## ON OSCILLATION CRITERIA FOR A FORCED NEUTRAL DIFFERENTIAL EQUATION

BY

## N. PARHI AND R. N. RATH

Abstract. In this paper necessary and sufficient conditions have been obtained so that every solution of

(\*) 
$$[y(t) - p \ y(t - \tau)]' + Q(t)G(y(t - \sigma)) = f(t)$$

is oscillatory or tends to zero as  $t \to \infty$  for  $0 \le p < 1$  or p < 0 but  $\ne -1$ . For p > 1, necessary and sufficient conditions have been obtained so that every bounded solution of (\*) is oscillatory or tends to zero as  $t \to \infty$ .

1. In a recent paper [2], Das and Misra have obtained necessary and sufficient conditions for nonscillatory solutions of

(1) 
$$(y(t) - p \ y(t - \tau))' + Q(t)G(y(t - \sigma)) = f(t)$$

to tend to zero as  $t \to \infty$ . Their assumptions include  $0 \le p < 1$ ,  $G \in C(R,R)$  such that it satisfies generalized sublinear condition, viz.

$$\int_0^{\pm K} \frac{dt}{G(t)} < \infty$$

for every positive constant K, and  $f \in C([0,\infty),(0,\infty))$ . The method adopted by them has made the proof unnecessarily complicated and does not allow f to be identically equal to zero. Thus their result is applicable to only strictly nonhomogeneous cases. Further, the method prevents p to

Received by the editors December 28 1998.

AMS 1991 Subject Classification: 34C10, 34C15, 34K40.

take values in other ranges and is not applicable to cases when G is either linear or superlinear.

In this paper, we have established a similar theorem. The method we devised to prove our theorem allows p to take more values, viz,  $0 \le p < 1$ , p < 0 but  $p \ne -1$  and p > 1, permits G to be linear or superlinear and is applicable to homogeneous equations. Moreover, the method is simple. This is possible due to repeated use of a lemma in [3].

The authors of the paper [2] have rightly observed that there are very few results concerning necessary and sufficient conditions for oscillation of all solutions of (1) except a few with  $f(t) \equiv 0$  and the coefficient functions are real constants (see [4,5]). The oscillatory behavior of such equations are usually characterized by the nonexistence of real roots of the associated characteristic equations.

By a solution of (1) on  $[T_y, \infty)$ ,  $T_y \geq 0$ , we mean a function  $y \in C([T_y - r, \infty), R)$  such that  $y(t) - p \ y(t - \tau)$  is continuously differentiable and (1) is satisfied identically for  $t \geq T_y$ , where  $r = \max\{\tau, \sigma\}$ . Such a solution of (1) is said to be oscillatory if it has arbitrarily large zeros; otherwise, it is called nonoscillatory.

2. We present our main results in this section. The following lemma (See p. 17, [3]) is needed for our work in the sequel.

**Lemma 2.1.** Let  $f, g : [0, \infty) \to R$  be such that

$$f(t) = g(t) - p \ g(t - c), \ t \ge c,$$

where  $p \in R$  but  $p \neq -1$  and c > 0. Let  $\lim_{t \to \infty} f(t) = \ell \in R$  exists. Then the following statements hold:

- (i) If  $\liminf_{t\to\infty} g(t) = a \in R$ , then  $\ell = (1-p)a$  and
- (ii) If  $\limsup_{t\to\infty} g(t) = b \in R$ , then  $\ell = (1-p)b$ .

We consider Eq.(1) with  $\tau \geq 0$ ,  $\sigma \geq 0$ ,  $f \in C([0,\infty),[0,\infty))$  such that

$$\int_0^\infty f(t)dt < \infty,$$

 $G \in C(R,R)$  such that xG(x) > 0 for  $x \neq 0$  and G is nondeceasing and  $Q \in C([0,\infty),[0,\infty))$ . We prove following results:

**Theorem 2.2.** Let  $0 \le p < 1$  or p < 0 but  $p \ne -1$ . If

(2) 
$$\int_0^\infty Q(t)dt = \infty,$$

then every solution of (1) is oscillatory or tends to zero as  $t \to \infty$ .

*Proof.* Let y(t) be a nonoscillatory solution of (1) on  $[T_y, \infty)$ ,  $T_y \ge 0$ . Hence there exists a  $T_0 > T_y$  such that y(t) > 0 or 0 for 0 for 0. We show that  $\lim_{t\to\infty} y(t) = 0$ . The proof is divided into two different parts according to two ranges of 0.

(i) let  $0 \le p < 1$ . Suppose that y(t) < 0 for  $t \ge T_0$ . Setting

$$(3) z(t) = y(t) - p y(y - \tau),$$

we obtain

(4) 
$$z'(t) + Q(t)G(y(t-\sigma)) = f(t)$$

for  $t \geq T_0$ . Hence  $z'(t) \geq 0$  for  $t \geq T_0 + \sigma$ . Then z(t) > 0 or < 0 for  $t \geq T_1 > T_0 + \sigma$ . If z(t) > 0, then y(t) > p  $y(t - \tau) > y(t - \tau)$  for  $t \geq T_1$  and hence y(t) is bounded. Consequently, z(t) is bounded,  $\lim_{t \to \infty} z(t) = \ell$  exists and  $\limsup_{t \to \infty} y(t)$  exists. We claim that  $\limsup_{t \to \infty} y(t) = 0$ . If not, then  $\limsup_{t \to \infty} y(t) = \alpha < 0$ . For  $0 < \varepsilon < -\alpha$ , there exists a  $T_2 > T_1$  such that  $t \to \infty$   $y(t) < \alpha + \varepsilon$  for  $t \geq T_2$ . Hence, for  $t \geq T_3 \geq T_2 + \sigma$ ,

$$\int_{T_3}^t Q(s)G(y(s-\sigma))ds \le G(\alpha+\varepsilon)\int_{T_3}^t Q(s)ds$$

Thus

$$\int_{T_2}^{\infty} Q(t)G(y(t-\sigma))dt = -\infty$$

due to (2). On the other hand, integrating (4) from  $T_3$  to t yields

$$\int_{T_s}^t Q(s)G(y(s-\sigma))ds \ge -z(t)$$

Hence

$$\int_{T_3}^{\infty} Q(t)G(y(t-\sigma))dt > -\infty,$$

a contradiction. Hence  $\limsup_{t\to\infty} y(t)=0$ . From Lemma 2.1 it follows that  $\ell=0$ . This is impossible because z(t)>0 and nondecreasing. Thus z(t)<0 for  $t\geq T_1$ . Since z(t) is nondecreasing, then  $\lim_{t\to\infty} z(t)=\alpha\leq 0$  exists. Let  $\alpha<0$ . For  $t\geq T_1+\tau$ ,  $y(t)\leq z(t)\leq \alpha$ . Hence integrating (4) from  $T_2$  to t, where  $T_1+\tau+\sigma< T_2< t$ , we obtain

$$z(t) = z(T_2) + \int_{T_2}^t f(s)ds - \int_{T_2}^t Q(s)G(y(s-\sigma))ds$$
  
  $\geq z(T_2) + \int_{T_2}^t f(s)ds - G(\alpha) \int_{T_2}^t Q(s)ds$ 

Thus  $\lim_{t\to\infty} z(t) = \infty$  by (2), a contradiction. Hence  $\lim_{t\to\infty} z(t) = 0$ . We claim that y(t) is bounded. Otherwise, there exists a sequence  $\langle t_n \rangle$  such that  $t_n \to \infty$  as  $n \to \infty$ ,  $y(t_n) \to -\infty$  as  $n \to \infty$  and  $y(t_n) = \min\{y(t) : T_1 \le t \le t_n\}$ . Hence  $z(t_n) = y(t_n) - p \ y(t_n - \tau) \le (1 - p)y(t_n)$ , that is,  $\lim_{n\to\infty} z(t_n) = -\infty$ , a contradiction. Thus  $\liminf_{t\to\infty} y(t)$  and  $\limsup_{t\to\infty} y(t)$  exist. Using Lemma 2.1 we get  $\lim_{t\to\infty} y(t) = 0$ . Next suppose that y(t) > 0 for  $t \ge T_0$ . Setting

(5) 
$$w(t) = y(t) - p \ y(t - \tau) - \int_0^t f(s) ds,$$

we obtain

(6) 
$$w'(t) + Q(t)G(y(t-\sigma)) = 0$$

for  $t \geq T_0$ . Hence  $w'(t) \leq 0$  for  $t \geq T_0 + \sigma$  implies that w(t) > 0 or < 0 for  $t \geq T_1 > T_0 + \sigma$ . If w(t) > 0 for  $t \geq T_1$ , then  $\lim_{t \to \infty} w(t)$  exists. From the assumption on f it follows that  $\lim_{t \to \infty} z(t)$  exists, where z(t) is given by (3). If  $\liminf_{t \to \infty} y(t) > 0$ , then  $y(t) > \alpha > 0$  for  $t \geq T_2 > T_1$ . Then, for  $T_3 > T_2 + \sigma$ ,

$$\int_{T_3}^{\infty} Q(t)G(y(t-\sigma))dt \ge G(\alpha) \int_{T_3}^{\infty} Q(t)dt$$

implies that

$$\int_{T_3}^{\infty} Q(t)G(y(t-\sigma))dt = \infty.$$

However, integrating (6) yields

$$\int_{T_3}^{\infty} Q(t)G(y(t-\sigma))dt < \infty,$$

a contradiction. Thus  $\liminf_{t\to\infty} y(t)=0$ . From Lemma 2.1 it follows that  $\lim_{t\to\infty} z(t)=0$ . We claim that y(t) is bounded; otherwise, there exists a sequence  $\langle t_n \rangle$  such that  $t_n\to\infty$  as  $n\to\infty$ ,  $y(t_n)\to\infty$  as  $n\to\infty$  and  $y(t_n)=\max\{y(t)\}: T_1\leq t\leq t_n\}$ . Then

$$z(t_n) = y(t_n) - p \ y(t_n - \tau) > (1 - p)y(t_n)$$

implies that  $\lim_{t\to\infty} z(t_n) = \infty$ , a contradiction to the fact that  $\lim_{t\to\infty} z(t) = 0$ . Hence y(t) it bounded. Consequently,  $\limsup_{t\to\infty} y(t)$  exists and is equal to zero by Lemmq 2.1. Thus  $\lim_{t\to\infty} y(t) = 0$ . Let w(t) < 0 for  $t \ge T_1$ . Hence

(7) 
$$y(t) 
$$$$$$

for  $t \geq T_1$ , where

$$L = \int_0^\infty f(t)dt.$$

If y(t) is unbounded, then we may find a sequence  $\langle t_n \rangle$  such that  $t_n \to \infty$  and  $y(t_n) \to \infty$  as  $n \to \infty$  and  $y(t_n) = \max\{y(t) : T_1 \le t \le t_n\}$ . Hence from (7) one gets

$$y(t_n)$$

that is,

$$\lim_{n\to\infty}y(t_n)\leq\frac{L}{1-p}<\infty,$$

a contradiction. Hence y(t) is bounded. Consequently, w(t) is bounded,  $\lim_{t\to\infty} w(t)$  exists,  $\lim_{t\to\infty} y(t)$  exists,  $\lim_{t\to\infty} y(t)$  exists and  $\lim_{t\to\infty} z(t)$  exists, where z(t) is given by (3). Proceeding as in the case w(t)>0 for  $t\geq T_1$ , we may show that  $\lim_{t\to\infty} y(t)=0$ . Hence from Lemma 2.1 it follows that  $\lim_{t\to\infty} y(t)=0$ .

(ii) Let p < 0 but  $p \neq -1$ . Suppose that y(t) < 0 for  $t \geq T_0$ . Setting z(t) as in (3), we notice that z(t) < 0 and  $z'(t) \geq 0$  for  $t \geq T_0 + \tau + \sigma$ . Hence z(t) is bounded and  $\lim_{t \to \infty} z(t)$  exists. Further,  $z(t) \leq y(t)$  for  $t \geq T_0 + \tau + \sigma$  implies that y(t) is bounded. If  $\limsup_{t \to \infty} y(t) \neq 0$ , then  $y(t) < \alpha < 0$  for  $t \geq T_1 > T_0 + \tau + \sigma$ . Integrating (4) from  $T_2$  to t  $(T_1 + \sigma < T_2 < t)$  we obtain

$$z(t) \geq z(T_2) + \int_{T_2}^t f(s)ds - G(\alpha) \int_{T_2}^t Q(s)ds$$

Hence, by (2), z(t) > 0 for large t, a contradiction. Thus  $\limsup y(t) = 0$ . Consequently,  $\lim_{t \to \infty} y(t) = 0$  by Lemma 2.1. Next suppose that y(t) > 0 for  $t \geq T_0$ . Setting w(t) as in (5), one obtains  $w'(t) \leq 0$  for  $t \geq T_0 + \sigma$ . Let w(t) > 0 for  $t \geq T_1 > T_0 + \sigma$ . Hence w(t) is bounded and  $\lim_{t \to \infty} w(t)$  exists. Thus z(t) is bounded and  $\lim_{t \to \infty} z(t)$  exists, where z(t) is given by (3). Clearly, z(t) > y(t) > 0 implies that y(t) is bounded. Proceeding as in case  $0 \leq p < 1$  when y(t) > 0 and w(t) > 0, we obtain  $\lim_{t \to \infty} y(t) = 0$ . Thus, by Lemma 2.1,  $\lim_{t \to \infty} y(t) = 0$ . Let w(t) < 0 for  $t \geq T_1 > T_0 + \sigma$ . If  $t \equiv 0$ , then we get a contradiction because  $0 < y(t) - p y(t - \tau) < 0$  for  $t \geq T_1 + \tau$ . If  $t \not\equiv 0$ , then

$$y(t) < \int_0^t f(s)ds < L,$$

for  $t \geq T_1 + \tau$ , that is, y(t) is bounded for  $t \geq T_1 + \tau$ . Hence w(t) is bounded and  $\lim_{t \to \infty} w(t)$  exists. Thus  $\lim_{t \to \infty} z(t)$  exists. If  $\liminf_{t \to \infty} y(t) > 0$ , then  $y(t) > \alpha > 0$  for  $t \geq T_2 > T_1 + \tau$ . Integrating (6) from  $T_2$  to t and using (2) we obtain  $\lim_{t \to \infty} w(t) = -\infty$ , a contradiction. Hence  $\liminf_{t \to \infty} y(t) = 0$  and consequently, by Lemma 2.1,  $\lim_{t \to \infty} y(t) = 0$ . Thus the proof of the theorem is complete.

**Remark.** Theorem 2.2 holds if  $f \equiv 0$ .

**Theorem 2.3.** Let  $0 \le p < 1$  or p < 0 but  $p \ne -1$ . Suppose that G satisfies Lipschitz condition on intervals of the type [a,b], 0 < a < b. If every solution of (1) is oscillatory or tends to zero as  $t \to \infty$ , then (2) holds.

*Proof.* If possible, let

(8) 
$$\int_0^\infty Q(t)dt < \infty.$$

We show that (1) admits a positive solution which does not tend to zero as  $t \to \infty$ . Let  $0 \le p < 1$ . It is possible to choose T > 0 large enough such that

$$\int_{T}^{\infty} f(t)dt < \frac{(1-p)}{10} \text{ and } K \int_{T}^{\infty} Q(t)dt < \frac{(1-p)}{5},$$

where  $K = \max\{K_1, K_2\}$ ,  $K_1$  is the Lipschitz constant of G in  $\left[\frac{(1-p)}{10}, 1\right]$  and  $K_2 = \max\{G(u) : \frac{(1-p)}{10} \le u \le 1\}$ .

Let

$$X = \left\{ x : [T, \infty) \to R | x \text{ is continuous and } \frac{(1-p)}{10} \le x(t) \le 1 \right\}$$

For  $u, v \in X$ , we define

$$d(u, v) = \sup\{|u(t) - v(t)| : t \ge T\}.$$

Hence (X, d) is a complete metric space. Define  $S: X \to X$  as follows: for  $y \in X$ ,

$$(Sy)(t) = \begin{cases} (Sy)(T+r), & t \in [T, T+r] \\ p \ y(T-\tau) + \frac{1-p}{5} + \int_t^\infty Q(s)G(y(s-\sigma))ds \\ -\int_t^\infty f(s)ds, & t \ge T+r, \end{cases}$$

where  $r = \max\{\tau, \sigma\}$ . Clearly, (Sy)(t) is continuous and for  $t \geq T + r$ ,

$$(Sy)(t) \ge \frac{p(1-p)}{10} + \frac{1-p}{5} - \frac{1-p}{10} \ge \frac{1-p}{10}$$

and

$$(Sy)(t) \le p + \frac{1-p}{5} + K_2 \int_t^\infty Q(s)ds$$
  
$$\le p + \frac{1-p}{5} + K \int_T^\infty Q(t)dt < 1$$

Thus  $S: X \to X$ . Futher, for  $u, v \in X$ ,

Thus 
$$S: X \to X$$
. Futher, for  $u, v, \in X$ ,
$$(Su)(t) - (Sv)(t) = \begin{cases} (S_u)(T+r) - (S_v)(T+r), & t \in [T, T+r] \\ p\{u(t-\tau) - v(t-\tau)\} \\ + \int_t^{\infty} Q(s)\{G(u(s-\sigma)) - G(v(s-\sigma))\}ds, \\ t \ge T+r \end{cases}$$

Hence

$$d(Su, Sv) \le \left[p + K_1 \int_t^\infty Q(s) ds\right] d(u, v)$$

$$\le \left[p + K \int_T^\infty Q(t) dt\right] d(u, v)$$

$$\le \left[p + \frac{1-p}{5}\right] d(u, v)$$

Thus S is a contraction. From Banach fixed point theorem it follows that S has a unique fixed point  $y_0 \in X$ . Hence  $y_0(t)$  is a solution of (1) on  $[T+r,\infty)$  such that  $\frac{(1-p)}{10} \leq y_0(t) \leq 1$ . Thus  $y_0(t)$  is a positive solution of (1) which does not tend to zero as  $t \to \infty$ . If -1 , then one is totake  $\int_T^{\infty} f(t)dt < \frac{1+p}{10}$ ,  $K \int_T^{\infty} Q(t)dt < \frac{1+p}{5}$  and

$$X = \{x : [T, \infty) \to R | x \text{ is continuous and } \frac{1+p}{10} \le x(t) \le 1\}$$

and  $K_1$  and  $K_2$  are to be modified accordingly. Further, we define

$$(Sy)(t) = \begin{cases} (Sy)(T+r), & t \in [T, T+r] \\ p \ y(t-\tau) + \frac{1-4p}{5} + \int_t^\infty Q(s)G(y(s-\sigma))ds \\ -\int_t^\infty f(s)ds, & t \ge T+r, \end{cases}$$

The proof is similar to the case  $0 \le p < 1$ . If p < -1, then the proof proceeds as in the case  $0 \le p < 1$  with the following changes:

$$\int_T^\infty f(t)dt < \frac{1+p}{4p}, \ K \int_T^\infty Q(t)dt < \frac{1+p}{4p}$$

 $X = \{x : [T, \infty) \to R | x \text{ is continuous and } \frac{1+p}{2p} \le x(t) \le 1\},$ 

$$(Sy)(t) = \begin{cases} (Sy)(T+r), & t \in [T, T+r] \\ \frac{y(t+\tau)}{p} - \frac{1}{p} \int_{t+\tau}^{\infty} Q(s)G(y(s-\sigma))ds \\ + \frac{1}{p} \int_{t+\tau}^{\infty} f(s)ds + \frac{2p^2 - 3p - 1}{4p^2}, & t \ge T+r, \end{cases}$$

Hence the theorem is proved.

Corollary 2.4. Suppose that  $0 \le p < 1$  or p < 0 but  $p \ne -1$ . Let G satisfy Lipschitz condition on intervals of the type [a,b], 0 < a < b. Then every solution of (1) is oscillatory or tends to zero as  $t \to \infty$  if and only if (2) holds.

This follows from Theorems 2.2 and 2.1.

Example 1. Consider

$$[y(t) - e^{-1}y(t-1)]' + y^3(t-1) = e^{3(1-t)}, \ t > 2$$

From Theorem 2.2 it follows that every solution of the equation is oscillatory or tends to zero as  $t \to \infty$ . In particular,  $y(t) = e^{-t}$  is a solution of the equation which tends to zero as  $t \to \infty$ . Here 0 .

Example 2. Clearly  $y(t) = \cos t$  is an oscillatory solution of

$$\left[y(t) + \frac{1}{2}y(t-\pi)\right]' + \frac{1}{2}y\left(t - \frac{\pi}{2}\right) = 0, \ t \ge 0$$

This illustrates the case -1 .

Example 3. Consider

$$[x(t) + 2x(t-1)]' + \left(e^{-\frac{1}{3}(2t+1)} + 2e^{-\frac{2}{3}(t-1)} + 1\right)x^{\frac{1}{3}}(t-1) = e^{-\frac{1}{3}(t-1)}, \ t \ge 2$$

This example illustrates the case p < -1. Clearly,  $y(t) = e^{-t}$  is a solution of the equation.

**Example 4.** We may note that  $y(t) = e^t$  is an unbounded positive solution of the equation

$$[y(t) - p \ y(t - \tau)]' + e^{\sigma} (e^{-2t} + pe^{-\tau} - 1)x(t - \sigma) = e^{-t}, \ t \ge 1,$$

where  $\tau, \sigma \in (0, \infty)$  and  $p > e^{\tau} > 1$ . This example has provided motivation for the following theorems.

**Theorem 2.5.** Let p > 1. If (2) holds, then every bounded solution of (1) is oscillatory or tends to zero as  $t \to \infty$ .

Proof. Let y(t) be a bounded solution of (1) on  $[T_y, \infty)$ ,  $T_y \geq 0$ . If y(t) is oscillatory, then there is nothing to prove. Suppose that y(t) is nonoscillatory. Then y(t) > 0 or < 0 for  $t \geq T_0 > T_y$ . Let y(t) < 0 for  $t \geq T_0$ . Setting z(t) as in (3), we obtain (4). Hence  $z'(t) \geq 0$  for  $t \geq T_0 + \sigma$  implies that z(t) > 0 or < 0 for  $t \geq T_1 > T_0 + \sigma$ . Let z(t) > 0 for  $t \geq T_1$ . Since y(t) is bounded, then z(t) is bounded and hence  $\lim_{t \to \infty} z(t)$  exists. Using (2) we may show that  $\lim_{t \to \infty} \sup y(t) = 0$  and hence  $\lim_{t \to \infty} y(t) = 0$  by Lemma 2.1. Let z(t) < 0 for  $t \geq T_1$ . Hence  $\lim_{t \to \infty} z(t)$  exists. If  $\lim_{t \to \infty} z(t) = \alpha < 0$ , then  $z(t) \leq \alpha$  for  $t \geq T_2 > T_1$ . Hence from (3) it follows that  $y(t) \leq z(t) \leq \alpha$  for  $t \geq T_2$ , thus, for  $t \geq T_3 > T_2 + \sigma$ ,

$$\int_{T_3}^{\infty} Q(t)G(y(t-\sigma))dt \le G(\alpha)\int_{T_3}^{\infty} Q(t)dt = -\infty$$

On the other hand, integration of (4) yields

$$\int_{T_3}^{\infty} Q(t)G(y(t-\sigma))dt \ge z(T_3) > -\infty,$$

a contradiction. Hence  $\lim_{t\to\infty} z(t) = 0$ . Consequently,  $\lim_{t\to\infty} y(t) = 0$  by Lemma 2.1. Suppose that y(t) > 0 for  $t \ge T_0$ . Setting w(t) as in (5), we obtain  $w'(t) \le 0$  for  $t \ge T_0 + \sigma$  by (6). Hence w(t) > 0 or 0 < 0 for 0 < 0 for 0 < 0 for 0 < 0. If 0 < 0 for 0 < 0 fo

$$y(t) > p \ y(t-\tau) > y(t-\tau)$$

for  $t \ge T_2 > T_1 + \tau$ . Thus y(t) > m > 0 for  $t \ge T_2$ , where

$$m = \min\{y(t) : t \in [T_2, T_2 + \tau]\}$$

Consequently,

$$\int_{T_3}^{\infty} Q(t)G(y(t-\sigma))dt = \infty,$$

where  $T_3 \geq T_2 + \sigma$ . However integrating (6) we obtain

$$\int_{T_3}^{\infty} Q(t)G(y(t-\sigma))dt < \infty,$$

a contradiction. Hence w(t) < 0 for  $t \ge T_1$ . Since y(t) is bounded, then w(t) is bounded and hence  $\lim_{t \to \infty} w(t)$  exists. This implies that  $\lim_{t \to \infty} z(t)$  exists, where z(t) is given by (3). If  $\liminf_{t \to \infty} y(t) > 0$ , then we get a contradiction by (2). Hence  $\liminf_{t \to \infty} y(t) = 0$ . From Lemma 2.1 it follows that  $\lim_{t \to \infty} y(t) = 0$ . Thus the theorem is proved.

Example 5. From Theorem 2.5 it follows that every bounded solution of

$$[y(t) - e \ y(t-1)]' + (e+1)y(t-1) = e^{-t}[t(2e^2 + e - 1) + 1 - 3e^2 - e], \ t \ge 2$$

is oscillatory or tends to zero as  $t \to \infty$ . In particular,  $y(t) = t e^{-t}$  is a bounded solution of the equation which tends to zero as  $t \to \infty$ .

**Theorem 2.6.** Let p > 1 and G be Lipschitzian on intervals of the form [a,b], 0 < a < b. If every bounded solution of (1) is oscillatory or tends to zero as  $t \to \infty$ , then (2) holds.

*Proof.* One may complete the proof proceeding as in the proof of Theorem 2.3 and with the following changes:

$$\int_T^{\infty} f(t)dt < \frac{p-1}{2}, \ K \int_T^{\infty} Q(t)dt < \frac{p-1}{2},$$

where  $K = \max\{K_1, K_2\}$ ,  $K_1$  is the Lipschitz constant of G in  $\left[\frac{p-1}{2}, p\right]$  and  $K_2 = \max\{G(u) : \frac{p-1}{2} \le u \le p\}$ ,

$$X = \{x : [T, \infty) \to R | x \text{ is continuous and } \frac{p-1}{2} \le x(t) \le p\}$$

and

$$(Sy)(t) = \begin{cases} (Sy)(T+r), & t \in [T, T+r] \\ \frac{1}{p}y(t+\tau) - \frac{1}{p} \int_{t+\tau}^{\infty} Q(s)G(y(s-\sigma))ds \\ + \frac{1}{p} \int_{t+\tau}^{\infty} f(s)ds + \frac{p-1}{2}, & t \ge T+r. \end{cases}$$

Equation (1) admits a solution  $y_0(t)$  on  $[T+r+\tau,\infty)$  with  $\frac{p-1}{2} \leq y_0(t) \leq p$ . Thus the theorem is proved.

Corollary 2.7. Let p > 1. Suppose that G is Lipschitzian on intervals of the form [a,b], 0 < a < b. Then every bounded solution of (1) is oscillatory or tends to zero as  $t \to \infty$  if and only if (2) holds.

## References

- 1. R. S. Dahiya and O. Akinyele, Oscillation theorems of nth order functional differential equation with forcing term, J. Math. Anal. Appl., 109 (1985), 325-332.
- 2. P. Das and N. Misra, A necessary and sufficient condition for the solution of a functional differential equation to be oscillatory or tend to zero, J. Math. Anal. Appl., 204 (1997), 78-87.
- 3. I. Gyori and G. Ladas, "Oscillation theory of Delay Differential Equations with Application", Clarendon Press, Oxford, 1991.
- 4. M. R. S. Kulenovic, G. Ladas and A. Meimaridou, Necessary and sufficient condition for oscillation of neutral differential equations, J. Austra. Math. Soc. Ser. B, 28 (1987), 362-375.
- 5. G. Ladas, Y. G. Sficas and I. P. Stavroulakis, Necessary and sufficient condition for oscillation of higher order delay differential equations, Trans Amer. math. Soc., 285 (1984), 81-90.

Department of Mathematics, Berhampur University, Berhampur-760007, INDIA Department of Mathematics, Govt. Science College, Chatrapur, PIN: 76I 020, INDIA