_{0}} u(t)=$ $0, \lim _{t \rightarrow Z_{0}} u^{\prime}(t)=-\sqrt{E_{u}(0)}$ and $\lim _{t \rightarrow Z_{0}} u^{\prime \prime \prime}(t)^{-1}=0$. Moreover,

$$
\begin{align*}
& Z_{0}=\int_{0}^{u_{0}} \frac{d r}{\sqrt{E_{u}(0)+\frac{2}{p+1} r^{p+1}}}  \tag{4.1}\\
& \lim _{t \rightarrow Z_{0}^{-}} u^{\prime \prime \prime}(t)\left(t-Z_{0}\right)^{1-p}=p E_{u}(0)^{\frac{p}{2}} \tag{4.2}
\end{align*}
$$

(2) $u_{1}>0$,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\lim _{t \rightarrow \infty} u(t) t^{-\frac{2}{1-p}}=\left(\frac{1-p}{2} \sqrt{\frac{2}{p+1}}\right)^{\frac{2}{1-p}} \tag{4.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

Proof. (1) For $u_{1}<0$, after some calculations we obtain

$$
\begin{align*}
u^{\prime}(t) & =-\sqrt{E_{u}(0)+\frac{2}{p+1} u(t)^{p+1}} \\
& \leq-\sqrt{\frac{2}{p+1} u(t)^{p+1}}, \quad \forall t \in\left[0, T^{*}\right) \tag{4.4}
\end{align*}
$$

and

$$
u(t) \leq\left(u_{0}^{\frac{1-p}{2}}-\frac{1-p}{2} t\right)^{\frac{2}{1-p}}, \quad \forall t \in\left[0, T^{*}\right)
$$

thus there exists a constant $Z_{0}$ so that $T^{*} \leq Z_{0}$ and $\lim _{t \rightarrow Z_{0}} u(t)=0$.
By (4.4) we conclude that $\lim _{t \rightarrow Z_{0}} u^{\prime}(t)=-\sqrt{E_{u}(0)}$ and

$$
\begin{aligned}
t & =\int_{u(t)}^{u_{0}} \frac{d r}{\sqrt{E_{u}(0)+\frac{2}{p+1} r^{p+1}}}, \quad \forall t \in\left[0, T^{*}\right) \\
Z_{0} & =\lim _{t \rightarrow Z_{0}} \int_{u(t)}^{u_{0}} \frac{d r}{\sqrt{E_{u}(0)+\frac{2}{p+1} r^{p+1}}} \\
& =\int_{0}^{u_{0}} \frac{d r}{\sqrt{E_{u}(0)+\frac{2}{p+1} r^{p+1}}}
\end{aligned}
$$

and

$$
\lim _{t \rightarrow Z_{0}^{-}} u^{\prime \prime \prime}(t)\left(t-Z_{0}\right)^{1-p}=p \lim _{t \rightarrow Z_{0}^{-}}\left(\frac{u(t)}{t-Z_{0}}\right)^{p-1} u^{\prime}(t)=p E_{u}(0)^{\frac{p}{2}}
$$

Therefore (4.1) and (4.2) are proved.
(2) For $u_{1}>0$ we have

$$
\begin{align*}
& u^{\prime}(t)=\sqrt{E_{u}(0)+\frac{2}{p+1} u(t)^{p+1}} \geq \sqrt{\frac{2}{p+1} u(t)^{p+1}}, \quad \forall t \geq 0 \\
& u(t)^{\frac{1-p}{2}} \geq u_{0}^{\frac{1-p}{2}}+\frac{1-p}{2} \sqrt{\frac{2}{p+1}} t, \tag{4.5}
\end{align*} \quad \forall t \geq 0 .
$$

On the other hand,

$$
\begin{aligned}
& u^{\prime}(t) \leq \sqrt{\frac{2}{p+1}}\left(u(t)+\left(\frac{p+1}{2} E_{u}(0)\right)^{\frac{1}{p+1}}\right)^{\frac{p+1}{2}}, \quad \forall t \geq 0 \\
& \quad\left(u(t)+\left(\frac{p+1}{2} E_{u}(0)\right)^{\frac{1}{p+1}}\right)^{\frac{1-p}{2}} \\
& \leq\left(u_{0}+\left(\frac{p+1}{2} E_{u}(0)\right)^{\frac{1}{p+1}}\right)^{\frac{1-p}{2}}+\frac{1-p}{2} \sqrt{\frac{2}{p+1}} t \quad \forall t \geq 0
\end{aligned}
$$

From (4.5) and (4.6), the estimate (4.3) follows.
V. $\boldsymbol{E}_{\boldsymbol{u}}(\mathbf{0})<\mathbf{0}$. In this section we discuss the case $E_{u}(0)<0$. Similar to the above arguments proving Theorem 8 we have the following result on critical point and asymptotic behavior at infinity of the solutions for the equation (0.1) :

Theorem 9. Suppose that $u$ is a positive solution of problem (0.1) with $E_{u}(0)<0$ and $u_{0}>0$. Then

$$
\begin{equation*}
\lim _{t \rightarrow \infty} u(t) t^{-\frac{2}{1-p}}=\left(\frac{1-p}{2} \sqrt{\frac{2}{p+1}}\right)^{\frac{2}{1-p}} . \tag{5.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

Moreover, for $u_{1}<0$, there exists a constant $Z_{1}$ so that $\lim _{t \rightarrow Z_{1}} u^{\prime}(t)=0$ and

$$
\begin{equation*}
Z_{1}=\sqrt[p+1]{\frac{p+1}{2}}\left(-E_{u}(0)\right)^{\frac{1-p}{2 p+2}} \int_{1}^{\left(\frac{p+1}{-2} E_{u}(0)\right)^{\frac{-1}{p+1}} u_{0}} \frac{d r}{\sqrt{r^{p+1}-1}} \tag{5.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

Remark. We do not know whether the solutions under the circumstance in Theorem 9 is analytic or not.

Through Theorems 3 through 7 may be summarized for $p>1$, in the following tables

| $E(0)$ | $E(0)<0$ | $E(0)=0$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $T$ | (i) $u_{0} u_{1} \geq 0, T \leq T_{1}^{*}\left(u_{0}, u_{1}, p\right)$ <br> (ii) $u_{0} u_{1}<0, T \leq T_{2}^{*}\left(u_{0}, u_{1}, p\right)$ | (i) $u_{0} u_{1}>0, T \leq T_{3}^{*}$ <br> (ii) $u_{0} u_{1}<0, T=\infty$ <br> (iii) $u_{0} u_{1}=0, T=\infty, u \equiv 0$. |
| $R_{1}, K_{1}$ | $\frac{4}{p-1}, K 1(p)$ | $\frac{4}{p-1}, K 1(p)$ |
| $R_{2}, K_{2}$ | $\frac{p+3}{p-1}, K 2(p)$ | $\frac{p+3}{p-1}, K 2(p)$ |
| $R_{3}, K_{3}$ | $\frac{2 p+2}{p-1}, K 3(p)$ | $\frac{2 p+2}{p-1}, K 3(p)$ |


| $E(0)>0$ | $\hat{E}(0)>0$ | $\hat{E}(0)=0, u_{1}>0$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $T$ | $T \leq T_{4}^{*}\left(u_{0}, u_{1}, p\right)$ | $T \leq T_{5}^{*}\left(u_{0}, u_{1}, p\right)$ |
| $R_{1}, K_{1}$ | $\frac{4}{p-1}, K 1(p)$ | $\frac{4}{p-1}, K 1(p)$ |
| $R_{2}, K_{2}$ | $\frac{p+3}{p-1}, K 2(p)$ | $\frac{p+3}{p-1}, K 2(p)$ |
| $R_{3}, K_{3}$ | $\frac{2 p+2}{p-1}, K 3(p)$ | $\frac{2 p+2}{p-1}, K 3(p)$ |

Where $T:=$ Life - span of $u, E(0)=$ Energy, $R_{1}=$ blow $-u p$ rate of $a, K_{1}=$ blow $-u p$ constant of $a ; R_{2}=$ blow $-u p$ rate of $a^{\prime}, K_{2}=$ blow $-u p$ constant of $a^{\prime} ; R_{3}=$ blow - up rate of $a^{\prime \prime}, K_{3}=$ blow - up constant of $a^{\prime \prime}$; $\hat{E}(0):=u_{0}^{2} u_{1}^{2}-4 u_{0}^{2} E(0)$.
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