NOTE ON DERIVATIONS WITH ENGEL CONDITION ON LIE IDEALS

BY

WEN-KWEI SHIUE (薛文魁)

Abstract. Let R be a prime S_4 -free ring and L be a noncommutative Lie ideal of R. Suppose that d and δ are two derivations of R such that $[d(x^m)x^n - x^p\delta(x^q), x^r]_k = 0$ for all $x \in L$, where m, n, p, q, r, k are fixed positive integers. Then d = 0 and $\delta = 0$.

Throughout this note R is always a prime ring with center Z, extended centroid C, left Utumi quotient ring U and two-sided Martindale quotient ring Q. By d we mean a derivation of R. For $x,y\in R$, set $[x,y]_1=[x,y]=xy-yx$ and $[x,y]_k=[[x,y]_{k-1},y]$ for k>1. A well-known theorem of Posner [9] states that R must be commutative if it admits a nonzero derivation d centralizing on R, that is, $d(x)x-xd(x)\in Z$ for all $x\in R$. Many related generalizations have been obtained by a number of authors in the literature. In [1], Brešar generalized Posner's result by showing the result [1, Theorem B]: Let R be a prime ring and λ a nonzero left ideal of R. Suppose that derivations d and δ of R satisfy $d(x)x-x\delta(x)\in Z$ for all $x\in \lambda$. If $d\neq 0$, then R is commutative. A Lie version of Brešar's theorem was proved by Lee and Wong [6]. We refer the reader to [5, Theorem], [2, Theorem 7] and [8, Theorem 1] for further results concerning derivations with Engel conditions on Lie ideals. The goal of this note is to give a unified version of the above three theorems. More precisely, the following result will be proved.

Received by the editors March 31, 1998 and in revised form May 26, 1998. 1991 Mathematics Subject Classification: 16W25, 16R50, 16N60, 16U80. Key words and phrases: Derivation, PI, PGI, prime ring, differential identity.

Main Theorem. Let R be a prime S_4 -free ring and L be a noncommutative Lie ideal of R. Suppose that d and δ are derivations of R such that

$$[d(x^m)x^n - x^p\delta(x^q), x^r]_k = 0$$

for all $x \in L$, where m, n, p, q, r, k are fixed positive integers. Then d = 0 and $\delta = 0$.

Here, the R is called an S_4 -free ring if R does not satisfy S_4 , the standard identity of degree 4. We first dispose of the simplest case $R = M_{\ell}(F)$, the ℓ by ℓ matrix ring over a field F, and d, δ are inner derivations

Lemma. Let $R = M_{\ell}(F)$, where F is a field and $\ell > 2$. Suppose that

(2)
$$[[a, x^m]x^n - x^p[b, x^q], x^r]_k = 0 \quad \text{for all} \quad x \in [R, R],$$

where m, n, p, q, r, k are fixed positive integers. Then $a, b \in F$.

Proof. Write $a = \sum_{i,j=1}^{\ell} a_{ij} e_{ij}$ and $b = \sum_{i,j=1}^{\ell} b_{ij} e_{ij}$, where $\{e_{ij}|1 \leq i,j \leq \ell\}$ are the matrix units of R. Since $\ell \geq 3$, we may take three distinct integers i,j and s. Setting $x = [e_{ij}, e_{ji}] = e_{ii} - e_{jj}$ in (2) and multiplying e_{ss} from the left, we see that $e_{ss}a(e_{ii} \pm e_{jj}) = 0$. This implies $a_{si} = a_{sj} = 0$ for all distinct integers i,j,s. So a is a diagonal matrix. Note that uau^{-1} must be diagonal for each invertible element $u \in R$, since $[[uau^{-1}, x^m]x^n - x^p[ubu^{-1}, x^q], x^r]_k = 0$ for all $x \in [R, R]$. Hence for each j > 1 we see that $a_{jj} - a_{11}$, the (1,j)-entry of $(1 + e_{1j})a(1 + e_{1j})^{-1}$, equal to 0. That is, $a_{jj} = a_{11}$ for all j > 1 and hence $a \in F$. By symmetry, $b \in F$ follows. This proves the lemma.

Proof of the Main Theorem. If R is not a PI-ring, then by a result of Lee [7, Theorem 2], R and L satisfy the same differential identities. This implies $[d(x^m)x^n - x^p\delta(x^q), x^r]_k = 0$ for all $x \in R$. By [8, Theorem 1], we have d = 0 and $\delta = 0$. So we may assume that R is a prime PI-ring. Suppose on the contrary that either $d \neq 0$ or $\delta \neq 0$. By symmetry, we may assume that $d \neq 0$.

Suppose first that d and δ are Q-inner, namely, $d = \operatorname{ad}(a)$ and $\delta = \operatorname{ad}(b)$ for some $a, b \in Q$. Since $d \neq 0$, this implies $a \notin C$. Since L is a noncommutative Lie ideal of R, it is well-known that $[R[L, L]R, R] \subseteq L$ (see the proof of [4, Lemma 1.3]). Set I = R[L, L]R, a nonzero ideal of R. Let

$$f(X,Y) = [[a,[X,Y]^m][X,Y]^n - [X,Y]^p[b,[X,Y]^q],[X,Y]^r]_k.$$

Then f(X,Y) is a nontrivial generalized polynomial identity (GPI) for I. By [3,Theorem 2], f(X,Y) is also a GPI for Q. Denote by F the algebraic closure of C or C according as C is infinite or finite. Then by a standard argument [6, Proposition], f(X,Y) is also a GPI for $Q \otimes_C F$. Since R is a prime PI-ring, this implies $Q \otimes_C F \cong M_{\ell}(F)$ for some positive integer ℓ . It follows that $\ell \geq 3$ because R is S_4 -free. It follows from the Lemma that $a \in F$, a contradiction.

To continue the proof we set

(3)
$$g(X,Y) = \sum_{i=0}^{m-1} X^i Y X^{m-1-i}$$
 and $h(X,Y) = \sum_{i=0}^{q-1} X^i Y X^{q-1-i}$,

two noncommuting polynomials in variables X and Y. Note that $d(x^m) = g(d(x), x)$ and $\delta(x^q) = h(\delta(x), x)$ for $x \in Q$. Then by (1) we have

$$[g(d(x), x)x^n - x^p h(\delta(x), x), x^r]_k = 0 \quad \text{for all} \quad x \in L.$$

Suppose next that d and δ are C-independent modulo Q-inner derivations. Applying [7, Theorem 1] to (4) yields that $[g(y,x)x^n - x^ph(z,x), x^r]_k = 0$ for all $x,y,z \in [R,R]$. For $u \in [R,R]$, replacing y,z by [u,x], 0 respectively and then applying the fact that $[u,x^m] = g([u,x],x)$, we see that $[[u,x^m]x^n,x^r]_k = 0$ for all $u,x \in [R,R]$. The first case implies that $u \in C$. Thus R is commutative, a contradiction.

Finally, by symmetry, we may assume that d is not Q-inner and $\delta = \beta d + ad(b)$ for some $\beta \in C$, $b \in Q$. In view of (4) we see that

(5)
$$[g(d(x), x)x^{n} - \beta x^{p}h(d(x), x) - x^{p}[b, x^{q}], x^{r}]_{k} = 0$$

for all $x \in L$. Applying [7, Theorem 1] to (5) yields that

(6)
$$[g(y,x)x^{n} - \beta x^{p}h(y,x) - x^{p}[b,x^{q}],x^{r}]_{k} = 0$$

for all $x, y \in [R, R]$. Setting y = 0 in (6), we obtain $[x^p[b, x^q], x^r]_k = 0$ for all $x \in [R, R]$ and hence $b \in C$ by the first case. Now (6) reduces to

(7)
$$[g(y,x)x^{n} - \beta x^{p}h(y,x), x^{r}]_{k} = 0$$

for all $x, y \in [R, R]$. Let $u, x \in [R, R]$. Replacing y by [u, x] and using the fact that $g([u, x], x) = [u, x^m]$ and $h([u, x], x) = [u, x^q]$, we see that $[[u, x^m]x^n - x^p[\beta u, x^q], x^r]_k = 0$. Applying the first case again yields that $u \in C$ for all $u \in [R, R]$ and so R is commutative, a contradiction. This completes the proof.

As a corollary to the Main Theorem we have the following result which is proved in [2, Theorem 7].

Corollary. Let R be a prime ring and L be a noncommutative Lie ideal of R. Suppose that d is a nonzero derivation of R such that $[d(x^n), x^n]_k = 0$ for all $x \in L$, where n, k are fixed positive integers. Then $\dim_C RC \leq 4$.

Proof. By assumption, we have $[d(x^n), x^n]_k = 0$ for all $x \in L$. In particular, we see that $[d(x^n), x^n]_{k+1} = 0$ for all $x \in L$. Now, we are done by setting $d = \delta$ and m = n = p = q = r in the Main Theorem.

References

- 1. M. Brešar, Centralizing mappings and derivations in prime rings, J. Algebra, 156 (1993), 385-394.
- 2. C. M. Chang and T. K. Lee, Note on derivations with Engel conditions on polynomials, Manuscript.
- 3. C. L. Chuang, GPIs having coefficients in Utumi quotient rings, Proc. Amer. Math Soc., 103 (1988), 723-728.
- 4. I. N. Herstein, *Topics in Ring Theory*, University of Chicago Press, Chicago, 1969.
- 5. C. Lanski, An Engel condition with derivation, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc., 118 (1993), 731-734.
- 6. P. H. Lee and T. L. Wong, *Derivations cocentralizing Lie ideals*, Bull. Inst. Math. Acad. Sinica, **23** (1995), 1–5.
- 7. T. K. Lee, Differential identities of Lie ideals or large right ideals in prime rings, Comm. Algebra, 27 (1999), to appear.

8. T. K. Lee and W. K. Shiue, A result on derivations with Engel condition in prime rings, Southeast Asian Bull. Math., to appear.

9. E. C. Posner, Derivations in prime ring, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc., 8 (1957), 1093-1100.

Department of Mathematics, National Taiwan University, Taipei 107, TAIWAN E-mail: wkxue@math.ntu.edu.tw