## ON THE CIRCLE OF ORTHOPOLES, CIRCLES OF ORTHOPOLE K-POINTS AND THE RELATED SYSTEM OF CENTER CIRCLES

· BY

## CHEN-JUNG HSU (許振榮)

1. The circle of orthopoles. Let a triangle  $\Delta A_1 A_2 A_3$  and a line g be given. Suppose  $A_1$ ,  $A_2$ ,  $A_3$  are points on the line g such that  $A_1 A_1$ ,  $A_2 A_2$ ,  $A_3 A_3$  are perpendicular to g. Then it is known that the line passing through  $A_1$  and perpendicular to  $A_2 A_3$ , the line passing through  $A_2$  and perpendicular to  $A_3 A_1$ , and the line passing through  $A_3$  and perpendicular to  $A_1 A_2$  are concurrent. This point of intersection of the three perpendicular lines is called the orthopole of the line g with respect to the triangle  $\Delta A_1 A_2 A_3$  [1], [2].

PROPOSITION 1. Let 1, 2, 3, 4, 5 be five points on a circle (i.e., they are concyclic points). Let l, m be any two of these points, and i, j, k be the rest of them. For example, consider the orthopole of the line lm with respect to the triangle  $\Delta ijk$ . There are  ${}_5C_2=10$  of such orthopoles.

- (i) These  ${}_5C_2$  orthopoles are concyclic, say on the circle [1, 2, 3, 4, 5], the center of which is denoted as  $\{1, 2, 3, 4, 5\}$ .
- (ii) Starting with six concyclic points 1, 2, 3, 4, 5, 6, omitting each point in turn, six circles are found by (i); these are concurrent, say in the point {1, 2, 3, 4, 5, 6}.
- (iii) Starting with seven concyclic points 1, 2, 3, 4, 5, 6, 7, seven points of the kind in (ii) are found; these lie on a circle denoted by [1, 2, 3, 4, 5, 6, 7].
- (iv) Continuing thus infinitely, there is, in each case, finally a unique point or circle according as the number is even or odd.

Received by the editors September 1, 1984.

- (v) The six centers  $\{1, 2, 3, 4, 5\}$  etc. of the six circles [1, 2, 3, 4, 5] etc. are on a circle with center  $\{1, 2, 3, 4, 5, 6\}$ . This circle is denoted as [1, 2, 3, 4, 5, 6]. The points  $\{1, 2, 3, 4, 5, 6\}$  etc. are on the circle [1, 2, 3, 4, 5, 6, 7] and so on. In this way, we have a system of center circles.
- **Proof.** (i) Without loss of generality we can assume that the circle is the unit circle in the Gaussian plane. Let the points 1, 2, 3, 4, 5 on the unit circle be represented by complex numbers  $t_1$ ,  $t_2$ ,  $t_3$ ,  $t_4$ ,  $t_5$  repectively. Let

$$\frac{z}{a} + \frac{\bar{z}}{\bar{a}} = 1$$

be the equation of the line g with complex variable z and the complex conjugate  $\bar{z}$  of z. Then the orthopole of g with respect to the triangle  $\Delta 123$  is represented by the complex coordinate ([3], p. 281):

(2) 
$$z = \frac{1}{2} s_1 + \frac{\bar{a}}{2a} s_3 + \frac{a}{2},$$

where  $s_1 = t_1 + t_2 + t_3$ ,  $s_3 = t_1 t_2 t_3$ . The line 45 has the equation:

(3) 
$$z + t_4 t_5 \bar{z} = t_4 + t_5.$$

By putting  $a = t_4 + t_5$ ,  $\bar{a} = (t_4 + t_5)/t_4 t_5$ , and  $\bar{a}/a = 1/t_4 t_5$  in (2), we have

$$z = \frac{1}{2} s_1 + \frac{1}{2} \frac{s_3}{t_4 t_5} + \frac{1}{2} (t_4 + t_5)$$

$$= \frac{1}{2} (t_1 + t_2 + t_3 + t_4 + t_5) + \frac{1}{2} \frac{t_1 t_2 t_3}{t_4 t_5},$$

that is,

(4) 
$$z = \frac{1}{2} \sigma_1 + \frac{1}{2} \frac{\sigma_5}{(t_4 t_5)^2},$$

where  $\sigma_1 = t_1 + t_2 + t_3 + t_4 + t_5$  and  $\sigma_5 = t_1 t_2 t_3 t_4 t_5$ . This shows that the orthopole (4) of the line 45 with respect to the triangle  $\Delta 123$  is on the circle [1, 2, 3, 4, 5]:

(5) 
$$z = \frac{1}{2}\sigma_1 + \frac{1}{2}t, \quad |t| = 1$$

corresponding to  $t = \sigma_5/(t_4t_5)^2$ . The orthopole of and line lm with respect to  $\Delta ijk$  is obviously also on this circle. Thus the circle [1, 2, 3, 4, 5] is the circle centered at the point {1, 2, 3, 4, 5}:

(6) 
$$z = \frac{1}{2} \sigma_1 = \frac{1}{2} (t_1 + t_2 + t_3 + t_4 + t_5),$$

and having radius  $\frac{1}{2}$ . This circle is called the *circle of orthopoles* of the five concyclic points 1, 2, 3, 4, 5.

(ii) Suppose that the concyclic points 1, 2, 3, 4, 5, 6 have the coordinates  $t_1$ ,  $t_2$ ,  $t_3$ ,  $t_4$ ,  $t_5$ ,  $t_6$ . Then the circles of orthopoles: [1, 2, 3, 4, 5],..., [2, 3, 4, 5, 6] have respectively the equations:  $z = \frac{1}{2} (t_1 + t_2 + t_3 + t_4 + t_5) + \frac{1}{2} t, ..., \quad z = \frac{1}{2} (t_2 + t_3 + t_4 + t_5 + t_6) + \frac{1}{2} t$ . These circles are obviously concurrent in the point:

(7) 
$$z = \frac{1}{2} (t_1 + t_2 + t_3 + t_4 + t_5 + t_6).$$

This is the coordinate of the point {1, 2, 3, 4, 5, 6}.

(iii) Let  $t_1, \dots, t_7$  be respectively coordinates of concyclic points  $1, \dots, 7$ . There are seven points of the kind mentioned in (ii):  $z = \frac{1}{2} (t_1 + \dots + t_6), \dots, z = \frac{1}{2} (t_2 + \dots + t_7)$ . These points obviously lie on the circle:

(8) 
$$z = \frac{1}{2} (t_1 + t_2 + \cdots + t_7) + \frac{1}{2} t$$

(respectively corresponding to  $t = -t_7, \dots, t = -t_1$ ). Thus [1, 2, 3, 4, 5, 6, 7] has the equation (8).

- (iv) can be shown by mathematical induction.
- (v) [1, 2, 3, 4, 5, 6]:  $z = \frac{1}{2} (t_1 + t_2 + t_3 + t_4 + t_5 + t_6) + \frac{1}{2} t$  is the circle of the centers:  $\frac{1}{2} (t_1 + \cdots + t_5), \cdots, \frac{1}{2} (t_2 + \cdots + t_6)$  (corresponding to  $t = -t_6, \cdots, t = -t_1$ ) of the circles [1, 2, 3, 4, 5],  $\cdots$ , [2, 3, 4, 5, 6]. Next, [1, 2, 3, 4, 5, 6, 7];  $z = \frac{1}{2} (t_1 + t_2 + \cdots + t_7) + \frac{1}{2} t$  is the circle of the centers  $\frac{1}{2} (t_1 + \cdots + t_6), \cdots, \frac{1}{2} (t_2 + \cdots + t_7)$  of the circles [1, 2, 3, 4, 5, 6],  $\cdots$ , [2, 3, 4, 5, 6, 7] and so on.
- 2. Circles of orthopole K-points. Let  $A_1$ ,  $A_2$ ,  $A_3$ ,  $A_4$  be four concyclic points, and let g be a given line. Then it is known that

the orthopoles of the line g with respect to respectively  $\Delta A_1 A_2 A_3$ ,  $\Delta A_1 A_2 A_4$ ,  $\Delta A_1 A_3 A_4$ , and  $\Delta A_2 A_3 A_4$  are collinear. This line on which the orthopoles lie is called the *orthopole line of* g with respect to four concyclic points  $A_1$ ,  $A_2$ ,  $A_3$ ,  $A_4$ .

In a previous paper ([2], proposition) 3), the following theorem is obtained:

Let  $A_i$ , i = 1, 2, 3, 4 and  $Q_j$ , j = 1, 2, 3 be points on a circle. Then the three orthopole lines (also called orthopole K-lines) of each of the lines  $Q_1Q_2$ ,  $Q_2Q_3$ , and  $Q_3Q_1$  with respect to the four points  $A_1$ ,  $A_2$ ,  $A_3$ , and  $A_4$  are concurrent. This point of intersection is called the orthopole K-point of the points Q1, Q2, Q3 with respect to  $A_1$ ,  $A_2$ ,  $A_3$  and  $A_4$ . Next, let  $A_5$  be another point on the circle. Then we have five orthopole K-points which are orthopole K-points of  $Q_1$ ,  $Q_2$ ,  $Q_3$  with respect to any set of four points out of the five points  $A_1, \dots, A_5$ . These five orthopole K-points are collinear. This line is called the orthopole K-line of the points Q1, Q2, Q3 with respect to the points  $A_1, \dots, A_5$ . Let  $Q_4$  be still another point on the circle. Then there exist four orthopole K-lines of each set of three points out of the four points  $Q_1$ ,  $Q_2$ ,  $Q_3$ ,  $Q_4$  with respect to the points  $A_1, \dots, A_5$ . These four orthopole K-lines are concurrent. The point of intersection is called the orthopole K-point of the points  $Q_1$ ,  $Q_2$ ,  $Q_3$ ,  $Q_4$  with respect to the points  $A_1, \dots, A_5$ , and so on. We can continue this process infinitely. Generally, to n points  $A_1, \dots, A_n$  and m = n - 1 points  $Q_1, \dots, Q_m$  on a circle there corresponds an orthopole K-point (called the orthopole K-point of the points  $Q_1, \dots, Q_{n-1}$  with respect to the points  $A_1, \dots, A_n$ ), and to (n+1) points  $A_1, \dots, A_{n+1}$  and m=n-1 points  $Q_1, \dots, Q_{n-1}$  on a circle there corresponds an orthopole K-line (called the orthopole K-line of the points  $Q_1, \dots, Q_{n-1}$  with respect to the points  $A_1, \dots, A_n$  $A_{n+1}$ ).

The terms: the orthopole K-point of the three points  $Q_1$ ,  $Q_2$ ,  $Q_3$  with respect to the four points  $A_1$ ,  $A_2$ ,  $A_3$ ,  $A_4$ ; the orthopole K-point of the four points  $Q_1$ ,  $Q_2$ ,  $Q_3$ ,  $Q_4$  with respect to the five points  $A_1$ ,  $A_2$ ,  $A_3$ ,  $A_4$ ,  $A_5$ ; and generally, the orthopole K-point of the (n-1) points  $Q_1, \dots, Q_{n-1}$  with respect to the n points

 $A_1, \dots, A_n$  are all defined in the above statement.

PROPOSITION 2. Let  $1, 2, \dots, 7$  be seven concyclic points. Consider the orthopole K-point of  $i_5$ ,  $i_6$ ,  $i_7$  (any three points of the given seven points) with respect to the remaining four points  $i_1$ ,  $i_2$ ,  $i_3$  and  $i_4$ . There are  ${}_7C_4$  orthopole K-points of this kind. These  ${}_7C_4$  orthopole K-points are concyclic. Generally, let  $1, 2, \dots, 2n-1$  be (2n-1) concyclic points. Then there are  ${}_{2n-1}C_{n-1}$  orthopole K-points of (n-1) points  $i_{n+1}$ ,  $i_{n+2}$ ,  $\dots$ ,  $i_{2n-1}$  (any (n-1) points out of the given (2n-1) point) with respect to the remaining n points  $i_1$ ,  $i_2$ ,  $\dots$ ,  $i_n$ . These  ${}_{2n-1}C_{n-1}$  orthopole K-points are concyclic.

**Proof.** It is shown in [2] that the orthopole K-point of the points  $Q_1$ ,  $Q_2$ ,  $Q_3$  having coordinates  $\tau_1$ ,  $\tau_2$ ,  $\tau_3$  with respect to the points  $A_1$ ,  $A_2$ ,  $A_3$ ,  $A_4$  having coordinates  $t_1$ ,  $t_2$ ,  $t_3$ ,  $t_4$  has the coordinate:

(9) 
$$z = \frac{1}{2} r_1 + \frac{1}{2} \rho_1 - \frac{1}{2} \frac{r_4}{\rho_2},$$

where  $r_1 = t_1 + t_2 + t_3 + t_4$ ,  $r_4 = t_1 t_2 t_3 t_4$  and  $\rho_1 = \tau_1 + \tau_2 + \tau_3$ ,  $\rho_3 = \tau_1 \tau_2 \tau_3$ . Therefore, if the seven concyclic points 1, 2, ..., 7 have the coordinates  $t_1, \dots, t_7$ , then the orthopole K-point of the points 5, 6, 7 with respect to the points 1, 2, 3, 4, is represented by

(10) 
$$z = \frac{1}{2} (t_1 + t_2 + \cdots + t_7) - \frac{1}{2} \frac{t_1 t_2 \cdots t_7}{(t_5 t_6 t_7)^2}.$$

This point is obviously on the circle:

(11) 
$$z = \frac{1}{2} (t_1 + t_2 + \cdots + t_7) - \frac{1}{2} t.$$

This circle is denoted as [1, 2, 3, 4, 5, 6, 7], which has the radius  $\frac{1}{2}$ , and is centered at the point:

(12) 
$$z = \frac{1}{2} (t_1 + t_2 + \cdots + t_7).$$

This point is also denoted as  $\{1, 2, 3, 4, 5, 6, 7\}$ . Similarly all  ${}_{7}C_{3}$  orthopole K-points of this kind also lie on this circle.

Generally, the orthopole K-point of (n-1) points  $Q_1, \dots, Q_{n-1}$  having coordinates  $\tau_1, \dots, \tau_{n-1}$  with respect to n points  $A_1, \dots, A_n$  having coordinates  $t_1, \dots, t_n$  has the coordinate [2]:

(13) 
$$z = \frac{1}{2} s_1 + \frac{1}{2} \sigma_1 - (-1)^n \frac{s_n}{\sigma_{n-1}},$$

120

where  $s_1 = t_1 + \cdots + t_n$ ,  $s_n = t_1 \cdots t_n$ ,  $\sigma_1 = \tau_1 + \cdots + \tau_{n-1}$  and  $\sigma_{n-1} = \tau_1 \cdots \tau_{n-1}$ . Thus, if the (2n-1) concyclic points 1, 2,  $\cdots$ , (2n-1) are represented by  $t_1, t_2, \cdots, t_{2n-1}$ , then the orthopole K-point of the (n-1) points  $n+1, n+2, \cdots, 2n-1$  with respect to the points 1, 2,  $\cdots$ , n is represented by

(14) 
$$z = \frac{1}{2} (t_1 + \cdots + t_{2n-1}) - (-1)^n \frac{t_1 \cdots t_{2n-1}}{(t_{n+1} \cdots t_{2n-1})^2}.$$

This orthopole K-point is obviously on the circle:

(15) 
$$z = \frac{1}{2} (t_1 + \cdots + t_{2n-1}) - \frac{1}{2} t,$$

and all  $_{2n-1}C_{n-1}$  orthopole K-points of this kind also lie on this circle. This circle is denoted as  $[1, 2, \dots, (2n-1)]$  and is called the circle of orthopole K-points, corresponding to the given (2n-1) concyclic points  $1, 2, \dots, (2n-1)$ . This circle has radius  $\frac{1}{2}$  and is centered at the point  $\{1, 2, \dots, (2n-1)\}$ :

(16) 
$$z = \frac{1}{2} (t_1 + t_2 + \cdots + t_{2n-1}).$$

Corresponding to the parts (ii)—(v) of Proposition 1, we have generally the following:

## Proposition 3.

- (i) Starting with (2n) concyclic points  $1, 2, \dots, (2n)$ , omitting each point in turn, (2n) circles of orthopole K-points are found by later part of Proposition 2; these are concurrent, say in the point  $\{1, 2, \dots, (2n)\}$ .
- (ii) Starting with (2n+1) concyclic points  $1, 2, \dots, (2n+1)$ , (2n+1) points of the kind in (i) are found, these lie on a circle denoted by  $[1, 2, \dots, (2n+1)]$ .
- (iii) Continuing thus infinitely, there is, in each case, finally a unique point or circle according as the number is even or odd.
- (iv) The (2n) centers  $\{1, 2, \dots, (2n-1)\}$  etc. of the (2n) circles  $[1, 2, \dots, (2n-1)]$  etc. of orthopole K-points are on the circle

of radius  $\frac{1}{2}$  with the center  $\{1, 2, \dots, (2n)\}$ . This circle is denoted as  $[1, 2, \dots, (2n)]$ . The points  $\{1, 2, \dots, (2n)\}$  etc. (the centers of the circle  $[1, 2, \dots, (2n)]$  etc.) are on the circle  $[1, 2, \dots, (2n+1)]$ , and so on. In this way, we have a system of center circles.

The proof of Proposition 3 is completely the same as the later part of the proof of Proposition 1. The centers of (2n) circles of orthopole K-points are  $\frac{1}{2}(t_1+\cdots+t_{2n-1}),\cdots,\frac{1}{2}(t_2+\cdots+t_{2n})$ . The concurrent point of these (2n) circles of orthopole K-points is represented by  $\frac{1}{2}(t_1+\cdots+t_{2n})$ . Starting with (2n+1) concyclic points having coordinates  $t_1,\cdots,t_{2n+1},(2n+1)$  points  $\frac{1}{2}(t_1+\cdots+t_{2n}),\cdots,\frac{1}{2}(t_2+\cdots+t_{2n+1})$  are points of the kind in (i), and these points are on the circle  $z=\frac{1}{2}(t_1+\cdots+t_{2n+1})+\frac{1}{2}t$ , and so on.

REMARK. In the paper [2], it was remarked that if  $A_1$ ,  $A_2$ ,  $A_3$ ,  $A_4$  and  $Q_1$ ,  $Q_2$  are concyclic points, then the orthopole line of the line  $Q_1 Q_2$  with respect to the concyclic points  $A_1$ ,  $A_2$ ,  $A_3$ ,  $A_4$  is actually the *Kantor line* of the points  $Q_1$ ,  $Q_2$  with respect to the points  $A_1$ ,  $A_2$ ,  $A_3$ , and  $A_4$ . Thus the orthopole K-point of the points  $Q_1$ ,  $Q_2$ ,  $Q_3$  with respect to  $A_1$ ,  $A_2$ ,  $A_3$ ,  $A_4$  is actually the *Kantor point* of  $Q_1$ ,  $Q_2$ ,  $Q_3$  with respect to  $A_1$ ,  $A_2$ ,  $A_3$ , and  $A_4$ . And so on. By this reason, the Propositions 2 and 3 still hold if we replace each orthopole K-point in their statements by the corresponding Kantor point. For the definitions of Kantor lines and Kantor points, please see [2].

Added in the proof. The present author just found the following theorem of Ariga as an exercise in the book of Kobayashi ([3], page 279, Exercise 12):

THEOREM (Ariga). Let  $A_i$ , i = 1, 2, 3, 4, 5 be five points on a circle. Take arbitrarily two point  $A_h$ , and  $A_i$  from the five points and then consider the point of intersection of the Simson lines of  $A_h$ , and  $A_i$  with respect to the triangle  $\Delta jkl$  formed by the remaining three points  $A_j$ ,  $A_k$  and  $A_l$ . In this way we can construct 10 points of intersection of different Simson lines. These ten points are concyclic.

Due to the above remark, it is obvious that the part (i) of the Proposition 1 is equivalent to this theorem of Ariga.

## REFERENCES

- 1. Chen-Jung Hsu, On a certain collinearity of orthopoles, and of isopoles, Soochow J. Math., 10 (1984), 27-31.
- 2. Chen-Jung Hsu, On orthopole lines, isopole lines and generalizations of Kantor's theorem, Chinese J. Math., 12 (1984), 171-184.
- 3. Mikiwo Kobayashi, Geometry of Complex Numbers (in Japanese). Tôkai-Shobô, Tokyo (1953).

Institute of Mathematics Academia Sinica Nankang, Taipei, Taiwan 115 R.O.C.