DECOMPOSITION OF L²-FUNCTIONALS ON HILBERT SPACES WITH POISSON MEASURE

19. july 19. may 19. mg **BY**

T.F. LIN (林大風)

0. Introduction. By using the method of multi-Hilbertian space (see [6, p. 55–62]), one can introduce a Gaussian measure μ on a space $E' \supset L^2(R)$ and two E'-valued processes X(t), Y(t) such that

(0.1)
$$L^2(E', \mu) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \bigoplus H_n$$
.

- (0.2) X(t) is an E'-valued Wiener process, μ is the distribution of X(1).
- (0.3) Y(t) is an Ornstein-Uhlenbeck process w.r.t. (with respect to) X(t).
- (0.4) H_n is an eigenspace of the infinitesimal generator associated with Y(t) for each $n \ge 0$.
- (0.5) μ is an invariant measure for Y(t).

Although Poisson measure can be introduced on E' (see [4, p. 148]) and even the Hida calculus can be studied (see [7]), the relations analogous to (0.2)–(0.5) are missing. The purpose of this note is to introduce a different Poisson measure on E' such that all the relations analogous to (0.1)–(0.5) are retained.

In Section 1, a fundamental birth-death process is studied. A Poisson measure will be introduced in Section 2. Processes X(t), Y(t) will be studied in Section 3. Decomposition analogous to (0.1) will be given in Section 4 and, finally, a converging phenomenon will be given in the last section.

Received by the editors April 22, 1987.

A. M. S. Subject Classification: 60J27

1. A BD-process. Let $\lambda > 0$, $S = \{s_n = -\lambda + n : n \ge 0\}$ and let y(t) be a birth-death process on S with birth rate $\alpha_n = \lambda$ and death rate $\beta_n = n$ at each states s_n . With these α_n , β_n , $n \ge 0$, y(t) is a Markov process and has an invariant distribution (see [1, Thm. 2, p. 324]). Let A denote the infinitesimal generator of y(t). Then

(1.1)
$$Af(z) = \lambda f(z+1) - (z+2\lambda) f(z) + (z+\lambda) f(z-1), \quad z \in S.$$

LEMMA 1.1. Let ν be the mean centered Poisson distribution with parameter λ and let the Charlie-Poisson polynomials $\{P_n\}$ be defined by

$$(1.2) (1+u)^{\lambda+z} e^{-\lambda u} = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} u^n P_n(z), u > -1, z \in R.$$

Then $\{P_n\}$ are orthogonal and complete in $L^2(R, \nu)$. Furthermore,

$$(1.3) \quad \lambda \ P_n(z+1) - (z+2\lambda - n) P_n(z) + (z+\lambda) P_n(z-1) = 0.$$

Proof. Let $K_n(z) = P_n(z - \lambda)$, $n \ge 0$. Then from (1.2), the generating function of $\{K_n\}$ is $(1 + u)^z e^{-\lambda u}$. Hence $\{K_n\}$ are orthogonal and complete in $L^2(R, \nu^*)$ where ν^* is the Poisson distribution with parameter λ (see [4, p. 152] or [8, Thm. 4.3, p. 370]). Therefore $\{P_n\}$ are orthogonal and complete in $L^2(R, \nu)$. This proves the first statement of Lemma 1.1. For each $n \ge 0$, K_n satisfies (see [8, Lemma 3.3, p. 369])

(1.4)
$$\lambda K_n(z+1) - (z+\lambda - n) K_n(z) + z K_n(z-1) = 0.$$

(1.3) follows from (1.4) and $K_n(z) = P_n(z - \lambda)$.

COROLLARY 1.2. For each $n \ge 0$, $AP_n(z) = -nP_n(z)$, $z \in R$.

THEOREM 1.3. ν is an invariant measure for y(t).

Proof. It suffices to check that

$$\pi_{\nu} M_A = 0$$

holds, where $\pi_{\nu} = e^{-\lambda}(1, \lambda, \dots, \lambda^{n}/n!, \dots)$ is the row vector for ν and where M_{A} is the infinitesimal matrix of A obtained from (1.1). Let $M_{A} = [m_{ij}]$. It is easy to see from (1.1) that

(1.6)
$$\begin{cases} m_{00} = -\lambda, & m_{10} = 1, \\ m_{n-1,n} = \lambda, & m_{nn} = -(\lambda + n), & m_{n+1,n} = n + 1, & n \ge 1. \end{cases}$$

(1.5) follows from (1.6) by direct computation.

REMARK 1.4. Suppose that $y(0) = s_0 = -\lambda$. Let b(t) and d(t) denote the numbers of births and deaths, respectively, of y(t) up to time t. Then b(t) is a Poisson process with parameter λ , $y(t) = b(t) - d(t) - \lambda$ and $0 \le d(t) \le b(t)$. Let $x(t) = b(t) - \lambda t$. Then x(t) is a mean centered Poisson process.

2. Poisson measure. Let H be a separable Hilbert space with inner product $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle$, norm $\| \cdot \|$ and an orthonormal basis $\{h_n\}$. Let $e_n = h_n/n$, $n \ge 1$, and for each integer k, let

$$E_k = \Big\{ \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} a_n e_n : \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} n^{2k} a_n^2 > \infty \Big\}.$$

Then $E_{-1} = H$ and $E_{k+1} \subset E_k$ for all k. Each E_k is a Hilbert space with inner product

$$\left\langle \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} a_n e_n, \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} b_n e_n \right\rangle_k = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} n^{2k} a_n b_n.$$

For each $k \geq 0$, E_{-k} will be identified with the dual space E'_k in the sense that the pairing for elements $\xi = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \xi_n e_n \in E_{-k}$ and $f = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} f_n e_n \in E_k$ is

$$(\xi, f)_k = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \xi_n f_n.$$

Let $E = \bigcap_{k=1}^{\infty} E_k$ and $E' = \bigcup_{k=1}^{\infty} E_{-k}$. Then E is a multi-Hilbertian space (see [6, p. 4]) or a nuclear space (see [5, p. 301]) and E' is the dual of E.

LEMMA 2.1. Let the functional C on E be defined by

(2.1)
$$C(f) = \prod_{n=1}^{\infty} \exp\{e^{i\lambda\langle f, e_n\rangle_0} - 1 - i\lambda\langle f, e_n\rangle_0\}, \quad f \in E.$$

Then $|C(f)| < \infty$ for all $f \in E$ and (i) C is positive definite, (ii) C(0) = 1, (iii) $C(f) \rightarrow 1$ as $||f||_0 \rightarrow 0$.

Proof. It is easily checked from (2.1) that

$$e^{(-1/2)\lambda^2 \|f\|_0^2} \le |C(f)| \le e^{(1/2)\lambda^2 \|f\|_0^2}$$

This implies $|C(f)| < \infty$ and (iii). Each factor in the product on the right hand side of (2.1) is a characteristic function of a mean centered Poisson distribution and hence is positive definite. Therefore C is positive definite. The assertion (ii) is obvious.

THEOREM 2.2. There exists a probability measure μ on E' such that

(2.2)
$$C(f) = \int_{E'} e^{i\xi(f)} d\mu(\xi), \quad f \in E.$$

Furthermore, supp. $\mu \subset E_{-1} = H$.

Proof. The existence of measure μ on E' such that (2.2) holds follows from Lemma 2.1 and the Bochner-Minlos theorem. Let $g_n = e_n/n$, $n \ge 1$. Then $\{g_n\}$ is an orthonormal basis of E_1 . And,

$$\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \|g_n\|_0^2 = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} 1/n^2 < \infty.$$

This means that the injection mapping from E_1 to E_0 is Hilbert-Schmidt. Thus $E_1' = E_{-1} = H$ is a support for μ (see [6, Thm. 2.6.1, p. 23] or [5, Thm. 3.1, p. 121]).

REMARK 2.3. Since H is separable, the Borel algebra of H coincides with the σ -algebra generated by cylinder sets of the form $\{\xi \in H : \langle \xi, h_k \rangle < a_k, \ 1 \le k \le n\}, \ a_1, \cdots, \ a_n \in R, \ n \ge 1,$ or equivalently, of the form $\{\xi \in H : \langle \xi, l_k \rangle_0 < b_k, \ 1 \le k \le n\}, \ b_1, \cdots, \ b_n \in R, \ n \ge 1.$ The collection of latter cylinder sets will be denoted by \mathcal{F} .

LEMMA 2.4. Let $z_n(\xi) = \xi(e_n) = \langle \xi, e_n \rangle_0$, $n \ge 1$, be a sequence of functionals on H. Then they are i.i.d. w.r.t. μ and have ν as their distribution.

Proof. For each n, the characteristic function of z_n is, by (2.1) and Theorem 2.2,

(2.3)
$$\int_{H} e^{itz_{n}(\xi)} d\mu(\xi)$$

$$= \int_{H} e^{i\xi(te_{n})} d\mu(\xi) = C(te_{n}) = \exp\{e^{i\lambda t} - 1 - i\lambda t\}.$$

This is the characteristic function of the distribution v. Therefore,

 ν is the distribution function of z_n . To show the independence of $\{z_n\}$, let m>0 be an arbitrary integer, $t_k\in R$, $1\leq k\leq m$. Then by (2.1) and (2.3),

$$\int_{H} \exp\left\{i\sum_{k=1}^{m} t_{k} z_{k}(\xi)\right\} d\mu(\xi) = \int_{H} \exp\left\{i\xi\left(\sum_{k=1}^{m} t_{k} z_{k}\right)\right\} d\mu(\xi)$$

$$= \prod_{k=1}^{m} \exp\left\{e^{i\lambda t_{k}} - 1 - i\lambda t_{k}\right\} = \prod_{k=1}^{m} \int_{H} e^{it_{k} z_{k}(\xi)} d\mu(\xi).$$

Therefore, $\{z_n\}$ are independent w. r. t. μ .

REMARK 2.5. For $\xi \in H$, $\dot{\xi} = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \langle \xi, h_n \rangle h_n = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \langle \xi, e_n \rangle_0 e_n$ = $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} z_n(\xi) e_n$.

COROLLARY 2.6. Let $B_k \in \mathcal{B}(R)$, $1 \le k \le n$. Then

$$\mu\{\xi\in H: \langle \xi, e_k\rangle_0\in B_k, \ 1\leq k\leq n\}=\prod_{k=1}^n \nu(B_k).$$

3. Markov process. Let $\{x_k(t), y_k(t)\}$, $k \ge 1$, be independent copies of $\{x(t), y(t)\}$ given in Section 1 and let

$$X(t) = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} x_n(t) e_n, \quad Y(t) = Y(0) + \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} y_n(t) e_n, \quad t \ge 0$$

where $Y(0) \in H$.

LEMMA 3.1. For each $t \ge 0$, X(t), $Y(t) \in H$ a.s. and μ is the distribution of X(1).

Proof. Since

$$E\|X(t)\|^2 = \lim_{n\to\infty} E\left\|\sum_{k=1}^n x_k(t) e_n\right\|^2 = \lim_{n\to\infty} \sum_{k=1}^n \frac{1}{k^2} E x_k^2(t)$$

$$= \lambda t \sum_{n=1}^\infty \frac{1}{n^2} < \infty,$$

it is seen that $X(t) \in H$ a.s.. Form Remark 1.4, one has $\lambda \leq y_n(t) \leq x_n(t) + \lambda t$. This fact together with $X(t) \in H$ imply that $Y(t) - Y(0) \in H$ a.s. Therefore, $Y(t) \in H$ a.s. since $Y(0) \in H$. The characteristic functional of X(1) is

$$egin{aligned} Ee^{iX(1)\langle f
angle} &= E \, \exp\Bigl\{i\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} x_n(1)\langle f,\, e_n
angle_0\Bigr\} \ &= \lim_{m o \infty} E \, \exp\Bigl\{i\sum_{n=1}^{m} x_n(1)\langle f,\, e_n
angle_0\Bigr\} \ &= \prod_{n=1}^{\infty} \exp\{e^{i\lambda\langle f,\, e_n
angle_0} - 1 - i\lambda\langle f,\, e_n
angle_0\} \ &= \int_H e^{i\xi\langle f
angle} \, d\mu(\xi). \end{aligned}$$

Therefore, μ is the distribution of X(1).

380

Before we show that Y(t) is a Markov process, we note that $B = \{\xi \in H : \langle \xi, h_n \rangle < a\}$ is a Borel set for each n and $a \in R$. And, $\{\omega : Y(s, \omega) \in B\} = \{\omega : y_n(s, \omega) < na\}$. This amounts to say that $\sigma(Y(s)) = \sigma(y_n(s), n \ge 1)$ and $\sigma(Y(r), r \le s) = \sigma(y_n(r), r \le s, n \ge 1)$.

LEMMA 3.2. Y(t) is a Markov process.

Proof. Let $0 \le s < t_1 < \cdots < t_m$, $n_k \ge 1$, $1 \le k \le m$, $B \in \mathcal{B}(R^{\sum_{k=1}^m n_k})$ $F = \{(y_j(t_k), 1 \le j \le n_k, 1 \le k \le m) \in B\}$. From the independence and Markov property of $y_m(t)$, $m \ge 1$, one obtains that

(3.1)
$$E\{I_{F}|Y(r), r \leq s\} = E\{I_{F}|y_{k}(r), r \leq s, k \geq 1\}$$

$$= E\{I_{F}|y_{k}(r), r \leq s, 1 \leq k \leq m\}$$

$$= E\{I_{F}|y_{k}(s), 1 \leq k \leq m\} = E\{I_{F}|y_{k}(s), k \geq 1\}$$

$$= E\{I_{F}|Y_{k}(s)\}.$$

Since every indicator function of a measurable set in $\sigma(Y(r), r \geq s)$ is the limit in probability (see the proof in [2, p. 309]) of a sequence of indicator functions of cylinder sets like F. (3.1) holds for every $F \in \sigma(Y(t), t \geq s)$ by Dominated Convergence Theorem. This shows that Y(t) is a Markov process.

THEOREM 3.3. μ is an invariant measure of the process Y(t).

Proof. Let T_t denote the semigroup of operators associated with the transition probability $P_t(\xi, d\eta)$ of Y(t) and let T_t^* be the adjoint of T_t . For each n, let p_n denote the projection operator on the span of $h_1, \dots, h_n(e_1, \dots, e_n)$. Then, by independence of $\{y_k(t)\}$, Theorem 1.3 and Corollary 2.6, one has for $F = \{\xi \in H : \langle \xi, e_k \rangle_0 \in B_k \in \mathcal{B}(R), 1 \le k \le n\} \in \mathcal{F}$,

$$egin{aligned} (m{T}_{t}^{*}\,\mu)(F) &= \int_{H} P_{t}(\xi,\,F)\,d\mu(\xi) \ &= \int_{H} d\mu(\xi)\,E_{\xi}\{y_{k}(t) \in B_{k},\,1 \leq k \leq n\} \ &= \int_{p_{n}H} d(\mu\,p_{n}^{-1})(\eta)\,E_{\eta}\{y_{k}(t) \in B_{k},\,1 \leq k \leq n\} \ &= \int_{p_{n}H} d(\mu\,p_{n}^{-1})(\eta)\,\prod_{k=1}^{n}\,E_{\eta_{k}}\{y_{k}(t) \in B_{k}\}, \ &\eta = \sum_{k=1}^{n}\,\eta_{k}\,e_{k}, \ &= \prod_{k=1}^{n}\,\int_{R}E_{\eta_{k}}\{y_{k}(t) \in B_{k}\}\,d
u(\eta_{k}) \end{aligned}$$

Therefore, $T_t^* \mu$ agrees with μ on \mathscr{T} . Since both $T_t^* \mu$ on μ are measures, Remark 2.3 implies that $T_t^* \mu = \mu$. Hence μ is invariant for Y(t).

4. **Decomposition.** Let $L = L^2(H, \mu)$ and let \mathcal{T}_n be the collection of all tame functionals $F(\xi) = u(\langle \xi, e_1 \rangle_0, \cdots, \langle \xi, e_k \rangle_0)$ $= u(z_1(\xi), \cdots, z_n(\xi)), n \geq 1$, where u is a tame function on R^n , $\mathcal{T} = \bigcup_{n=1}^{\infty} \mathcal{T}_n$. To each $n^* = (n_1, n_2, \cdots)$ with $|n^*| = \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} n_k < \infty$, let

(4.1)
$$P_{n}^{*}(\xi) = \prod_{k=1}^{\infty} P_{n_{k}}(z_{k}(\xi)), \quad \xi \in H.$$

 $=\prod_{k=1}^n\nu(B_k)=\mu(F).$

Let $H_0 = R$ and for each $n \ge 1$, let $H_n =$ the closed span of P_{-*}^* (ξ) , $|n^*| = n$.

LEMMA 4.1. T_t , $t \ge 0$, can be extended contractively to L.

Proof. Let $F \in L$. By Cauchy-Schwarz inequality and Theorem 3.3, one has

$$\|T_t F\|_L^2 = \int_H \left\{ \int_H P_t(\xi, d\eta) F(\eta) \right\}^2 d\mu(\xi)$$

 $\leq \int_H \int_H P_t(\xi, d\eta) F^2(\eta) d\mu(\xi)$

 $= \int_H F^2(\eta) d\mu(\eta) = \|F\|_L^2.$

Hence T_t can be extended contractively to L for each $t \ge 0$.

LEMMA 4.2. Let G be the infinitesimal generator of T_t . Then, for $F \in \mathcal{F}_n$ or a polynomial of $z_k(\xi)$, $1 \le k \le n$,

(4.2)
$$(GF)(\xi) = \sum_{k=1}^{n} A_k u(z_1(\xi), \dots, z_n(\xi)),$$

where $F(\xi) = u(z_1(\xi), \dots, z_n(\xi))$ and where A_k is the operator A acting on the k-th variable.

Proof. This lemma follows from the fact that $\{y_k(t)\}$ are independent copies of y(t) which has A as infinitesimal generator.

LEMMA 4.3. For each $n \ge 0$, H_n is the eigenspace of G corresponding to the eigenvalue -n.

Proof. This lemma follows from the definition of H_n , (4.1), (4.2) and Corollary 1.2.

LEMMA 4.4. For $n^* = (n_1, n_2, \cdots) \neq m^* = (m_1, m_2, \cdots),$

$$\int_{H} P_{m^{*}}^{*}(\xi) P_{n^{*}}^{*}(\xi) d\mu(\xi) = 0.$$

Proof. This lemma follows from (4.1) and Lemma 1.1.

Since every $F \in L$ can be approximated by tame functionals in \mathcal{F} which, by Lemma 1.1, in turn can be approximated by linear combination of elements in H_n , $n \geq 0$, Lemma 4.3 and Lemma 4.4 imply

THEOREM 4.5. The space $L^2(H, \mu)$ has decomposition

$$L^{2}(H, \mu) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \bigoplus H_{n},$$

where H_n , $n \ge 0$, are eigenspaces of the infinitesimal generator G of Y(t). Indeed, -G is the number operator such that (-G)(F) = nF for $F \in H_n$, $n \ge 0$.

5. Convergence. In the provious discussion, the process y(t), x(t) have jumps equal to ± 1 . If we consider jumps of $\pm h$ and let μ_h , $X_h(t)$, $Y_h(t)$, A_h , G_h , $T_h(t)$ denote the corresponding μ , X(t), Y(t), A, G, T_t , respectively. Then

$$A_h f(z) = \lambda (f(z+h) - 2f(z) + f(z-h)) - z/h(f(z) - f(z-h)).$$

Therefore,

$$\lim_{z=(z,h^2)^{-1}\to\infty} A_h f(z) = \frac{1}{2} f''(z) - z f'(z)$$

for nice function f. This implies that

$$\lim_{\lambda=(2h^2)^{-1}\to\infty} G_h u(z_1(\xi),\cdots,z_k(\xi))$$

$$= \frac{1}{2} \sum_{k=1}^n \frac{\partial^2}{\partial z_k^2} u(z_1(\xi),\cdots,z_n(\xi))$$

$$- \sum_{k=1}^n z_k(\xi) \frac{\partial}{\partial z_k} u(z_1(\xi),\cdots,z_n(\xi)).$$

Then, by the Approximation Theorem in [3, p. 190],

$$\lim_{\lambda=(2h^2)^{-1}\to\infty} T_h(t) F = T_t F$$

for each tame function F. This shows that, as $\lambda = (2h^2)^{-1} \to \infty$, $Y_h(t)$ converges weakly in H to an Ornstein-Uhlenbeck process $Y_0(t)$ for which $\lim_{\lambda=(2h^2)^{-1}\to\infty}\mu_h$ is an invariant (Ganssian) measure.

Acknowledgement. The author wishes to thank Dr. C. R. Hwang for his useful discussion during the preparation of this manuscript.

REFERENCES

- 1. I.I. Gikhman and A.V. Skorokhod, Introduction to the theory of random processes, Saunders, Philadelphia (1969).
 - 2. _____, The theory of stochastic processes I, Springer, New York (1974).
- 3. J. Goldstein, Semigroup-theoretic proofs of the central limit theorem and other theorems of analysis, Semigroup Forum, 12 (1976), 189-206.
 - 4. T. Hida, Stationary stochastic process, Princeton Univ. Press (1970).
 - 5. _____, Brownian motion, Springer-Verlag, New York (1980).
- 6. K. Ito, Found, stoch. diff. equ. inf. dim. spaces, Soc. Indu. Appl. Math., Philadelphia. (1984).
- 7. Y. Ito, On a generalization of nonlinear Poissson functionals, Math. Rep. Toyama Univ., 3 (1980), 111-122.
- 8. T.F. Lin, Stochastic construction of new complete orthogonal polynomials, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc., 73 (1979), 365-370.

Department of Mathematics Soochow University Taipei, Taiwan, R.O.C.